Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae

Ivo Marek

On some spectral properties of Radon-Nicolski operations and their generalizations

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 3 (1962), No. 1, 20--30

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/104905

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1962

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae
3, 1 (1962)

ON SOME SPECTRAL PROPERTIES OF RADON-NICOLSKI OPERATORS AND THEIR GENERALIZATIONS

Ivo MAREK , Praha

1. Introduction

1.1. Let X be a complex Banach space. We shall denote its elements by small Roman characters; the zero element will be denoted 0. The set of linear bounded operators mapping space X into itself also forms a Banach space, which we shall denote [X] (similarly as in [6]). The norm in [X] is defined as

 $||T|| = \sup_{\|x\|=1} ||Tx\||, T \in [X]$

Unless the contrary is stated we shall use the denotations and definitions introduced in [6].

1.2. Definition [3]. The value $(u_o \in \mathcal{E}(T))$ is called a dominant point of the spectrum $\mathcal{E}(T)$ of the operator T, if the inequality $|\lambda| < |u_o|$ holds for every point $\lambda \in \mathcal{E}(T)$, $\lambda + u_o$.

2. Radon-Nicolski operators

- 2.1. Definition. Operator $T \in [X]$ is called Radon-Ni-colski operator, if it can be expressed as $T = \mathcal{U} + V$, where $V \in [X]$; \mathcal{U} is a linear compact operator mapping X into itself and $n_{\delta}(T) > n_{\delta}(V)$ for the spectral radii $n_{\delta}(T)$, $n_{\delta}(V)$.
- 2.2. The Radon-Nicolski operators have some of the spectral properties of compact operators. We shall list such of these which will be of use below. Let T = U + V be a Radon-Nicolski operator and T' the operator adjoint to T.

Then we have

- (a) Every point λ , for which $|\lambda| > n_{\delta}(V)$ is either a regular point of the operator T or an isolated pole of the resolvent $R(\lambda,T)$ and the corresponding projector $E[\lambda,T]$ has a finite-dimensional range $R(E[\lambda,T])$.

 (b) Operator T' is Radon-Nicolski operator.
- (c) The eigenvalue λ_o , $|\lambda_o| > n_{\tilde{b}}$ (V) of the operator is a simple eigenvalue if and only if the equations

(1)
$$\lambda_o x - Tx = 0$$
, $\lambda_o y' - T'y' = 0$
have no orthogonal solutions, i.e. $x \neq 0$, $y' \neq 0$: $y'(x) \neq 0$.
The proof of (a) can be found in [1], lemma 2, page 709; (b) is evident and (c) can be proved similarly as in the case of compact operators. (See also [4], [5].)

It is easy to prove, that (a) and (c) also hold for operators, some iteration of which is a Radon-Nicolski operator. The authors of [7] call such operators strongly quasicompact.

Let T be a closed linear, generally unbounded operator mapping the domain $\mathcal{D}(T)$ (X into X . We shall investigate the spectral properties of operator T under the assumption that f(T) is a Radon-Nicolski operator for some function $f \in \mathcal{O}(\infty)$

2.3. Let $f \in \mathcal{U}_{\infty}(T)$, let $f(T) = \mathcal{U} + V$ be a Radon-Nicolski operator and $\lambda_o \in \mathcal{O}(T)$, $|f(\lambda_o)| > n_{\mathcal{O}}(V)$. Then λ_o is a pole of the resolvent $R(\lambda,T)$ and the projector B_1 corresponding to the spectral set $\{\lambda_o\}$ has a finite-dimensional range i.e. the dimension of the eigenmanifold of the operator T, corresponding to the value λ_o is finite.

Proof. Let S = f(T), $\mu_o = f(\lambda_o)$. According to

theorem [6] 5.71 - A page 302 we have $\mu_o \in \mathcal{E}(S)$. Let $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_{e}(T) \cap \{f^{-1}(\mu_o)\}$, where $\mathcal{E}_{e}(T)$ is the extended spectrum of the operator T. According to (a) of $\{f^{-1}(\mu_o)\}$ is an isolated point of the spectrum $\mathcal{E}(S)$, $\{\mu_o\}$ is the spectral set of operator S and according to theorem [6] 5.71 - D page 304 the corresponding projector is $E_{\mathcal{E}} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C} R(\lambda, T) d\lambda$,

ning the set \mathcal{E} and not having any other common points with the spectrum $\mathcal{E}(T)$. Let $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{E}} = \mathcal{R}(E_{\mathcal{E}})$ be the range of the operator $E_{\mathcal{E}}$ and $S_{\mathcal{E}}$ the restriction of operator S onto $X_{\mathcal{E}}$. According to theorem [6] 5.7 - B page 299 $\mathcal{E}(S_{\mathcal{E}}) = \{ u_{\mathcal{E}} \}$, so that $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{E}}(S_{\mathcal{E}}) = \{ u_{\mathcal{E}} \} > \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{E}}(V)$. For the restriction $V_{\mathcal{E}}$ of operator V we have $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{E}}(V_{\mathcal{E}}) \leq \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{E}}(V)$ and thus $S_{\mathcal{E}}$ is also Radon-Nicolski operator. Thus if $|\mathcal{X}|$ is large enough, we have for all \mathcal{X} except perhaps a countable number of isolated points the expression $R(\mathcal{X}, S_{\mathcal{E}}) = [I - R(\mathcal{X}, V_{\mathcal{E}}), \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{E}}]^{-1} R(\mathcal{X}, V_{\mathcal{E}}).$

is the boundary of the Cauchy domain ${m D}$, contai-

Let C_o be a circle with its centre in λ_o , with such a radius that C_o lies in the region $|\lambda| > \kappa_o(V)$ and no point of the spectrum G(T) except λ_o lies inside C_o or on C_o . The operator-function $[I-R(\lambda,V_o)\,U_o]^{-1}$ exists and is analytical in every point $\lambda\in C_o$. For λ with $|\lambda|$ large enough identity $[I-R(\lambda,V_o)\,U_o]^{-1}=I+R(\lambda,V_o)\,U_o[I-R(\lambda,V_o)\,U_o]^{-1}$

holds. With the help of an analytical continuation we can extend this to C_o . Thus

 $R(\lambda, S_o) = R(\lambda, V_o) + R(\lambda, V_o) \mathcal{U}_o [I - R(\lambda, V_o) \mathcal{U}_o]^{-1} R(\lambda, V_o).$

Since $R(\lambda, V_{\bullet})$ is analytical on C_{\bullet} , we obtain $E[\lambda_{\bullet}, S_{\bullet}] = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{r} R(\lambda, S_{\bullet}) d\lambda =$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_{\bullet}} R(\lambda, V_{\bullet}) \mathcal{U}_{\bullet} [I - R(\lambda, V_{\bullet}) \mathcal{U}_{\bullet}]^{-1} R(\lambda, V_{\bullet}) d\lambda .$$

Since according to the assumption \mathcal{U}_o is a compact operator, the integrand is also compact for all $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}_o$. As a uniform limit of compact operators — the Riemann sums — $\mathbb{E}\left[\lambda_o, \top\right]$ is a compact operator. Space $X_{\mathcal{E}}$ must have a finite dimension since $\mathbb{E}\left[\lambda_o, \top\right]$ is an identity — operator in $X_{\mathcal{E}}$.

Let T_i be the restriction of operator T onto $X_{\mathcal{L}}$. According to theorem [6] 5.7 - B page 299, $\mathcal{E}_{e}(T_{1}) = \mathcal{E}$. The set 6, (T), evidently cannot be the whole extended plane, since $G_{\rho}(T_1) \subset G_{\rho}(T)$ but the resolvent set $\rho(T)$ is not empty. It follows from here that $\mathfrak{D}(\mathsf{T}) \supset \mathsf{X}_{\mathsf{G}}$, for otherwise the range of the operator $\lambda I - T_1$ would not form the whole X_6 for any λ and this is not possible. Thus $T_1 \in [X_6]$ and $G = G(T_1)$ is a finite set. Since is an isolated point of the spectrum of operaλ ε δ , λ , tor T . According to corollary VII.3.21 of [1] $E_6 = B_1 + P$, $B_1 P = \Theta$, $PB_1 = \Theta$, where Θ denotes the zero-operator, P is the projector corresponding to the set $\mathcal{E} - \{\lambda_o\}$ and \mathcal{B}_A is defined in the formulation of the theorem. Since $\Re(B_1) \subset X_6$, $\Re(B_1)$ has a finite dimension. The restriction of operator T onto \mathcal{R} (\mathcal{B}_1) has the property, that λ_a is a pole of its resolvent and hence that in a certain basis this restriction is determined by a square matrix with a finite number of rows. It is not difficult to obtain from here that λ_o is a pole of the resolvent $R(\lambda,T)$.

Since the eigenmanifold $\mathcal{N}(\lambda_0 I - T)$ is a part of $\mathcal{R}(B_1)$ it must also have a finite dimension.

The proved theorem is an analogy of theorem [6] 5.8 - F page 312, where it is supposed that T is a compact operator for a suitable function $f \in \mathcal{U}_{\infty}(T)$.

2.4. We have already mentioned strongly quasicompact operators the spectral properties of which are similar to those of a Redon-Nicolski operators. For a given strongly quasicompact operator $T \in [X], T^m = \mathcal{U} + V, m \ge 1$ easy to find a function $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\infty}(T)$ such that $f(\lambda) = \lambda^m$ in the neighborhood of the spectrum 6(T) i.e. $f(T) = T^m$, so that the property (a) of strongly quasicompact operators mentioned in § 2.2. is a consequence of the theorem proved in § 2.3 . Another important example is the class of operators with the property, that their resolvents for some λ are Radon-Nicolski operators. Let T be a linear, in general unbounded operator, the resolvent $R(\lambda, T)$ of which is a Radon-Nicolski operator for λ in some region Γ (Γ). Let $\alpha \in \Gamma$ and $R(\alpha, T) = \mathcal{U} + V$ be a Radon-Nicolski operator. Then $R(\alpha, T) = f(T)$, where $f(\lambda) = (\alpha - \lambda)^{-1}$. According to the theorem of § 2.3, every point $\lambda_o \in \mathcal{S}$ (T), for which $|\alpha - \lambda_o| > \kappa_6$ (V) is a pole of the resolvent $R(\lambda,T)$ and the corresponding projector $E[\lambda_0,T]$ a finite-dimensional range. Examples of such operators can be found in physical applications, for instance in some boundary problems.

2.5. In this paragraph we shall investigate the case: $\top \in [X] \quad \text{and there exists such an } f \in \mathcal{U}_{\infty}(\top) \text{ that}$ $f(\top) = \mathcal{U} + V \quad \text{is Radon-Nicolski operator. According to}$

theorem (a) of § 2.3 every point λ_o of the spectrum $\delta(T)$ for which $|f(\lambda_o)| > \kappa_\sigma(V)$ is a pole of the resolvent and the corresponding projector $E[\lambda_o, T]$ has a finite dimensional range. It is also easy to prove the modification of assertion (c) of 2.3.

The eigenvalue λ_{\bullet} , $|f(\lambda_{\bullet})| > t_{\sigma}(V)$ of operator T is simple if and only if equations (1) have no orthogonal solutions. This assertion is used in the proof of the existence of positive eigenvectors of operators reproducing a cone in a Banach space.

3. K -positive operators

In this chapter we use the definitions of [2].

3.1. Let Y be a real Banach space and K a cone in space Y. Let X be a complex extension of space Y, i.e. the space of pairs x = x + iy, $x \in Y$, $y \in Y(i^2 = -1)$ with a norm defined as

$$||x|| = \sup_{0 \le r \le 2\pi} ||x| \cos r^0 + y \sin r^0||$$

or with an equivalent norm.

If T is a linear operator mapping space > into itself, we define its complex extensions (denoted by the same symbol) by the formula

$$Tx = Tx + i Ty, x = x + iy$$

Evidently $T \in [X]$, if T is a bounded linear operator mapping Y into itself.

Further let KCY be a "productive" cone in space Y. Operator $T \in [Y]$ is called K-positive, for short positive, if $Tx \in K$ for $x \in K$.

3.2. Let $T \in [Y]$, $T \in K$ and $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\infty}(T)$ be such a function, that $f(T) = \mathcal{U} + V$ is a Radon-Nikolski operator.

Then a positive eigenvalue (uo lies in the spectrum of o-

perator T and

$$|\lambda| \leq \mu_0, \lambda \in \delta(T).$$

At least one eigenvector $x_o \in K$, $||x_o|| = 1$ of the operator T corresponds to the eigenvalue (u_o) and at least one eigenfunctional $y_o' \in K'$ $||y_o'|| = 1$ (K' is the cone adjoint to cone K) of the operator T':

Proof. We shall prove that operator T has at least one eigenvalue. We have assumed that $f(T) = \mathcal{U} + V$ is a Radon-Nicolski operator. Hence an isolated point $\lambda_{\circ} \in \mathcal{G}(f(T))$, $|\lambda_{\circ}| > n_{\mathcal{G}}(V)$ exists. Let $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(T) \cap \{f^{-1}(\lambda_{\circ})\}$. According to theorem [6] 5.71 - D, the projectors $E_{\mathcal{G}} = E[\mathcal{G}, T]$ and $E[\lambda_{\circ}, f(T)]$ are identical. It follows that a point $\mu_{\circ} \in \mathcal{G}(T)$ exists such that $f(\mu_{\circ}) = \lambda_{\circ}$. According to the theorem of § 2.3, μ_{\circ} is a pole of the resolvent $R(\lambda_{\circ}, T)$ and thus an eigenvalue of the operator T. Further the proof can be performed similarly as the proof of theorem 6.1 in [2].

3.3. According to e.g.[2] the cone K - is volume type if it has interior points. The operator $T \in [Y]$ is called strongly K -positive, for shor strongly positive, if for every vector $x \in K$, $x \neq 0$ a natural number p = p(x) exists, such that vector $T^p x$ is an interior element of the cone K.

Space Y can be partially ordered with the help of the cone K. We define that $y \nmid x$ if $y - x \in K$. If K is a volume-type cone and y - x is an interior element of K, we write $y \nmid x$. Evidently $y \nmid x$ follows from $y \nmid x$.

3.4. Let us assume that the following conditions are satisfied: $^{\prime\prime}$

- 1) K is a volume-type cone.
- 2) Operator $T \in [Y]$ is strongly positive.
- 3) Such a $f \in \mathcal{U}_{\infty}(T)$ exists that $f(T) = \mathcal{U} + V$ is Radon-Ni-colski operator.

Then: (α) Operator T has just one eigenvector x_0 , $\|x_0\| = 1$, inside K.

(β) The adjoint operator T' has just one eigenfunctional y_0' , $\|y_0'\|=1$; T' $y_0'=\omega_0$ y_0' , $y_0'(\times)>0$ for $x\in K$, $x\neq 0$.

(7) The eigenvalue μ_o corresponding to the eigenvectors x_o , y_o^* is simple and $|\lambda|<\mu_o$

for all $\lambda \in \mathcal{G}(T), \lambda \neq \mu_o$ (μ_o is a dominant point of the spectrum of operator T).

On the other hand, if T satisfies condition 3 and has the properties (∞) , (β) , (γ) , then T is strongly positive.

Theorem 3.4 is the same as theorem 6.3 in [2], only the assumption of [2] that T is a compact operator is replaced by the weaker assumption 3.

Theorem 3.4 can be proved similarly as theorem 6.3 in [2]. It is only necessary to ensure the existence of an eigenvalue (u_o) of the operator T fulfilling the condition $|f(u_o)| > \kappa_{\sigma}(V)$. According to the theorem of § 3.2, operator T - has an eigenvalue $u_o > 0$ for which $|\lambda| \leq u_o$

if $\lambda \in \delta(T)$. An eigenvector $x_o \in K$ of the operator T

and an eigenfunctional $y_o' \in K'$ of operator T' corresponds to the eigenvalue μ_o . The rest of the proof is the same as the corresponding part of the proof of theorem 6.3 in [2].

3.5. Let us demonstrate a further interesting and important in applications spectral property of strongly positive operators.

Let T∈[Y] and

(2)
$$R(\lambda, T) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\lambda - \mu_0)^k T_k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\lambda - \mu_0)^{-k} B_k$$

be the Laurent series for the resolvent $R(\lambda, T)$ in the neighborhood of an isolated singularity μ . It is well-known ([6], p. 305) that $T_k \in [X]$ for k = 0, 1, ... and

$$B_1 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_4} R(\lambda, T) d\lambda, \quad B_{k+4} = (T - \alpha_0 I) B_k, \quad k = 1, 2, ...,$$

where C_4 is the boundary of the circle K_4 with the property $\overline{K_1} \cap \mathcal{E}(T) = \{ \mathcal{C}_4 \}$ (symbol $\overline{K_4}$ means the closure of set K_4).

If $f \in \mathcal{U}_{\infty}(T)$, $f(T) = \mathcal{U} + V$ is a Radon-Nicolski operator and (u_{\bullet}) is the dominant eigenvalue of the strongly positive operator T, then $B_k = \theta$ for $k \ge 2$, where θ is a zero operator.

Let the following conditions be satisfied:

- 1) K is a volume-type cone in Y .
- 2) $T \in [Y]$ is a strongly positive operator.
- 3) Function $f \in \mathcal{U}_{\infty}(T)$ is such, that $f(T) = \mathcal{U} + V$ is a Radon-Nicolski operator.
- 4) μ_0 is the dominant eigenvalue of operator μ_0 . Then operator μ_1 in expression (2) for the resolvent is

strongly positive.

ve, i.e. x > > 0 .

Proof. We shall prove that for $x \in K$, $x \neq 0$ we have $B_1 \times F \cap C$. According to lemma 6.1 of [2] a positive constant C, independent on M, exists such that

constant c, independent on m, exists such that $\|u_o^{-m} \top^m x\| = \|u_o^{-(n-n)} \top^{(n-n)} y\| \ge c > 0$, where $y = T^n x$. It follows from assumption 2 that $y \neq 0$ for a suitable non-negative p. According to theorem 1 in [3] the norm of the vector $u_o^{-m} \top^m x$ converges to zero: $\|u_o^{-m} \top^m x - B_1 x\| \le \|u_o^{-m} \top^m - B_1 \|\|x\| \to 0$. Thus $B_1 x \ne 0$ and hence $B_1 x = x_o$ is an eigenvector of the operator T corresponding to the value u_o . According to the theorem of § 3.4 vector x_o is strongly positi-

Literature

- [1] N. Dunford, J.Schwartz. Linear operators. Part I, General Theory, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1958.
- [2] M.G. Krejn, M.A. Rutman. Linějnyje operatory ostavljajuščije invarijantnym konus v prostranstvě Banacha. Usp.mat.nauk III, 1948.N 1, 3-97.
- [3] I. Marek. On Iterations of Linear Bounded Operators and Kellog's Iterations in not Self-adjoint Eigenvalue Problems. Comm.Math.Univ.Carol. 2 (3),1961, 13-23.
- [4] S.M.Nikolskij. Linějnyje uravněnija v linějnych normirovænych prostranstvach. Izv.Akad.Nauk SSSR, Ser.matěm. 7(1943), N 3, 146-166.
- [5] J. Radon. Über lineare Funktionaltransformationen und Funktionalgleichungen. Sitzungsberichte d. Akad.d.Wiss. Wien, Math.-naturw.Kl. 128 (1919), Abt. IIA, 1083-1121.
- [6] A.E. Taylor. Introduction to Functional Analysis. J. Willey publ. New York 1958.

[7] K. Yosida, S. Kakutani. Operator - theoretical treatment of Markoff's process and mean ergodic theorem. Ann.Math. 42 (1941), 188 - 228.