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# ON EXPONENTIAL APPROXIMATION 

Anton Huťa<br>(Received November 10, 1983)

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A large number of events (processes) especially in economy, medicine, biology, physics, chemistry etc. have an exponential character. Therefore, when constructing the formula expressing such a process, the natural starting point is the exponential function, which often reproduces the process more truly than other functions (e.g. polynomials). The aim of this article is to show one kind of exponential approximation.

Problem. One has to find a real function

$$
\begin{equation*}
y\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \text { for }\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in E_{n} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that it assumes given real values for prescribed fixed values of $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$. In this article we will limit ourselves only to the case that for the whole definition domain the following inequality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)>0 . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the given values have an exponential distribution, so that a function which follows this distribution has an exponential character, then it is of advantage to choose as an approximation function a function of the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
y\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n} ; i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{n}\right)=  \tag{3}\\
=\prod_{j_{1}=0}^{p_{1}} \prod_{j_{2}=0}^{p_{2}} \ldots \prod_{j_{n}=0}^{p_{n}} a\left(i_{1}^{j_{1}}, i_{2}^{j_{2}}, \ldots, i_{n}^{j_{n}}\right)^{\Pi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)},
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\Pi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\prod_{\lambda=1}^{n} x_{\lambda}^{j_{\lambda}}$ and the order of the approximation is $p=\sum_{\lambda=1}^{n} p_{\lambda}$.
Note 1. The $n$-tuple $\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{n}\right)$ is an arbitrary permutation of the numbers $1,2, \ldots, n$ which denotes the order of the products. In the simplest case we have the 1 st permutation, i.e. $i_{\lambda}=\lambda$ for $\lambda=1,2, \ldots, n$. The symbols $a\left(i_{1}^{j_{1}}, i_{2}^{j_{2}}, \ldots, i_{n}^{j_{n}}\right)$ denote
only the constants belonging to the exponents $\prod_{\lambda=1}^{n} x_{\lambda}^{j_{\lambda}}=x_{1}^{j_{1}} \cdot x_{2}^{j_{2}} \ldots \ldots x_{n}^{j_{n}}$ in the relation (3). At the same time, $j_{\lambda}$ in the expression $i_{\lambda}^{j_{\lambda}}$ is no exponent, it only indicates how many times $i_{\lambda}$ occurs.

Now let us consider an $n$-dimensional "table" with equidistant arguments for the function $y$. If the values in the table are exact, then one can determine the coefficients $a$ by means of exponential interpolation. However, if the values are charged with errors, then it is necessary to use the exponential approximation.

We will show two methods: The former is based on the method of the least squares while the latter is connected with the method occuring when deriving the King formula used for determining the parameters of the Gompertz-Makeham law.

## 2. NOTATION AND SYMBOLS

For the sake of brevity it is advantageous to introduce some symbols (vectors):

$$
\boldsymbol{i}=\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{n}\right)
$$

where $i_{\lambda}$ is the index of the $\lambda$ th sum ( $\lambda$ th product);

$$
l=\left(l_{1}, l_{2}, \ldots, l_{p}\right)
$$

where $l_{\lambda}$ is the $\lambda$ th cumulated group;

$$
\boldsymbol{Y}_{\boldsymbol{I}}=\left(Y_{1, l_{1}}, Y_{2, l_{2}}, \ldots, Y_{p, l_{p}}\right)
$$

where $Y_{\lambda, I_{\lambda}}$ is the value of the $\lambda$ th cumulated group;

$$
\boldsymbol{s}=\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{n}\right)
$$

where $s_{\lambda}$ is the number of terms (factors) in the $\lambda$ th sum (product),

$$
\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{l}}=\left(m_{l, 1}, m_{l, 2}, \ldots, m_{l, n}\right)
$$

where $m_{l, \lambda}$ is the number of terms in the $\lambda$ th group;

$$
\begin{gathered}
y(\boldsymbol{x} ; \boldsymbol{i})=y\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n} ; i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{n}\right) ; \\
\eta(\boldsymbol{x} ; \boldsymbol{i})=\eta\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n} ; i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{n}\right)=\log y(\boldsymbol{x} ; \boldsymbol{i}) ; \\
\left.a \boldsymbol{i}^{j}\right)=a\left(i_{1}^{j_{1}}, i_{2}^{j_{2}}, \ldots, i_{n}^{j_{n}}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

is the coefficient determined from the exact values;

$$
\left.c_{1}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{i}^{j^{j}}\right)=c\left(i_{1}^{j_{1}}, i_{2}^{i_{2}}, \ldots, i_{n}^{j_{n}}\right)
$$

is the coefficient determined from the values charged with errors;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\alpha^{\prime} \boldsymbol{i}^{\boldsymbol{j}}\right)=\log a^{( }\left(\boldsymbol{i}^{\boldsymbol{j}}\right) ; \\
& \left.\gamma\left(\boldsymbol{i}^{\boldsymbol{j}}\right)=\log c_{i} \boldsymbol{i}^{j}\right) ; \\
& \boldsymbol{p}=\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $p_{\lambda}$ is the order of the function for the $\lambda$ th variable;

$$
\boldsymbol{x}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{\top}
$$

is the (column) vector of the variable $x$;

$$
\boldsymbol{h}=\left(h_{1}, h_{2}, \ldots, h_{n}\right)
$$

where $h_{\lambda}$ is the step of $x_{\lambda}$;

$$
\boldsymbol{x}(\boldsymbol{j})=\frac{\left(\sum_{\lambda=1}^{n} j_{\lambda}\right)!}{\prod_{\lambda=1}^{n} j_{\lambda}!}
$$

is the polynomial coefficient;

$$
\boldsymbol{x}^{k}=\left[\left(\sum_{\mu=1}^{n} x_{\mu}\right)\right]^{k}
$$

is the formal power of the sum (without the sign + );

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { e.g. for } k=2 \text { we have } \boldsymbol{x}^{2}=\left(x_{1}^{2}, 2 x_{1} x_{2}, x_{2}^{2}, 2 x_{1} x_{3}, 2 x_{2} x_{3}, x_{3}^{2}, \ldots, x_{n}^{2}\right)^{\top} ; \\
\gamma=\left[\gamma\left(1^{1}, 2^{0}, 3^{0}, \ldots, n^{0}\right), \gamma\left(1^{0}, 2^{1}, 3^{0}, \ldots, n^{0}\right), \ldots, \gamma\left(1^{0}, 2^{0}, 3^{0}, \ldots,(n-1)^{0}, n^{1}\right)\right] ; \\
\gamma^{2}=\left[\gamma\left(1^{2}, 2^{0}, 3^{0}, \ldots, n^{0}\right), \gamma\left(1^{1}, 2^{1}, 3^{0}, \ldots, n^{0}\right), \gamma\left(1^{0}, 2^{2}, 3^{0}, \ldots, n^{0}\right),\right. \\
\left.\gamma\left(1^{1}, 2^{0}, 3^{1}, \ldots, n^{0}\right), \gamma\left(1^{0}, 2^{1}, 3^{1}, \ldots, n^{0}\right), \ldots, \gamma\left(1^{0}, 2^{0}, 3^{0}, \ldots, n^{2}\right)\right] .
\end{gathered}
$$

The scalar product is

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\gamma^{2}, x^{2}\right)=\gamma\left(1^{2}, 2^{0}, 3^{0}, \ldots, n^{0}\right) x_{1}^{2}+2 \gamma\left(1^{1}, 2^{1}, 3^{0}, \ldots, n^{0}\right) x_{1} x_{2}+ \\
+\gamma\left(1^{0}, 2^{2}, 3^{0}, \ldots, n^{0}\right) x_{2}^{2}+\ldots+\gamma\left(1^{0}, 2^{0}, 3^{0}, \ldots, n^{2}\right) x_{n}^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

or generally

$$
\left(\gamma^{k}, \boldsymbol{x}^{k}\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{k} x(\boldsymbol{j}) \gamma\left(i^{j}\right) \prod_{\lambda=1}^{n} x_{\lambda}^{j_{\lambda}}, \quad \text { where } \quad \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n} j_{\lambda}=k, \quad k=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

Using this notation we can transcribe the formula (3) into the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(\boldsymbol{x} ; \boldsymbol{i})=\prod_{\boldsymbol{j}=0}^{\boldsymbol{p}} a\left(\boldsymbol{i}^{\boldsymbol{j}}\right)^{\Pi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and further

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(x ; i)=\sum_{j=0}^{p} \log a\left(i^{j}\right) \prod_{\lambda=1}^{n} x_{\lambda}^{j_{\lambda}}=\sum_{j=0}^{p} \alpha\left(i^{j}\right) \prod_{\lambda=1}^{n} x_{\lambda}^{j \lambda} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the values are charged with errors, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{y}(\boldsymbol{x} ; \boldsymbol{i})=\prod_{\boldsymbol{j}=0}^{\boldsymbol{p}} c^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{i}^{\boldsymbol{j}}\right)^{\Pi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\eta}(x ; i)=\sum_{j=0}^{p} \log c\left(i^{j}\right) \prod_{\lambda=1}^{n} x_{\lambda}^{j_{\lambda}}=\sum_{j=0}^{p} \gamma\left(i^{j}\right) \prod_{\lambda=1}^{n} x_{\lambda}^{j_{\lambda}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c\left(i^{j}\right)$ are the coefficients determined from the values charged with errors.
If we introduce, for the sake of brevity, the notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\gamma^{k}, x^{k}\right)=\Gamma_{n}^{k}=\sum_{j=0}^{k} x(j) \gamma\left(i^{j}\right) \prod_{\lambda=1}^{n} x_{\lambda}^{j_{\lambda}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

then for the $p$ th order formula we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(x ; \boldsymbol{j})=\sum_{k=0}^{p} \Gamma_{n}^{k} \quad \text { where } \quad \Gamma_{n}^{0}=\gamma\left(\boldsymbol{j}^{0}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

One determines the partial derivatives of $\Gamma_{n}^{k}$ from the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \Gamma_{n}^{k}}{\partial\left[x(\boldsymbol{j}) \gamma\left(i_{1}^{\mu_{1}}, i_{2}^{\mu_{2}}, \ldots, i_{n}^{\mu_{n}}\right)\right]}=\sum_{\boldsymbol{j}=0}^{k} x(\boldsymbol{j}) \gamma\left(\boldsymbol{i}^{\boldsymbol{j}}\right) \prod_{\lambda=1}^{n} x_{\lambda}^{j_{\lambda}+\mu_{\lambda}}, \quad \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n} \mu_{\lambda}=k . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

E.g. for $n=2, k=3$ we have

$$
\frac{\partial \Gamma_{2}^{3}}{\partial\left[\varkappa(2 ; 1) \gamma\left(i_{1}^{2}, i_{2}^{1}\right)\right]}=\sum_{j=0}^{3} \boldsymbol{x}(j) \gamma\left(i_{1}^{j_{1}}, i_{2}^{j_{2}}\right) x_{1}^{j_{1}+2} x_{2}^{j_{2}+1}
$$

## 3. THE LEAST SQUARES METHOD

Theorem 1. In $E_{n}$ let the arguments $x_{q}=\left(x_{q, 1}, x_{q, 2}, \ldots, x_{q, n}\right)$ for $q=1,2, \ldots, s$ correspond to the error charged values $\boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{q}}$ (e.g., the results of a measurement). If the values $\boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{q}}$ show an exponential behaviour, then the approximation function $\overline{\boldsymbol{y}}(\boldsymbol{x})$ of the $p$ th order according to (6) can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{y}(x ; i)=\prod_{j=0}^{p} c\left(i^{j}\right)^{\Pi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{2}\right)} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let us construct the function of the sum of squares of the derivatives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi[\gamma]=\sum_{i}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{p} \Gamma_{n}^{k}-\eta(x ; i)\right]^{2} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

A necessary condition for the minimum of the function $\Phi[\gamma]$ is the validity of the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \Phi[\gamma]}{\partial \gamma_{\tau}}=0 \text { for } \tau=1,2, \ldots, T \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T$ denotes the number of all variables $\gamma$ of $\Phi[\gamma]$. After executing the calculation the relation (13) assumes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \sum_{i}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{p} \Gamma_{n}^{k}-\eta(\boldsymbol{x} ; \boldsymbol{i})\right] \prod_{\lambda=1}^{n} x_{\lambda}^{\mu_{\tau}, \lambda}=0 \quad \text { for } \quad \tau=1,2, \ldots, T \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu_{\mathrm{t}, \lambda}$ is an element of

$$
\gamma_{\tau}\left(i_{1}^{\mu_{\tau, 1}}, i_{2}^{\mu_{\tau, 2}}, \ldots, i_{\lambda}^{\mu_{\tau, \lambda}}, \ldots, i_{n}^{\mu_{\tau, n}}\right) .
$$

The formula (14) is a system of $T$ linear equation with $T$ unknowns $\gamma_{\tau}$ for $\tau=1,2, \ldots$ $\ldots, T$. Solving this system we obtain the values $\gamma_{\tau}$, and taking antilogarithms we get the parameters $c$ which, put into (3), determine the approximation function (11).

Note 2.It is necessary to remark that one may use the above method only if the "multidimensional table" contains the knots of the values whose coordinates occur in the formulas of the method.

## 4. METHOD OF CUMULATED VALUES

This method, which is closely related to the King formula method, consists in cumulating (by addition or multiplication) some successive values. From the table obtained in this way it is possible to determine the parameters of the approximation function. Here also the condition of knots existence must be satisfied. Now, if we want to construct a $p$-order formula, we must divide the number of all arguments $s$ by $\boldsymbol{p}+1$.

In this manner we obtain the number of the cumulated values $\boldsymbol{m}$, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{m}=\frac{\boldsymbol{s}}{\boldsymbol{p}+1} \quad \text { where } \quad \boldsymbol{s}=\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{n}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \boldsymbol{p}=\left(p_{1}, p_{2},, . ., p_{n}\right) . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

So instead of all values of the table

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{i}=\prod_{j=0}^{p-1} c_{j}^{x_{i}^{j}} \text { for } i=1,2, \ldots, s_{i} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have only the cumulated values

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{l}=\prod_{i=(l-1) m+1}^{l m} y_{i}=\prod_{k=0}^{p} c_{k}^{\sum_{i=(l-1) m+1}^{l m} x_{i}^{k}} \text { for } l=1,2, \ldots \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The number of $\boldsymbol{Y}_{\boldsymbol{l}}$ is essentially less than the number of $\boldsymbol{y}_{i}$.
Theorem 2. In $E_{n}$ let the arguments $x_{q}=\left(x_{q, 1}, x_{q, 2}, \ldots, x_{q, n}\right)$ for $q=1,2, \ldots, s$ correspond to the error charged values $y_{q}$ (e.g., the results of a measurement). Further, let the values $\boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{q}}$ show an exponential behaviour. Then the approximation function $K(x)$ of the $p$ th order has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(x ; i)=\prod_{j=0}^{p} c\left(i^{j}\right)^{\Pi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The function $\overline{\boldsymbol{y}}(\boldsymbol{x} ; \boldsymbol{i})$ of the $\boldsymbol{p}$ th order has $\boldsymbol{p}+1$ constants. We assume that the quotient of this function is a function of the $(\boldsymbol{p}-1)$ st order and so it will have $\boldsymbol{p}$
constants. One has to prove that the order of the quotient of the function is indeed lower than that of the function.

We transform the primary table with $\boldsymbol{s}$ values into a table with $\boldsymbol{p}+1$ values by introducing cumulated values the number of which is $\boldsymbol{m}=\boldsymbol{s} /(\boldsymbol{p}+1)$. Moreover, introducing the notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\boldsymbol{t}}=\sum_{u=1}^{m} \boldsymbol{u}^{\boldsymbol{t}} \text { for } \sigma_{\boldsymbol{t}}=\left(\sigma_{1, t_{1}}, \sigma_{2, t_{2}}, \ldots, \sigma_{n, t_{n}}\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can write the exponent in the formula (17) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=(t-1) m+1}^{l m} x_{i}^{k}=\sum_{t=0}^{k}\binom{k}{t} x_{(t-1) m}^{k-t} h^{t} \sigma_{t} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence the exponent of the quotient of two successive terms is given by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=l m+1}^{(t+1) m} x_{i}^{k}-\sum_{i=(t-1) m+1}^{l m} x_{i}^{k}=\sum_{t=0}^{k-1}\binom{k}{t}\left(x_{l m}^{k-t}-x_{(t-1) m}^{k-t}\right) h^{t} \sigma_{t} . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

As the right-hand side of the formula(21) shows, the degree of the polynomial in the exponent is $\boldsymbol{k}-1$ and therefore the quotient of the primary function also diminishes by 1 . Consequently, if the order of the function $\overline{\boldsymbol{y}}(\boldsymbol{x} ; \boldsymbol{i})$ is $\boldsymbol{p}$, the quotient $Q \overline{\boldsymbol{y}}(\boldsymbol{x} ; \boldsymbol{i})$ will have the order $\boldsymbol{p}-1$ and $Q^{e} \overline{\boldsymbol{y}}(\boldsymbol{x} ; \boldsymbol{i})$ the order $\boldsymbol{p}-\varrho$. Let the $\varphi$ th component of the function $\overline{\boldsymbol{y}}(\boldsymbol{x} ; \boldsymbol{i})$, i.e. $\bar{y}_{\varphi}$, be of the $p_{\varphi}$ th order, then $Q^{p_{\varphi}} \bar{y}_{\varphi}$ will be constant and $Q^{p_{\varphi}+1}=1$.

From $\bar{y}(x ; i)$ by successive calculation we get $Q^{g} \bar{y}(x ; i)$ for $g=1,2, \ldots, p$, which together with the primary function forms a system of $\boldsymbol{p}+1$ equations with $\boldsymbol{p}+1$ unknowns $c\left(\boldsymbol{i}^{j}\right)$. Substituting the result into the formula (11) we determine the approximation function of the $(\boldsymbol{p}+1)$ st order, q.e.d.

## 5. THE POLYNOMIAL METHOD

The polynomial method is a classical one, which follows the least square method for the primary values and yields a polynomial as an approximation function. This method gives, even for a large number of values, results with a considerably smaller accuracy and therefore it is less suitable for determining an approximation function for values showing exponential behaviour.

## 6. APPLICATIONS

We give an example of constructing an approximation function for a function of 1 variable (in $E_{1}$ ). If the values $y_{i}$ are not charged with errors, 'we actually have an exponential interpolation, which has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{i}=\prod_{j=0}^{s-1} a_{i}^{x_{i}{ }^{j}}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, s . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The parameters of the function are defined by means of rooted quotients (because this will be the case of nonequal intervals) [1].

Note 3. In contradistinction to the main text, in this case the symbols $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ do not mean different variables but only concrete numerical values of the variable $x$.

## A. The values of $y_{i}$ not charged with errors

Example 1. One has to find a function $y(x)$ satisfying $y_{i}=f\left(x_{i}\right)$ for $i=1,2,3$, 4,5 , if the values are not charged with errors. The values $x_{i}$ and $y_{i}$ are given in Table I.

Table I

| i | $y\left(x_{i}\right)=y_{i}$ | $Q$ | $Q^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $y(1 \cdot 12)=18 \cdot 860280$ | $Q[1 \cdot 12 ; 1 \cdot 23]=19 \cdot 225719$ | $Q^{2}[1 \cdot 12 ; 1 \cdot 23 ; 1 \cdot 35]=3.833175$ |
| 2 | $y(1 \cdot 23)=26 \cdot 108098$ | $Q[1 \cdot 23 ; 1 \cdot 35]=26.187925$ | $Q^{2}[1.23 ; 1.35 ; 1.48]=4.165739$ |
| 3 | $y(1.35)=38.632015$ | $Q[1.35 ; 1.48]=37.413134$ | $Q^{2}[1.35 ; 1.48 ; 1.56]=4.495876$ |
| 4 | $y(1.48)=61.864355$ | $Q[1.48 ; 1.56]=51.299731$ |  |
| 5 | $y(1 \cdot 56)=84 \cdot 771466$ |  |  |

$\qquad$
$i \quad Q^{3} Q^{4}$
$1 \quad Q^{3}[1 \cdot 12 ; 1 \cdot 23 ; 1 \cdot 35 ; 1 \cdot 48]=1 \cdot 260000 \quad Q^{4}[1 \cdot 12 ; 1 \cdot 23 ; 1 \cdot 35 ; 1 \cdot 48 ; 1 \cdot 56]=1 \cdot 000000$
$2 \quad Q^{3}[1 \cdot 23 ; 1 \cdot 35 ; 1 \cdot 48 ; 1 \cdot 56]=1 \cdot 260000$

In view of the fact that we have 5 values, we assume a formula with 5 parameters $a_{j}$ for $j=0,1,2,3,4$. Therefore we write the given function in the form

$$
y=a_{0} \cdot a_{1}^{x} \cdot a_{2}^{x^{2}} \cdot a_{3}^{x^{3}} \cdot a_{4}^{x^{4}} .
$$

By calculating all rooted quotients in Table I one can see that the fourth rooted quotient has the value 1 and then the above mentioned function reduces to

$$
y=a_{0} \cdot a_{1}^{x} \cdot a_{2}^{x^{2}} \cdot a_{3}^{x^{3}} .
$$

The rooted quotients of this function (defined in [1]) for $i=1$ are

$$
\begin{gathered}
Q\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]=a_{1} \cdot a_{2}^{x_{1}+x_{2}} \cdot a_{3}^{x_{1}{ }^{2}+x_{1} x_{2}+x_{2}^{2}}, \quad Q^{2}\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right]=a_{2} \cdot a_{3}^{x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}} \\
Q^{3}\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right]=a_{3}
\end{gathered}
$$

These expressions together with

$$
y_{1}=a_{0} \cdot a_{1}^{x_{1}} \cdot a_{2}^{x_{1}{ }^{2}} \cdot a_{3}^{x_{1}{ }^{3}}
$$

give the left-hand sides of the system of equations for the calculation of the values $a_{j}$ for $j=0,1,2,3$. By solving this system we obtain with an accuracy of $10^{-3}$ the values

$$
a_{3}=1.26000, \quad a_{2}=1.63000, \quad a_{1}=2 \cdot 34000 \text { and } a_{0}=2 \cdot 85000
$$

The desired expression has the form

$$
y=2 \cdot 85 \cdot 2 \cdot 34^{x} \cdot 1 \cdot 63^{x^{2}} \cdot 1 \cdot 26^{x^{3}} .
$$

The polynomial approximation function calculated from the values $y_{i}$ for $i=$ $=1,2,3,4,5$ has the form

$$
\begin{gathered}
\bar{y}(x)=660 \cdot 420895 x^{4}-3059 \cdot 626853 x^{3}+5451 \cdot 728535 x^{2}- \\
-4358 \cdot 644910 x+1321 \cdot 264676 .
\end{gathered}
$$

For the value $x$ not included in Table I the values $\bar{y}(x)$ differ from those of $y(x)$. In order to compare both formulas we introduce the values of the both functions for some arguments not included in Table I:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
y(1.18)=22.43270 & \bar{y}(1.18)=22.39501 \\
y(1.29)=31.60087 & \bar{y}(1.29)=31.62346 \\
y(1.41)=47.71448 & \bar{y}(1.41)=47.68768 \\
y(1.52)=72.23926 & \bar{y}(1.52)=72.26846
\end{array}
$$

## B. The values of $y_{i}$ charged with errors

Let us assume that the approximation function of the $p$ th order for the given function values has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=\prod_{j=0}^{p} c_{j}^{x^{j}} . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The system of equations for the parameters is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{i=1}^{s} \prod_{j=0}^{p} c_{j}^{x_{i}{ }^{i+r}}=\prod_{i=1}^{n} z_{i}^{x_{i} r} \text { for } r=0,1,2, \ldots, p \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the values $z_{i}$ are charged with errors.
Example 2. In the second column of Table II the values of $z(x)$ corresponding to the arguments $x$ are given. The values of $z(x)$ are charged with errors (we can assume them e.g. to be results of a measurement). If we either know or can justifiably
suppose that the values of $z(x)$ show an exponential behaviour, one has to find a function which agrees with the given values as well as possible. We show the solution by each of the three methods.

Table II

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x$ | $z(x)$ | $y(x)$ | $K(x)$ | $P(x)$ | $y(x)-z(x)$ | $K(x)-z(x)$ | $P(x)-z(x)$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.4 | 3.316 | 3.306 | 3.298 | 4.455 | -0.010 | -0.018 | +1.139 |  |
| 1.5 | 3.531 | 3.539 | 3.533 | 4.215 | +0.008 | +0.002 | +0.684 |  |
| 1.6 | 3.802 | 3.798 | 3.793 | 4.085 | -0.004 | -0.009 | +0.283 |  |
| 1.7 | 4.058 | 4.086 | 4.084 | 4.064 | +0.028 | +0.026 | +0.006 |  |
| 1.8 | 4.413 | 4.408 | 4.408 | 4.154 | -0.005 | -0.005 | -0.259 |  |
| 1.9 | 4.759 | 4.768 | 4.769 | 4.353 | +0.009 | +0.010 | -0.406 |  |
| 2.0 | 5.178 | 5.171 | 5.173 | 4.662 | -0.007 | -0.005 | -0.516 |  |
| 2.1 | 5.615 | 5.623 | 5.626 | 5.081 | +0.008 | +0.011 | -0.534 |  |
| 2.2 | 6.142 | 6.130 | 6.134 | 5.610 | -0.012 | -0.008 | -0.532 |  |
| 2.3 | 6.686 | 6.700 | 6.704 | 6.249 | +0.014 | +0.018 | -0.437 |  |
| 2.4 | 7.356 | 7.343 | 7.347 | 6.998 | -0.013 | -0.009 | -0.358 |  |
| 2.5 | 8.081 | 8.069 | 8.071 | 7.856 | -0.012 | -0.010 | -0.225 |  |
| 2.6 | 8.872 | 8.889 | 8.888 | 8.825 | +0.017 | +0.016 | -0.047 |  |
| 2.7 | 9.791 | 9.819 | 9.814 | 9.903 | +0.028 | +0.023 | +0.112 |  |
| 2.8 | 10.903 | 10.874 | 10.863 | 11.092 | -0.029 | -0.040 | +0.189 |  |
| 2.9 | 12.001 | 12.074 | 12.055 | 12.390 | +0.073 | +0.054 | +0.389 |  |
| 3.0 | 13.480 | 13.442 | 13.411 | 13.798 | -0.038 | -0.069 | +0.318 |  |
| 3.1 | 14.957 | 15.004 | 14.958 | 15.316 | +0.047 | +0.001 | +0.359 |  |

## a. The least squares method

Here we use the formula (24). If we denote $x_{i}=1 \cdot 3+0 \cdot 1 . i$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, 18$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \prod_{i=1}^{18} z\left(x_{i}\right)=6 \cdot 170598 \cdot 10^{14} \quad \text { for } \quad r=0 \\
& \prod_{i=1}^{18} z\left(x_{i}\right)^{x_{i}}=1 \cdot 410650 \cdot 10^{35} \quad \text { for } \quad r=1 \\
& \prod_{i=1}^{18} z\left(x_{i}\right)^{x_{i}^{2}}=2 \cdot 167399 \cdot 10^{87} \quad \text { for } \quad r=2
\end{aligned}
$$

By solving this system we obtain

$$
y(x)=1 \cdot 67534.1 \cdot 35341^{x} \cdot 1 \cdot 13925^{x^{2}}
$$

## b. Method of cumulated values

In the case of the modified King's formula we start from the formula (17). Here $p=2$ and $m=6$. Therefore

$$
Y_{1}=\prod_{i=1}^{6} c_{0} \cdot c_{1}^{x_{i}} \cdot c_{2}^{x_{i}{ }^{2}}, \quad Y_{2}=\prod_{i=7}^{12} c_{0} \cdot c_{1}^{x_{i}} \cdot c_{2}^{x_{i}{ }^{2}}, \quad Y_{3}=\prod_{i=13}^{18} c_{0} \cdot c_{1}^{x_{i}} \cdot c_{2}^{x_{i}{ }^{2}} .
$$

The quotients are given by the expressions

$$
Q[1,2]=\frac{Y_{2}}{Y_{1}}, \quad Q[2,3]=\frac{Y_{3}}{Y_{2}}, \quad Q^{2}[1,2,3]=\frac{Q[2,3]}{Q[1,2]} .
$$

The calculation can proceed according to the following scheme:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y_{1}=\prod_{i=1}^{6} z\left(x_{i}\right)=3793 \cdot 9013 \\
& Y_{2}=\prod_{i=7}^{12} z\left(x_{i}\right)=70973 \cdot 271 \quad Q[1,2]=18 \cdot 707200 \\
& Y_{3}=\prod_{i=13}^{18} z\left(x_{i}\right)=2291640 \cdot 1
\end{aligned}
$$

From the formulas for $Q^{2}[1,2,3], Q[1,2]$ and $Y_{1}$ we successively obtain $a_{2}=$ $=1.13467, a_{1}=1.37828, a_{0}=1.64293$ and so the approximation function has the form $K(x)=1 \cdot 64293 \cdot 1 \cdot 37828^{x} \cdot 1 \cdot 13467^{x^{2}}$.

## c. The polynomial method

Let the 2 nd order polynomial approximation function have the form $P(x)=c_{0}+$ $+c_{1} x+c_{2} x^{2}$. The classical least squares method used for the primary values lead s to a system of equations for $c_{0}, c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$. The right-hand sides of these equations are the sums

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{18} z\left(x_{i}\right)=132 \cdot 941, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{18} x_{i} z\left(x_{i}\right)=330 \cdot 4417, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{18} x_{i}^{2} z\left(x_{i}\right)=854 \cdot 64595 .
$$

By solving this system we get the parameters $c_{0}=19 \cdot 35604, c_{1}=-18 \cdot 33557, c_{2}=$ $=5 \cdot 49430$ and the approximation function will have the form $P(x)=19 \cdot 35604-$ $-18.33557 x+5.49430 x^{2}$.
The columns 3, 4 and 5 of Table II contain the values of the approximation functions $y(x), K(x)$ and $P(x)$ while the columns 6,7 and 8 give the deviations of the values of these functions from the corresponding values of the function $z(x)$. In the last rows of the 6 th, 7 th and 8 th columns, the sums of the deviations are given. To the purpose of comparing the accuracy of the particular approximation functions we
give the sums of squares of the deviations:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{i=1}^{18}\left[y\left(x_{i}\right)-z\left(x_{i}\right)\right]^{2}=0.013, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{18}\left[K\left(x_{i}\right)-z\left(x_{i}\right)\right]^{2}=0.012 \\
\sum_{i=1}^{18}\left[P\left(x_{i}\right)-z^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)\right]^{2}=3.713 .
\end{gathered}
$$

As follows from these values, the polynomial function used for the approximation of functions with an exponential character is significantly worse than the functions based on the exponential function. Finally, it is necessary to remark that the technique of calculation of the function $K(x)$ is much simpler than that of the function $y(x)$ with roughly the same accuracy, as the sum of squares of the deviations shows.
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Súhrn

## O EXPONENCIÁLNEJ APROXIMÁCII

## Anton HuŤa

Problém. Treba nájst́ reálnu funkciu (1) premenných $x_{i}$ pre $i=1,2, \ldots, n \vee E_{n}$, aby pre vopred dané pevné hodnoty $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ nadobudla určité reálne hodnoty, pre ktoré platí (2).

Ak funkcia sledujúca rozdelenie týchto hodnôt má exponenciálny charakter, potom za aproximujúcu funkciu je vhodné zvolit funkciu tvaru (3), ktorá uvedené rozdelenie lepšie vystihuje ako iné aproximujúce funkcie (napr. založené na polynómoch). V práci sú uvedené tri metódy: 1 . Metóda najmenších štvorcov prispôsobená pre exponenciálny priebeh funkcie. 2 . Metóda kumulatívnych hodnôt tzv. Kingova formula. 3. Metóda polynomická spomenutá len okrajove za účelom porovnania s predchádzajúcimi.
V aplikácii je poukázané na numerický výpočet aproximujúcej funkcie podla uvedených metód ako aj ich nepresnosti.
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