Otomar Hájek Homological fixed point theorems. III

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 6 (1965), No. 2, 157--164

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105006

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1965

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinse 6, 2 (1965)

HOMOLOGICAL FIXED POINT THEOREMS III.

Otomar HÁJEK, Praha

Theorem 2 of [4] asserts the presence of a fixed point for some one of the iterates $+, +^2, \dots, +^m$ of any continucus map $f: X \to X$, under rather strict restrictions on X (non-oddness, 1.c.; one may then take $m = \chi(X)$). In some cases it may be useful to weaken the conditions on Xbut restrict the maps f considered. Indeed, some theorems of this type are already known: assume X triangulable; if $\chi(X) \neq 0$ and $f: X \to X$ is homotopic to the identity map, then f has a fixed point (a corollary to the Hopf-Lefschetz fixed point theorem); or, more generally, if $f: X \to X$ is homotopic to a retraction $X \rightarrow Y$ with $\gamma(Y) \neq 0$, then again f has a fixed point (theorem 6 in [3]). The main result of this paper, theorem 4, is another result of this type. In particular, it is shown that if $f: X \to X$ is homologuous to a homeomorphism and $\chi(X) \neq 0$, then some iterate f⁵ has a fixed point (and an upper bound to s is given: corollary 5).

The terminology and notation of [3] are preserved. In particular, "group" means an abelian group G with fixed integrity domain J as left operators, and with finite rank over J (this rank will now be denoted by $\pi(G)$). A "group sequence" is a sequence $\{G_q\}$ of such groups with πG_q again of finite rank over J. The Euler characteristic of $G = \{G_q\}$ is, as in [3], defined as -157 -

$$\chi(G) = \sum (-1)^{q} \pi(G_{q}).$$

For p, j, gli we refer to definitions 1 to 3 in [3]. It seems useful to introduce the following notation

Definition 1. For a group sequence
$$G = \{G_{g}\}$$
 set
 $\mathcal{H}(G) = \sum \pi(G_{g})$.

Obviously $\mathscr{H}(G) = \pi(\Pi G_{\mathcal{Q}}), \mathscr{H}(G) \ge 0$, and $\mathscr{H}(G) = 0$ iff all $G_{\mathcal{Q}}$ are periodic; $\chi(G) \le \mathscr{H}(G)$, with equality iff all odd-indexed $G_{2\mathcal{Q}+1}$ are periodic; $\mathscr{H}(G) \stackrel{\pm}{=} \chi(G)$ are both even integers.

For triangulable spaces X (i.e. topological spaces with a finite triangulation), the homology sequence is denoted by $H_{x}(X) = \{H_{q}(X)\}$, the q-th Betti number by $\pi_{q}(X) = \pi(H_{q}(X)); f_{x}:H_{x}(X_{1}) \rightarrow H_{x}(X_{2})$ denotes the homomorphism induced by a continuous $f: X_{1} \rightarrow X_{2}$; and we define

 $\chi(X) = \chi(H_{*}(X)) = \sum (-1)^{\ell} \pi_{\ell}(X), \ \mathcal{X}(X) = \mathcal{X}(H_{*}(X)) = \sum \pi_{\ell}(X).$ In particular, $\chi(X) = \mathcal{H}(X)$ iff X is non-odd (cf. the definition in [4, p.87]). (As another example, for compact 2-manifolds X, $\mathcal{H}(X) = \chi(X) = 4 \times (\text{genus of } X).$

Lemma 2. If $f: G \to G$ is a homomorphism of a group G, then $-n \lambda k$

$$j(f;\lambda) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\lambda_{k}}{1 - \lambda \lambda_{k}}$$

with $n = \operatorname{rank} \operatorname{im} f \leq \pi(G)$, and $0 \neq \lambda_k$ in a root field over J. If $f: G \rightarrow G$ is a homomorphism of a group sequence G, then

(1)
$$gli(f; \lambda) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{n_k \lambda_k}{1 - \lambda \lambda_k}$$

_ /

with distinct $\lambda_{k} \neq 0$, integers x_{k} and $\sum_{1}^{n} x_{k} = - \gamma (imf)$, $n \leq se(G)$. - 158 - (Proof.) In the definition of $p(\cdot)$ as

obviously

1

degree p = rank D-1 A = rank A = sr (im f.

Hence the decomposition of $f_{I}(\cdot)$ in its root field $J_{f_{I}}$ over J may be written as (cf.proof of theorem 2 in [3]; note that $f_{I}(f_{I}, 0) = det I = 1$)

$$p(f;\lambda) = \Pi_{k=1}^{n} (1 - \lambda \lambda_{k})$$

with $0 \neq \lambda_k \in J_p$, $n = \pi(inf)$. Then $j = -\frac{dn}{d\lambda}/p$ yields the first assertion.

The second then results on applying the first to

$$gli(f_i \lambda) = \sum (-1)^2 j(f_2; \lambda)$$

with, say,

$$j(f_{q}; \lambda) = \sum_{k=1}^{m_{q}} \frac{\lambda_{k,q}}{1 - \lambda_{k,q}} , \quad n_{q} = \pi(im f_{q}) \leq \pi(G_{q});$$

the integers κ_{Ac} are then obtained by collecting equalsummands. This concludes the proof.

Lemma 3. Let $f: G \rightarrow G$ be a homomorphism of a group sequence G, and let

gli
$$(f; \lambda) \sim \Sigma_{o}^{o} J (f^{m+1}) \lambda^{m}$$

be the formal power-series expansion as in [3, lemma 4]. If $\chi(imf) \neq 0$ then $J(f^{5}) \neq 0$ for some s with $1 \leq s \leq ge(G)$.

(Proof.) From (1) there follows easily

$$J(f^{s}) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \kappa_{k} \lambda_{k}^{s} ,$$

with n, n_k, λ_k as indicated there. Now consider $J(f^s) = 0, 1 \le s \le n$, as a system of linear equations in unknowns n_k ; the determinant Δ of the system is then readily recognized as

 $\Pi_{i}^{n}\lambda_{k}\times V(\ldots\lambda_{k}\dots)$

with V the Vandermonde determinant. Then $\Delta \neq 0$ since the λ_{k} 's are distinct and non-zero; hence all $n_{k} = 0$ and in particular

$$0 = \sum_{1}^{n} \kappa_{\mathbf{k}} = -\chi \quad (im \, f)$$

This contradicts an assumption, and proves the assertion.

Remarks. Lemma 1 is obviously a result on the structure of the rational function gli ; it implies, e.g., that $\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \lambda \operatorname{gli}(f, \lambda) = -\chi(\operatorname{im} f)$,

interpreting the limit as $\frac{1}{\lambda} gli(f; \frac{1}{\lambda})$ at $\lambda = 0$. In particular, $gli(f; \lambda) \neq 0$ if $\chi(im +) \neq 0$, so that some $J(f^{s}) \neq 0$; lemma 3 then gives more information concerning this integer s.

(Obviously the proof of lemma 2 is an improved version of that used in [3, corollary 2] and [4, theorem 2].) These two lemmas form the algebraic apparatus of the following theorem.

<u>Theorem 4</u>. Let X, Y be triangulable spaces, $\chi(Y) \neq 0$ and let f, g. be continuous maps with

 $f: X \rightarrow Y$, $g: Y \rightarrow X$

(2) f_* onto, kee $g_* = 0$. Then the map $gf: X \to X$ has some iterate $(gf)^5$ with a fixed point, and $1 \le s \le \Re(Y)$.

(Proof.) There is

by assumption on f_{*} , \mathcal{G}_{*} ; hence $\chi(im(gf)_{*}) = \chi(Y) + 0$.

Our assertion then follows immediately from lemma 2 and the Hopf-Lefschetz theorem (applied to $(gf)^{5}$; or from [3, theorem 5] with $\gamma = \not{0}$). - 160 -

<u>Corollary 5</u>. Let X be triangulable with $\chi(X) \neq 0$, and let $f: X \rightarrow X$ be homotopic (or homologuous) to a homeomorphism of X (or, more generally, assume that f_X is either 1-1 or maps onto). Then some iterate f^s , $1 \leq s \leq$ $\leq ge(X)$, has a fixed point.

(Proof: for the second map take the identity of X .)

Remark. Possibly it is not apparent that corollary to theorem 5 [3, p.28] is a special case of the preceding assertion. Indeed, let $X = S^{2n}$, so that $\gamma(X) = \mathcal{H}(X) = 2$; and let $f: S^{2n} \rightarrow S^{2n}$ be continuous. Now either $J(f) \neq 0$, and f has a fixed point by the Hopf-Lefschetz theorem. Or J(f) = 0; but then degree f = -1 and f_{\pm} is an isomorphism, so that, by corollary 5, f^2 has a fixed point.

There is an obvious obstacle to direct application of theorem 4 : it is difficult to verify conditions (2) (except for homeomorphisms, where this is 'trivially true; however, see the preceding remark). To illustrate, consider maps $E^1 \rightarrow 5^1$. Evidently, there are even local homeomorphisms onto; however, no $f: E^1 \rightarrow 5^1$ has f_{\star} mapping onto, nor does any $q: 5^1 \rightarrow E^1$ have $Aeer g_{\star} = 0$ (merely consider the homology groups). We shall now exhibit a class of maps satisfying (2).

<u>Definition 6</u>. Given a category, a morphism f is termed κ -<u>invertible</u> if ff' = 1; a unit morphism, for some <u>(associated</u>) morphism f'; the dual concept is \mathcal{L} -<u>inverti-</u> bility.

Thus, if ff'=1, then f is π -invertible and f' \mathcal{L} -invertible. As an example in the category of topological spaces, an inclusion map $Y \subset X$ is \mathcal{L} -invertible iff Yis a retract of X. Each invertible morphism is π - and -161 ℓ -invertible; conversely, an κ - and ℓ -invertible morphism (or, more generally, an κ -invertible monomorphism) is invertible. The composition of κ -invertibles is κ -invertible, so that, in particular, a morphism equivalent to an κ -invertible is itself κ -invertible.

From ff' = 1 it follows that f is epimorphic; more generally, for every admissible covariant functor F, F(f) is k-invertible and hence epimorphic. In particular, on taking for F the homology functor,

<u>Remark 7.</u> In the category of triangulable spaces, if f is *n*-invertible and *g*. L -invertible, then f_{*} maps onto and Axer $g_{*} = 0$.

It is now seen that our invertibility conditions are ratther brutal: we only need (2), but use a condition entirely independent of the structure of the homology functor. The following condition characterises \varkappa -invertible maps of compact topological spaces.

Lemma 8. Let $f: X \to Y$ be a continuous map of Hausdorff spaces. If f is κ -invertible, there exists in Xa closed section to the relation f x = f y; if X is compact, this latter condition is also sufficient.

(Proof.) Let $ff' = id_y$ with $f': Y \rightarrow X$ continuous. Then imf' is easily shown to be a section[1, p.78] to the relation fx = fy in X; it is readily verified that imf' is the set of fixed points of $ff': X \rightarrow X$, and hence closed if X is separated.

Conversely, let F be a compact section to the indicated relation; Then one may prove directly that $f' = (f/F)^{-1}$; ; $Y \rightarrow X$ is single-valued and continuous, and obviously then $ff' = id_Y$.

Proposition 9. Let X, Y be triangulable, $\chi(Y) \neq 0$; let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be π -invertible, $g: Y \rightarrow X$ ℓ -invertible. Then, for some \mathfrak{s} with $1 \leq \mathfrak{s} \leq \mathfrak{se}(Y)$, $(gf)^5$ has a fixed point.

(Proof: lemma 7 and theorem 4.)

Corollary 10. Let X, Y be triangulable, $\chi(Y) \neq 0$, -162 - and let $f, g: X \to Y$ be κ -invertible; then there exist points $\{x_k\}_1^3$ in P, $1 \neq s \neq e(Y)$, such that $fx_k = g \times_{k+1} \quad (1 \leq k \leq s), fx_s = g \times_q$.

(Proof. Let $gg' = id_y$; apply prop.9, obtaining a fixed point x_1 of $(g'f)^s$; define $x_{k+1} = g'fx_k$.)

In particular, for s = 1 there results a "coincidence theorem" as suggested in [4, p.91]:

<u>Corollary 11</u>. If $f, g: X \to Y$ are n-invertible, X, Y triangulable and Y homologically point-like (e.g. $Y = E^n$), then fx = gX for some $x \in X$.

<u>Corollary 12</u>. If X is triangulable, $f: X \to Y \times -in$ vertible, with Y a retract of X and $\chi(Y) \neq 0$, then some iterate f^{5} has a fixed point, $1 \leq s \leq x \in (Y)$. (Proof: apply prop. 9 with $g = j: Y \in X$ the inclusion map, l-invertible since Y is a retract; obviously j(f(x)) == f(x).)

<u>Remark 13</u>. In assertions 9-12, the maps f, g. may be replaced by homotopic (or homologuous) maps.

Further applications of theorem 4 will be given in a forthcoming paper on flows.

CORRECTIONS to preceding papers. The second displayed formula in corollary 1,[3,p.20], should end with $\ldots = - \operatorname{rank} G$. In [3, p.29], lith line from below, replace $g: Y \rightarrow Y$ by $g: X \rightarrow \rightarrow Y$.

In [4, p.89], 10th line from below, replace χ (T) by χ (X); two lines further down, the upper limit of summation should read χ (X) - 1. On p.91, lines 2-3 from above, the sentence "If f itself... holds" should be deleted completely.

- 163 -

References

[1]	N. BOURBAKI, Topologie générale, ch.I,II,2nd.ed., A.S.
	& I.,Paris, 1951.
[2]	S. EILENBERG - N. STEENROD, Foundations of Algebraic
	Topology, Princeton UP, Princeton,1952.
[3]	O. HÁJEK, Homological fixed point theorems, CMUC, 5,1
	(1964),13-31.
7 7	

[4] 0. HÁJEK, - II, CMUC, 5,2(1964),85-92.

i i

7