Ján Jakubík Convergences and higher degrees of distributivity of lattice ordered groups and of Boolean algebras

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 40 (1990), No. 3, 453-458

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/102397

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1990

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

CONVERGENCES AND HIGHER DEGREES OF DISTRIBUTIVITY OF LATTICE ORDERED GROUPS AND OF BOOLEAN ALGEBRAS

JÁN JAKUBÍK, KOŠICE

(Received August 17, 1988)

All lattice ordered groups dealt with in the present note are assumed to be abelian.

The partially ordered set of all convergences of a lattice ordered group G will be denoted by Conv G (cf. [2], [3]). Similarly, Conv B denotes the partially ordered set of all convergences of a Boolean algebra B (cf. [8]). In general, neither Conv G nor Conv B need be a lattice; Conv G is a lattice iff it possesses a greatest element and in such a case it is a complete lattice. An analogous result holds for Conv B.

In [7] it was shown that the existence of the greatest element in Conv G depends merely from the lattice properties of G and that the class of all lattice ordered groups having the largest convergence is a radical class (in the sense of [5]).

The following results were proved in [6] and [8]:

(A) If G is a completely distributive archimedean lattice ordered group, then Conv G is a complete lattice.

(B) If B is a completely distributive Boolean algebra, then Conv B is a complete lattice.

In the present note these results will be sharpened as follows:

(A₁) If G is an $(\aleph_0, 2)$ -distributive lattice ordered group, then Conv G is a complete lattice.

(B₁) If B is an $(\aleph_0, 2)$ -distributive Boolean algebra, then Conv B is a complete lattice.

The notion of bounded convergence in a lattice ordered group was introduced in [7]. Let $Conv_b G$ be the set of all bounded convergences in G.

If $0 < e \in G$ and e is a singular element, then the interval [0, e] of G is Boolean algebra. Put [0, e] = B. It will be shown that if e is, at the same time, a strong unit in G, then the partially ordered sets $Conv_b G$ and Conv B are isomorphic. Next, Conv B is a complete lattice if and only if Conv G is a complete lattice.

1. THE CASE OF LATTICE ORDERED GROUPS

Let G be a lattice ordered group. We recall briefly the basic notions concerning sequential convergences in G.

Let N be the set of all positive integers and let $G_n = G$ for each $n \in N$. We denote $\prod_{n \in N} G_n = G^N$. The elements of G^N (denoted, e.g., by (g_n)) are called *sequences in G*. If $g \in G$ and $g_n = g$ for each $n \in N$, then we denote $(g_n) = \text{const } g$.

Let α be a convex subsemigroup of the semigroup $(G^N)^+$ such that the following conditions are satisfied:

(I) If $(g_n) \in \alpha$, then each subsequence of (g_n) belongs to α .

(II) Let $(g_n) \in (G^N)^+$. If each subsequence of (g_n) has a subsequence belonging to α , then $(g_n) \in \alpha$.

(III) Let $g \in G$. Then const g belongs to α if and only if g = 0.

Under these assumptions α is said to be a *convergence in G*. The system of all convergences in G (partially ordered by inclusion) will be denoted by Conv G.

For $\alpha \in \text{Conv } G$, $(g_n) \in G^N$ and $g \in G$ we put $g_n \to_{\alpha} g$, if $(|g_n - g|) \in \alpha$.

A sequence $(g_n) \in (G^N)^+$ is said to be *regular* if there exists $\alpha \in \text{Conv } G$ such that $(g_n) \in \alpha$.

From the convexity of α in $(G^N)^+$ and from (II), (III) we obtain immediately:

1.1. Lemma. Let (g_n) be a regular sequence in G and let (g_m) be a subsequence of (g_n) . Then $\bigwedge g_m = 0$.

Next, from the lemmas 3.2, 3.3 and 2.4 of [7] we obtain:

1.2. Lemma. Let G be a lattice ordered group. The following conditions are equivalent:

(i) Conv G has no greatest element.

(ii) There are regular sequences (g_n) , (h_n) in G and $0 < c \in G$ such that $g_n \vee \vee h_n \ge c$ for each $n \in N$.

1.3. Lemma. Let G be $(\aleph_0, 2)$ -distributive. Then Conv G possesses the greatest element.

Proof. By way of contradiction, assume that Conv G has no greatest element. Then in view of 1.2 there are sequences (g_n) and (h_n) in G such that the condition (ii) from 1.2 is satisfied. Put $g_{n0} = c \wedge g_n$ and $h_{n0} = c \wedge h_n$ for each $n \in N$. Then $c = g_{n0} \vee h_{n0}$ for each $n \in N$. Hence in view of $(\aleph_0, 2)$ -distributivity of G we obtain

(1)
$$0 < c = (g_{10} \lor h_{10}) \land (g_{20} \lor h_{20}) \land \dots$$

Let I be the set of all mappings t_i of the set N into $\bigcup_{n \in N} \{g_{n0}, h_{n0}\}$ such that for each $n \in N$ we have $t_i(n) \in \{g_{n0}, h_{n0}\}$. Let us write t_{in} instead of $t_i(n)$. Let $i \in N$ be fixed. Then some of the following conditions is valid:

- (a) the set $\{j \in N : t_{ij} = g_j\}$ is infinite;
- (b) the set $\{j \in N : t_{ij} = h_j\}$ is infinite.

According to 2.1, in both the cases (a) and (b) we have

$$t_{i1} \wedge t_{i2} \wedge t_{i3} \wedge \ldots = 0,$$

hence

(2) $\bigvee_{t_i \in I} (t_{i1} \wedge t_{i2} \wedge t_{i3} \wedge \ldots) = 0.$

The relation (1) and (2) show that G is not $(\aleph_0, 2)$ -distributive, which is contradiction. From 1.3 and from [4] we infer that (A₁) holds.

Let us remark that if G is $(\aleph_0, 2)$ -distributive, then it need not be archimedean (e.g., it suffices to take a non-archimedean linearly ordered group).

2. THE CASE OF BOOLEAN ALGEBRAS

Let B be a Boolean algebra. For each $n \in N$ let $B_n = B$. The direct product (in lattice-theoretic sense) of lattices B_n ($n \in N$) will be denoted by B^N . The elements of B^N are denoted, e.g., as (b_n) and they will be called sequences in B.

The notion of sequential convergence in B was introduced in [8] (Definition 1.1). Let Conv B be the system of all sequential convergences in B; this system is partially ordered by inclusion.

For $\alpha \in \text{Conv } B$ we denote by α_0 the set of all $(x_n) \in \alpha$ such that $x_n \to \alpha 0$. Let $\text{Conv}_0 B$ be the set of all α_0 , where α runs over the system Conv B. The set $\text{Conv}_0 B$ is partially ordered by inclusion. In [8] it was shown that the mapping $\alpha \to \alpha_0$ ($\alpha \in \text{Conv } B$) is an isomorphism of Conv B onto $\text{Conv}_0 B$. The elements of $\text{Conv}_0 B$ are called 0-*convergences* in B.

From 1.5 in [8] it follows that for a subset β of B^N the following conditions are equivalent:

(i) $\beta \in \text{Conv}_0 B$.

(ii) β is an ideal of the lattice B^N such that the condition (I), (II) and (III) are satisfied (where α and G are replaced by β or B, respectively).

Since Conv B and Conv₀ B are isomorphic, by proving (B_1) it suffices to prove the corresponding assertion for Conv₀ B.

A sequence (x_n) in B will be called *regular in B* if there is $\beta \in \text{Conv}_0 B$ such that $(x_n) \in \beta$.

The assertion of Lemma 1.1 remains valid if G is replaced by B (let us denote this modified assertion as 2.1). Similarly, we can formulate the assertion 2.2 which is analogous to 1.2.

2.2. Lemma. Let B be a Boolean algebra. The following conditions are equivalent:

(i) $Conv_0 B$ has no greatest element.

(ii) There are regular sequences (g_n) and (h_n) in B and $0 < c \in B$ such that $g_n \vee h_n \geq c$ for each $n \in N$.

Proof. The implication (ii) \Rightarrow (i) is obvious. The implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii) is contained in the proof of 3.4 in [8].

Next, by replacing 1.1 and 1.2 in the proof of 1.3 by 2.1 and 2.2 respectively we obtain that the following assertion analogous to 1.3 holds:

2.3. Lemma. Let B be $(\aleph_0, 2)$ -distributive. Then Conv B possesses the greatest element.

The above lemma and Theorem 3.6 of [8] yield that (B_1) is valid.

The equation whether the $(\aleph_0, 2)$ -distributivity of *B* is necessary for Conv *B* to be complete remains open. The corresponding question for lattice ordered groups remains open as well.

3. SINGULAR STRONG UNIT

Again, let G be an abelian lattice ordered group, $G \neq \{0\}$. We recall the following definitions (cf. [1]):

An element $0 < x \in G$ is called *singular* if, whenever $y \in G$, 0 < y < x, then $(x - y) \land y = 0$.

Let $0 < e \in G$. The element e is said to be a *weak unit* in G, if whenever $0 < y \in G$, then $e \land y > 0$. Next, e is called a *strong unit in* G if for each $y \in G$ there is $n \in N$ such that y < ne. Every strong unit in G is a weak unit in G.

It is easy to verify that an element $0 < x \in G$ is singular if and only if the interval [0, x] of G is a Boolean algebra.

A subset α_1 of $(G^N)^+$ will be called *regular* if there exists $\alpha \in \text{Conv } G$ such that $\alpha_1 \subseteq \alpha$. Analogously we define the regularity of a subset of B^N , where B is a Boolean algebra.

3.1. Lemma. Let $0 < e \in G$ such that (i) e is a weak unit in G, and (ii) e is singular. Denote B = [0, e] and let $\alpha_1 \subseteq B^N$. Then the following conditions are equivalent: (a) α_1 is regular with respect to G.

 $(a) \quad a_1 \text{ is regular with respect to 0}.$

(b) α_1 is regular with respect to B.

Proof The equivalence (a) \Leftrightarrow (b) follows from 1.2 and 2.2.

Let $\operatorname{Conv}_b G$ be the set of all $\alpha \in \operatorname{Conv} G$ having the property that whenever $(x_n) \in \alpha$, then (x_n) is bounded in G. The set $\operatorname{Conv}_b G$ is partially ordered by inclusion.

3.2. Proposition. (Cf. [7], Theorem 4.8.) The following conditions are equivalent: (i) Conv G has a greatest element.

(ii) $\operatorname{Conv}_{b} G$ has a greatest element.

Let *e* and *B* be as in 3.1 and let $\alpha_1 \in \text{Conv}_0 B$. We denote by $T(\alpha_1)$ the least element of Conv *G* which is larger or equal to α_1 ; such an element does exist in view of 3.1. Then we have

3.3. Lemma. Let $(x_0) \in (G^N)^+$. Under the above assumptions and denotations, the following conditions are equivalent:

(i) $(x_n) \in T(\alpha_1)$.

(ii) There are $m \in N$ and $(z_n) \in \alpha_1$ such that $x_n \leq mz_n$ for each $n \in N$.

Proof. The implication (ii) \Rightarrow (i) is obvious. Let (i) ve valid. By similar reasoning as in the proof of Lemma 2.5 in [7] we obtain that there are $m_1 \in N$ and $(y_n^1), (y_n^2), \ldots, (y_n^k) \in \alpha_1$ such that

$$x_n \leq m_1(y_n^1 + y_n^2 + \ldots + y_n^k)$$
 for each $n \in N$.

Thus in view of Lemma 2.4 in [7] there is $m \in N$ such that

$$x_n \leq m(y_n^1 \lor y_n^2 \lor \ldots \lor y_n^k)$$
 for each $n \in N$.

Since $(y_n^1 \vee y_n^2 \vee \ldots \vee y_n^k) \in \alpha_1$, it suffices to put $z_n = y_n^1 \vee y_n^2 \vee \ldots \vee y_n^k$. Throughout this section, the above denotations will be applied.

3.4. Corollary. Let $\alpha_1 \in \operatorname{Conv}_0 B$. Then $T(\alpha_1) \in \operatorname{Conv}_b G$.

Proof. Let $(x_n) \in T(\alpha_1)$ and let *m* be as in 3.3 (ii). Then $x_n \leq me$ for each $n \in N$, hence (x_n) is bounded in *G*.

3.5. Lemma. Let $x, y \in [0, e]$, $m \in N$, $x \leq my$. Then $x \leq y$.

Proof. By way of contradiction, assume that $x \leq y$. Then (since [0, e] is a Boolean algebra) there is $x_1 \in [0, e]$ such that $0 < x_1 \leq x$ and $x_1 \wedge y = 0$. Hence $x_1 \wedge x_1 \wedge my = 0$, which is a contradiction.

3.6. Lemma. Let $\alpha_1, \beta_1 \in \text{Conv}_0 B$. Then we have

 $\alpha_1 \leq \beta_1 \Leftrightarrow T(\alpha_1) \leq T(\beta_1) \,.$

Proof. The implication $\alpha_1 \leq \beta_1 \Rightarrow T(\alpha_1) \leq T(\beta_1)$ is obvious. Hence it suffices to verify that if $\alpha_1 \leq \beta_1$, then $T(\alpha_1) \leq T(\beta_1)$.

Assume that $\alpha_1 \leq \beta_1$. Hence there exists $(t_n) \in \alpha_1 \setminus \beta_1$. Clearly $(t_n) \in T(\alpha_1)$. We shall show that (t_n) does not belong to $T(\beta_1)$. By way of contradiction, suppose that $(t_n) \in T(\beta_1)$. Thus in view of 3.3 there are $m \in N$ and $(z_n) \in \beta_1$ such that

 $t_n \leq m z_n$ for each $n \in N$.

By applying 3.5 we obtain that

 $t_n \leq z_n$ for each $n \in N$.

Hence $(t_n) \in \beta_1$, which is a contradiction.

From 3.4 and 3.5 we obtain:

3.7. Theorem. Let $0 < e \in G$ be a singular weak unit in F. Then the mapping $\alpha_1 \to T(\alpha_1)$ (where α_1 runs over $\operatorname{Conv}_0[0, e]$) is an isomorphism of the partially ordered set $\operatorname{Conv}_b G$.

3.8. Lemma. Let $0 < e \in G$ be a singular strong unit in G. Let $\alpha \in \operatorname{Conv}_b G$. Put $\alpha_1 = \alpha \cap B^N$, where B = [0, e]. Then $\alpha_1 \in \operatorname{Conv}_0 B$ and $T(\alpha_1) = \alpha$.

Proof. The verification of the relation $\alpha_1 \in \operatorname{Conv}_0 B$ is easy. Since $\alpha_1 \subseteq \alpha$, we have $T(\alpha_1) \subseteq T(\alpha) = \alpha$. Let $(x_n) \in \alpha$. Because of $\alpha \in \operatorname{Conv}_b(G)$, there is $0 < g \in G$

457

such that $x_n \leq g$ for each $n \in N$. Next, e is a strong unit in G and thus there is $m \in N$ such that $g \leq me$. Therefore

 $x_n \leq me$ for each $n \in N$.

Let *n* be fixed. There are $x_{n1}, x_{n2}, \ldots, x_{nm}$ in *G* such that

(1) $0 \leq x_{nj} \leq e$ for j = 1, 2, ..., m,

(2) $x_n = x_{n1} + x_{n2} + \ldots + x_{nm}$.

Thus according to Lemma 2.4, [7] there is $m_1 \in N$ such that

(3) $x_n \leq m_1(x_n \vee x_{n2} \vee \ldots \vee x_{nm}).$

In view of (1) and (2) we have $(x_{n1}), (x_{n2}), \ldots, (x_{nk}) \in \alpha_1$, whence $(z_n) \in \alpha_1$, where $z_n = x_{n1} \lor x_{n2} \lor \ldots \lor x_{nm}$ for each $n \in N$. Thus (3) yields that (x_n) belongs to $T(\alpha_1)$, completing the proof.

The following theorem is a consequence of 3.7 and 3.8.

Theorem 3.9. Let $0 < e \in G$ be a singular strong unit in G. Then the mapping $\alpha_1 \to T(\alpha_1)$ (where α_1 runs over $\operatorname{Conv}_0[0, e]$) is an isomorphism of the partially ordered set $\operatorname{Conv}_0[0, e]$ onto the partially ordered set $\operatorname{Conv}_0 G$.

Next, 3.9 and 3.2 yield:

Corollary 3.10. Let $0 < e \in G$ be a singular strong unit in G. Then $Conv_0[0, e]$ is a complete lattice iff Conv G is a complete lattice.

References

- [1] P. Conrad: Lattice ordered groups, Tulane University 1970.
- [2] M. Harminc: Sequential convergences on abelian lattice-ordered groups. Convergence structures 1984. Mathematical Research, Band 24, Akdemie Verlag, Berlin; 1985, 153-158.
- [3] M. Harminc: The cardinality of the system of all sequential convergences on an abelian lattice ordered group. Czech. Math. J. 37, 1987, 533-546.
- [4] M. Harminc: Sequential convergences on lattice ordered groups. Czech. Math. J. 39, 1989, 232-238.
- [5] J. Jakubik: Radical mappings and radical classes of lattice ordered groups. Symposia Mathematica, Vol. 21, Academic Press, London and New York, 1977, 451-477.
- [6] J. Jakubik: Convergences and complete distributivity of lattice ordered groups. Math. Slovaca 38, 1988, 269-272.
- [7] J. Jakubik: Lattice ordered groups having a largest convergence. Czech. Math. J. 39, 1989, 717-729.
- [8] J. Jakubik: Sequential convergences in Boolean algebras. Czech. Math. J. 38, 1988, 520-530.

Author's address: 040 01 Košice, Grešákova 6, Czechoslovakia (Matematický ústav SAV, dislokované pracovisko v Košiciach).