Anton Huťa On exponential approximation

Aplikace matematiky, Vol. 30 (1985), No. 5, 321-331

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/104160

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1985

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

ON EXPONENTIAL APPROXIMATION

Anton Huťa

(Received November 10, 1983)

1. INTRODUCTION

A large number of events (processes) especially in economy, medicine, biology, physics, chemistry etc. have an exponential character. Therefore, when constructing the formula expressing such a process, the natural starting point is the exponential function, which often reproduces the process more truly than other functions (e.g. polynomials). The aim of this article is to show one kind of exponential approximation.

Problem. One has to find a real function

(1)
$$y(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$$
 for $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) \in E_n$

so that it assumes given real values for prescribed fixed values of $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$. In this article we will limit ourselves only to the case that for the whole definition domain the following inequality holds:

(2)
$$y(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) > 0$$
.

If the given values have an exponential distribution, so that a function which follows this distribution has an exponential character, then it is of advantage to choose as an approximation function a function of the form

(3)
$$y(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n; i_1, i_2, ..., i_n) =$$
$$= \prod_{\substack{j_1=0\\n}}^{p_1} \prod_{\substack{j_2=0\\n}}^{p_2} \dots \prod_{\substack{j_n=0\\n}}^{p_n} a(i_1^{j_1}, i_2^{j_2}, ..., i_n^{j_n})^{II(x_1, ..., x_n)},$$

where $\Pi(x_1, ..., x_n) = \prod_{\lambda=1}^n x_{\lambda}^{j_{\lambda}}$ and the order of the approximation is $p = \sum_{\lambda=1}^n p_{\lambda}$.

Note 1. The *n*-tuple $(i_1, i_2, ..., i_n)$ is an arbitrary permutation of the numbers 1, 2, ..., *n* which denotes the order of the products. In the simplest case we have the 1st permutation, i.e. $i_{\lambda} = \lambda$ for $\lambda = 1, 2, ..., n$. The symbols $a(i_1^{j_1}, i_2^{j_2}, ..., i_n^{j_n})$ denote

only the constants belonging to the exponents $\prod_{\lambda=1}^{n} x_{\lambda}^{j_{\lambda}} = x_{1}^{j_{1}} \cdot x_{2}^{j_{2}} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{n}^{j_{n}}$ in the relation (3). At the same time, j_{λ} in the expression $i_{\lambda}^{j_{\lambda}}$ is no exponent, it only indicates how many times i_{λ} occurs.

Now let us consider an *n*-dimensional "table" with equidistant arguments for the function y. If the values in the table are exact, then one can determine the coefficients a by means of *exponential interpolation*. However, if the values are charged with errors, then it is necessary to use the *exponential approximation*.

We will show two methods: The former is based on the *method of the least squares* while the latter is connected with the method occuring when deriving the *King formula* used for determining the parameters of the Gompertz-Makeham law.

2. NOTATION AND SYMBOLS

For the sake of brevity it is advantageous to introduce some symbols (vectors):

$$\boldsymbol{i} = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)$$

where i_{λ} is the index of the λth sum (λth product);

$$\boldsymbol{l} = (l_1, l_2, \dots, l_p)$$

where l_{λ} is the λth cumulated group;

$$\boldsymbol{Y}_{\boldsymbol{l}} = \left(Y_{1, l_{1}}, Y_{2, l_{2}}, \dots, Y_{p, l_{p}}\right)$$

where $Y_{\lambda,l_{\lambda}}$ is the value of the λ th cumulated group;

$$\boldsymbol{s} = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)$$

where s_{λ} is the number of terms (factors) in the λ th sum (product),

$$m_{l} = (m_{l,1}, m_{l,2}, \dots, m_{l,n})$$

where $m_{1,\lambda}$ is the number of terms in the λ th group;

$$y(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{i}) = y(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n);$$

$$\eta(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{i}) = \eta(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) = \log y(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{i});$$

$$a^{ij} = a(i_1^{j_1}, i_2^{j_2}, \dots, i_n^{j_n})$$

is the coefficient determined from the exact values;

$$c(\mathbf{i}^{j}) = c(i_{1}^{j_{1}}, i_{2}^{j_{2}}, ..., i_{n}^{j_{n}})$$

is the coefficient determined from the values charged with errors;

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(\mathbf{i}^{\mathbf{j}}) &= \log a(\mathbf{i}^{\mathbf{j}}); \\ \gamma(\mathbf{i}^{\mathbf{j}}) &= \log c(\mathbf{i}^{\mathbf{j}}); \\ \mathbf{p} &= (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) \end{aligned}$$

٩

where p_{λ} is the order of the function for the λ th variable;

$$\boldsymbol{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^{\mathsf{T}}$$

is the (column) vector of the variable x;

$$\boldsymbol{h} = (h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n)$$

where h_{λ} is the step of x_{λ} ;

$$\varkappa(\boldsymbol{j}) = \frac{\left(\sum_{\lambda=1}^{n} j_{\lambda}\right)!}{\prod_{\lambda=1}^{n} j_{\lambda}!}$$

is the polynomial coefficient;

$$x^{k} = \left[\left(\sum_{\mu=1}^{n} x_{\mu}\right)\right]^{k}$$

is the formal power of the sum (without the sign +);

e.g. for
$$k = 2$$
 we have $\mathbf{x}^2 = (x_1^2, 2x_1x_2, x_2^2, 2x_1x_3, 2x_2x_3, x_3^2, ..., x_n^2)^{\mathsf{T}}$;
 $\gamma = [\gamma(1^1, 2^0, 3^0, ..., n^0), \gamma(1^0, 2^1, 3^0, ..., n^0), ..., \gamma(1^0, 2^0, 3^0, ..., (n-1)^0, n^1)]$;
 $\gamma^2 = [\gamma(1^2, 2^0, 3^0, ..., n^0), \gamma(1^1, 2^1, 3^0, ..., n^0), \gamma(1^0, 2^2, 3^0, ..., n^0), \gamma(1^1, 2^0, 3^1, ..., n^0), \gamma(1^0, 2^1, 3^1, ..., n^0), \dots, \gamma(1^0, 2^0, 3^0, ..., n^2)]$.

The scalar product is

$$(\gamma^2, x^2) = \gamma(1^2, 2^0, 3^0, \dots, n^0) x_1^2 + 2\gamma(1^1, 2^1, 3^0, \dots, n^0) x_1 x_2 + + \gamma(1^0, 2^2, 3^0, \dots, n^0) x_2^2 + \dots + \gamma(1^0, 2^0, 3^0, \dots, n^2) x_n^2$$

or generally

$$(\gamma^k, \mathbf{x}^k) = \sum_{j=0}^k \varkappa(j) \gamma(i^j) \prod_{\lambda=1}^n x_{\lambda}^{j_{\lambda}}, \text{ where } \sum_{\lambda=1}^n j_{\lambda} = k, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots.$$

Using this notation we can transcribe the formula (3) into the form

(4)
$$y(x; i) = \prod_{j=0}^{p} a(i^{j})^{\Pi(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n})}$$

and further

(5)
$$\eta(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{i}) = \sum_{\mathbf{j}=0}^{p} \log a(\mathbf{i}^{\mathbf{j}}) \prod_{\lambda=1}^{n} x_{\lambda}^{j_{\lambda}} = \sum_{\mathbf{j}=0}^{p} \alpha(\mathbf{i}^{\mathbf{j}}) \prod_{\lambda=1}^{n} x_{\lambda}^{j_{\lambda}}$$

If the values are charged with errors, we have

(6) where
$$\overline{y}(x; i) = \prod_{j=0}^{p} c(i^{j})^{\Pi(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n})}$$

and

(7)
$$\bar{\eta}(\boldsymbol{x};\boldsymbol{i}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{j}=0}^{\boldsymbol{p}} \log c(\boldsymbol{i}^{\boldsymbol{j}}) \prod_{\lambda=1}^{n} x_{\lambda}^{j_{\lambda}} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{j}=0}^{\boldsymbol{p}} \gamma(\boldsymbol{i}^{\boldsymbol{j}}) \prod_{\lambda=1}^{n} x_{\lambda}^{j_{\lambda}}$$

where $c(i^{j})$ are the coefficients determined from the values charged with errors.

If we introduce, for the sake of brevity, the notation

(8)
$$(\gamma^{k}, \boldsymbol{x}^{k}) = \Gamma_{n}^{k} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{j}=0}^{k} \boldsymbol{\varkappa}(\boldsymbol{j}) \, \gamma(\boldsymbol{i}^{j}) \prod_{\lambda=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{x}_{\lambda}^{j\lambda}$$

then for the pth order formula we can write

(9)
$$\eta(x;j) = \sum_{k=0}^{p} \Gamma_{n}^{k} \text{ where } \Gamma_{n}^{0} = \gamma(j^{0}).$$

One determines the partial derivatives of Γ_n^k from the formula

(10)
$$\frac{\partial\Gamma_n^k}{\partial\left[\varkappa(j)\,\gamma(i_1^{\mu_1},\,i_2^{\mu_2},\,\ldots,\,i_n^{\mu_n})\right]} = \sum_{j=0}^k \varkappa(j)\,\gamma(i^j)\prod_{\lambda=1}^n x_{\lambda}^{j_{\lambda}+\mu_{\lambda}}, \quad \sum_{\lambda=1}^n \mu_{\lambda} = k.$$

E.g. for n = 2, k = 3 we have

$$\frac{\partial \Gamma_2^3}{\partial [\mathbf{x}(2;1) \,\gamma(i_1^2,i_2^1)]} = \sum_{j=0}^3 \mathbf{x}(j) \,\gamma(i_1^{j_1},i_2^{j_2}) \,x_1^{j_1+2} x_2^{j_2+1}$$

ĸ

3. THE LEAST SQUARES METHOD

Theorem 1. In E_n let the arguments $\mathbf{x}_q = (x_{q,1}, x_{q,2}, ..., x_{q,n})$ for q = 1, 2, ..., s correspond to the error charged values \mathbf{y}_q (e.g., the results of a measurement). If the values \mathbf{y}_q show an exponential behaviour, then the approximation function $\overline{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x})$ of the pth order according to (6) can be written in the form

(11)
$$\bar{y}(x;i) = \prod_{j=0}^{p} c(i^{j})^{\prod(x_{1},\ldots,x_{2})}.$$

Proof. Let us construct the function of the sum of squares of the derivatives

(12)
$$\Phi[\gamma] = \sum_{i} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{p} \Gamma_{n}^{k} - \eta(x; i)\right]^{2}$$

A necessary condition for the minimum of the function $\Phi[\gamma]$ is the validity of the relation

(13)
$$\frac{\partial \Phi[\gamma]}{\partial \gamma_{\tau}} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \tau = 1, 2, ..., T$$

where T denotes the number of all variables γ of $\Phi[\gamma]$. After executing the calculation the relation (13) assumes the form

(14)
$$2\sum_{\boldsymbol{i}} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\boldsymbol{p}} \Gamma_n^k - \eta(\boldsymbol{x}; \boldsymbol{i})\right] \prod_{\lambda=1}^n x_{\lambda}^{\mu_{\tau,\lambda}} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \tau = 1, 2, \dots, T$$

where $\mu_{\tau,\lambda}$ is an element of

$$\gamma_{\tau}\left(i_{1}^{\mu_{\tau,1}}, i_{2}^{\mu_{\tau,2}}, \ldots, i_{\lambda}^{\mu_{\tau,\lambda}}, \ldots, i_{n}^{\mu_{\tau,n}}\right).$$

The formula (14) is a system of T linear equation with T unknowns γ_{τ} for $\tau = 1, 2, ..., T$. Solving this system we obtain the values γ_{τ} , and taking antilogarithms we get the parameters c which, put into (3), determine the approximation function (11).

Note 2. It is necessary to remark that one may use the above method only if the "multidimensional table" contains the knots of the values whose coordinates occur in the formulas of the method.

4. METHOD OF CUMULATED VALUES

This method, which is closely related to the King formula method, consists in cumulating (by addition or multiplication) some successive values. From the table obtained in this way it is possible to determine the parameters of the approximation function. Here also the condition of knots existence must be satisfied. Now, if we want to construct a *p*-order formula, we must divide the number of all arguments *s* by p + 1.

In this manner we obtain the number of the cumulated values m, namely

(15)
$$m = \frac{s}{p+1}$$
 where $s = (s_1, s_2, ..., s_n)$ and $p = (p_1, p_2, ..., p_n)$.

So instead of all values of the table

(16)
$$y_i = \prod_{j=0}^{p-1} c_j^{x_i^j}$$
 for $i = 1, 2, ..., s_i$

we have only the cumulated values

(17)
$$Y_l = \prod_{i=(l-1)m+1}^{lm} y_i = \prod_{k=0}^p c_k^{i=(l-1)m+1} x_i^k$$
 for $l = 1, 2, ...$

The number of Y_i is essentially less than the number of y_i .

Theorem 2. In E_n let the arguments $x_q = (x_{q,1}, x_{q,2}, ..., x_{q,n})$ for q = 1, 2, ..., s correspond to the error charged values y_q (e.g., the results of a measurement). Further, let the values y_q show an exponential behaviour. Then the approximation function K(x) of the pth order has the form

(18)
$$K(x;i) = \prod_{j=0}^{p} c(i^{j})^{\Pi(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n})}.$$

Proof. The function $\bar{y}(x; i)$ of the *p*th order has p + 1 constants. We assume that the quotient of this function is a function of the (p - 1)st order and so it will have *p*

constants. One has to prove that the order of the quotient of the function is indeed lower than that of the function.

We transform the primary table with s values into a table with p + 1 values by introducing cumulated values the number of which is m = s/(p + 1). Moreover, introducing the notation

(19)
$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{t} = \sum_{u=1}^{m} \boldsymbol{u}^{t} \quad \text{for} \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{t} = (\sigma_{1,t_{1}}, \sigma_{2,t_{2}}, \dots, \sigma_{n,t_{n}})$$

we can write the exponent in the formula (17) in the form

(20)
$$\sum_{i=(l-1)m+1}^{lm} x_i^k = \sum_{t=0}^k \binom{k}{t} x_{(l-1)m}^{k-t} h^t \sigma_t.$$

Hence the exponent of the quotient of two successive terms is given by the formula

(21)
$$\sum_{i=lm+1}^{(l+1)m} x_i^k - \sum_{i=(l-1)m+1}^{lm} x_i^k = \sum_{t=0}^{k-1} \binom{k}{t} (x_{lm}^{k-t} - x_{(l-1)m}^{k-t}) h^t \sigma_t.$$

As the right-hand side of the formula (21) shows, the degree of the polynomial in the exponent is k - 1 and therefore the quotient of the primary function also diminishes by 1. Consequently, if the order of the function $\bar{y}(x; i)$ is p, the quotient $Q\bar{y}(x; i)$ will have the order p - 1 and $Q^e \bar{y}(x; i)$ the order $p - \varrho$. Let the φ th component of the function $\bar{y}(x; i)$, i.e. \bar{y}_{φ} , be of the p_{φ} th order, then $Q^{p_{\varphi}}\bar{y}_{\varphi}$ will be constant and $Q^{p_{\varphi+1}} = 1$.

From $\bar{y}(x; i)$ by successive calculation we get $Q^g \bar{y}(x; i)$ for g = 1, 2, ..., p, which together with the primary function forms a system of p + 1 equations with p + 1 unknowns $c(i^j)$. Substituting the result into the formula (11) we determine the approximation function of the (p + 1)st order, q.e.d.

5. THE POLYNOMIAL METHOD

The polynomial method is a classical one, which follows the least square method for the primary values and yields a polynomial as an approximation function. This method gives, even for a large number of values, results with a considerably smaller accuracy and therefore it is less suitable for determining an approximation function for values showing exponential behaviour.

6. APPLICATIONS

We give an example of constructing an approximation function for a function of 1 variable (in E_1). If the values y_i are not charged with errors, we actually have an exponential interpolation, which has the form

(22)
$$y_i = \prod_{j=0}^{s-1} a_j^{x_i^{j}}, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., s$$
.

The parameters of the function are defined by means of rooted quotients (because this will be the case of nonequal intervals) [1].

Note 3. In contradistinction to the main text, in this case the symbols x_1 , x_2 , x_3 , do not mean different variables but only concrete numerical values of the variable x.

A. The values of y_i not charged with errors

Example 1. One has to find a function y(x) satisfying $y_i = f(x_i)$ for i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, if the values are not charged with errors. The values x_i and y_i are given in Table I.

| | Table I | | | | | | | |
|--------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| i | $y(x_i) = y_i$ | Q | Q^2 | | | | | |
| 1 | y(1.12) = 18.860280 | Q[1.12; 1.23] = 19.225719 | $Q^{2}[1.12; 1.23; 1.35] = 3.833175$ | | | | | |
| 2 | y(1.23) = 26.108098 | $Q[1\cdot 23; 1\cdot 35] = 26\cdot 187925$ | $Q^{2}[1\cdot 23; 1\cdot 35; 1\cdot 48] = 4\cdot 165739$ | | | | | |
| 3 | y(1.35) = 38.632015 | Q[1.35; 1.48] = 37.413134 | $Q^{2}[1\cdot35; 1\cdot48; 1\cdot56] = 4\cdot495876$ | | | | | |
| 4 | y(1.48) = 61.864355 | Q[1.48; 1.56] = 51.299731 | | | | | | |
| 5 | y(1.56) = 84.771466 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| i | Q^3 | | Q^4 | | | | | |
| 1 2 | $Q^{3}[1.12; 1.23; 1.35;]$ $Q^{3}[1.23; 1.35; 1.48;]$ | $[.48] = 1.260000$ $Q^4[1.12]$ [.56] = 1.260000 | ; 1.23; 1.35; 1.48; 1.56] = 1.000000 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

In view of the fact that we have 5 values, we assume a formula with 5 parameters a_j for j = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4. Therefore we write the given function in the form

$$y = a_0 \cdot a_1^x \cdot a_2^{x^2} \cdot a_3^{x^3} \cdot a_4^{x^4}$$

By calculating all rooted quotients in Table I one can see that the fourth rooted quotient has the value 1 and then the above mentioned function reduces to

$$y = a_0 \cdot a_1^x \cdot a_2^{x^2} \cdot a_3^{x^3}$$
.

The rooted quotients of this function (defined in [1]) for i = 1 are

$$Q[x_1, x_2] = a_1 \cdot a_2^{x_1 + x_2} \cdot a_3^{x_1^2 + x_1 x_2 + x_2^2}, \quad Q^2[x_1, x_2, x_3] = a_2 \cdot a_3^{x_1 + x_2 + x_3},$$
$$Q^3[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4] = a_3.$$

These expressions together with

$$y_1 = a_0 \cdot a_1^{x_1} \cdot a_2^{x_1^2} \cdot a_3^{x_1^3}$$

give the left-hand sides of the system of equations for the calculation of the values a_j for j = 0, 1, 2, 3. By solving this system we obtain with an accuracy of 10^{-3} the values

 $a_3 = 1.26000$, $a_2 = 1.63000$, $a_1 = 2.34000$ and $a_0 = 2.85000$.

The desired expression has the form

$$v = 2.85 \cdot 2.34^{x} \cdot 1.63^{x^{2}} \cdot 1.26^{x^{3}}$$
.

The polynomial approximation function calculated from the values y_i for i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 has the form

$$\bar{y}(x) = 660.420895x^4 - 3059.626853x^3 + 5451.728535x^2 - 4358.644910x + 1321.264676.$$

For the value x not included in Table I the values $\bar{y}(x)$ differ from those of y(x). In order to compare both formulas we introduce the values of the both functions for some arguments not included in Table I:

| y(1.18) = 22.43270 | $\bar{y}(1.18) = 22.39501$ |
|--------------------|----------------------------|
| y(1.29) = 31.60087 | $\bar{y}(1.29) = 31.62346$ |
| y(1.41) = 47.71448 | $\bar{y}(1.41) = 47.68768$ |
| y(1.52) = 72.23926 | $\bar{y}(1.52) = 72.26846$ |

B. The values of y_i charged with errors

Let us assume that the approximation function of the pth order for the given function values has the form

(23)
$$y = \prod_{j=0}^{\nu} c_j^{x^j}$$

The system of equations for the parameters is

(24)
$$\prod_{i=1}^{s} \prod_{j=0}^{p} c_{j}^{x_{i}^{i+r}} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} z_{i}^{x_{i}^{r}} \text{ for } r = 0, 1, 2, ..., p,$$

where the values z_i are charged with errors.

Example 2. In the second column of Table II the values of z(x) corresponding to the arguments x are given. The values of z(x) are charged with errors (we can assume them e.g. to be results of a measurement). If we either know or can justifiably

| Table II | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|--------|-----------------------|--------|--------|-------------|-------------|-----------|--|--|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| x | z(x) | <i>y</i> (<i>x</i>) | K(x) | P(x) | y(x) - z(x) | K(x) - z(x) | P(x)-z(x) | | |
| 1.4 | 3.316 | 3.306 | 3.298 | 4.455 | -0.010 | -0.018 | +1.139 | | |
| 1.5 | 3.531 | 3.539 | 3.533 | 4.215 | + 0.008 | +0.005 | +0.684 | | |
| 1.6 | 3.802 | 3.798 | 3.793 | 4.085 | -0.004 | 0.009 | +0.583 | | |
| 1.7 | 4.058 | 4.086 | 4.084 | 4.064 | +0.058 | +0.026 | +0.006 | | |
| 1.8 | 4.413 | 4.408 | 4.408 | 4.154 | -0.002 | -0.002 | -0.259 | | |
| 1.9 | 4.759 | 4.768 | 4.769 | 4.353 | +0.009 | +0.010 | -0.406 | | |
| 2.0 | 5.178 | 5.171 | 5.173 | 4.662 | -0.002 | -0.002 | -0.516 | | |
| 2.1 | 5.615 | 5.623 | 5.626 | 5.081 | +0.008 | +0.011 | -0.534 | | |
| 2.2 | 6.142 | 6.130 | 6.134 | 5.610 | -0.015 | -0.008 | -0.532 | | |
| 2.3 | 6.686 | 6.700 | 6.704 | 6.249 | +0.014 | +0.018 | -0.437 | | |
| 2.4 | 7.356 | 7.343 | 7.347 | 6.998 | -0.013 | -0.009 | -0.358 | | |
| 2.5 | 8.081 | 8.069 | 8.071 | 7.856 | -0.015 | -0.010 | -0.222 | | |
| 2.6 | 8.872 | 8.889 | 8.888 | 8.825 | +0.011 | +0.016 | 0.042 | | |
| 2.7 | 9.791 | 9.819 | 9.814 | 9.903 | +0.028 | +0.023 | +0.112 | | |
| 2.8 | 10.903 | 10.874 | 10.863 | 11.092 | -0.029 | -0.040 | +0.189 | | |
| 2.9 | 12.001 | 12.074 | 12.055 | 12.390 | +0.073 | +0.024 | +0.389 | | |
| 3.0 | 13.480 | 13.442 | 13.411 | 13.798 | -0.038 | -0.069 | +0.318 | | |
| 3.1 | 14.957 | 15.004 | 14.958 | 15.316 | +0.047 | +0.001 | +0.359 | | |
| | | | | | +0.102 | -0.012 | +0.165 | | |

suppose that the values of z(x) show an exponential behaviour, one has to find a function which agrees with the given values as well as possible. We show the solution by each of the three methods.

a. The least squares method

Here we use the formula (24). If we denote $x_i = 1 \cdot 3 + 0 \cdot 1$. *i* for i = 1, 2, ..., 18, we have

$$\prod_{i=1}^{18} z(x_i) = 6.170598 \cdot 10^{14} \text{ for } r = 0,$$

$$\prod_{i=1}^{18} z(x_i)^{x_i} = 1.410650 \cdot 10^{35} \text{ for } r = 1,$$

$$\prod_{i=1}^{18} z(x_i)^{x_i^2} = 2.167399 \cdot 10^{87} \text{ for } r = 2.$$

By solving this system we obtain

$$y(x) = 1.67534 \cdot 1.35341^{x} \cdot 1.13925^{x^{2}}$$
.

b. Method of cumulated values

In the case of the modified King's formula we start from the formula (17). Here p = 2 and m = 6. Therefore

$$Y_{1} = \prod_{i=1}^{6} c_{0} \cdot c_{1}^{x_{i}} \cdot c_{2}^{x_{i}^{2}}, \quad Y_{2} = \prod_{i=7}^{12} c_{0} \cdot c_{1}^{x_{i}} \cdot c_{2}^{x_{i}^{2}}, \quad Y_{3} = \prod_{i=13}^{18} c_{0} \cdot c_{1}^{x_{i}} \cdot c_{2}^{x_{i}^{2}}.$$

The quotients are given by the expressions

6

$$Q[1, 2] = \frac{Y_2}{Y_1}, \quad Q[2, 3] = \frac{Y_3}{Y_2}, \quad Q^2[1, 2, 3] = \frac{Q[2, 3]}{Q[1, 2]}.$$

The calculation can proceed according to the following scheme:

$$Y_{1} = \prod_{i=1}^{6} z(x_{i}) = 3793.9013$$

$$Q[1, 2] = 18.707200$$

$$Y_{2} = \prod_{i=7}^{12} z(x_{i}) = 70973.271$$

$$Q^{2}[1, 2, 3] = 1.7260080.$$

$$Q[2, 3] = 32.288777$$

$$Y_{3} = \prod_{i=13}^{18} z(x_{i}) = 2291640.1$$

From the formulas for $Q^2[1, 2, 3]$, Q[1, 2] and Y_1 we successively obtain $a_2 = 1.13467$, $a_1 = 1.37828$, $a_0 = 1.64293$ and so the approximation function has the form $K(x) = 1.64293 \cdot 1.37828^x \cdot 1.13467^{x^2}$.

c. The polynomial method

Let the 2nd order polynomial approximation function have the form $P(x) = c_0 + c_1 x + c_2 x^2$. The classical least squares method used for the primary values lead s to a system of equations for c_0 , c_1 and c_2 . The right-hand sides of these equations are the sums

$$\sum_{i=1}^{18} z(x_i) = 132.941 , \quad \sum_{i=1}^{18} x_i z(x_i) = 330.4417 , \quad \sum_{i=1}^{18} x_i^2 z(x_i) = 854.64595 .$$

By solving this system we get the parameters $c_0 = 19.35604$, $c_1 = -18.33557$, $c_2 = 5.49430$ and the approximation function will have the form $P(x) = 19.35604 - 18.33557x + 5.49430x^2$.

The columns 3, 4 and 5 of Table II contain the values of the approximation functions y(x), K(x) and P(x) while the columns 6, 7 and 8 give the deviations of the values of these functions from the corresponding values of the function z(x). In the last rows of the 6th, 7th and 8th columns, the sums of the deviations are given. To the purpose of comparing the accuracy of the particular approximation functions we give the sums of squares of the deviations:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{18} [y(x_i) - z(x_i)]^2 = 0.013, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{18} [K(x_i) - z(x_i)]^2 = 0.012,$$
$$\sum_{i=1}^{18} [P(x_i) - z(x_i)]^2 = 3.713.$$

As follows from these values, the polynomial function used for the approximation of functions with an exponential character is significantly worse than the functions based on the exponential function. Finally, it is necessary to remark that the technique of calculation of the function K(x) is much simpler than that of the function y(x)with roughly the same accuracy, as the sum of squares of the deviations shows.

Reference

 A. Huta: On exponential interpolation. Acta Facultatis Rerum Naturalium Universitatis Comenianae, Mathematica XXXV (1979), 157–183.

Súhrn

O EXPONENCIÁLNEJ APROXIMÁCII

ANTON HUŤA

Problém. Treba nájsť reálnu funkciu (1) premenných x_i pre $i = 1, 2, ..., n \vee E_n$, aby pre vopred dané pevné hodnoty $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$ nadobudla určité reálne hodnoty, pre ktoré platí (2).

Ak funkcia sledujúca rozdelenie týchto hodnôt má exponenciálny charakter, potom za aproximujúcu funkciu je vhodné zvoliť funkciu tvaru (3), ktorá uvedené rozdelenie lepšie vystihuje ako iné aproximujúce funkcie (napr. založené na polynómoch). V práci sú uvedené tri metódy: 1. Metóda najmenších štvorcov prispôsobená pre exponenciálny priebeh funkcie. 2 .Metóda kumulatívnych hodnôt tzv. Kingova formula. 3. Metóda polynomická spomenutá len okrajove za účelom porovnania s predchádzajúcimi.

V aplikácii je poukázané na numerický výpočet aproximujúcej funkcie podľa uvedených metód ako aj ich nepresnosti.

Author's address: Prof. RNDr. Anton Huta, Ústav technickej kybernetiky SAV, Dúbravská 9, 842 37 Bratislava.