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# A NOTE ON THE COMPUTATIONAL COMPLEXITY OF HIERARCHICAL OVERLAPPING CLUSTERING 

Mirko Křivánek

(Received October 15, 1984)

Summary. In this paper the computational complexity of the problem of the approximation of a given dissimilarity measure on a finite set $X$ by a $k$-ultrametric on $X$ and by a Robinson dissimilarity measure on $X$ is investigated. It is shown that the underlying decision problems are NP-complete.

## I. INTRODUCTION

In the past a large variety of clustering definitions and methods have been developed and used. To introduce the topic of hierarchical overlapping clustering let $X=$ $=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ denote $n$ objects which are to be clustered and $d$ a dissimilarity measure on $X$, i.e. d: $X \times X \rightarrow R_{0}^{+}$(nonnegative rational numbers), $d(x, y)=0$ iff $x=y$ and $d(x, y)=d^{\prime}(y, x)$ for $x, y \in X$.

A clustering is any partition of $X$ into $k$ non-empty sets, i.e. clusters. Informally speaking the problem of hierarchical clustering is to find a sequence of nested clustering (with respect to the partition refinement) which must induce an ultrametric on $X$. The optimization problem of hierarchical clustering is formulated as the approximation of a given dissimilarity measure on $X$ by an ultrametric on $X$. Recently this problem has been shown to be NP-hard [6].

Some authors [1, 2, 4] proposed a more general problem of hierarchical clustering in which the aim is to construct a certain sequence of coverings of $X$ which starts with the partition of $X$ into singletons and ends with the trivial partition $\{\{X\}\}$. As the clusters may overlap this latter problem is often referred to as the problem of hierarchical overlapping clustering.

In this note we study the NP-completeness of the computational problems of hierarchical overlapping clustering. We show that two underlying decision problems are NP-complete. The first is the problem of the approximation of a given dissimilarity measure on $X$ by a $k$-ultrametric on $X[3,4]$ and the second is the problem of the
approximation of a given dissimilarity measure by a Robinson dissimilarity measure on $X[1]$.

Finally we state one open problem using graph-theoretical concepts.
Our NP-completeness terminology using graphs is that of [2].

## II. BACKGROUND

Throughout this paper let $X=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ be a set of objects and $d$ a dissimilarity measure on $X$.

The dissimilarity measure $d$ on $X$ is said to be a $k$-ultrametric on $X$ if

$$
\begin{gather*}
\forall S \subset X, \quad|S|=k, \quad \forall x, y \in X  \tag{1}\\
d(x, y) \leqq \max \{d(v, w) \mid v \in S \cup\{x, y\}, w \in S\}
\end{gather*}
$$

The 1 -ultrametric on $X$ is simply called an ultrametric on $X$.
The dissimilarity measure $d$ on $X$ is said to be Robinson if there is a permuation $\theta$ of the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that
(i) $d\left(x_{\theta(i)}, x_{\theta(i)+1}\right) \leqq d\left(x_{\theta(i)}, x_{\theta(i)+2}\right) \leqq \ldots \leqq d\left(x_{\theta(i)}, x_{n}\right)$
(ii) $d\left(x_{\theta(i)}, x_{\theta(i)+1}\right) \leqq d\left(x_{\theta(i)-1}, x_{\theta(i)+1}\right) \leqq \ldots \leqq d\left(x_{1}, x_{\theta(i)+1}\right)$
for all $i=1, \ldots, n$.
Every set-function pair $(P, f)$ satisfying the following conditions $(\mathrm{i})-(\mathrm{vi})$ is called a pyramid on $X$ :
(i) $P \in \mathscr{P}(\mathscr{P}(X))$,
(ii) $X \in P$,
(iii) $\emptyset \notin P$,
(iv) $(\forall x \in X)\{x\} \in P$,
(v) $f: P \rightarrow Z_{0}^{+}$(nonnegative integers) and
$\left(\forall h, h^{\prime} \in P\right) f(h)=0 \Leftrightarrow|h|=1$,
$f(h)<f\left(h^{\prime}\right) \Leftrightarrow h \subset h^{\prime}$ and $h \neq h^{\prime}$,
(vi) the function $r_{P}: X \times X \rightarrow Z_{0}^{+}$defined by
$r_{P}(x, y)=\min \{f(h) \mid\{x, y\} \subset h\}$
is a Robinson dissimilarity measure on $X$.
Remark. If $r$ is an ultrametric on $X$ then the pyramid $(P, f)$ on $X$ is called the hierarchy on $X$.

It can be easily observed [1] that the set of all hierarchies on $X$ is strictly included in the set of all pyramids on $X$.

The height $\varrho$ of a pyramid $(P, f)$ on $X$ is defined as follows:

$$
\varrho(P)=\mid \text { Range } f \mid-1
$$

Obviously $1 \leqq \varrho(P) \leqq n-1$ for every pyramid $(P, f)$ on $X$.

Now we introduce the decision problems of hierarchical overlapping clustering whose NP-completeness we shall be interested in.

Problem $\mu$. Instance: Dissimilarity measure $d$ on $X$, positive integer $k$;
Question: Is $d$ a $k$-ultrametric on $X$ ?

Problem $\pi$. Instance: Dissimilarity measure $d$ on $X$, positive integer $k$;
Question: Is there a pyramid $(P, f)$ on $X$ such that

$$
\sum_{x, y \in X}\left|d(x, y)-r_{P}(x, y)\right| \leqq k ?
$$

## III. RESULTS

Theorem 1. The problem $\mu$ is NP-complete.
Proof. As is customary with such proofs, we omit the trivial verification that $\mu$ belongs to NP.

Let $d$ be a dissimilarity measure on $X$ such that $d(x, y) \in\{1,2\}(x \neq y \in X)$. Let us define the graph $G=(X, E)$, where

$$
\{x, y\} \in E \Leftrightarrow d(x, y)=1 .
$$

There is a very simple condition which is equivalent to the $k$-ultrametric inequality (1). The condition is that
(2) $d$ is $k$-ultrametric on $X$ iff $G$ contains no subgraph isomorphic to the graph $K_{k+2}-e$ (i.e. complete graph on $(k+2)$ vertices without precisely one edge).
In what follows we give a polynomial transformation from the problem 3-satisfiability [2], page 259 , to $\mu$. The problem 3-satisfiability is defined as follows:

Instance: Set $U$ of variables, collection $C$ of clauses over $U$ such that each clause has $|c|=3$;
Question: Is there a satisfying truth assignment for $C$ ?
So let $U, C=\left\{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k}\right\}$ be an arbitrary instance of 3 -satisfiability. Let $G=(V, E)$ be the graph such that

$$
V=\left\{\langle\sigma, i\rangle \mid \sigma \in c_{i}\right\}, \quad E=\{\{\langle\sigma, i\rangle,\langle\delta, j\rangle\} \mid i \neq j \text { and } \sigma \neq \bar{\delta}\} .
$$

R. Karp has shown [5] that this graph $G$ contains a complete graph on $k$ vertices as its subgraph iff 3-satisfiability has "yes"-solution.

Further let us consider the graph $G^{\prime}=\left(V^{\prime}, E^{\prime}\right)$ where

$$
\begin{gathered}
V^{\prime}=V \cup\left\{v^{\prime}, v^{\prime \prime}\right\}, \quad v^{\prime} \neq v^{\prime \prime} \notin V \text { are "new" vertices joined to } V ; \\
E^{\prime}=E \cup\left\{v, v^{\prime}\right\} \cup\left\{v, v^{\prime \prime}\right\} \quad(v \in V) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Clearly the construction of the graph $G^{`}$ can be carried out in polynomial time. Now we shall prove that
(3) $\quad G$ contains a subgraph $K_{k}$ iff $G^{\prime}$ contains a subgraph $K_{k+2}-e$.

Let the set $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\}$ induce in $G$ the complete graph $K_{k}$. Then the set $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}, v^{\prime}, v^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ induces in $G^{\prime}$ the graph isomorphic to $K_{k+2}-e$.

Conversely, let $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k+2}\right\}$ be the subset of $V^{\prime}$ which induces a subgraph $K_{k+2}-e$ in $G^{\prime}$. As the graph $K_{k+2}-e$ contains two subgraphs $K_{k+1}$ we have $\left|V \cap\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k+2}\right\}\right|=k$ and the set $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k+2}\right\}-\left\{v^{\prime}, v^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ induces in $G$ the complete graph $K_{k}$. Let us set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X=X^{\prime} \\
& \begin{aligned}
d(x, y) & =0 \quad \text { if } \quad x=y \\
& =1 \quad \text { if } \quad\{x, y\} \in E^{\prime} \\
& =2, \quad \text { otherwise } .
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (2) and (3) we obtain that the dissimilarity measure $d$ on $X$ is not a $k$-ultrametric if and only if 3 -satisfiability has "yes"-solution. This concludes the proof.

Now we turn our attention to the problem $\pi$. First we prove one auxiliary lemma.
Lemma 1. Let $d$ be a dissimilarity measure on $X$ such that Range $d=\{0,1,2\}$ and let $(P, f)$ be the optimal solution of $\pi$ with respect to this instance. Then Range $f=\{0,1,2\}$.

Proof. Let $d$ be a dissimilarity measure on $X$ such that Range $d=\{0,1,2\}$ and let $(P, f)$ be the optimal solution of $\pi$ with respect to this instance. Let us suppose that Range $f \neq$ Range $d$. Let $x, y \in X$ be two objects such that $d(x, y)=1$. Let us consider the pyramid ( $P^{\prime}, f^{\prime}$ ) defined as follows:

1) If Range $f \cap\{1\} \neq \emptyset$ then

$$
P^{\prime}={ }^{\mathrm{df}} \bigcup_{i=1}^{n}\left\{x_{i}\right\} \cup X \cup\{h \mid h \in P \text { and } f(h)=1\},
$$

$f^{\prime}(h)={ }^{\text {df }} 1$ for all $h \in P$ with the property $f(h)=1$, $f^{\prime}\left(\left\{x_{i}\right\}\right)={ }^{\mathrm{df}} 0(i=1, \ldots, n)$ and $f^{\prime}(X)={ }^{\mathrm{df}} 2$.
2) If Range $f \cap\{1\}=\emptyset$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P^{\prime}={ }^{\mathrm{df}} \bigcup_{i=1}^{n}\left\{x_{i}\right\} \cup X \cup\{x, y\}, f^{\prime}(\{x, y\})={ }^{\mathrm{df}} 1, \\
& f^{\prime}\left(\left\{x_{i}\right\}\right)={ }^{\mathrm{df}} 0(i=1, \ldots, n) \text { and } f^{\prime}(X)={ }^{\mathrm{df}} 2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now one can easily observe that

$$
\sum_{x, y \in X}\left|d(x, y)-r_{P}(x, y)\right|<\sum_{x, y \in X}\left|d(x, y)-r_{t}(x, y)\right| .
$$

Theorem 2. The problem $\pi$ is NP-complete.
Proof. The problem $\pi$ is obviously in NP. To prove the NP-hardness of $\pi$ we use the problem Hamiltonian path (cf. [2], page 199), defined as follows.

Instance: Planar cubic graph $G=(V, E)$ which has no face with less than 5 edges.
Question: Does $G$ contain a Hamiltonian path?
Let $G=(V, E),|V|=n$, be an arbitrary instance of Hamiltonian path. The instance of $\pi$ will be constructed as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X=V(G), \\
& d(x, y)=0 \text { if } x=y, \\
& 1 \text { if }\{x, y\} \in E(G), \\
& 2, \\
& \text { otherwise. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $(P, f)$ be the solution of $\pi$ with respect to this instance. It follows from Lemma 1 that Range $f=\{0,1,2\}$. We complete the proof by proving the following equivalence:

The graph $G$ contains a Hamiltonian path iff

$$
\sum_{x, y \in X}\left|d(x, y)-r_{P}(x, y)\right| \leqq \frac{n}{2}+1 .
$$

Let $G$ contain a Hamiltonian path $H=\left\{\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\},\left\{x_{2}, x_{3}\right\}, \ldots,\left\{x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right\}\right\}$. Then for the pyramid $(P, f)$ on $X$ where

$$
\begin{gathered}
P=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n}\left\{x_{i}\right\} \cup H \cup X, \quad f\left(\left\{x_{i}\right\}\right)=0 \quad i=1, \ldots, n, \\
f(h)=1 \text { for } h \in H \quad \text { and } f(X)=2,
\end{gathered}
$$

we get

$$
\sum_{x, y \in X}\left|d(x, y)-r_{P}(x, y)\right|=\frac{1}{2} n+1 .
$$

Conversely, let us suppose that there exists a pyramid $(P, f)$ on $X$ such that Range $f=$ $=\{0,1,2\}$ and that $\sum_{x, y \in X}\left|d(x, y)-r_{P}(x, y)\right| \leqq \frac{1}{2} n+1$. Further, let $G$ contain no Hamiltonian path. We examine two cases:
a) $|P|=2 n$.

Then the pyramid $(P, f)$ on $X$ has exactly $(n-1)$ subsets $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n-1}$ such that $\left|h_{i}\right|=2$. As $\sum_{x, y \in X}\left|d(x, y)-r_{P}(x, y)\right| \leqq \frac{1}{2} n+1$ the set $H=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}$ is a Hamiltonian path in $G$, a contradiction.
b) $|P|<2 n$.

Then there exist $m, 1 \leqq m \leqq n-2$, elements $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{m}$ of $P$ such $2 \leqq\left|h_{i}\right| \leqq n-1$, $i=1, \ldots, m$. We transform the case b ) to the case a ) in such a way that using the pyramid $(P, f)$ on $X$ we construct a sequence of pyramids $\left(P_{i}, f_{i}\right)$ on $X$. Each member, say $\left(P_{i+1}, f_{i+1}\right)$, is constructed from the precedent member $\left(P_{i}, f_{i}\right)$ by the following recursive rule: "Replace a set $h \in P_{i}, h=\left\{x_{i_{1}}, x_{i_{2}}, \ldots, x_{i_{1}}\right\}, l \geqq 3$, by $(l-1)$ sets $\left\{x_{i_{1}}, x_{i_{2}}\right\},\left\{x_{i_{2}}, x_{i_{3}}\right\}, \ldots,\left\{x_{i_{1-1}}, x_{i_{1}}\right\}$ and put $f_{i+1}(\{x, y\})=1$ if $x, y \in h, f_{i+1}(h)=$ $=f_{i}(h), \quad h \in P_{i}, \quad$ otherwise."

Further we shall use the equality

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\forall h \in P_{i}-P_{i+1},|h| \geqq 3\right)  \tag{4}\\
\sum_{x, y \in X}\left|d(x, y)-r_{P_{i}}(x, y)\right|-\sum_{x, y \in X}\left|d(x, y)-r_{P_{i}+1}(x, y)\right|=\varphi(h)-\psi(h),
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi(h)= & |\{x, y\}| x, y \in h \text { and } d(x, y)=2\} \mid, \\
\psi(h)= & \text { the minimum number of edges in a graph } G^{\prime} \text {, on the set } h, \text { such that } \\
& \left(G^{\prime}-G(h)\right) \cup\left(G(h)-G^{\prime}\right) \text { is a Hamiltonian path, where } G(h) \text { is the } \\
& \text { subgraph of } G \text { induced by the set of vertices } h .
\end{aligned}
$$

We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(h)>\psi(h), \quad|h| \geqq 3 . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $h \in V(G), 3 \leqq|h| \leqq 5$, this inequality can be checked e.g. by exhaustive search, utilizing the fact that an induced subgraph of $G$ contains neither a circuit $C_{3}$ nor $C_{4}$. For greater cardinalities of $h$ this follows directly from the selfevident inequality

$$
\binom{i}{2}>2 i+1 \text { for } i \geqq 6,
$$

since each subgraph of $G$ has the maximum degree 3 . Thus in virtue of (4) and (5) we have

$$
\sum_{x, y \in X}\left|d(x, y)-r_{P_{i}}(x, y)\right|>\sum_{x, y \in X}\left|d(x, y)-r_{P_{i+1}}(x, y)\right| .
$$

So starting from the pyramid $(P, f)=\left(P_{1}, f_{1}\right)$ and taking into account the pyramid $\left(P_{*}, f_{*}\right)$ (from the constructed sequence of pyramids) such that $\left|P_{*}\right|=2 n$ we obtain

$$
\sum_{x, y \in X}\left|d(x, y)-r_{P}(x, y)\right|>\sum_{x, y \in X}\left|d(x, y)-r_{P_{*}}(x, y)\right| .
$$

The proof is complete.
In the rest of this section we shall deal with special variants of the problem $\pi$. Let us denote by $\pi_{i}$ the decision computational problem defined in precisely the same way as the problem $\pi$ with the exception that the aim is to find a pyramid on $X$ with the height $i$.

Lemma 2. We have

$$
\pi_{i} \propto \pi_{i+1}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots
$$

Proof. Let $(d, k)$ be an instance of the problem $\pi_{i}$. The corresponding instance ( $d^{\prime}, k^{\prime}$ ) of the problem $\pi_{i+1}$ will be constructed as follows:

1) $d^{\prime}$ is a dissimilarity measure on $X^{\prime}=X \cup\{z\}$, where $z \notin X$ is a "new" object joined to $X$ and
$d^{\prime}(x, y)=d(x, y)$ if $x, y \in X$,
$d^{\prime}(x, z)=n^{2} \max \{d(x, y) \mid x, y \in X\}$,
$d^{\prime}(z, z)=0$,
2) $k^{\prime}=k$.

To conclude the proof it is sufficient to verify the obvious equivalence

$$
\sum_{x, y \in X}\left|d(x, y)-r_{P}(x, y)\right| \leqq k \Leftrightarrow \sum_{x, y \in X}\left|d(x, y)-r_{P}(x, y)\right| \leqq k^{\prime},
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
P^{\prime}=P \cup\{z\} \cup X^{\prime}, \quad(\forall h \in P) f^{\prime}(h)=f(h) \quad \text { and } \\
f^{\prime}(\{z\})=0, \quad f^{\prime}\left(X^{\prime}\right)=n^{2} \max \{d(x, y) \mid x, y \in X\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Using the transitivity of $\propto$, Lemma 1, Lemma 2 and Theorem 2 we obtain the following assertition:

Theorem 3. The problems $\pi_{i}, i \geqq 2$, are NP-complete.

## IV. CONCLUDING REMARKS

Let $\pi^{i}$ denote the computational problem of hierarchical overlapping clustering defined in precisely the same way as the problem $\pi$ where we subject the pyramid $(P, f)$ on $X$ to the additional condition $|P|-n-1=i$. Similarly as in Lemma 2 we have $\pi^{i} \propto \pi^{i+1}, i=1,2, \ldots$. It is of particular interest even from the point of view of hierarchical custering to decide the NP-completeness of the problem $\pi^{2}$. Note that the problem $\pi^{1}$ (as the problem $\pi_{1}$ ) has the trivial solution in polynomial time and that its solution is a hierarchy on $X$. On the other hand the solution of $\pi^{2}$ is a hierarchy on $X$ as well. The special variant of the problem $\pi^{2}$ can be equivalently restated in the graph-theoretical framework as follows:
"Given a graph, find the minimum number of edge-changes (i.e. additions or deletions of an edge) which results in a graph which is exactly the union of one complete and one discrete graph."
We conjecture that even this variant of $\pi^{2}$ is NP-complete.
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## Souhrn

## POZNÁMKA O VÝPOČETNÍ SLOŽITOSTI HIERARCHICKÉHO POKRÝVÁNÍ

Mirko Křivánek

V tomto článku se zkoumá výpočetní složitost problému aproximace dané míry nepodobnosti na konečné množině $X$ pomocí $k$-ultrametriky na $X$ a Robinsonovy míry nepodobnosti na $X$. V obou případech je ukázáno, že se jedná o NP-úplné problémy.
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