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# INTERNAL FINITE ELEMEN'T APPROXIMATION IN THE DUAL VARIATIONAL METHOD FOR THE BIHARMONIC PROBLEM 

Ivan Hlaváček, Michal Křížek

(Received May 25, 1984)

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The aim of this paper is to present a conforming finite element method for the dual variational formulation of the biharmonic problem with mixed boundary conditions on domains with a piecewise smooth curved boundary. We use $C^{0}$-elements while any conforming primal finite element method for the biharmonic problem requires $C^{1}$-elements, which are more complicated especially for curved boundaries [13, 21].

Note that by the dual method we calculate all second derivatives of the solution of the biharmonic problem, which are often more interesting than the solution itself. For instance we can get bending moments of an elastic plate.

In the next section we introduce a "pure" equilibrium model for the biharmonic problem. We justify the so-called static-geometric analogy $([6,19])$ by proving the existence of a vector potential $\mathbf{v} \in\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{2}$ of an equilibrium bending moment $\mu$, which satisfies the equilibrium condition div $\operatorname{Div} \boldsymbol{\mu}=0$ in the domain $\Omega$ and some conditions on a part of the boundary $\partial \Omega$ (Sections 3 and 4). Then we present (Section 5) a general dual finite element method for the biharmonic problem (employing polynomials of arbitrary order). We prove the convergence of this method in the $L^{2}$-norm without any regularity assumptions on the solution. The paper generalizes the results of [11], where the dual finite element analysis of a clamped plate problem was studied on polygonal domains. Let us note that piecewise linear equilibrium elements have been proposed in $[7,19]$. Bending moments can also be obtained by mixed finite element methods $[1,2,3,4]$.

Let us introduce some notations. Throughout the paper, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ will always be a bounded domain with a Lipschitz boundary $\partial \Omega$ (see [16], p. 17). Let $v=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)^{\top}$ be the outward unit normal to $\partial \Omega$ and let $\tau=\left(-v_{2}, v_{1}\right)^{\top}$. By $P_{j}(\Omega)$ we mean the space of polynomials of the order at most $j$ defined on $\Omega$. Notations $H^{k}(\Omega)(k \geqq 0$, integer $)$ are used for the Sobolev spaces of functions, the generalized derivatives of which up to the order $k$ exist and are square integrable in $\Omega$. The usual norm and seminorm
in $H^{k}(\Omega)$ and also in $\left(H^{k}(\Omega)\right)^{p}\left(p \geqq 1\right.$, integer) are denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{k, \Omega}$ and $|\cdot|_{k, \Omega}$, respectively. The scalar product in $\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{p}$ is denoted by $(\cdot, \cdot)_{0 . \Omega}$. The space of symmetric tensors

$$
\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)_{\mathrm{sym}}^{4}=\left\{\tau \in\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{+} \mid \tau=\tau^{\top}\right\}
$$

will be equipped with the scalar product

$$
(\tau, \mu)_{0 . \Omega}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{2}\left(\tau_{i j}, \mu_{i j}\right)_{0 . \Omega} \text { for } \tau, \mu \in\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)_{\mathrm{sy} \mathrm{~m}}^{4} .
$$

For simplicity, the subscript ${ }_{\Omega}$ will sometimes be omitted. Let us introduce the operator $\varepsilon:\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{2} \rightarrow\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)_{\text {sym }}^{4}$ defined by

$$
\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{v})=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
v_{1,1}, & \frac{1}{2}\left(v_{1.2}+v_{2,1}\right) \\
\text { sym. }, & v_{2.2}
\end{array}\right), \quad \mathbf{v}=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)^{\top} \in\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{2},
$$

where $v_{i, k}=\partial v_{i} / \partial x_{k}$.
The space of infinitely differentiable functions with a compact support in $\Omega$ will be denoted by $\mathscr{X}(\Omega)$.

Further, let $\boldsymbol{g} \in\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{2}$ be arbitrary. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\tau, \varepsilon(\mathbf{v}))_{0}=(\mathbf{g}, \boldsymbol{v})_{0} \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in(\mathscr{L}(\Omega))^{2} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for some $\tau \in\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)_{\text {sym }}^{4}$, we say that the divergence of the tensor function $\tau$ exists in the sense of distributions in $\Omega$ and define

$$
\operatorname{Div} \tau=-\mathbf{g} .
$$

Evidently, for smooth $\tau$ we have

$$
\text { Div } \tau=\left(\tau_{11,1}+\tau_{12,2}, \tau_{12,1}+\tau_{22,2}\right)^{\top}
$$

## 2. DUAL VARIATIONAL FORMULATION OF THE BIHARMONIC PROBLEM

Let us suppose that the boundary $\partial \Omega$ consists of four mutually disjoint parts $\mathscr{R}_{1}$, $\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}, \Gamma_{3}$ such that

$$
\partial \Omega=\mathscr{R}_{1} \cup \Gamma_{1} \cup \Gamma_{2} \cup \Gamma_{3},
$$

where $\mathscr{R}_{1}$ is the union of a finite number of points and $\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}, \Gamma_{3}$ are open in $\partial \Omega$. Assume that $\Gamma_{1} \neq \emptyset$ or $\Gamma_{2}$ is not contained in a single straight line, and let $\Gamma_{1}$ be piecewise $C^{(2)}$.

The biharmonic problem for an elastic homogeneous and isotropic plate with mixed boundary conditions can be formulated $[14,16,18]$ as follows: Find $z \in C^{4}(\bar{\Omega})$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta^{2} z=f / D & \text { in } \quad \Omega,  \tag{2.1}\\
z & =0, \quad \partial z / \partial v=0 & \text { on } \Gamma_{1}, \\
z & =0, \quad \mathscr{M}(z)=0 & \text { on } \Gamma_{2}, \\
\mathscr{M}(z) & =0, \quad \mathscr{N}(z)=0 & \text { on } \Gamma_{3},
\end{align*}
$$

where $z$ is the deflection,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathscr{M}(z)=\sigma \Delta z+(1-\sigma)\left(v_{1}^{2} z_{.11}+2 v_{1} v_{2} z_{.12}+v_{2}^{2} z_{, 22}\right), \\
& \mathscr{N}(z)=-\frac{\partial \Delta z}{\partial v}+(1-\sigma) \frac{\partial\left(v_{1} v_{2}\left(z_{.11}-z_{.22}\right)-\left(v_{1}^{2}-v_{2}^{2}\right) z_{.12}\right)}{\partial \tau},
\end{aligned}
$$

$\partial / \partial \nu$ and $\partial / \partial \tau$ is the normal and tangential derivative, respectively, $0<\sigma<1 / 2$ is the Poisson constant, $f$ is a given load $\left(f \in L^{2}(\Omega)\right.$ or more generally $\left.f \in(C(\bar{\Omega}))^{\prime}\right)$,

$$
D=\frac{2 E h^{3}}{3\left(1-\sigma^{2}\right)},
$$

$E$ is Young's modulus of elasticity and $2 h$ is the (constant) thickness of the plate.
For the primal variational formulation of (2.1) let us introduce the space

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=\left\{z \in H^{2}(\Omega) \mid z=0 \text { on } \Gamma_{1} \cup \Gamma_{2}, \frac{\partial z}{\partial v}=0 \text { on } \Gamma_{1}\right\} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the operator hes: $H^{2}(\Omega) \rightarrow\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)_{\text {sym }}^{4}$,

$$
\text { hes } z=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
z_{, 11}, & z_{, 12} \\
z_{, 12}, & z_{, 22}
\end{array}\right) \text {. }
$$

Further, let $\mathbb{A}=\left(A_{i j k l}\right)_{i, j, k, l=1}^{2}$, where $A_{1111}=A_{2222}=D, A_{1122}=D \sigma, A_{1212}=$ $=D(1-\sigma) / 2, A_{1112}=A_{2221}=0$, and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{j i k l}=A_{i j k l}=A_{k l i j} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathbb{A} . \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\mu})_{0} \geqq C\|\boldsymbol{\mu}\|_{0}^{2} \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\mu} \in\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)_{\mathrm{sym}}^{4} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we write $\tau=A . \mu$, when

$$
\tau_{i j}=\sum_{k, l=1}^{2} A_{i j k l} \mu_{k l}
$$

for $\tau, \boldsymbol{\mu} \in\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)_{\text {sym }}^{4}$.
Let us recall $[10,16]$ that the primal problem consists in minimizing the functional (of the potential energy)

$$
I(z)=\frac{1}{2}(\mathbb{A} . \text { hes } z, \text { hes } z)_{0}-\langle f, z\rangle
$$

over $Z\left(\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle\right.$ denotes the dual pairing between $\left(H^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime}$ and $\left.H^{2}(\Omega)\right)$.
Henceforth, we introduce the set of statically admissible bending moments

$$
M(f)=\left\{\boldsymbol{\mu} \in\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)_{\text {sym }}^{4} \mid(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \text { hes } z)_{0}=\langle f, z\rangle \forall z \in Z\right\}
$$

The dual problem consists (see $[1,16]$ ) in minimizing the functional (of the complementary energy)

$$
J(\boldsymbol{m})=\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbb{A}^{-1} \cdot \boldsymbol{m}, \boldsymbol{m}\right)_{0}
$$

over $M(f)$, where $A^{-1}$ is the inverse to $A$.
For $A^{-1}$ the relations analogous to (2.3) and (2.4) hold, and we have $A_{1111}^{-1}=$ $=A_{2222}^{-1}=D^{-1}\left(1-\sigma^{2}\right)^{-1}, A_{1122}^{-1}=-\sigma D^{-1}\left(1-\sigma^{2}\right)^{-1}, A_{1212}^{-1}=D^{-1}(1-\sigma)^{-1} / 2$, $A_{1112}^{-1}=A_{2221}^{-1}=0$.

We define the space of equilibrium bending moments in the following way

$$
M=M(0) .
$$

Note that for smooth $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in M$ it holds that

$$
\operatorname{div} \operatorname{Div} \boldsymbol{\mu}=\mu_{11,11}+2 \mu_{12,12}+\mu_{22,22}=0
$$

Clearly, the dual problem can be formulated in an equivalent way: Given $\bar{\lambda} \in M(f)$ (see Remark 2.1 below), find $\lambda \in M$ which minimizes the functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{J}(\boldsymbol{\mu})=\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbb{A}^{-1} \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\mu}\right)_{0}+\left(\mathbb{A}^{-1} \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}, \bar{\lambda}\right)_{0} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

over the space $M$. The tensor $\lambda+\lambda$ is considered to be the solution of the dual problem and to any $\lambda \in M(f)$ there exists exactly one $\lambda$. Moreover, we have the following equality (see [16], p. 250)

$$
\lambda+\bar{\lambda}=A . \text { hes } \bar{z},
$$

where $\bar{z}$ is the solution of the foregoing primal problem.
Remark 2.1. We shall describe a way of finding some $\bar{\lambda} \in M(f)$ in practical cases. Let all the functions occurring below be sufficiently smooth so that the corresponding symbols have the correct sense, and let us look for $\bar{\lambda}$ in the form

$$
\bar{\lambda}=\varphi+\psi .
$$

We define $\boldsymbol{\varphi}=\left(\varphi_{i j}\right) \in\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)_{\text {sym }}^{4}$ by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\varphi_{11}=\varphi_{12}=0 \\
\varphi_{22}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\int_{0}^{x_{2}} \int_{0}^{\xi} \bar{f}\left(x_{1}, \eta\right) \mathrm{d} \eta \mathrm{~d} \xi, \quad\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \Omega
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\bar{f}=f$ in $\Omega$ and $\bar{f}=0$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2}-\Omega$.
We introduce the operator $\omega:\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{2} \rightarrow\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)_{\text {sym }}^{4}$

$$
\omega(\mathbf{v})=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
v_{2,2}, & -\frac{1}{2}\left(v_{1,2}+v_{2,1}\right) \\
\text { sym. }, & v_{1,1}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

We put

$$
\psi=\omega(\mathbf{v}),
$$

where $\mathbf{v} \in\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{2}$ is an arbitrary function satisfying

$$
\omega(\mathbf{v}) \cdot v=-\varphi \cdot v \quad \text { on } \quad \Gamma_{2} \cup \Gamma_{3}
$$

$$
(\operatorname{Div} \omega(\mathbf{v})) \cdot v=-(\operatorname{Div} \varphi) \cdot v \quad \text { on } \quad \Gamma_{3} .
$$

Then by the Green formulae we get for $z \in Z$

$$
\begin{gathered}
(\varphi+\psi, \text { hes } z)_{0}=(-\operatorname{Div}(\varphi+\psi), \operatorname{grad} z)_{0}+\int_{\partial \Omega}(\operatorname{grad} z)^{\mathrm{T}}(\varphi+\psi) \cdot v \mathrm{~d} s= \\
=(\operatorname{div} \operatorname{Div}(\varphi+\omega(\mathbf{v})), z)_{0}-\int_{\Gamma_{3}} z(\operatorname{Div}(\varphi+\omega(\boldsymbol{v})) \cdot v \mathrm{~d} s+ \\
+\int_{\Gamma_{2} \cup \Gamma_{3}}(\operatorname{grad} z)^{\top}(\varphi+\omega(\boldsymbol{v})) \cdot v \mathrm{~d} s=(\operatorname{div} \operatorname{Div} \boldsymbol{\varphi}, z)_{0}=(f, z)_{0}=\langle f, z\rangle
\end{gathered}
$$

that is $\bar{\lambda}=\boldsymbol{\varphi}+\psi \in M(f)$.

## 3. EXISTENCE OF A VECTOR POTENTIAL OF EQUILIBRIUM BENDING MOMENTS

In this section we shall rectrict ourselves to the case $\Gamma_{2}=\emptyset$ (the case $\partial \Omega=\Gamma_{2}$ of the simply supported plate will be discussed in Section 4). Let us define the space

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=\left\{\mathbf{v} \in\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{2} \mid \mathbf{v}=0 \text { on } \Gamma_{3}\right\} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the following theorem we show (under certain assumptions) that for any equilibrium bending moment $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in M$ there exists a vector potential $\boldsymbol{v} \in V$ such that $\boldsymbol{\mu}=\omega(\mathbf{v})$.

Theorem 3.1. Let $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{3}$ be connected. Then

$$
M=\omega(V)
$$

Proof. For an arbitrary $\boldsymbol{\mu}=\left(\mu_{i j}\right) \in M$ let us set

$$
\boldsymbol{\mu}^{*}=\left(\begin{array}{rr}
\mu_{22}, & -\mu_{21} \\
-\mu_{21}, & \mu_{11}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{*}, \varrho(z)\right)_{0}=(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \text { hes } z)_{0}=0 \quad \forall z \in Z, \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z$ is defined by (2.2) and

$$
\varrho(z)=\left(\begin{array}{rr}
z_{, 22}, & -z_{, 12} \\
-z_{, 12}, & z_{, 11}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

As $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{3}$ are connected, it holds that (see [11], p. 51)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)_{\text {sym }}^{4}=\varepsilon(V) \oplus \varrho(Z) . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, by (3.2) there exists $\mathbf{v} \in V$ such that $\boldsymbol{\mu}^{*}=\varepsilon(\mathbf{v})$. Thus $\boldsymbol{\mu}=\omega(\boldsymbol{v})$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \omega(V)$.
Conversely, let $\mathbf{v} \in V$ be given. Then by (3.3) we obtain

$$
(\omega(\mathbf{v}), \text { hes } z))_{0}=(\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{v}), \varrho(z))_{0}=0 \quad \forall z \in Z .
$$

Therefore, $\omega(\boldsymbol{v}) \in M$.
Remark 3.1. We can easily ascertain as in [16], p. 78, that $\left\{(1,0)^{\top},(0,1)^{\top}\right.$, $\left.\left(x_{2},-x_{1}\right)^{\top}\right\}$ is a basis of the space

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{0}=\left\{v \in\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{2} \mid \omega(v)=0\right\} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus for $\Gamma_{3} \neq \emptyset\left(\Gamma_{3}\right.$ is open in $\left.\partial \Omega\right)$, the vector potential is unique, while for $\Gamma_{1}=\partial \Omega$ (a clamped plate) it is unique apart from a function of $V^{0}$.

Remark 3.2. As a consequence of Theorem 3.1 we get

$$
\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)_{\mathrm{sym}}^{4}=\omega(V) \oplus \text { hes } Z
$$

when $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{3}$ are connected.

## 4. SIMPLY SUPPORTED RECTANGULAR PLATE

Throughout this section we shall assume that $\Gamma_{2}=\partial \Omega$. Consequently, the space $Z$ (used in the definition of $M$ ) will have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=\left\{z \in H^{2}(\Omega) \mid z=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega\right\} . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

First we prove the following lemma.
Lemma 4.1. Let $\Omega$ be an arbitrary domain and let an open part $\Gamma \subset \partial \Omega$ be from $C^{(2)}$. Assume that $z \in C^{2}(\bar{\Omega})$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& t_{v}(z) \equiv v \cdot(\varrho(z) \cdot v)=k \frac{\partial z}{\partial v}+\frac{\partial^{2} z}{\partial s^{2}} \text { on } \Gamma,  \tag{4.2}\\
& t_{\tau}(z) \equiv \tau \cdot(\varrho(z) \cdot v)=k \frac{\partial z}{\partial s}-\frac{\partial^{2} z}{\partial s \partial v} \text { on } \Gamma, \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $k$ is the curvature of $\Gamma$ and $\partial z / \partial s=\partial z / \partial \tau=-v_{2} z_{1}+v_{1} z{ }_{, 2}$.
Proof. As $\tau \equiv\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)^{\top}=\left(-v_{2}, v_{1}\right)^{\top}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\varrho(z) \cdot v)_{1}=\varrho_{11}(z) v_{1}+\varrho_{12}(z) v_{2}=z_{, 22} \tau_{2}+z_{, 12} \tau_{1}=\tau \cdot \operatorname{grad}\left(z_{, 2}\right)=\frac{\partial z_{, 2}}{\partial s}, \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
(\varrho(z) \cdot v)_{2}=\varrho_{21}(z) v_{1}+\varrho_{22}(z) v_{2}=-z_{.12} \tau_{2}-z_{.11} \tau_{1}=  \tag{4.5}\\
=-\tau \cdot \operatorname{grad}\left(z_{.1}\right)=-\frac{\partial z_{.1}}{\partial s} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Furthermore, it holds that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t_{v}(z)=v \cdot(\varrho(z) \cdot v)=v_{1}(\varrho(z) \cdot v)_{1}+v_{2}(\varrho(z) \cdot v)_{2}= \\
= & \tau_{2}\left(z_{, 22} \tau_{2}+z_{, 12} \tau_{1}\right)+\tau_{1}\left(z_{, 12} \tau_{2}+z_{, 11} \tau_{1}\right)=\sum_{i . j=1}^{2} \tau_{i} \tau_{j} z_{. i j}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Frenet's formulae ([17], p. 308)

$$
\frac{\partial \tau_{i}}{\partial s}=-k v_{i}, \quad i=1,2
$$

and $\partial x_{i} / \partial s=\tau_{i}$, we arrive at the equation

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\partial^{2} z}{\partial s^{2}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\left(z_{, 1} \tau_{1}+z_{, 2} \tau_{2}\right)= \\
=z_{, 11} \tau_{1}^{2}+z_{.12} \tau_{1} \tau_{2}+z_{, 21} \tau_{1} \tau_{2}+z_{, 22} \tau_{2}^{2}-z_{, 1} k v_{1}-z_{.2} k v_{2}=t_{v}(z)-k \frac{\partial z}{\partial v}
\end{gathered}
$$

which proves (4.2).
From (4.4.) and (4.5) we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
t_{\tau}(z)=\tau_{1}(\varrho(z) \cdot v)_{1}+\tau_{2}(\varrho(z) \cdot v)_{2}=\tau_{1} \frac{\partial z_{, 2}}{\partial s}-\tau_{2} \frac{\partial z_{, 1}}{\partial s}, \\
-\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial v}\right)=-\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\left(z_{.1} \tau_{2}-z_{{ }_{2}} \tau_{1}\right)=-\tau_{2} \frac{z_{, 1}}{\partial s}+\tau_{1} \frac{\partial z_{.2}}{\partial s}+z_{, 1} k v_{2}-z_{{ }_{, 2} k v_{1}=} \\
=t_{\tau}(z)-k \frac{\partial z}{\partial s},
\end{gathered}
$$

which proves (4.3).
Henceforth, we shall investigate only a rectangular plate, which is one of the most important cases.

Let us introduce the space (cf. (1.1))

$$
Q_{0}=\left\{\tau \in\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)_{\text {sym }}^{4} \mid \operatorname{Div} \tau=0 \text { in } \Omega\right\},
$$

and the following subspace of $Q_{0}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=T(\Omega)=\left\{\tau \in\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)_{\mathrm{sym}}^{4} \mid(\tau, \varepsilon(\mathbf{v}))_{0}=0 \forall \mathbf{v} \in V\right\}, \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
V=\left\{\mathbf{v} \in\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{2} \mid v_{\tau \mid S_{i}}=c_{i}, i=1,2,3,4, c_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{1}\right\},
$$

$v_{\tau}=\tau . \mathbf{v}$ is the tangential component of $\mathbf{v}$ and $S_{i}$ are sides of the rectangular domain $\Omega$ (see Fig. 1).

Definition 4.1. Let $\Omega$ be a rectangle with the sides $S_{1}, S_{2}, S_{3}, S_{4}$. Introduce the following spaces

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathscr{V}^{1}=\mathscr{V}^{1}(\Omega)=\left\{v_{1} \in H^{1}(\Omega) \mid v_{1}=0 \text { on } S_{1} \cup S_{3}\right\}, \\
& \mathscr{V}^{2}=\mathscr{V}^{2}(\Omega)=\left\{v_{2} \in H^{1}(\Omega) \mid v_{2}=0 \text { on } S_{2} \cup S_{4}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\tau \in Q_{0}$ we define the funotional $t_{v}(\tau) \in\left(\gamma^{2} \mathscr{V}^{1} \times \gamma^{2}\right)^{2}$ by the relation

$$
\left\langle t_{v}(\tau), \gamma \mathbf{v}\right\rangle=(\tau, \varepsilon(\mathbf{v}))_{0}, \mathbf{v}=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)^{\top},
$$

where $\gamma:\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{p} \rightarrow\left(H^{1 / 2}(\partial \Omega)\right)^{p}, p=1,2$, denotes the trace operator.


Remark 4.1. From the definition of $Q_{0}$ it follows that $t_{v}(\tau)$ does not depend on extensions of $\gamma v_{1}$ and $\gamma v_{2}$ into the interior of $\Omega$. The notation $t_{v}$ is in agreement with the fact that it represents an extension of the mapping

$$
\tau \rightarrow v .(\tau . v) \equiv t_{v}(\tau)
$$

which is defined for all symmetric $\tau \in(C(\bar{\Omega}))^{4}$.
Theorem 4.1. Let $\Omega$ be a rectangle. Then

$$
T=\varrho(Z),
$$

where $T$ and $Z$ are given by (4.6) and (4.1), respectively.
The proof is based on three auxiliary lemmas.
Lemma 4.2. Let $\Omega$ be a rectangle. Then $\tau \in T$ if and only if it satisfies the following three conditions:
(a) $\operatorname{Div} \tau=0$ in $\Omega$,
(b) $\left(\tau_{12}, 1\right)_{0}=0$,
(c) $t_{v}(\tau)=0($ in the sense of Definition 4.1).

Proof. Let us write $\Omega=(0, a) \times(0, b)$ and choose $\mathbf{w}^{j} \in\left(P_{1}(\Omega)\right)^{2}, j=1,2,3,4$, such that

$$
w_{\tau}^{j}=1 \text { on } S_{j}, \quad w_{\tau}^{j}=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega-S_{j} .
$$

We have
$\mathbf{w}^{1}(x)=\binom{1-x_{2} / b}{0}, \quad \mathbf{w}^{2}(x)=\binom{0}{x_{1} / a}, \quad \mathbf{w}^{3}(x)=\binom{x_{2} / b}{0}, \quad \mathbf{w}^{4}(x)=\binom{0}{1-x_{1} / a}$.

Then we may write

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=\sum_{j=1}^{4} c_{j} \mathbf{w}^{j}+\mathscr{V}^{1} \times \mathscr{V}^{2}, \quad c_{j} \in \mathbb{R}^{1} . \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\tau \in T$ we get

$$
0=\left(\tau, \varepsilon\left(\mathbf{w}^{1}\right)\right)_{0}=-\frac{1}{b} \int_{\Omega} \tau_{12} \mathrm{~d} x
$$

since

$$
\varepsilon\left(\boldsymbol{w}^{1}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{rr}
0 & -\frac{1}{2 b}  \tag{4.9}\\
-\frac{1}{2 b} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

i.e. the condition (b) holds. The condition (a) follows from (1.1) and (4.6).

Let us choose $\mathbf{v} \in \mathscr{V}^{1} \times \mathscr{V}^{2}$, that is $v_{\tau}=0$ on $\partial \Omega$. Then

$$
0=(\tau, \varepsilon(\mathbf{v}))_{0}=\left\langle t_{v}(\tau), \gamma \mathbf{v}\right\rangle,
$$

which is the condition (c).
Conversely, let $\tau \in\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)_{\text {sym }}^{4}$ fulfil (a), (b), (c). As $\varepsilon\left(w^{j}\right)$ is of the form (4.9) for every $j=1,2,3,4$, we find by (b) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\tau, \varepsilon\left(\mathbf{w}^{j}\right)\right)_{0}=\text { const. } \int_{\Omega} \tau_{12} \mathrm{~d} x=0 \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $\mathbf{v} \in \mathscr{V}^{1} \times \mathscr{V}^{2}$ the conditions (a) and (c) imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\tau, \varepsilon(\mathbf{v}))_{0}=\left\langle t_{v}(\tau), \gamma \mathbf{v}\right\rangle=0 \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The combination of (4.10) and (4.11) with the use of (4.8) yields $\tau \in T$.
Lemma 4.3. Let $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ be identioal rectangles having one common side $S=\bar{\Omega}_{1} \cap \bar{\Omega}_{2}$, which is parallel with the axis $x_{1}$ or $x_{2}$. For $\tau \in T\left(\Omega_{1}\right)$ let $E \tau$ be defined on $\Omega_{3}=\operatorname{int}\left(\bar{\Omega}_{1} \cup \bar{\Omega}_{2}\right)$ by the extension of $\tau\left(\left.E \tau\right|_{\Omega_{1}}=\tau\right)$ such that $E \tau_{i i}(i=1,2)$ is an antisymmetric function and $E \tau_{12}$ is a symmetric function with respect to $S$. Then $E \tau \in T\left(\Omega_{3}\right)$.

Proof. Let $S$ lie on the axis $x_{2}$ and let $F: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be defined as follows

$$
\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\left(-x_{1}, x_{2}\right) .
$$

By assumptions we have for $y \in \bar{\Omega}_{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E \tau_{i i}(y)=-\tau_{i i}\left(F^{-1}(y)\right), \\
& E \tau_{12}(y)=\tau_{12}\left(F^{-1}(y)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Given $\mathbf{v} \in \mathscr{V}^{1}\left(\Omega_{3}\right) \times \mathscr{V}^{2}\left(\Omega_{3}\right)$, we set for $x \in \bar{\Omega}_{1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{v}_{1}(x)=-v_{1}(F(x)), \\
& \tilde{v}_{2}(x)=v_{2}(F(x)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega_{2}}\left[E \tau_{11}(y) v_{1,1}(y)+E \tau_{12}(y)\left(v_{1,2}(y)+v_{2,1}(y)\right)+E \tau_{22}(y) v_{2,2}(y)\right] \mathrm{d} y= \\
& =\int_{\Omega_{1}}\left(-\tau_{11}(x) \tilde{v}_{1,1}(x)+\tau_{12}(x)\left(-\tilde{v}_{1,2}(x)-\tilde{v}_{2,1}(x)\right)-\tau_{22}(x) \tilde{v}_{2,2}(x)\right) \mathrm{d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

and we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
(E \tau, \varepsilon(\mathbf{v}))_{0, \Omega_{3}}=(\tau, \varepsilon(\mathbf{v}))_{0, \Omega_{1}}+(E \tau, \varepsilon(\mathbf{v}))_{0, \Omega_{2}}= \\
=(\tau, \varepsilon(\boldsymbol{v}))_{0, \Omega_{1}}-(\tau, \varepsilon(\tilde{\mathbf{v}}))_{0, \Omega_{1}}=(\tau, \varepsilon(\mathbf{v}-\tilde{\mathbf{v}}))_{0, \Omega_{1}}
\end{gathered}
$$

The last term, however, vanishes, since $\tau \in T\left(\Omega_{1}\right)$ and $\mathbf{v}-\left.\tilde{\mathbf{v}}\right|_{\Omega_{1}} \in \mathscr{V}^{1}\left(\Omega_{1}\right) \times \mathscr{V}^{2}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)$. Hence Div $E \tau=0$ in $\Omega_{3}$ and $t_{v}(E \tau)=0$ on $\partial \Omega_{3}$ follows. Moreover,

$$
\left(E \tau_{12}, 1\right)_{0, \Omega_{3}}=\left(\tau_{12}, 1\right)_{0, \Omega_{1}}+\left(E \tau_{12}, 1\right)_{0, \Omega_{2}}=2\left(\tau_{12}, 1\right)_{0, \Omega_{1}}=0,
$$

by virtue of Lemma 4.2. Consequently, we have $E \tau \in T\left(\Omega_{3}\right)$.
Evidently, the lemma remains true when $S$ is only parallel with the axis $x_{2}$. The case when $S$ is parallel with $x_{1}$ can be handled in an analogous way.

Lemma 4.4. Let $\Omega$ be a rectangle. Then the set

$$
T \cap\left(C^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})\right)^{4}
$$

is dense in $T$ with respect to the $\|\cdot\|_{0}$-norm.
Proof. Let $\tau \in T$ be given. Using Lemma 4.3 four times, we can get an extension $E \tau$ defined on some domain $\Omega^{*} \supset \bar{\Omega}$, such that

$$
\operatorname{Div} E \tau=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega^{*} .
$$

The domain $\Omega^{*}$ can be chosen for instance in the way shown in Fig. 2.


We make a regularization of the function $E \tau$ using the kernel

$$
A^{-1} \varkappa^{2} \mathscr{K}_{\varkappa}(y)= \begin{cases}\exp \left(|y|^{2} /\left(|y|^{2}-\varkappa^{2}\right)\right) & \text { for } \\ 0 & \text { for } \\ 0 & |y|<x \mid \geqq x\end{cases}
$$

where $A=$ const $>0$ and $\varkappa<\operatorname{dist}\left(\partial \Omega, \partial \Omega^{*}\right)$. So let us put

$$
R_{\varkappa} E \tau(x)=\int_{\Omega^{*}} \mathscr{K}_{\varkappa}(x-\xi) E \tau(\xi) \mathrm{d} \zeta .
$$

As the functions $E \tau_{11}$ and $E \tau_{22}$ are antisymmetric functions with regard to the lines $x_{1}=0, x_{1}=a$ and $x_{2}=0, x_{2}=b$, respectively, we have

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
R_{\varkappa} E \tau_{11}\left(0, x_{2}\right)=R_{\varkappa} E \tau_{11}\left(a, x_{2}\right)=0, & x_{2} \in[0, b],  \tag{4.12}\\
R_{\varkappa} E \tau_{22}\left(x_{1}, 0\right)=R_{\varkappa} E \tau_{22}\left(x_{1}, b\right)=0, & x_{1} \in[0, a] .
\end{array}
$$

Setting

$$
c_{\varkappa}=\int_{\Omega} R_{\varkappa} E \tau_{12}(x) \mathrm{d} x, \quad \alpha^{\varkappa}=c_{\varkappa}(\operatorname{mes} \Omega)^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0, & 1 \\
1, & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

we define

$$
\tau^{\chi}=R_{\chi} E \tau-\alpha^{\chi} .
$$

Then obviously $\tau^{\alpha} \in\left(C^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})\right)^{4}$ and (see [8], p. 450)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Div} \tau^{\varkappa}=\operatorname{Div} R_{\chi} E \tau=0 \quad \text { in } \bar{\Omega} . \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have also

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\tau_{12}^{\varkappa}, 1\right)_{0}=\int_{\Omega} R_{\varkappa} E \tau_{12} \mathrm{~d} x-c_{\varkappa}=0 . \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathbf{v} \in \mathscr{V}^{1} \times \mathscr{V}^{2}$. Then we may write

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\langle t_{v}\left(\tau^{\star}\right), \gamma v\right\rangle=\left(\tau^{\alpha}, \varepsilon(\mathbf{v})\right)_{0}=\sum_{i . j=1}^{2} \int_{i \Omega} \tau_{i j}^{\star} v_{j} v_{i} \mathrm{~d} s=  \tag{4.15}\\
=-\int_{S_{1}} \tau_{22}^{\varkappa} v_{2} \mathrm{~d} x_{1}+\int_{S_{3}} \tau_{22}^{*} v_{2} \mathrm{~d} x_{1}+\int_{S_{2}} \tau_{1,1}^{\varkappa} v_{1} \mathrm{~d} x_{2}-\int_{S_{4}} \tau_{11}^{*} v_{1} \mathrm{~d} x_{2}=0,
\end{gather*}
$$

using e.g. $\tau_{22}^{\varkappa}=R_{\varkappa} E \tau_{22}=0$ on $S_{1}$ and $S_{3}$ by (4.12). Since $\tau^{\star}$ satisfies (4.13), (4.14), and (4.15), we have $\tau^{x} \in T$ on the basis of Lemma 4.2.

Defining $E \tau=0$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2}-\Omega^{*}$, we obtain for $x \rightarrow 0$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|R_{\chi} E \tau-\tau\right\|_{0, \Omega} \leqq\left\|R_{\chi} E \tau-E \tau\right\|_{0, \Omega^{*}} \rightarrow 0 \\
\left|c_{\chi}\right|= \\
\left|\left(R_{\chi} E \tau_{12}-\tau_{12}, 1\right)_{0}\right| \leqq C\left\|R_{\chi} E \tau_{12}-\tau_{12}\right\|_{0} \rightarrow 0,
\end{gathered}
$$

and therefore

$$
\left\|\tau^{\chi}-\tau\right\|_{0}=\left\|R_{\varkappa} E \tau-\alpha^{\varkappa}-\tau\right\|_{0} \leqq\left\|R_{\chi} E \tau-\tau\right\|_{0}+\left\|\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\chi}\right\|_{0} \rightarrow 0
$$

Proof of Theorem 4.1. $1^{\circ}$. For given $\tau \in T$ we find by Lemma 4.4 a sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau^{n} \in T \cap\left(C^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})\right)^{4}, \quad \tau^{n} \rightarrow \tau \quad \text { in }\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{4} . \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

As Div $\tau^{n}=0$, there exists an Airy function $z^{n} \in C^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})$ such that (see [11], p. 39)

$$
\tau^{n}=\varrho\left(z^{n}\right) .
$$

For each $\boldsymbol{v} \in V$ it holds that

$$
0=\left(\tau^{n}, \varepsilon(\mathbf{v})\right)_{0}=\int_{\partial \Omega}\left(v_{v} t_{v}\left(z^{n}\right)+v_{\tau} t_{\tau}\left(z^{n}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} s,
$$

where (see Lemma 4.1)

$$
t_{v}\left(z^{n}\right)=v \cdot\left(\tau^{n} \cdot v\right)=\frac{\partial^{2} z^{n}}{\partial s^{2}}, \quad t_{\tau}\left(z^{n}\right)=-\frac{\partial^{2} z^{n}}{\partial s \partial v} .
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\sum_{i=1}^{4} \int_{S_{i}}\left(v_{v} \frac{\partial^{2} z^{n}}{\partial s^{2}}-v_{\tau} \frac{\partial^{2} z^{n}}{\partial s \partial v}\right) \mathrm{d} s . \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us choose $\boldsymbol{v}$ such that $v_{\tau}=0$ and the support of the trace of $v_{v}$ is in $S_{1}$ (i.e. we choose $v_{1}=0, v_{2} \in \mathscr{V}^{2}$ ). Thus we get

$$
\int_{S_{1}} \frac{\partial^{2} z^{n}}{\partial s^{2}} \varphi \mathrm{~d} s=0 \quad \forall \varphi \in \gamma \mathscr{V}^{2} .
$$

Consequently, we have

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} z^{n}}{\partial s^{2}}=0 \quad \text { on } \quad S_{i}, \quad i=1,2,3,4 .
$$

Hence $\left.z^{n}\right|_{s_{i}}$ is a linear function. We shall prove that there exists a linear function $p \in P_{1}(\bar{\Omega})$ such that $\left.p\right|_{s_{i}}=\left.z^{n}\right|_{s_{i}}, i=1,2,3,4$. Obviously, there exist $q, r$ linear, i.e.

$$
q\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=a_{0}+a_{1} x_{1}+a_{2} x_{2}, \quad r\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=b_{0}+b_{1} x_{1}+b_{2} x_{2},
$$

such that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left.q\right|_{s_{i}}=\left.z^{n}\right|_{s_{i}}, & i=1,2, \\
r_{s_{i}}=\left.z^{n}\right|_{s_{i}}, & i=3,4 .
\end{array}
$$

Choosing $v=w^{i} \in V$ in (4.17), where $\boldsymbol{w}^{i}$ are given by (4.7), we find

$$
0=\int_{s_{i}} \frac{\partial^{2} z^{n}}{\partial s \partial v} \mathrm{~d} s=\left[\frac{\partial z^{n}}{\partial v}\right]_{s_{i-1}}^{s_{i}}
$$

( $s_{i}$ and $s_{i-1}$ are the end-points of $S_{i}$ ). Thus we have for example

$$
q_{, 2}=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} x_{2}}\left(z^{n} \mid s_{2}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} x_{2}}\left(\left.z^{n}\right|_{s_{4}}\right)=r_{, 2},
$$

that is $a_{2}=b_{2}$. Analogously we show that $a_{1}=b_{1}$ and from the continuity of $z^{n}$ we obtain $a_{0}=b_{0}$. Altogether, $q=r=p$. As the Airy function $z^{n}$ is determined by $\tau^{n}$ uniquely apart from a linear function, we may set $z^{n}=0$ on $\partial \Omega$.

By (4.16) we know that

$$
\tau^{n}=\varrho\left(z^{n}\right) \rightarrow \tau \quad \text { in }\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{4} \text { for } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Therefore, the second derivatives of $z^{n}$ are bounded in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ and the well-known estimate holds

$$
C_{1}\left\|z^{n}\right\|_{2} \leqq\left|z^{n}\right|_{2} \leqq C_{2} \quad \forall n
$$

Consequently, there exist a subsequence $\left\{z^{m}\right\}$ and $z \in H^{2}(\Omega)$ such that for $m \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\begin{gather*}
z^{m} \rightharpoonup z \quad \text { (weakly) in } H^{2}(\Omega)  \tag{4.18}\\
\varrho\left(z^{m}\right) \rightarrow \tau \text { in }\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)_{\text {sym }}^{4} . \tag{4.19}
\end{gather*}
$$

Passing to the limit with $m \rightarrow \infty$ in the definition of the second derivatives

$$
\left(z_{, i j}^{m}, \varphi\right)_{0}=\left(z^{m}, \varphi, i j\right)_{0} \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathscr{D}(\Omega)
$$

and making use of (4.18) and (4.19), we arrive at

$$
(-1)^{i+j}\left(\tau_{k l}, \varphi\right)_{0}=\left(z, \varphi_{, i j}\right)_{0} \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathscr{D}(\Omega)
$$

where $k=3-i$ and $l=3-j$, i.e. $\tau=\varrho(z)$.
It remains to show that $z \in Z$. As the imbedding $H^{2}(\Omega) Q C(\bar{\Omega})$ is completely continuous (see $[15]$, p. 107), by (4.18) $z^{m}$ converges to $z$ in $C(\bar{\Omega})$ (strongly - see [12], p. 178). Then $z=0$ on $\partial \Omega$ follows from $z^{m}=0$ on $\partial \Omega$ for every $m$.
$2^{\circ}$. Let $z \in Z$ be given. First we show that the set $V \cap\left(C^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})\right)^{2}$ is dense in $V$. Using (4.8), any $\boldsymbol{u} \in V$ can be written in the form

$$
\boldsymbol{u}=\sum_{j=1}^{4} c_{j} \mathbf{w}^{j}+\left(v_{1}, 0\right)^{\top}+\left(0, v_{2}\right)^{\top}, \quad v_{i} \in \mathscr{V}^{i}
$$

According to [5], p. 618, there are sequences $\left\{v_{i}^{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that

$$
v_{i}^{n} \in \mathscr{V}^{i} \cap C^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega}), \quad\left\|v_{i}^{n}-v_{i}\right\|_{1} \rightarrow 0, \quad i=1,2 .
$$

Setting

$$
\mathbf{v}^{n}=\sum_{j=1}^{4} c_{j} \mathbf{w}^{j}+\left(v_{1}^{n}, 0\right)^{\top}+\left(0, v_{2}^{n}\right)^{\top}
$$

we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{v}^{n} \in V \cap\left(C^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})\right)^{2}, \quad \mathbf{v}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{u} \quad \text { in }\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{2} . \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us consider the product

$$
I_{n}=\left(\varrho(z), \varepsilon\left(\mathbf{v}^{n}\right)\right)_{0}=\int_{\Omega}\left(z_{, 22} v_{1,1}^{n}-z_{, 12}\left(v_{1,2}^{u}+v_{2,1}^{n}\right)+z_{, 11} v_{2,2}^{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x
$$

Integrating by parts, we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
I_{n}=\int_{\partial \Omega}\left[z_{, 2}\left(v_{1,1}^{n} v_{2}-v_{1,2}^{n} v_{1}\right)+z_{.1}\left(v_{2,2}^{n} v_{1}-v_{2,1}^{n} v_{2}\right)\right] \mathrm{d} s=  \tag{4.21}\\
=\int_{\Omega \Omega}\left(-z_{, 2} \frac{\partial v_{1}^{n}}{\partial s}+z_{, 2} \frac{\partial v_{2}^{n}}{\partial s}\right) \mathrm{d} s= \\
=-\int_{S_{1}} z_{, 2} \frac{\partial v_{1}^{n}}{\partial x_{1}} \mathrm{~d} x_{1}+\int_{S_{2}} z_{, 1} \frac{\partial v_{2}^{n}}{\partial x_{2}} \mathrm{~d} x_{2}+\int_{S_{3}} z_{, 2} \frac{\partial v_{1}^{n}}{\partial x_{1}} \mathrm{~d} x_{1}-\int_{S_{4}} z_{, 1} \frac{\partial v_{2}^{n}}{\partial x_{2}} \mathrm{~d} x_{2},
\end{gather*}
$$

where $i z / \partial s=0$ on $\partial \Omega$ has been used. As $\boldsymbol{v}^{n} \in V$, the functions $v_{\mathrm{t}}^{n}$ are constant on every $S_{i}, i=1,2,3,4$. Thus all the integrals in (4.21) vanish. Making use of (4.21), we find that

$$
0=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{n}=(\varrho(z), \varepsilon(\boldsymbol{u}))_{0}
$$

As $\boldsymbol{u}$ was an arbitrary element of $V, \varrho(z) \in T$ holds.
Theorem 4.2. Let $\Omega$ be a rectangle and let $\Gamma_{2}=\partial \Omega$. Then

$$
M=\omega(V) .
$$

Proof. An immediate consequence of Theorem 4.1 is

$$
\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)_{\mathrm{sym}}^{4}=\varepsilon(V) \oplus \varrho(Z),
$$

where $Z$ and $V$ are defined by (4.1) and (4.8), respectively. Thus the proof is identical with that of Theorem 3.1.

## 5. INTERNAL FINITE ELEMENT APPROXIMATION OF THE DUAL PROBLEM

Let us recall that the dual problem consists in minimizing the functional (2.5) over the space of equilibrium bending moments $M$. In both previous sections we have proved that

$$
M=\omega(V)
$$

under the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 or 4.2 , which will be kept in the sequel. Let us consider an arbitrary finite element space $V_{h}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{h} \subset V, \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $h$ is the usual mesh parameter). Introducing the space of equilibrium finite elements

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{h}=\omega\left(V_{h}\right), \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

we see that $M_{h} \subset M$. We may therefore define internal finite element approximations of the dual problem as follows. Find $\lambda_{h} \in M_{h}$, which minimizes the functional (2.5)
over the space $M_{h}$. Then the sum $\lambda_{h}+\bar{\lambda}$ will be called the approximate solution of the dual problem.

Theorem 5.1. Let $\left\{V_{h}\right\}$ be a system of finite element subspaces of $V$ such that the union $\bigcup_{h} V_{h}$ is dense in $V$ with the topology of $\left.\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{2}\right)$. Then

$$
\left\|\lambda-\lambda_{h}\right\|_{0} \rightarrow 0 \text { for } \quad h \rightarrow 0,
$$

where $\lambda$ minimizes the functional (2.5).
Proof. By Theorems 3.1 or 4.2 there exists $\boldsymbol{v} \in V$ such that $\lambda=\omega(\boldsymbol{v})$. Using now Céa's Lemma ([3], p. 104) and (5.2), we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
C\left\|\lambda-\lambda_{h}\right\|_{0} \leqq \inf _{\mu_{h} \in M_{h}}\left\|\lambda-\mu_{h}\right\|_{0}=\inf _{v_{h} \in V_{h}}\left\|\omega(\boldsymbol{v})-\omega\left(\boldsymbol{v}_{h}\right)\right\|_{0} \leqq \\
\leqq \inf _{\boldsymbol{v}_{h} \in V_{h}}\left\|\boldsymbol{v}-\boldsymbol{v}_{h}\right\|_{1} \rightarrow 0 \text { for } h \rightarrow 0,
\end{gathered}
$$

where $C>0$ is a constant independent of $h$.
From [5], p. 618, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{V \cap\left(C^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})\right)^{2}}=V, \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(the bar denotes the closure in $V$ ). Thus it is not difficult to verify the density assumption of Theorem 5.1 for polygonal domains and some $C^{0}$-elements (see e.g. [3], Chap. 3.2).

Let us consider the case $\Gamma_{2}=\emptyset$ and assume that $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{3}$ are connected. We shall describe a construction of the dense subset $\bigcup_{h} V_{h} \subset V$ for a curved boundary $\partial \Omega$ in case of linear finite elements. Conscquently, $M_{h}$ will consist of piecewise constant fields.

Definition 5.1. A couple $\left(\Omega, \Gamma_{3}\right)$ is said to be from the class $\mathscr{C}^{(2)}$, if
(i) $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is a bounded domain with a Lipschitz boundary, which consists of a finite number of arcs from the class $C^{(2)}$. The set of the end-points of these arcs will be denoted by $\mathscr{R}_{2}$.
(ii) the part $\Gamma_{3}$ of the boundary $\partial \Omega$ consists of a finite number of convex and concave arcs. The set of the end-points of these arcs will be denoted by $\mathscr{R}_{3}$.

An are $\Gamma \subset \partial \Omega$ is said to be convex (concave), if there exists a convex domain $\Omega_{0} \subset \Omega\left(\Omega_{0} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}-\bar{\Omega}\right)$ such that $\Gamma \subset \partial \Omega_{0}$.

Let $u_{s}$ describe now the way of triangulation of a domain from the class $\mathscr{C}{ }^{(2)}$. The part $\Gamma_{3}$ of the boundary $\partial \Omega$ will be approximated by a "polygonal" curve $\Gamma_{3 h} \subset \bar{\Omega}$ consisting of a finite number of straight-line segments, the length of which does not exceed $h$. Each of those segments is a chord or a tangent of a convex or of a concave arc, respectively (see Fig. 3).

If $\Gamma_{3}$ is a closed curve, we require $\Gamma_{3 h}$ to be also a closed curve. Moreover, we demand $\mathscr{R}_{1} \cup \mathscr{R}_{3} \subset \Gamma_{3 h} \cap \Gamma_{3}$.

The subdomain of $\Omega$, bounded by $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{3 h}$, will be denoted by $\Omega_{h}$, and we define

$$
D_{h}=\Omega-\bar{\Omega}_{h} .
$$

Now $\mathscr{T}_{h}$ will denote the triangulation of the domain $\Omega_{h}$ generated in a standard way, assuming that the "triangles" adjacent to $\Gamma_{1}$ may have at most one curved side. The inner triangles are "straight" only.


Fig. 3.

Furthermore, we shall always assume the validity of the so-called conformity condition of a triangulation, i.e. the interior of any side of any triangle $K \in \mathscr{T}_{h}$ is disjoint with the set $\mathscr{R}_{1} \cup \mathscr{R}_{2} \cup \mathscr{R}_{3}$. Each segment from $\Gamma_{3 h}-\Gamma_{3}$ coincides with a side of one triangle $K \in \mathscr{T}_{h}$.

Let us define

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{h}=\left\{\mathbf{v} \in V|\mathbf{v}|_{D_{h}}=0,\left.\mathbf{v}\right|_{K} \in\left(P_{1}(K)\right)^{2} \forall K \in \mathscr{T}_{h}\right\} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 5.1. Let $\left(\Omega, \Gamma_{3}\right) \in \mathscr{C}^{(2)}$ and let $V_{h}($ defined by (5.4)) correspond to a regular family of triangulations of $\Omega_{h}$. Then $\bigcup_{h} V_{h}$ is dense in $V$.

Proof. Let $\boldsymbol{w} \in V$ and $\delta>0$ be given. Using (5.3), we find $\mathbf{v} \in V \cap\left(C^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})\right)^{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\boldsymbol{w}-\boldsymbol{v}\|_{1}<\delta / 2 \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By [9], p. 58, we can find an approximation $\mathbf{v}_{h}$ of $\mathbf{v}$ such that $\mathbf{v}_{h} \in V_{h}$ and

$$
\left\|\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{v}_{\boldsymbol{h}}\right\|_{1}<C(v) h .
$$

The righ-hand side is less than $\delta / 2$ for sufficiently small $h$. Combining this estimate with (5.5), we arrive at the assertion of the lemma.

For an approximation of $V$ by finite element spaces of higher order curved elements, we refer to [20].

Next we shall describe a way of finding $\lambda_{h}$. Let $V_{h} \subset V$ be an arbitrary finite element space with the basis $\left\{\mathbf{v}^{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$. Obviously, $\operatorname{dim} M_{h} \leqq \operatorname{dim} V_{h}$ follows from (5.2). However, for $\Gamma_{3} \neq \emptyset\left(\Gamma_{2}=\emptyset\right)$ we have

$$
\operatorname{dim} M_{h}=\operatorname{dim} V_{h}=n
$$

since by Remark 3.1 and (5.1)

$$
V^{0} \cap V_{h} \subset V^{0} \cap V=\{0\},
$$

i.e. if $\boldsymbol{v}_{h} \in V_{h}$, then $\omega\left(\boldsymbol{v}_{h}\right)=0$ implies $\boldsymbol{v}_{h}=0$. In this case $\left\{\omega\left(\boldsymbol{v}^{i}\right)\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ is a basis of $M_{h}$ and

$$
\lambda_{h}=\sum_{i=1}^{u} c^{i} \omega\left(\mathbf{v}^{i}\right),
$$

where $c^{1}, \ldots, c^{n}$ is the solution of the following system of algebraic equations with a symmetric and positive definite matrix

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n} c^{j}\left(\mathbb{A}^{-1} \cdot \omega\left(\boldsymbol{v}^{i}\right), \omega\left(\boldsymbol{v}^{j}\right)\right)_{0}=\left(\mathbb{A}^{-1} \cdot \omega\left(\boldsymbol{v}^{i}\right), \bar{\lambda}\right)_{0}, \quad i=1, \ldots, n .
$$

In the case $\Gamma_{1}=\partial \Omega$, it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{0} \subset V . \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We show that (5.6) holds in the case $\Gamma_{2}=\partial \Omega$ as well. According to (4.7), we get

$$
\mathbf{w}^{1}+\boldsymbol{w}^{3}=\binom{1}{0}, \quad \mathbf{w}^{2}+\boldsymbol{w}^{4}=\binom{0}{1}, \quad b \boldsymbol{w}^{3}-a \mathbf{w}^{2}=\binom{x_{2}}{-x_{1}} .
$$

Hence, any $\boldsymbol{v} \in V^{0}$ can be expressed in the form

$$
\mathbf{v}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} \boldsymbol{w}^{i}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{w}^{i} \in V$.
Therefore, in both cases $\Gamma_{1}=\partial \Omega$ or $\Gamma_{2}=\partial \Omega$, the set $\left\{\omega\left(\boldsymbol{v}^{i}\right)\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ is not a basis of $M_{h}$ in general, since $V^{0} \cap V_{h}$ may contain some non-zero element. Let us suppose that $V^{0} \subset V_{h}$. Then

$$
\operatorname{dim} M_{h}+3=\operatorname{dim} V_{h},
$$

and three convenient functions have to be omitted from the set $\left\{\boldsymbol{\mu}^{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}=\left\{\omega\left(\boldsymbol{v}^{i}\right)\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ to obtain a basis of $M_{h}$. This can be done for instance in the following way.

Let us assume that there exists a nodal point $y=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)^{\top}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{v}^{p}(y)=(1,0)^{\top}, \quad \boldsymbol{v}^{q}(y)=(0,1)^{\top}, \quad \boldsymbol{v}^{j}(y)=(0,0)^{\top} \quad j \notin\{p, q\} . \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $\left\{v^{i}\right\}$ is the basis in $V_{h}\left(\supset V^{0}\right)$, there exist $\left\{\alpha^{i}\right\},\left\{\beta^{i}\right\},\left\{\gamma^{i}\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha^{i} \mathbf{v}^{i}=\binom{1}{0}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta^{i} \mathbf{v}^{i}=\binom{0}{1}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma^{i} \mathbf{v}^{i}=\binom{x_{2}-y_{2}}{-x_{1}+y_{1}} . \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the help of (5.7), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{p}=1, \quad \alpha^{q}=0, \quad \beta^{p}=0, \quad \beta^{q}=1, \quad \gamma^{p}=\gamma^{q}=0 \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma^{r} \neq 0 \quad \text { for some } \quad r \in\{1, \ldots, n\} . \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying the operator $\omega$ to (5.8), a simple calculation leads to the result that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\boldsymbol{\mu}^{p}=-\sum_{i \neq p, q} \alpha^{i} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{i}, \quad \boldsymbol{\mu}^{q}=-\sum_{i \neq p, q} \beta^{i} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{i},  \tag{5.11}\\
\gamma^{r} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{r}=-\sum_{i \neq p, q, r} \gamma^{i} \mu^{i} . \tag{5.12}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let $\mu_{h} \in M_{h}$ be arbitrary. Because $\left\{\boldsymbol{\mu}^{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ generates the space $M_{h}$, we may write by (5.11)

$$
\boldsymbol{\mu}_{h}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi^{i} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{i}=\sum_{i \neq p, q} \eta^{i} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{i},
$$

for some ' $\left.{ }^{\prime} \xi^{i}\right\}$, $\left\{\eta^{i}\right\}$. Finally, from (5.12) and (5.10) we come to

$$
\boldsymbol{\mu}_{h}=\eta^{r} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{r}+\sum_{i \neq p, q, r} \eta^{i} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{i}=\sum_{i \neq p, q, r} \zeta^{i} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{i}
$$

for convenient $\left\{\zeta^{i}\right\}$. From this expression we conclude that $\left\{\boldsymbol{\mu}^{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}-\left\{\boldsymbol{\mu}^{p}, \boldsymbol{\mu}^{q}, \boldsymbol{\mu}^{r}\right\}$ is a basis of $M_{h}$.
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## Souhrn

# VNITŘNí APROXIMACE BIHARMONICKÉ ÚLOHY KONEČNÝMI PRVKY DUÁLNÍ VARIAČNÍ METODOU 

Ivan Hlaváček, Michal Křížek

Na oblastech s po částech hladkou zakřivenou hranicí je vyšetřována konformní metoda konečných prvků pro duální variační formulaci biharmonického problému s kombinovanými okrajovými podmínkami. Tak jsou v okrajové úloze pružné desky přímo počítány ohybové momenty. Pro konstrukci konečných prvků se používá vektorový potenciál a prvky třídy $\mathrm{C}^{0}$. Je dokázána konvergence této metcdy (bez předpokladu regularity řešení) a popsána její algoritmizace.
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