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# SOME RANK TESTS OF INDEPENDENCE AND THE QUESTION OF THEIR POWER-FUNCTION 

Milan Krišǐák

(Received July 10, 1970)

The paper deals with the problem of testing independence of a pair of random variables $X, Y$ by locally most powerful rank tests. Theorem 1 gives a solution to this problem. A similar theorem is proved in [2] (II.4.11) under the assumptions that $f^{\prime}$ and $g^{\prime}$ are continuous almost everywhere, whereas we suppose only integrability of the derivatives $f^{\prime}$ and $g^{\prime}$. Theorem 2 gives the derivative of the powerfunction of the $S$-test at the point $\Delta=0$.

Two locally most powerful rank tests of independence for double-exponentially and normally distributed random variables $W$ and $W^{*}$, which are based on general results of the first section and [2], are introduced. The power-functions of the $U$-test in a neighborhood of the point $\Delta=0$ for both cases are given numerically.

## 1. LOCALLY MOST POWERFUL RANK TEST OF INDEPENDENCE

Let $\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(X_{N}, Y_{N}\right)$ denote a random sample from a bivariate population. We shall test a composite hypothesis

$$
H_{0}: \quad P\left(X_{i} \leqq x_{i}, Y_{i} \leqq y_{i}, i=1, \ldots, N\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{N} F^{*}\left(x_{i}\right) G^{*}\left(y_{i}\right)
$$

where $F^{*}, G^{*}$ are arbitrary continuous distribution functions of the random variables $X_{i}, Y_{i}, i=1, \ldots, N$. This hypothesis will be tested against a simple alternative $H_{\Delta}$ : The density of the simultaneous distribution of the $2 N$-dimensional random variable $(X, Y)=\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}, \ldots, X_{N}, Y_{N}\right)$ equals

$$
p_{\Delta}(x, y)=\prod_{i=1}^{N} h_{\Delta}\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{\Delta}\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f\left(x_{i}-\Delta z_{i}\right) g\left(y_{i}-\Delta z_{i}\right) \mathrm{d} M\left(z_{i}\right), \quad i=1, \ldots, N, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\Delta>0$ denotes a real parameter and $M(z)$ is an arbitrary distribution function of the random variables $Z_{i}, i=1, \ldots, N$, with a positive and finite variance $\sigma^{2}$, i.e.

$$
0<\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} z^{2} \mathrm{~d} M(z)-\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} z \mathrm{~d} M(z)\right)^{2}=\sigma^{2}<\infty
$$

We shall assume that both $f$ and $g$ are on finite intervals absolutely continuous densities of known types of the random variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{i}=X_{i}-\Delta Z_{i} \quad \text { and } \quad W_{i}^{*}=Y_{i}-\Delta Z_{i} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. that for arbitrary $-\infty<a<b<\infty$ there exist functions $f^{\prime}$ and $g^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f^{\prime}(t) \mathrm{d} t=f(b)-f(a) \quad \text { and } \quad \int_{a}^{b} g^{\prime}(t) \mathrm{d} t=g(b)-g(a)
$$

and let furthermore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right| \mathrm{d} t<\infty \quad \text { and } \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|g^{\prime}(t)\right| \mathrm{d} t<\infty \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1. Under the alternative we suppose that

$$
X_{i}=W_{i}+\Delta Z_{i} \quad \text { and } \quad Y_{i}=W_{i}^{*}+\Delta Z_{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, N
$$

where $W_{i}, W_{i}^{*}$ and $Z_{i}$ are mutually independent random variables. Thus we have

$$
\operatorname{cov}\left(X_{i}, Y_{i}\right)=\Delta^{2} \operatorname{var}\left(Z_{i}\right)
$$

hence we shall test the null hypothesis $\Delta=0$ against the alternative hypothesis $\Delta>0$.
Let $R=\left(R_{1}, \ldots, R_{N}\right)$ be the random vector of ranks of the random variables $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{N}$ in their ordered sequence $X^{(1)}<\ldots<X^{(N)}$, i.e.

$$
X_{i}=X^{\left(R_{i}\right)}, \quad i=1, \ldots, N
$$

and let $D=\left(D_{1}, \ldots, D_{N}\right)$ denote the inverse permutation to $\left(R_{1}, \ldots, R_{N}\right)$. Thus $D$ is the vector of antiranks of the random variables $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{N}$, i.e.

$$
X^{(i)}=X_{D_{i}}, \quad i=1, \ldots, N
$$

Similarly let $Q=\left(Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{N}\right)$ be the vector of ranks of the random variables $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{N}$ in their ordered sequence $Y^{(1)}<\ldots<Y^{(N)}$, i.e.

$$
Y_{i}=Y^{\left(Q_{i}\right)}, \quad i=1, \ldots, N
$$

Now denote $F^{-1}$ and $G^{-1}$ the inverse functions of the distribution functions of the random variables $W$ and $W^{*}$ respectively, and similarly as in [2] (I.2.4) define for
$\lambda \in(0,1)$ the functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(\lambda)=-\frac{f^{\prime}\left(F^{-1}(\lambda)\right)}{f\left(F^{-1}(\lambda)\right)} \quad \text { and } \quad \psi(\lambda)=-\frac{g^{\prime}\left(G^{-1}(\lambda)\right)}{g\left(G^{-1}(\lambda)\right)} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Introduce the following scores

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i}=E \varphi\left(C^{(i)}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad b_{i}=E \psi\left(C^{(i)}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C^{(1)}<\ldots<C^{(N)}$ is an ordered sample from the uniform distribution on $(0,1)$.
Definition 1. Let $\left\{p_{\Delta}\right\}, \Delta \geqq 0$ is a set of densities, and suppose that $p_{0} \in H_{0}$. Then a rank test will be called a locally most powerful rank test for $H_{0}$ against $\Delta>0$ at some level $\alpha$, iff it is uniformly most powerful among all rank tests at the level $\alpha$ for $H_{0}$ against $p_{\Delta}, \Delta \in(0, \delta)$ for some $\delta>0$.

Considering this definition we shall construct for some right-hand neighborhood of the point $\Delta=0$ a uniformly most powerful rank test of the hypothesis $H_{0}$ against $H_{\Delta}$. We shall consider the least favourable particular null hypothesis, which is nearest to the alternative hypothesis $H_{\Delta}$ that the distribution of the random variable $(X, Y)$ is determined by the density $f_{\Delta}(x) g_{\Delta}(y)$, where

$$
f_{\Delta}(x)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x-\Delta z) \mathrm{d} M(z) \text { and } g_{\Delta}(y)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(y-\Delta z) \mathrm{d} M(z)
$$

Now we can formulate the following main theorem.

Theorem 1. The locally most powerful rank test for $H_{0}$ against $H_{4}$ at the level $\alpha_{k}$ is, under the above assumptions, the test with the critical region

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=S(R, Q)=\sum_{i=1}^{N} a_{R_{i}} b_{Q_{i}} \geqq k \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{k}$ equals the probability of the event (6) under $H_{0}$.
In the proof of this theorem we can use the same procedure as in the proof of theorem II.4.11 from [2], only instead of the assumption that $f^{\prime}$ and $g^{\prime}$ are continuous almost everywhere, which is used for proving (10), p. 77 in [2], we directly use the property of their integrability. First, we introduce the following definition.

Definition 2. A point $x$ will be called Lebesgue's point of the function $f$ iff $f(x) \neq$ $\neq \pm \infty$ and

$$
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \int_{x}^{x+h}|f(t)-f(x)| \mathrm{d} t=0
$$

For $z \neq z^{\prime}$ we hawe

$$
\frac{1}{\Delta\left(z-z^{\prime}\right)}\left[f(x-\Delta z)-f\left(x-\Delta z^{\prime}\right)\right]=\frac{1}{\Delta\left(z-z^{\prime}\right)} \int_{x-\Delta z^{\prime}}^{x-\Delta z} f^{\prime}(t) \mathrm{d} t
$$

furthermore for each Lebesgue's point $x$ of the function $f^{\prime}$ is

$$
\lim _{\substack{\delta_{1} \rightarrow 0, \delta_{2} \rightarrow 0 \\ \delta_{1} \neq \delta_{2}}} \frac{1}{\delta_{1}-\delta_{2}} \int_{x-\delta_{2}}^{x-\delta_{1}} f^{\prime}(t) \mathrm{d} t=f^{\prime}(x),
$$

and similarly for $g^{\prime}$. Thus, in each Lebesgue's point of the funktion $f^{\prime}$, or $g^{\prime}$, formula (10) in [2] holds.

Since the theorem 5, IX, $\S 4$ in [3] holds clearly also for the whole real line, in view of (3) almost every point of the interval $(-\infty, \infty)$ is Lebesgue's point of the functions $f^{\prime}$ and $g^{\prime}$, consequently (10) in [2] holds almost everywhere.

The remainder of the proof is the same as the proof of theorem II. 4.11 in [2].
Note that for arbitrary fixed ranks $R_{i}=r_{i}, Q_{i}=q_{i}, i=1, \ldots, N$, according to the last relation in the proof of the quoted theorem from [2] we have, under the alternative $H_{\Delta}$,

$$
P\left(R=r, Q=q / H_{\Delta}\right)=\left[1+\Delta^{2} \sigma^{2} S(r, q)+o\left(\Delta^{2}\right)\right](N!)^{-2}
$$

where $\lim _{\Delta \rightarrow 0} o\left(\Delta^{2}\right)=0$.
We can consider the critical region of the $S$-test, say $\mathscr{D}$, which is given by (6), as a subset of the pairs of permutations $(r, q)$. Consequently, for the power-function of the $S$-test in a sufficiently small right-hand neighborhood of the point $\Delta=0$ it holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left((R, Q) \in \mathscr{D} / H_{\Delta}\right)=\sum_{(r, q) \in \mathscr{O}}\left[1+\Delta^{2} \sigma^{2} S(r, q)+o\left(\Delta^{2}\right)\right](N!)^{-2} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (7) we immediately obtain the following theorem.
Theorem 2. The derivative of the power-function of the $S$-test at the point $\Delta=0$ equals

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \Delta^{2}} P\left((R, Q) \in \mathscr{D} \mid H_{\Delta}\right)=(N!)^{-2} \sigma^{2} \sum_{(r, q) \in \mathscr{G}} S(r, q) . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2. If the subset $\mathscr{D}$ is defined by the rank statistic

$$
S(t)=\sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{j} b_{t_{j}}
$$

where $t_{j}=q_{d j}$, then we can consider $\mathscr{D}$ as a subset of the permutations $t=\left(t_{1}, \ldots\right.$ $\left.\ldots, t_{N}\right)$. The derivative of the power-function of this test is by (8) equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \Delta^{2}} P\left(T \in \mathscr{D} / H_{4}\right)=(N!)^{-1} \sigma^{2} \sum_{t \in \mathscr{P}} S(t) . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall use these results in subsequent sections.

## 2. TWO RANK TESTS OF INDEPENDENCE FOR DOUBLE-EXPONENTIAL AND NORMAL DISTRIBUTIONS

We first suppose that the random variables $W$ and $W^{*}$ have the double-exponential density, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=g(x)=\frac{1}{2} e^{-|x|} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easily seen that all assumptions from the first section are satisfied, and the functions (4) are equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(\lambda)=\psi(\lambda)=\operatorname{sgn}\left(\lambda-\frac{1}{2}\right) . \tag{4a}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we now introduce the scores

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i}=b_{i}=E \operatorname{sgn}\left(C^{(i)}-\frac{1}{2}\right) \tag{5a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C^{(i)}$ have the same meaning as in (5), then, by theorem 1 , the locally most powerful rank test of $H_{0}$ against $H_{\Delta}$ at the respective level can be based on the statistic

$$
S_{1}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} E\left[\operatorname{sgn}\left(C^{\left(R_{i}\right)}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\right] E\left[\operatorname{sgn}\left(C^{\left(Q_{i}\right)}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\right] .
$$

If we introduce the function

$$
u(x)=\frac{1}{2}(\operatorname{sgn} x+1)
$$

then for the scores (5a) holds
(5aa)

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{i}=b_{i}=E\left[2 u\left(C^{(i)}-\frac{1}{2}\right)-1\right] & =2 \sum_{j=0}^{i-1}\binom{N}{j}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{N}-1=1-2 \sum_{j=1}^{N}\binom{N}{j}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{N}, \\
i & =1, \ldots, N .
\end{aligned}
$$

We are able to calculate the scores (5aa) with the aid of the tables [4]. These scores are given in table 1 for the sample size $N=6$.

According to II.4.3 and III.6.1 in [2] we can say that an approximate locally most powerful rank test of $H_{0}$ against $H_{4}$ can be based on the statistic

$$
S_{1}^{*}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \operatorname{sgn}\left(R_{i}-\frac{1}{2}(N+1)\right) \operatorname{sgn}\left(Q_{i}-\frac{1}{2}(N+1)\right) .
$$

If we now introduce the statistic

$$
U=\sum_{i=1}^{N} u\left[\left(R_{i}-\frac{1}{2}(N+1)\right)\left(Q_{i}-\frac{1}{2}(N+1)\right)\right],
$$

then according to the definition of the function $u$ we can write

$$
S_{1}^{*}=2 U-N .
$$

Consequently, the statistic $U$ represents the same test as the statistic $S_{1}^{*}$.
Further, if the random variables $W$ and $W^{*}$ have the standardized normal densities $f$ and $g$, then also all assumptions from the first section are satisfied. The functions (4) are then equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(\lambda)=\psi(\lambda)=\Phi^{-1}(\lambda) \tag{4b}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Phi^{-1}$ denotes the inverse function of the standardized normal distribution function. The locally most powerful rank test of $H_{0}$ against $H_{4}$ can be based on the statistic

$$
S_{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} a_{R_{i}} b_{Q_{i}}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i}=b_{i}=E\left(V^{(i)}\right)=E\left[\Phi^{-1}\left(C^{(i)}\right)\right], \tag{5b}
\end{equation*}
$$

$V^{(i)}$ and $C^{(i)}, i=1, \ldots, N$, being the ordered samples from the standardized normal and from the uniform on $(0,1)$ distributions, respectively. These values $(5 b)$ are also shown in table 1 for $N=6$. The test $S_{2}$ is introduced in [2] as the Fisher-Yates (normal scores) test. According to (2), III.6.1. in [2], for the correlation coefficient $\underline{Q}$ of the random variables $X, Y$ holds

$$
\varrho=\frac{\Delta^{2}}{1+\Delta^{2}},
$$

hence for $\varrho \rightarrow 0$ and for arbitrary fixed ranks $R=r, Q=q$ the following relation holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \varrho} P\left(R=r, Q=q / H_{\Delta}\right)=\frac{\partial}{\partial \Delta^{2}} P\left(R=r, Q=q / H_{\Delta}\right) . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. THE POWER-FUNCTION OF THE $U$-TEST

Now we shall study the test of $H_{0}$ against $H_{\Delta}$ based on the statistic

$$
U=\sum_{i=1}^{N} u\left[\left(i-\frac{1}{2}(N+1)\right)\left(T_{i}-\frac{1}{2}(N+1)\right)\right]
$$

where $T_{i}=Q_{D_{i}}$.
If we denote the critical region of this test by

$$
\mathscr{D}_{1}=\{T=t ; U=U(t) \geqq 2 k\}
$$

where $k$ is determined by the required level of significance $\alpha$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(U \geqq 2 k / H_{0}\right) \leqq \alpha, \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

then by (9), under the assumption $\sigma^{2}=1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \Delta^{2}} P\left(T \in \mathscr{D}_{1} / H_{\Delta}\right)=(N!)^{-1} \sum_{t \in \mathscr{Q}_{1}} S(t) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(t)=\sum_{i=1}^{N} a_{i} b_{t_{i}} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The statistic $U$ for even sample sizes $N=2 n$ equals the number of pairs $\left(X_{i}, Y_{i}\right)$ in their correlation diagram, which have both coordinates simultaneously either above, or below, of their sample medians. According to (3) in [1], or problem 4, IV, in [2], we can write the left-hand side of (12)

$$
P\left(U \geqq 2 k / H_{0}\right)=\left[\binom{n}{k}^{2}+\binom{n}{k+1}^{2}+\ldots+\binom{n}{n}^{2}\right]\binom{N}{n}^{-1} .
$$

Accordingly we can determine the number $k$ for given $\alpha$ for the size $N=2 n$.
If the random variables $W$ and $W^{*}$ have the double-exponential distribution, then the scores in (14) are determined by (5aa). We can in this case calculate the sums (14), which are denoted by $S_{1}(t)$. We have $\sum_{j=1}^{36} S_{1}\left(t^{j}\right)=102,1412$ for $N=6$, where the vectors of the ranks $t$ for which $U=6$ are denoted by $t^{j}, j=1, \ldots, 36$. We can approximately determine the power-function of the $U$-test (for $\Delta \rightarrow 0$ ) for the level $\alpha=0,05$ and the size $N=6$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P\left(U=6 / H_{\Delta}\right) & \cong P(U=6)+\Delta^{2}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \Delta^{2}} P\left(U=6 / H_{\Delta}\right)\right]_{A^{2}=0}= \\
& =0 \cdot 05+(N!)^{-1} \Delta^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{36} S_{1}\left(t^{j}\right)=P_{I} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The values $P_{I}$ for $\Delta^{2}=0.15 ; 0.10 ; 0.05 ; 0.03$; and 0.01 are shown in table 2 .

If the random variables $W$ and $W^{*}$ have the standardized normal distribution then the derivative of the power-function in a neighborhood of $\varrho=0$ of the $U$-test of the hypothesis $\varrho=0$ against the alternative $\varrho>0$ has, according to (11) and (13), the following form:

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial \varrho} P\left(T \in \mathscr{D}_{1} / \varrho>0\right)=(N!)^{-1} \sum_{t \in \mathscr{\mathscr { O }}_{1}} S_{2}(t)
$$

where $S_{2}(t)$ are given by (14) with the scores (5b). In this case for $N=6$ we have $\sum_{j=1}^{36} S_{2}\left(t^{j}\right)=106,0348$. The approximation of the power-function of the $U$-test in this case is

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(U=6 / \varrho>0) & \cong P(U=6 / \varrho=0)+\varrho\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \varrho} P(U=6 \mid \varrho>0)\right]_{\varrho=0}= \\
& =0.05+(N!)^{-1} \varrho \sum_{j=1}^{36} S_{2}\left(t^{j}\right)=P_{1 I}
\end{aligned}
$$

The values $P_{I I}$ for $\varrho=0.15 ; 0.10 ; 0.05 ; 0.03$; and 0.01 are given in table 3 .

Table 1

| $i$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a_{i}=b_{i}$ <br> $(5 \mathrm{aa})$ | -0.969 | -0.781 | -0.313 | 0.313 | 0.781 | 0.969 |
| $a_{i}=b_{i}$ <br> $(5 \mathrm{~b})$ | -1.27 | -0.64 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.64 | 1.27 |

Table 2

| $\Delta^{2}$ | $P_{\mathrm{I}}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.15 | 0.0713 |
| 0.10 | 0.0642 |
| 0.05 | 0.0571 |
| 0.03 | 0.0542 |
| 0.01 | 0.0514 |

Table 3

| $\varrho$ | $P_{11}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.15 | 0.0721 |
| 0.10 | 0.0647 |
| 0.05 | 0.0574 |
| 0.03 | 0.0544 |
| 0.01 | 0.0515 |

We see that the values $P_{I}$ and $P_{I I}$ differ relatively little although the $U$-test was constructed for the double-exponential distribution.
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Súhrn

## NIEKTORÉ PORADOVÉ TESTY NEZÁVISLOSTI <br> A OTÁZKA ICH SILOFUNKCIE

Milan Krišǐák

V článku sa rieši problém testovania nezávislosti dvojíc náhodných veličín $X=$ $=W+\Delta Z, Y=W^{*}+\Delta Z$ pomocou lokálne najsilnejších poradových testov v okolí bodu $\Delta=0$. Veta 1 je uvedená za trocha slabších predpokladov než je $v$ [2] veta II.4.11 (vynecháva sa predpoklad o spojitosti funkcií $f^{\prime}$ a $g^{\prime}$ skoro všade). Veta 2 dáva tvar derivácie silofunkcie takýchto testov v bode $\Delta=0$. Pre dvojne-exponenciálne a normálne rozdelenie náhodných veličín $W$ a $W^{*}$ sú uvedené takéto testy. Mediánový $U$-test je pre dvojne-exponenciálne rozdelenie pri párnych rozsahoch $N=2 n$ podobný s modifikovaným $U$-testom, ktorým sa zaoberá R. Elandtová v [1], ale pre nepárne rozsahy sú to rôzne testy. Numericky sú vypočítané hodnoty siolofunkcií oboch našich testov v okolí bodov $\Delta=\varrho=0$.
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