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Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae 12,3 (1971)

CONSTRUCTION OF QUASIGROUPS HAVING A LARGE NUMBER OF ORTHOGONAL MATES

Charles C. LINDNER, Auburn

1. Introduction. The object of this paper is to give a construction which produces a quasigroup having a large number of orthogonal mates, any two of which differ by more than a permutation. By a pair of quasigroups differing by more than a permutation we mean that neither of the associated latin squares can be obtained from the other by a renaming of the symbols on which they are based. In particular we prove the following theorem.

Theorem. If there are $s$ mutually orthogonal quasigroups of order $v, t$ mutually orthogonal quasigroups of order $q$ containing $t$ mutually orthogonal subquasigroups of order $\nsim$, and $\pi$ mutually orthogonal quasigroups of order $q-p$, then there is a quasigroup of order $v(q-p)+\eta$ having at least $(s-2)(t-1)^{v}(\pi-1)^{v^{2}-v}$ orthogonal mates any two of which differ by more than a permutation. If $\neq=0$ we obtain a quasigroup of order $v q$ having at least $(s-2)(t-1)^{v^{2}}$ orthogonal mates.

The proof of this theorem is based on a generalization of A. Sade's singular direct product. In particu-
lar, a combination of the generalized singular direct products defined by the author in [1] and [2].
2. Definitions. Let $(V, O)$ be an idempotent quasigroup and $Q$ a set. For each $v$ in $V$ let $\sigma(v)$ be a binary operation on $Q$ so that $(Q, \sigma(\sim))$ is a quasigroup. Further suppose that $P \subseteq Q$ is such that all of the operations agree on $P$ and such that $(P, \sigma(v))$ is a subquasigroup of $(Q, \sigma(v))$. For each $(v, w) v \neq w$ in $V$, let $\otimes(v, w)$ be a binary operation on $P^{\prime}=Q \backslash P$ so that $(P,, \otimes(N, N))$ is a quasigroup. We remark here that the $|V|^{2}-|V|$ operations $\otimes(v, w)$ are not necessarily related to each other; the $|V|$ operations $\sigma(v)$ are not necessarily related to each other; and finally that none of the $|V|^{2}-|V|$ operations (8) ( $v, \omega)$ are necessarily related to any of the IV| operations $\sigma(v)$. We now define a generalized singular direct product denoted by $V_{0} \times Q_{1}(o(v)$, $\left.P, P^{\prime} \otimes(v, w)\right)$, to be the quasigroup $\Theta$ defined on the set $P U\left(P^{\prime} \times V\right)$ as follows:
(1) $n_{1} \oplus n_{2}=n_{1} \sigma(v) n_{2}=n_{1} \sigma(\sim) n_{2}$ if $n_{1}, n_{2} \in P$;

(3) $\left(p^{\prime}, v\right) \oplus p=\left(p^{\prime} \sigma(v) p, v\right)$ if $p \in P, p^{\prime} \in P^{\prime}, v \in V$;
(4) $\left(p_{1}^{\prime}, v\right) \oplus\left(n_{2}^{\prime}, v\right)=n_{1}^{\prime} \sigma(v) p_{2}^{\prime}$ if $p_{1}^{\prime} \sigma(v) \eta_{2}^{\prime} \in P$

$$
=\left(n_{1}^{\prime} \sigma(v) n_{2}^{\prime}, v\right) \text { if } p_{1}^{\prime} \sigma(v) n_{2}^{\prime} \in P^{\prime} ;
$$

(5) $\left(R_{1}^{\prime}, v\right) \oplus\left(n_{2}^{\prime}, w\right)=\left(r_{1}^{\prime} \otimes(v, w) n_{2}^{\prime}, v \circ \cdots\right)$ if $v \neq w$.

We remark that if we take $\sigma(v)=\sigma$ (nv) for all $v, w$ in $V$ we have the generalized singular direct product defined in [1], whereas if we take $\otimes(v, w)=\otimes\left(v^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right)$ for all ( $v, w$ ), ( $v^{\prime}, w^{\prime}$ ) we have the generalized singular direct product defined in [2]. If we take both of these restrictions we have A. Sade's singular direct product [3]. Finally if we take $P=\varnothing$ and $\sigma(v)=\sigma(w)=$ $=\theta(v, w)$ for all $v, w$ in $V$ we have the ordinary direct product.

If in the generalized singular direct product $V_{\odot} \times Q\left(\sigma(v), P, P^{\prime} \otimes(v, w)\right) \quad$ all of the operations $\sigma(v)=\sigma$ we will replace $\sigma(v)$ by $\sigma$. Similarly if all $\otimes(v, v)=\otimes$ we will replace $\otimes(v, \omega)$ by * .
3. Proof of the theorem. Let $\left(V, \sigma_{1}\right),\left(V, \sigma_{2}\right), \ldots$ $\ldots,\left(Y, \odot_{b-1}\right)$ be $s-1$ mutually orthogenal idempotent quasigroups, and $\left(Q, \sigma_{1}\right),\left(Q, \sigma_{2}\right), \ldots,\left(Q, \sigma_{t}\right) \quad t$ mutually orthogonal quasigroups containing $t$ subquasigroups $\left(p, \sigma_{1}\right),\left(P, \sigma_{2}\right), \ldots,\left(P, \sigma_{t}\right)$ so that $\sigma_{1}=$ $=\sigma_{2}=, \ldots,=\sigma_{t}$ on $P$. Let $P^{\prime}=Q \backslash P$ and $\left(P^{\prime}, \Theta_{1}\right)$, $\left(P^{\prime}, \otimes_{2}\right), \ldots,\left(P^{\prime}, \otimes_{n}\right)$ be $n$ mutuaily orthogonal quasigroups. Let $M=V_{O_{1}} \times Q\left(\sigma_{1}, P, P^{\prime} \otimes_{1}\right)$ be the singular direct product formed from $\left(V, \sigma_{1}\right),\left(Q, \sigma_{1}\right)$ and $\left(P^{\prime}, \otimes_{1}\right) . M$ of course has order $v(q-\eta)+\uparrow$. Now let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the set of all generalized singular direct products of the form $V_{O_{i}} \times Q\left(\sigma(v), P, P^{\prime} \otimes(v, w)\right)$ where $\sigma_{i} \in\left\{\sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}, \ldots, \sigma_{p-1}\right\}, \sigma(v) \in\left\{\sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}, \ldots, \sigma_{t}\right\}$
and $\otimes(v, w) \in\left\{\otimes_{2}, \otimes_{3}, \ldots, \otimes_{r}\right\}$. Clearly $\mathcal{M}$ contains $(s-2)(t-1) v(x-1) v^{2}-v$ distinct quasigroups. The proof will be complete if we can show that (i) each member of $\mathcal{M}$ is orthogonal to $M$, and (ii) no member of $\mathcal{M}$ can be obtained from any other member of $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ by a permutation.
(i) Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{M}$. Without loss in generality we can take $A=V_{O_{2}} \times\left(\sigma(v), P, P^{\prime} \otimes(v, w)\right)$. Now if $\sigma(v)$ is the same operation for all $v$ in $V$ and $\otimes(v, w)$ is the same operation for all $v \neq w$ in $V$ we have the ordinary singular direct product which A. Sade has shown is orthogonal to $M$, [3]. Suppose we take $A^{\prime}=V_{O_{2}} \times Q\left(\sigma_{2}, P, P^{\prime} \otimes_{2}\right)$. Now for each $v$ in $V$ the copy of $\left(Q, \sigma_{1}\right)$ in $M$ and the copy of $\left(Q, \sigma_{2}\right)$ in $A^{\prime}$ are both based on $P \cup\left(P^{\prime} \times\{v\}\right)$. Since $\left(Q, \sigma_{1}\right)$ and $\left(Q, \sigma_{2}\right)$ are orthogonal so are their copies in $M$ and $A^{\prime}$. Hence, if we superimpose the latin squares associated with their copies in $M$ and $A^{\prime}$ we obtain $\left\{P \cup\left(P^{\prime} \times\left\{v^{\prime}\right\}\right)\right\} \times\left\{P U\left(P^{\prime} \times\{v\}\right)\right\}$. Now if for any $w$ in $V$ we replace $\left(Q, \sigma_{2}\right)$ by $(Q, \sigma(v))$, $\sigma(v) \in\left\{\sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}, \ldots, \sigma_{t}\right\}$, in the construction of $A^{\prime}$ the copy of $(Q, \sigma(v))$ is still based on $P U\left(P^{\prime} \times\{\sim\}\right)$. Since $\left(Q, \sigma_{1}\right)$ and $(Q, \sigma(v))$ are orthogonal, superimposing the latin squares associated with their copies still gives $\left\{P U\left(P^{\prime} \times\{v\}\right)\right\} \times\left\{P U\left(P^{\prime} \times\left\{v^{\}}\right)\right\}\right.$. Since all copies of the ( $Q, \sigma(v)$ ) agree on $P$ we can replace $\sigma_{2}$ by $\sigma(v)$ in the construction of $A^{\prime}$ with the result that the singular direct product
$A^{\prime \prime}=V_{O_{2}} \times Q\left(\sigma(v), P, P^{\prime} \otimes_{2}\right) \quad$ is still orthogonal to $M$.

Now let $v \neq w \in V$. The latin squares associated with ( $P^{\prime}, \otimes_{1}$ ) in $M$ is based on $P^{\prime} \times\left\{v \Theta_{1} w\right\}$ and the latin square associated with ( $P^{\prime}, \otimes_{2}$ ) in $A^{\prime \prime}$ is based on $P^{\prime} \times\left\{v \Theta_{2} w\right\}$. Since $\left(P^{\prime}, \otimes_{1}\right)$ and ( $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}, \otimes_{2}$ ) are orthogonal if we superimpose their associated latin squares in $M$ and $A^{\text {" }}$ we obtain $\left\{P^{\prime} \times\left\{v \sigma_{1} w\right\} \times\left\{P^{\prime} \times\left\{v \sigma_{2} w\right\}\right\}\right.$. As above if in the construction of $A^{\prime \prime}$ we replace ( $P^{\prime}, \otimes_{2}$ ) by $\left(P^{\prime}, \otimes(v, w)\right), \otimes(v, w) \in\left\{\otimes_{2}, \otimes_{3}, \ldots, \otimes_{\kappa}\right\}$, the latin square associated with $\left(P^{\prime}, \otimes(v, w)\right)$ is still based on $P^{\prime} \times\left\{v \Phi_{2} w^{\}}\right\}$. Since ( $\left.P^{\prime}, \otimes(v, w)\right)$ is orthogonal to ( $P^{\prime}, \otimes_{1}$ ) if we superimpose their associated latin squares in $M$ and $A^{\prime \prime}$ we still obtain $\left\{P^{\prime} \times\left\{v \sigma_{1} w\right\}\right\} \times\left\{P^{\prime} \times\left\{v \sigma_{2} w\right\}\right\}$. It follows that we can replace $\otimes_{2}$ by $\otimes(v, w)$ in the construction of $A$ " and the resulting quasigroups $A=V_{O_{2}} \times Q(\sigma(v)$, $\left.P, P^{\prime} \otimes(v, w)\right)$ are atill orthogonal to $M$.

$$
\text { (ii) Now let } M_{i}=V_{\oplus_{i}} \times Q\left(\sigma(v), P, P^{\prime} \otimes(v, v)\right)
$$

and $M_{j}=V_{\Phi_{j}} \times Q\left(\sigma(v), P, P^{\prime} \otimes(v, N)\right)$ belong to $\mathcal{M}$. One of two things is true: either $\sigma(v)$ is the same in the construction of both $M_{i}$ and $M_{j}$ for each $v$ in $V$ or the contrary. If $\sigma(v)$ is the aame for all $v$ $\epsilon V$, since each of $\left(V, \sigma_{i}\right)$ and $\left(V, \sigma_{j}\right)$ is idempotent the latin squares associated with the $(Q, \sigma(v))$, $v \in V$, in $M_{i}$ and $M_{j}$ are identical and in the same relative position. Hence, any permutation, other than the
identity, applied to one of $M_{i}, M_{j}$ cannot give the other. On the other hand if o (ar) is different for son me $w \in V$, then the subquasigroup of $M_{i}$ based on $P \cup\left(P^{\prime} \times\{v\}\right)$ is orthogonal to the subquasigroup of $M_{j}$ based on $P U\left(P^{\prime} \times\{v\}\right)$. Again it follows that no permutation will transform ane of $M_{i}, M_{j}$ into the other.

This completes the proof of the theorem.
4. Examples. (i) Since $17=4(5-1)+1$ and there are 3 mutually orthogonal quasigroups of order 4 and 4 mutually orthogonal quasigroups of order 5 containing 4 mutually orthogonal quasigroups of order 1 , there is a quasigroups of order 17 having at least 331,776 orthogonal mates, any two differing by more than a permutation. (ii) Since $22=7(4-1)+1$, similar remarks produce a quasigroup of order 22 having at least 512 orthogonal mates, no one of which can be obtained from the other by a permutation.
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