Ta Van Dinh Some fast finite-difference solvers for Dirichlet problems on general domains

Aplikace matematiky, Vol. 27 (1982), No. 4, 237-242

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/103968

# Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1982

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

## SOME FAST FINITE-DIFFERENCE SOLVERS FOR DIRICHLET PROBLEMS ON GENERAL DOMAINS

#### TA VAN DINH

(Received April 20, 1979)

Our aim is to prove the existence of asymptotic error expansion to some simple finite-difference schemes for Dirichlet problems on general domains which, by Richardson extrapolation, lead to fast finite-difference solvers for the problems mentioned.

## 1. THE DIFFERENTIAL PROBLEM

In order to simplify the notation we shall consider only the two-dimensional geometry. The result can be generalized to the *n*-dimensional case. Let *D* be a bounded domain in the (x, y)-plane with a boundary *G*. Let us consider the boundary value problem

$$Lu = f(x, y), (x, y) \in D, u = g(x, y), (x, y) \in G,$$

where

$$Lu = \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \left( p(x, y) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( q(x, y) \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) - c(x, y) u ,$$
$$p \ge p_0 > 0 , \quad q \ge q_0 > 0 , \quad c \ge 0 ,$$

p, q, c, f, g being given smooth enough functions,  $p_0, q_0$  given positive numbers. Assume that this problem has a unique smooth enough solution u(x, y).

## 2. THE GRID

Let  $\{h\}$  and  $\{k\}$  be two sequences of positive numbers tending simultaneously to zero and

$$0 < \text{const} < h/k < \text{const.}$$

237

For some  $x_0$ ,  $y_0$  the points

$$(x_i, y_j), x_i = x_0 + ih, y_j = y_0 + jk, i, j = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots,$$

form a grid over the (x, y)-plane. Now we describe the grid over D. The points  $(x_i, y_j)$  which belong to the interior of D are called interior grid points and denoted by  $D_h$ . The intersections of the boundary G with each grid line  $x = x_i$  or  $y = y_j$  are called boundary grid points and denoted by  $G_h$ . Each interior grid point  $P(x_P, y_P)$  has four neighbour grid points, which are the closest to it on the grid lines  $x = x_p$  and  $y = y_p$ . They are  $(x_P + h_P^+, y_P)$ ,  $(x_P - h_P^-, y_P)$ ,  $(x_P, y_P + k_P^+)$ ,  $(x_P, y_P - k_P^-)$ . So we always have  $h_P^+ \leq h$ ,  $h_P^- \leq h$ ,  $k_P^+ \leq k$ ,  $k_P^- \leq k$ . An interior grid point P is called strictly interior if  $h_P^+ = h_P^- = h$  and  $k_P^+ = k_P^- = k$ . It is called a near-boundary one if at least one of the four following inequalities  $h_P^+ < h$ ,  $h_P^- < h$ ,  $k_P^+ < k$ ,  $k_P^- < k$  holds. We denote the set of strictly interior grid points by  $D_h^0$  and the set of near-boundary ones by  $D_h^*$ . Then  $D_h^0 \cup D_h^* = D_h$ . We shall call the set  $D_h \cup G_h$  a grid with grid spacings h and k over D. This grid is in general not uniform near the boundary.

#### 3. THE DISCRETE PROBLEM

We consider the following discrete problem with respect to the unknown  $v(x_P, y_P)$  defined on  $D_h \bigcup G_h$ :

$$\begin{split} L_h v &= \left[ 2/(h_P^+ + h_P^-) \right] \left[ p(x_P + h_P^+/2, y_P) \left( v(x_P + h_P^+, y_P) - v(x_P, y_P) \right) / h_P^+ - \\ &- p(x_P - h_P^-/2, y_P) \left( v(x_P, y_P) - v(x_P - h_P^-, y_P) \right) / h_P^- \right] + \\ &+ \left[ 2/(k_P^+ + k_P^-) \right] \left[ q(x_P, y_P + k_P^+/2) \left( v(x_P, y_P + k_P^+) - v(x_P, y_P) \right) / k_P^+ - \\ &- q(x_P, y_P - k_P^-/2) \left( v(x_P, y_P) - v(x_P, y_P - k_P^-) \right) / k_P^- \right] - \\ &- c(x_P, y_P) \left( v(x_P, y_P) - f(x_P, y_P) \right), \quad (x_P, y_P) \in \mathsf{D}_h \,, \\ &v(x_P, y_P) = g(x_P, y_P) \,, \quad (x_P, y_P) \in \mathsf{G}_h \,. \end{split}$$

It is clear that the operator  $L_h$  satisfies the maximum principle.

#### 4. THE MAIN RESULT

**Theorem 1.** Assume that  $u(x, y) \in C^{5}(\overline{D})$ , p(x, y),  $q(x, y) \in C^{4}(\overline{D})$  and that the problem

$$Lw = F(x, y) \in C^{m}(\overline{D}), \quad (x, y) \in D,$$
$$w = 0 \qquad (x, y) \in G,$$

238

has a unique solution  $w \in C^{m+2}(\overline{D})$ . Then for h and k small enough there exist two functions  $w_1(x, y)$  and  $w_2(x, y)$  independent of h and k such that

(1) 
$$v(x_P, y_P) - u(x_P, y_P) = h^2 w_1(x_P, y_P) + k^2 w_2(x_P, y_P) + O(h^3 + k^3).$$

Proof. First, Taylor's formula yields

$$\mathcal{L}_{h}u(x_{P}, y_{P}) = \mathcal{L}u(x_{P}, y_{P}) + h^{2} a(x_{P}, y_{P}) + k^{2} b(x_{P}, y_{P}) + O(h^{3} + k^{3}), \quad P \in \mathsf{D}_{h}^{0},$$
$$\mathcal{L}_{h}u(x_{P}, y_{P}) = \mathcal{L}u(x_{P}, y_{P}) + O(h + k), \quad P \in \mathsf{D}_{h}^{*},$$

where

$$a(x, y) = (1/24) \frac{\partial^3}{\partial x^3} \left( p(x, y) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( p(x, y) \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x^3} \right),$$
  
$$b(x, y) = (1/24) \frac{\partial^3}{\partial y^3} \left( q(x, y) \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( q(x, y) \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial y^3} \right).$$

Then for any  $w_1(x, y)$  and  $w_2(x, y) \in C^3(\overline{D})$  we put

$$z = v - u - h^2 w_1 - k^2 w_2 \,,$$

and we have

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{h}z &= h^{2} \big[ -\mathcal{L}w_{1} - a(x_{P}, y_{P}) \big] + k^{2} \big[ -\mathcal{L}w_{2} - b(x_{P}, y_{P}) \big] + O(h^{3} + k^{3}), \quad P \in \mathsf{D}_{h}^{0}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{h}z &= O(h + k), \quad P \in \mathsf{D}_{h}^{*}. \end{split}$$

We choose  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  so that

$$\begin{split} & \mathcal{L}w_1 = -a(x, y), \quad (x, y) \in \mathsf{D} \; ; \quad w_1 = 0 \; , \quad (x, y) \in \mathsf{G} \; , \\ & \mathcal{L}w_2 = -b(x, y), \quad (x, y) \in \mathsf{D} \; ; \quad w_2 = 0 \; , \quad (x, y) \in \mathsf{G} \; , \end{split}$$

which exist by assumption. Thus we have

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{L}_{h}z &= O\big(h^{3} + k^{3}\big), \quad P \in \mathsf{D}_{h}^{0}, \\ \mathsf{L}_{h}z &= O\big(h + k\big), \qquad P \in \mathsf{D}_{h}^{*}, \\ z &= 0, \qquad \qquad P \in \mathsf{G}_{h}. \end{split}$$

Hence our theorem immediately follows from the following lemma.

Lemma. If z satisfies

$$L_h z = \varphi , \quad P \in \mathsf{D}_h \; ; \quad z = 0 \; , \quad P \in \mathsf{G}_h \; ;$$

then for h and k small enough we have

$$\max_{D_h} |z| \leq M\{\max_{D_h^0} |\varphi| + \max_{D_h^*} |\varphi| \cdot (h^2 + k^2)\},$$

where M denotes a constant independent of h and k.

Proof of the lemma. We set  $z = z_1 + z_2$ , where

$$\begin{split} L_{h}z_{1} &= \varphi , \quad P \in \mathsf{D}_{h}^{0} , \\ L_{h}z_{1} &= 0 , \quad P \in \mathsf{D}_{h}^{*} , \\ z_{1} &= 0 , \quad P \in \mathsf{D}_{h}^{*} , \\ L_{h}z_{2} &= 0 , \quad P \in \mathsf{D}_{h}^{0} , \\ L_{h}z_{2} &= \varphi , \quad P \in \mathsf{D}_{h}^{*} , \\ z_{2} &= 0 , \quad P \in \mathsf{G}_{h} . \end{split}$$

To evaluate  $z_1$  let B(x, y) be the unique smooth enough solution of the differential problem

$$LB = -2$$
,  $(x, y) \in D$ ,  $B = 0$ ,  $(x, y) \in G$ ,

which exists by assumption. We have

$$0 \leq B(x, y) \leq M_1,$$

where  $M_1$  denotes a constant. At the same time

$$\begin{split} L_h B &= LB + O(h^2 + k^2), \quad P \in \mathsf{D}_h^0, \\ L_h B &= LB + O(h + k), \quad P \in \mathsf{D}_h^*. \end{split}$$

Thus for h and k small enough we have

$$L_h B \leq -1$$
.

Now let us consider the problem

$$LA(x, y) = -2K$$
,  $(x, y) \in D$ ,  $A(x, y) = 0$ ,  $(x, y) \in G$ ,

where

$$K = \max_{D_h^0} |\varphi| .$$

Thus we have on the one hand

ς.

$$A = KB$$
,  $0 \leq \max_{D} A = K \max_{D} B \leq M_1 \max_{D_h^0} |\varphi|$ 

and on the other hand, for h and k small enough,

$$L_h A = K L_h B \leq -K.$$

Then

$$\begin{split} L_h(A \pm z_1) &\leq 0, \quad P \in \mathsf{D}_h, \\ A \pm z_1 &= 0, \quad P \in \mathsf{G}_h. \end{split}$$

240

We deduce  $A \pm z_1 \ge 0$ , that is  $|z_1| \le A$ . Hence

(2) 
$$\max_{D_h} |z_1| \leq M_1 \max_{D_h^0} |\varphi|.$$

The evaluate  $z_2$  we first consider the problem

$$\begin{array}{ll} L_h Z = 0 \;, & P \in \mathsf{D}_h^0 \;, \\ L_h Z = \; - \left| \varphi \right| \;, & P \in \mathsf{D}_h \;, \\ Z = 0 \;, & P \in \mathsf{G}_h \;. \end{array}$$

Then by the maximum principle

$$Z \ge 0$$
,  $|z_2| \le Z$ .

Now we have to evaluate Z. It is clear that Z attains its maximum value on  $D_h$ , but cannot attain it on  $D_h^0$  (because here the right hand member is zero). Let  $Q \in D_h^*$  be the grid point at which Z attains its maximum value. Then the difference equation  $L_h Z = -|\varphi|$  written at Q leads to an equality where the right hand member is  $|\varphi(Q)| = |\varphi(x_Q, y_Q)|$  and the left hand member is the sum of four nonnegative differences between the value of Z at Q and the values of Z at the four neighbour grid points of Q, and one nonnegative term *cu* at Q. Therefore, at least one neighbour grid point of Q lies on G. Let S be this point. The value of Z at S must be zero. Then if S lies on the grid line  $x = x_Q$  we have

$$\left[2/(h_{Q}^{+}+h_{Q}^{-})\right]\left[p(x_{Q}+\frac{1}{2}h_{Q}^{+},y_{Q})\left(Z(x_{Q},y_{Q})-0\right)/h_{Q}^{+}\right] \leq \left|\varphi(Q)\right|$$

$$\left[2/(h_{Q}^{+}+h_{Q}^{-})\right]\left[p(x_{Q}-\frac{1}{2}h_{Q}^{-},y_{Q})\left(Z(x_{Q},y_{Q})-0\right)/h_{Q}^{-}\right] \leq \left|\varphi(Q)\right|$$

If S lies on the grid line  $y = y_Q$  we have

$$\left[ 2/(k_{\varrho}^{+} + k_{\varrho}^{-}) \right] \left[ q(x_{\varrho}, y_{\varrho} + \frac{1}{2}k_{\varrho}^{+}) \left( Z(x_{\varrho}, y_{\varrho}) - 0 \right) / k_{\varrho}^{+} \right] \leq \left| \varphi(Q) \right|$$

$$\left[ 2/(k_{\varrho}^{+} + k_{\varrho}^{-}) \right] \left[ q(x_{\varrho}, y_{\varrho} - \frac{1}{2}k_{\varrho}^{-}) \left( Z(x_{\varrho}, y_{\varrho}) - 0 \right) / k_{\varrho}^{-} \right] \leq \left| \varphi(Q) \right| .$$

Hence we deduce

or

or

$$\min\left\{p_0, q_0\right\} \cdot Z(x_Q, y_Q) \leq \left|\varphi(Q)\right| \cdot \left(h^2 + k^2\right),$$

that is, we have

(3) 
$$0 \leq Z(x_{P}, y_{P}) \leq Z(x_{Q}, y_{Q}) \leq M_{2}(h^{2} + k^{2}) \max_{D_{h^{*}}} |\varphi|$$

for all  $P \in D_h$ , with  $M_2 = 1/\min \{p_0, q_0\}$ . Then the lemma follows from  $|z| \le |z_1| + |z_2|$  and the inequalities (2), (3) with  $M = \max \{M_1, M_2\}$ .

Note 1. If p = const > 0, q = const > 0 the theorem holds without assuming that h and k are small enough because in the proof of the lemma we can take  $A = K(R^2 - x^2 - y^2)$ , where R denotes the radius of a circle having the centre at 0(0, 0) and containing D.

Note 2. The theorem is still available if the term cu in the differential equation is replaced by c(x, y, u) with  $(\partial c/\partial u) \ge 0$ .

### 5. CONSEQUENCE

Theorems 1 leads to a simple process for accelerating the convergence of the method by Richardson extrapolation. Assume that we want to calculate the approximate value of  $u(x_P, y_P)$  at a grid point P which is common to three grids with grid spacings (h, k), (h/2, k), (h, k/2). We denote the value obtained on the grid with the grid spacing (h, k) by  $v^{h,k}(x_P, y_P) = v^{h,k}$  and  $u(x_P, y_P) = u$ . Then by (1) we have

$$\begin{split} v^{h,k} &- u = h^2 w_1(x_P, y_P) + k^2 w_2(x_P, y_P) + O(h^3 + k^3), \\ v^{h/2,k} &- u = (h/2)^2 w_1(x_P, y_P) + k^2 w_2(x_P, y_P) + O(h^3 + k^3), \\ v^{h,k/2} &- u = h^2 w_1(x_P, y_P) + (k/2)^2 w_2(x_P, y_P) + O(h^3 + k^3). \end{split}$$

By eliminating  $w_1(x_p, y_p)$  and  $w_2(x_p, y_p)$  from these relations we obtain

$$\frac{4}{3}(v^{h/2,k}+v^{h,k/2})-\frac{5}{3}v^{h,k}=u+O(h^3+k^3),$$

which yields a more accurate approximate value of  $u(x_P, y_P)$  than any of  $v^{h,k}$ ,  $v^{h/2,k}$ ,  $v^{h,k/2}$ . Our algorithm is much simpler than that of [1].

#### Reference

 V. Pereyra, W. Proskurowski, O. Widlund: High order fast Laplace solvers for Dirichlet problem on general domains. Math. Comp. 31, 137 (1977), 1-17.

## Souhrn

## RYCHLÉ ŘEŠENÍ DIRICHLETOVA PROBLÉMU NA OBECNÉ OBLASTI METODOU KONEČNÝCH DIFERENCÍ

### TA VAN DINH

Autor dokazuje existenci mnohoparametrického asymptotického rozvoje pro chybu obvyklého pětibodového diferenčního schématu pro Dirichletův problém pro lineární a semilineární eliptickou parciální diferenciální rovnici na obecných oblastech. Tento rozvoj dává s použitím Richardsonovy extrapolace jednoduchý způsob zrychlení konvergence dané metody. Postup je ilustrován na numerickém příkladě.

Author's address: Ta Van Dinh, Polytechnical Institute of Hanoi, Vietnam.