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## NONLINEAR EIGENVALUE PROBLEMS

Walter PETRY, Düsseldorf

Abstract: Let $g$ be a continuously differentiable functional on a real Banach space $\gamma$ and $f^{\prime \prime}$ - in one sense - the limit of continuously differentiable functionals on $\gamma$ with domain $D\left(f^{\prime}\right):=\left\{u \in V: £^{\prime}(\mu) \in V^{*}\right\}$.
The existence of a solution of the nonlinear eigenvalue problem

$$
f^{\prime}(\mu)=\lambda g^{\prime}(\mu)
$$

with $\lambda \in R^{1}$ and $\mu \in D\left(f^{\prime}\right) \cap M_{c}(g)$ is proved, where the level surface is defined by $\mathbb{M}_{c}(g):=\{u \in V: g(\mu)=c\}$. Application to a nonlinear elliptic eigenvalue problem is given.
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Let $V$ be a real Banach space, $f$ and $g$ two functionals defined on $\gamma$ which are once continuously differentiable with the derivatives $f^{\prime}$ and $g^{\prime}$ respectively. Let $c$ be a real number and define the level surface. $M_{c}(g):\{\mu \in$ e $V: g(\mu)=c 3$. Then the critical points of $f$ with respect to $M_{c}(g)$ are (under suitable restrictions) solutions of the eigenvalue problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(\mu)=\lambda g^{\prime}(\mu) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{1}$. This reduction of the eigenvalue problem ( 1 ) to the problem of extremizing a functional $f$ on the level surface $M_{e}(g)$ is used to prove the existence of a solution for (1) (see e.g. [5-8]).

It is the purpose of the present note to prove the existence of a solution $\mu_{0}$ for (1) with $\mu_{0} \in M_{c}(g)$ (Theorem 1) under the assumption that $f^{\prime}$ is the limit of the derivatives of sequence of functionals on $V$. In particular, $f^{\prime}(\mu)$ must not be defined for all $\mu$ of $M_{c}(g)$. The proof of the theorem is based on regularization methods, recently used by the author in studying nonlinear integral equations [9] and nonlinear elliptic boundary value problems [10]. Theorem 1 generalizes results of Browder [5, 6] and Hess [8]. As an application of Theorem 1 we obtain a result (Theorem 2) on nonlinear elliptic eigenvalue problems which strengthens the corresponding statements in $[1,2,4-61$ (see also [3]).

1. Let $\boldsymbol{V}$ be a real separable reflexive Banach space with dual $V^{*}$. The pairing between $V$ and $V^{*}$ shall be denoted by (., .) By $\rightarrow$ and $\rightarrow$ we will denote strong and weak convergence respectively.

A mapping $T: V \rightarrow V^{*} \quad$ is said to satisfy Condition (S): if $\left\{\mu_{m}{ }^{\}} \subset V\right.$ is weakly convergent in $V$ to $\mu_{0}$ and if $\left(T \mu_{n}-T \mu_{0}, \mu_{n}-\mu_{0}\right) \rightarrow 0$, then $\mu_{n}$ converges strongly to $\mu_{0}$.

A mapping $T: V \rightarrow V^{*} \quad$ is said to satisfy Condition (S+): if $\left\{\mu_{m}\right\} \subset V$ is weakly convergent to $\mu_{0}$ in $V$ and
if $\lim _{n} \sup \left(T \mu_{n}-T \mu_{0}, \mu_{n}-\mu_{0}\right) \leqslant 0$, then $\mu_{n}$ converges strongly to $\mu_{0}$.

To prove an existence theorem for the eigenvalue problem (2) we use regularization methods. Therefore we introduce

Assumption 1. Let $\varepsilon_{0}>0$. For each $\left.\varepsilon \in J 0, \varepsilon_{0}\right]$ suppose that $f_{1}, f_{2}(\varepsilon, \cdot), g$ are functionals on $\gamma$ satisfying the following conditions: (a) $\varepsilon_{1}, f_{2}(\varepsilon, \cdot)$ and $g$ are $C^{1}$-functions on $V$ with the derivatives $f_{1}^{\prime}$, $\varepsilon_{2}^{\prime}(\varepsilon, \cdot)$ and $g^{\prime}$ respectively. (b) $g$ is weakly continuous and $g^{\prime}$ is a compact mapping from $V$ to $V^{*}$. (c) Set $\varepsilon(\varepsilon, \mu):=f_{1}(\mu)+f_{2}(\varepsilon, \mu)$ with the derivative $\varepsilon^{\prime}(\varepsilon, \mu)=f_{1}^{\prime}(\mu)+f_{2}^{\prime}(\varepsilon, \mu)$. Suppose that $f_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\varepsilon_{2}^{\prime}(\varepsilon, \cdot)$ map bounded sets into bounded sets, that $f_{q}^{\prime}$ satisfies Condition ( $S+$ ) and $f^{\prime}(\varepsilon, \cdot) \quad$ Condition ( $(S)$ and that $f(\varepsilon, \mu) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\|\mu\| \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly with respect to $\left.\varepsilon \in] D, \varepsilon_{0}\right]$. (d) Let there exist a constant $c>0$ such that for all $\mu$ in $M_{c}(g):=\{\mu \in V: g(\mu)=c\}$, $\left(g^{\prime}(\mu), \mu\right)>\sigma$ and for each $R>0$, there exists $c(R)>$ $\geq 0$ such that $\left(g^{\prime}(\mu), \mu\right) \geq c(\mathbb{R})$ for $\mu$ in $M_{c}(q)$ with $\|u\| \leqslant \mathbb{R}$. By a theorem of Browder ([6]; Theorem 15) it follows

Proposition 1. Suppose that Assumption 1 holds. Then for each $\left.\varepsilon \in J 0, \varepsilon_{0}\right], f(\varepsilon, \cdot)$ assumes its minimum on the set $M_{c}(g)$ at a point $u_{\varepsilon}$ which is a solution of the eigenvalue equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(\varepsilon, \mu)=f_{1}^{\prime}(\mu)+f_{2}^{\prime}(\varepsilon, \mu)=\lambda_{\varepsilon} g^{\prime}(\mu) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some real number $\lambda_{8}$.

We define for all $\left.\varepsilon \in \beth 0, \varepsilon_{0}\right], \mu, v \in V$, $B(\varepsilon, \mu, \sim):=\left(f_{2}^{\prime}(\varepsilon, \mu), \sim\right)$.

The problem to be studied is obtained by the limiting process $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Hence we formulate

Assumption 2. (a) Let there exist a constant $\mathscr{\varphi}_{1}>0$ and an element $v_{0} \in M_{c}(g)$ such that for each $\left.\varepsilon \in \mathcal{I} O, \varepsilon_{0}\right]$

$$
f\left(\varepsilon, v_{0}\right) \leq \varepsilon_{1} .
$$

(b) Suppose that there exists a constant $c_{0}>0$ such that for all $\mu \in M_{c}(g)$ and each $\left.\varepsilon \in\right] 0, \varepsilon_{0} J$

$$
0 \leq\left(f_{2}^{\prime}(\varepsilon, \mu), \mu\right) \leq c_{0} f_{2}(\varepsilon, \mu) .
$$

(c) Suppose that any sequences $\left\{\varepsilon_{m}\right\}$ and $\left\{\mu_{\varepsilon_{n}}\right\} \subset V$ satisfying $\quad \varepsilon_{m} \rightarrow 0, \mu_{\varepsilon_{n}} \rightarrow \mu_{0} \quad$ in $V$ and $0 \leqslant B\left(\varepsilon_{m}, \mu_{\varepsilon_{n}}, \mu_{\varepsilon_{n}}\right) \leqslant \mathcal{C}_{2}$ with some constant $\mathcal{C}_{2}>0$ imply the existence of $B\left(0, \mu_{0}, \varphi\right)$ for all $\varphi \in W$, where $\boldsymbol{V}$ is a dense subset of $V$; furthermore there exists a subsequence $\left\{m^{\prime}\right\}$ such that

$$
B\left(\varepsilon_{m^{\prime}}, \mu_{\varepsilon_{m},}, \varphi\right) \rightarrow B\left(0, \mu_{0}, \varphi\right)^{(t)}
$$

for all $\varphi \in W$. If in addition $B\left(0, \mu_{0}, \mu_{0}\right)$ exists, then it exists a subsequence (also denoted by $m^{\prime}$ ) such that

$$
B\left(0, \mu_{0}, \mu_{0}\right) \leqslant \lim _{m^{\prime}} B\left(\varepsilon_{m^{\prime}}, \mu_{\varepsilon_{m^{0}}}, \mu_{\varepsilon_{m^{\prime}}}\right) .
$$

We set
$D\left(f^{\prime}\right):=\left\{\mu \in V: B(0, \mu, \cdot): V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1}\right.$ is linear and continuous $\}$.
(+) The referee has remarked, that under this assumption, it then follows that the whole sequence converges.

Then for $\mu \in D\left(f^{\prime}\right)$ there exists $f_{2}^{\prime}(0, \mu) \in V *$ such that for all $v \in V$

$$
B(0, u, v)=\left(f_{2}^{\prime}(0, u), v\right) .
$$

The eigenvalue equation to be studied may then be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1}^{\prime}(\mu)+f_{2}^{\prime}(0, \mu)=\lambda g^{\prime}(\mu) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with some real number $\lambda$ and $\mu \in \mu_{c}(g) \cap D\left(f^{\prime}\right)$.
We now state our main theorem:
Theorem 1. Suppose that the assumptions 1,2 are true. Then there exist at least one real number $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{0}$ and one $\mu_{0} \in M_{c}(g) \cap D\left(f^{\prime}\right)$ satisfying (3).

Proof. By Proposition 1 and Assumption 2(a) it follows

$$
f\left(\varepsilon, \mu_{\varepsilon}\right) \leqslant f\left(\varepsilon, v_{0}\right) \leqslant \varphi_{1}
$$

from which by Assumption $I(c)$ there exists a constant $\Omega>$ $>0$ such that for each $\varepsilon$ in $\left.] 0, \varepsilon_{0}\right],\left\|\mu_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{V} \leqslant \Omega$. Therefore there exists a sequence $\varepsilon_{m}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0, \mu_{\varepsilon_{n}} \rightarrow \mu_{0} \text { in } V \text {. } \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further we obtain by Assumptions 2(b), l(c)
(5) $0 \leqslant\left(f_{2}^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon, \mu_{\varepsilon}\right), \mu_{\varepsilon}\right) \leqslant c_{0} f_{2}\left(\varepsilon, \mu_{\varepsilon}\right) \leqslant c_{0}\left(f\left(\varepsilon, \mu_{\varepsilon}\right)+\right.$

$$
\left.+\left|f_{1}\left(\mu_{8}\right)\right|\right) \leqslant c_{0}\left(\varphi_{1}+\varphi_{3}\right)=: \varphi_{2}
$$

with some constant $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{3}>0$. Hence it follows by Assumption 1(d)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathscr{\varepsilon}_{4} \geq\left\|f_{1}^{\prime}\left(\mu_{\varepsilon}\right)\right\|\left\|\mu_{\varepsilon}\right\|+\left(f_{2}^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon, \mu_{\varepsilon}\right), \mu_{\varepsilon}\right) \geq\left|\left(f^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon, \mu_{\varepsilon}\right), \mu_{\varepsilon}\right)\right| \\
=\left|\lambda_{\varepsilon}\right|\left(g^{\prime}\left(\mu_{\varepsilon}\right), \mu_{\varepsilon}\right) \geq\left|\lambda_{\varepsilon}\right| c(R) \\
-117-
\end{gathered}
$$

with some constant $\varphi_{4}>0$, i.e. $\left|\lambda_{\varepsilon}\right| \leqslant \varphi_{4} / c(\Omega)$. Therefore by virtue of Assumptions $1(b, c)$ and 2(c), (4) and
(5) there exists a subsequence $m^{\prime}$ such that
$\lambda_{\varepsilon_{m^{\prime}}} \rightarrow \lambda_{0}, f_{1}^{\prime}\left(\mu_{\varepsilon_{n^{\prime}}}\right) \rightarrow u_{1} \quad$ in $V^{*}, g^{\prime}\left(\mu_{\varepsilon_{m^{\prime}}}\right) \rightarrow v_{2} \quad$ in $V^{*}$ and

$$
B\left(\varepsilon_{n^{\prime}}, \mu_{\varepsilon_{n^{\prime}}}, \varphi\right) \rightarrow B\left(0, \mu_{0}, \varphi\right)
$$

for all $\varphi \in W$. From

$$
\left(\varepsilon^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon_{n^{\prime}}, \mu_{\varepsilon_{n}}\right), \varphi\right)=\lambda_{\varepsilon_{n}}\left(g^{\prime}\left(\mu_{\varepsilon_{n}}\right), \varphi\right)
$$

it therefore follows for each $\varphi$ in $W$

Hence

$$
\left(w_{1}, \varphi\right)+B\left(0, \mu_{0}, \varphi\right)=\lambda_{0}\left(w_{2}, \varphi\right)
$$

$$
B\left(0, \mu_{0}, \varphi\right)=\left(\lambda_{0} w_{2}-w_{1}, \varphi\right)
$$

for each $\varphi$ in $W$. Since $W$ is dense in $V$ and $\lambda_{0} w_{2}-$ $-w_{1} \in V^{*}$ it follows therefore that $B\left(0, \mu_{0}, \varphi\right)$ can be defined for all $\varphi \in V$ and $B\left(0, \mu_{0}, \varphi\right)=$ $=\left(\varepsilon_{2}^{\prime}\left(0, \mu_{0}\right), \varphi\right)$, i.e.

$$
f_{2}^{\prime}\left(0, \mu_{0}\right)=\lambda_{0} w_{2}-w_{1}
$$

and $\mu_{0} \in D\left(£^{\prime}\right)$. Further we obtain by Assumption 2(c)
$\lim _{n^{\prime}} \operatorname{sun}\left(\varepsilon_{1}^{\prime}\left(\mu_{\varepsilon_{n^{\prime}}}\right), \mu_{\varepsilon_{n^{\prime}}}\right)=$
$=\lim _{m^{\prime}} \sup \left\{\lambda_{\varepsilon_{m^{\prime}}}\left(q^{\prime}\left(\mu_{\varepsilon_{m^{\prime}}}\right), \mu_{\varepsilon_{m^{\prime}}}\right)-\left(f_{2}^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon_{n^{\prime}}, \mu_{\varepsilon_{n}}\right), \mu_{\varepsilon_{n^{\prime}}}\right)\right\}$
$=\lambda_{0}\left(w_{2}, \mu_{0}\right)-\lim _{n^{\prime}} B\left(\varepsilon_{m^{0}}, \mu_{\varepsilon_{n^{\prime}}}, \mu_{\varepsilon_{n}}\right)$
$\leqslant \lambda_{0}\left(\omega_{2}, \mu_{0}\right)-B\left(0, \mu_{0}, \mu_{0}\right)=\left(\lambda_{0} w_{2}-f_{2}^{\prime}\left(0, \mu_{0}\right), \mu_{0}\right)=\left(w_{1}, \mu_{0}\right)$.

There $\mathrm{f}_{\text {fore }}$ by Assumption $1(\mathrm{c}), \mu_{\varepsilon_{n}}$, converges strongly to $\mu_{0}$. Hence we obtain by the continuity of $f_{1}^{\prime}$ and $g^{\prime}$ that $\mu_{0} \in M_{c}(g)$ and

$$
f_{1}^{\prime}\left(\mu_{0}\right)+f_{2}^{\prime}\left(0, \mu_{0}\right)=\lambda_{0} g^{\prime}\left(\mu_{0}\right)
$$

proving Theorem 1 .
Remark. (1) Assumption 2(c) is also used in [10], where nonlinear boundary value problems are studied.
(2) Theorem 1 is a generalization of a theorem of Browder ([6], Theorem 15). It shall be remarked that the domain of Definition $D\left(f^{\prime}\right)$ of the operator $f_{2}^{\prime}(0$, .) is a subset of $V$.
2. To apply Theorem 1 to nonlinear elliptic eigenvalue problems we make the following assumptions.

Let $\Omega$ be an open bounded subset of $R^{n}$ with sufficiently smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$ such that the imbedding theorems of Sobolev hold (see e.g. [6]). We consider the Sobolev space $V:=\stackrel{\circ}{W}_{m, n}$ with $1<\uparrow<\infty$ and denote by $[\mu, v]:=\int_{\Omega} \mu(x) v(x) d x$. In the following we shall use the notations of Browder [6].

Assumptions 3 (see [6]): (a) Suppose that $F: \Omega \times$ $\times \mathbb{R}^{S_{m}} \rightarrow \Omega^{1}, G: \Omega \times R^{S_{m}-1} \rightarrow R^{1}$. For each fixed $\xi$ in $R^{s_{m}}, F(\cdot, \xi)$ is measurable on $\Omega$ and for almost all $x$ in $\Omega, F(x, \cdot)$ is once continuously differrentable on $R^{s m}$. For each fixed $\eta$ in $R^{s_{m-1}}$, $H(\cdot, \eta)$ is measurable on $\Omega$ and for almost all $x$ in
$\Omega, H(x$, , $) \quad$ is once continuously differentiable on $R^{S_{m-1}}$. The functions $F$ and $G$ satisfy the following inequalities:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |F(x, \xi)| \leqslant c\left(\xi_{b}\right)(x)+c_{1}\left(\xi_{b}\right) \sum_{m-n|n \in| \propto \mid \leqslant m}\left|\xi_{\alpha}\right|^{s_{\alpha}}, \\
& |G(x, \eta)| \leqslant c\left(\eta_{f}\right)(x)+c_{1}\left(\eta_{f b}\right) \sum_{m-m / p \in|\beta| \leqslant m-1}\left|\eta_{\beta}\right|^{t_{n}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $s_{\alpha}^{-1}=\Re^{-1}-n^{-1}(m-|\alpha|), s_{\alpha}<\infty, t_{\beta}<s_{\beta}$, b is the greatest integer less than $m-m / \neq, \xi_{b}:=\left\{\xi_{\alpha}:|\alpha| \leqslant b\right\}$, $c_{1}$ is a continuous function from $\Omega^{s_{\ell}}$ to $R^{1}$, and $c$ is a continuous function from $R^{s} \boldsymbol{b}$ to $L^{12}$.
(b) Set $F_{\alpha}:=\partial F / \partial \xi_{\alpha}$ for $|\alpha| \leq m$ and
$G_{\beta}:=\partial G / \partial \eta_{\beta}$ for $|\beta| \leqslant m-1$. Suppose that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|F_{\alpha}(x, \xi)\right| \leqslant c_{\alpha}\left(\xi_{b}\right)(x)+c_{1}\left(\xi_{b}\right)_{m-m / n \leq|\beta| \leq m}\left|\xi_{\beta}\right|^{n \alpha \beta}, \\
& \left|G_{\alpha}(x, \eta)\right| \leqslant c_{\alpha}\left(\eta_{b}\right)(x)+c_{1}\left(\eta_{b r}\right) \sum_{m-m / n=|\beta| \leqslant m-1}\left|\eta_{\beta}\right|^{n<\beta},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c_{\alpha}$ are continuous functions from $R^{\text {s\& }}$ to $L^{1_{\alpha}}$ and the exponents $\Re_{\alpha}$ and $\Re_{\alpha \beta}$ satisfy the inequalities

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
n_{\alpha}=p^{\prime}\left(n^{-1}+p^{\prime-1}=1\right) & \text { for }|\propto|=m, \\
p_{\alpha}>s_{\alpha}^{\prime}\left(s_{\alpha}^{-1}+s_{\alpha}^{\prime-1}=1\right) & \text { for } m-n / n \leq|\propto|<m, \\
p_{\alpha}=1 & \text { for }|\propto|<m-n / n
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{\alpha \beta} \leqslant p-1 \text { for }|\alpha|=|\beta|=m, \\
& r_{\alpha \beta} \leqslant s_{\beta} s_{\alpha}^{\prime-1} \text { for } m-m / n \leqslant|\alpha|,|\beta| \leq m,
\end{aligned}
$$

$|\alpha|+|\beta|<2 m$,
$\eta_{\alpha \beta} \leq s_{\beta} \quad$ for $|\alpha|<m-n / n, m-n / \eta \leq|\beta| \leq m$.
(c) If $\xi=\left(\eta, Y_{m}\right)$ is the division of $\xi$ into its
$m$-th order components $\mathscr{Y}_{m}$ and the corresponding
$(m-1)$-st order jet $\eta$, then for each $X$ in $\Omega$ and each $\eta$ in $R^{5 m-1}$

$$
\sum_{|\alpha|=m}\left[F_{\alpha}\left(x, \eta, \mathscr{S}_{m}\right)-F_{\propto}\left(x, \eta, \mathscr{S}_{m}^{\prime}\right)\right]\left[\mathscr{S}_{\alpha}-\mathscr{S}_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right]>0
$$

$$
\text { for } \mathscr{S}_{m} \neq \varphi_{m}^{\prime}
$$

(d) There exist two continuous functions $c_{2}$ and $c_{3}$ from $\mathbb{R}^{S \&}$ to $\mathbb{R}^{1}$ with $c_{2}\left(\eta_{e r}\right) \geq \tilde{c}_{2}>0$ for each $\eta_{\ell-}$, and $t$ wo constants $c_{4}>0$ and $c_{5}$ such that for all $\times$, $\mathscr{S}_{m}$ and $\eta$

$$
\sum_{|\alpha| \neq m} F_{\alpha}(x, \xi) \xi_{\alpha} \geq c_{4}|\xi|^{12}-c_{5},
$$

$\sum_{|\alpha|=m} F_{\alpha}(x, \xi) \xi_{\alpha} \geq c_{2}\left(\eta_{b}\right)\left|\varphi_{m}\right|^{12}-$

$$
1-c_{3}\left(\eta_{b-}\right) \sum_{m-m / \eta \leqslant|\beta| \leqslant m-1}\left|\xi_{n}\right|^{t_{\beta}}
$$

(e) Let there exist a constant $\propto>0$ such that for each $x \in \Omega$ and each $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^{s m-1}$ the following inequality holds:

$$
\mid \sum_{|p|=m-1} G_{\beta}(x, \eta) \eta_{\beta} \geq \alpha G(x, \eta) \text {. }
$$

Assumption 4. (a) Let $H: \Omega \times R^{\mathrm{sm}} \boldsymbol{\mathrm { m }} \mathrm{I} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}^{1}$ be a nonnegative continuous function such that for all $x$ in $\Omega$, $H(x, \cdot)$ is once continuously differentiable on $R^{8 m-1}$.
(b) Set $H_{\alpha}:=\partial H / \partial \eta_{\alpha} \quad$ and
$L_{\alpha}(\varepsilon, x, \eta):=\frac{H_{\infty}(x, \eta)}{(1+\varepsilon H(x, \eta))^{2}}$ with $\left.\left.\varepsilon \in\right] 0,1\right]$
and $|\alpha| \leqslant m-1$. Suppose that for each $\varepsilon$ in $] 0,1]$, each $x$ in $\Omega$ and each $\eta$ in $R^{S_{m-1}}$ the following inequalities hold:
$\left|I_{\alpha}(\varepsilon, x, \eta)\right| \leq c_{\alpha}\left(\eta_{b}\right)(x)+c_{1}\left(\eta_{b}\right) \sum_{m-m / \beta \&|\beta| \leqslant m-1}\left|\eta_{\beta}\right|^{\eta_{\alpha \beta}}$,

$$
c_{0} H(x, \eta) \geq \sum_{|x| \leqslant m-1} H_{x}(x, \eta) \eta \propto \geq 0
$$

with some constant $c_{0}>0$.
(c) Suppose that there exist a constant $C_{6} \geq 0$ and a function $R: \Omega \times R^{S_{m-1}} \times R^{S_{m-1}} \rightarrow R^{1} \quad$ such that for each $\eta, \eta^{\prime} \quad$ in $\Omega^{S_{m-1}}$ and each $x$ in $\Omega$
$\left.\right|_{|\beta| \in m-1} H_{\beta}(x, \eta) \eta_{\beta}^{\prime} \mid \leqslant c_{6} \sum_{|\beta| \in m-1} H_{\beta}(x, \eta) \eta_{\beta}+R\left(x, \eta, \eta^{\prime}\right)$. Further suppose that for each wr in $W_{m *, \neq}$ with $m^{*}>$ $>m+n / \eta$ the mapping $R(\eta(0), \eta(\omega))$ defined by $R(\eta(\mu), \eta(\omega))(x):=R(x, \eta(\mu)(x), \eta(w)(x))$, is bounded and continuous from $W_{m-1, i}$ to $L^{1}$. We define
$D:=f \mu \in \stackrel{\circ}{W}_{m, \uparrow}$ : such that the form $\sum_{|\beta| \& m-1}\left[H_{\beta}(\cdot, \eta(\mu)), D^{\beta} v\right]$ is continuous with respect to $v$ in $\stackrel{\circ}{W}_{m, \neq}{ }^{3}$,

$$
M_{c}(q):=\left\{u \in \stackrel{\circ}{W}_{m, \eta}: \int_{\Omega} G(x, \eta(\mu)(x)) d x=c\right\} .
$$

Let $c>\sigma$, then we ask for elements $\lambda_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{1}$, $\mu_{0} \in$ $\in M_{c}(g) \cap D \quad$ which satisfy the condition
(6) $\left\{\begin{array}{c}\sum_{|\alpha| \& m}\left[F_{\alpha}\left(\cdot, \xi\left(\mu_{0}\right)\right), D^{\alpha} v\right]+\sum_{|\beta| \neq m-1}\left[H_{\beta}\left(\cdot, \eta\left(\mu_{0}\right)\right), D^{\beta} v\right] \\ =\lambda_{0} \sum_{|\beta| \neq m-1}\left[G_{\beta}\left(\cdot, \eta\left(\mu_{0}\right)\right), D^{\beta} v\right]\end{array}\right.$
for each $v$ in $\dot{W}_{m, \eta}$.
Theorem 2. Suppose that $c>0$ and that Assumptions 3,4 hold. Let exist an element $v_{0} \in M_{c}(g)$ such that $\int_{\Omega} H\left(x, \eta\left(v_{0}\right)(x)\right) d x<\infty$. Then Problem (6) has at least one solution $\lambda_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{1}, \mu_{0} \in M_{c}(g) \cap D$.

Proof. (a) For $\varepsilon \in J 0,1 J$ and $\mu, v \in \dot{W}_{m, \ell}$ we define
$f_{1}(\mu):=\int_{\Omega} F(x, \xi(\mu)(x)) d x, g(\mu):=\int_{\Omega} G(x, \eta(\mu)(x)) d x$, $\left.f_{2}(\varepsilon, \mu):=\int_{\Omega} H(x, \eta(\mu)(x)) /(1+\varepsilon H(x, \eta(\mu))(x))\right) d x$, $a(u, v):=\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m}\left[F_{\alpha}(\cdot, \xi(u)), D^{\alpha} v\right]$,
$b(\mu, v):=\sum_{|\beta| \in m-1}\left[G_{\beta}(\cdot, \eta(\mu)), D^{\beta} v\right]$,
$c(\varepsilon, \mu, v):=\sum_{i \beta 1 \leq m-1}\left[H_{\beta}(\cdot, \eta(\mu)) /(1+\varepsilon H(\cdot, \eta(u)))^{2}, D^{\beta} v\right]$.
By a theorem of Browder (see [6], Lemma 7 and $3^{\circ}$.) it follows: ( $\alpha$ ) $\mathrm{f}_{1}$, and $g$ are once differentiable functionnails on $\dot{W}_{m, \uparrow}$ and their derivatives $f_{1}^{\prime}$ and $g^{\prime}$ satisfy the equations

$$
\left(f_{1}^{\prime}(u), v\right)=a(u, v),\left(g^{\prime}(u), v\right)=b(\mu, v)
$$

for all

$$
\mu, v \in \stackrel{\circ}{W}_{m, \eta}
$$

$(\beta) g$ is weakly continuous and $g^{\prime}$ is a continuous
compact mapping from $\stackrel{\circ}{W}_{m, \uparrow}$ to $\stackrel{\circ}{W}_{m}^{*}, \notin$. ( $\gamma$ ) $\varepsilon_{1}^{\prime}$ is a continuous mapping of $\dot{W}_{m, \neq}$ into $\stackrel{i}{W}_{m, p}^{*}$, which maps bounded sets into bounded sets and satisfies Condition (S+).
$\left(0^{2}\right) f_{1}(\mu) \rightarrow \infty \quad$ as $\|\mu\| \rightarrow \infty$.
Along the lines of the theorem of Browner it also follows for each $\in \in] 0,1]$ :
( $\propto$ ) $f_{2}(\varepsilon, \cdot)$ is a once differentiable functional on $\stackrel{W}{\top}_{m, \uparrow}$ and its derivative $f_{2}^{\prime}(\varepsilon$, .) satisfies for all $\mu, v \in \stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{~W}}_{m, \uparrow}$

$$
\left(\varepsilon_{2}^{\prime}(\varepsilon, \mu), v\right)=c(\varepsilon, \mu, v) .
$$

(ß) $£_{2}^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon\right.$, , is a continuous mapping of $\stackrel{\circ}{W}_{m, \uparrow}$ into Wi on $_{m}^{*}$ which maps bounded sets into bounded sets.
( $\gamma$ ) $£^{\prime}(\varepsilon, \cdot):=£_{1}^{\prime}(\cdot)+£_{2}^{\prime}(\varepsilon, \cdot) \quad$ satisfies Condition ( $\mathrm{S}+$ ) and hence Condition ( S ), too.

Further by the assumption on $H$ and by ( $\sigma^{\circ}$ ) we have for each $\varepsilon \in] 0,1 J$

$$
f(\varepsilon, \mu)=f_{1}(\mu)+f_{2}(\varepsilon, \mu) \geq f_{1}(\mu) \rightarrow \infty
$$

as $\|\mu\| \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore it easily follows - by virtue of the above remarks - that Assumptions $1(a, b, c)$ hold.
(b) Let $\dot{\mu} \in M_{c}(g)$, then we obtain by Assumption 3(e)
$\left(g^{\prime}(\mu), \mu\right)=\sum_{|\beta| \leq m-1}\left[G_{\beta}(\cdot, \eta(\mu)), D^{\beta} \mu\right] \geq$
$\geq \alpha \int_{\Omega} G(x, \eta(\mu)(x)) d x=\alpha g(\mu)=\alpha c>0$
which implies Assumption 1(d).
(c) By the assumption of Theorem 2 it follows for all
$\varepsilon \in J 0,1]$

$$
£\left(\varepsilon, v_{0}\right)=f_{1}\left(v_{0}\right)+f_{2}\left(\varepsilon, v_{0}\right) \leqslant f_{1}\left(v_{0}\right)+
$$

$+\int_{\Omega} H\left(x, \eta\left(v_{0}\right)(x)\right) d x \leq \varphi_{5}$
with some constant $\boldsymbol{\mathcal { C }}_{5}$, proving Assumption 2(a).
(d) For each $\varepsilon \in 10,1]$ and each $\mu \in \dot{W}_{m}$, $\mathfrak{w}$ we further have by Assumption 4(b)

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \leqslant\left(f_{2}^{\prime}(\varepsilon, \mu), \mu\right)=\sum_{|\beta| \in m-1}\left[\mathcal{H}_{\beta}(\cdot, \eta(\mu)) /(1+\varepsilon H(0, \eta(\mu)))^{2}, D^{\beta} \mu\right] \\
& \leqslant c_{0} \int_{\Omega} H(x, \eta(\mu)(x)) /(1+\varepsilon H(x, \eta(\mu)(x))) d x=c_{0} f_{2}(\varepsilon, \mu)
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves Assumption 2(b).
(e) Assumption 2(c) follows along the lines of the proof of Theorem 2(c) in [10] and shall therefore be omitted. Hence Theorem 2 follows from Theorem 1.

Remark. (a) Assumption 4 (c) can be replaced by a condition which is more useful in applications (see [10], Proposition 3).
(b) $f_{2}(0, \mu)$ is by Assumption not necessarily defined for all $\mu$ in $M_{c}(g)$.
(c) Theorem 2 generalizes in one sense a theorem of Browder [6] (Theorem 17), who assumes $\mathcal{H}(x, \eta)=0$.
References
[1] BERGER M.S.: An eigenvalue problem for quasi-linear. elliptic partial differential equations, Bull. Amer.Math.Soc.71(1965),171-175.

$$
\text { - } 125 \text { - }
$$

[2] BERGER M.S.: An eigenvalue problem for nonlinear eliptic partial differential equations, Trans.Amer. Math.Soc.120(1965),145-184.
[3] BERGER M.S.: Orlicz spaces and nonlinear elliptic eigenvalue problems, Bull.Amer. Math.Soc.71(1965),898902.
[4] BROWDER F.E.: Variational methods for nonlinear elliptic eigenvalue problems, Bull.Amer.Math.Soc.71(1965), 176-183.
[5] BROWDER F.E.: Nonlinear eigenvalue problems and Galerkin Approximations, Bull.Amer.Math.Soc.74(1968),651656.
[6] BROWDER F.E.: Existence theorems for nonlinear partial differential equations, Global Analysis,Proc.Symp. Pure Math.XVI(held at University of California,Berkeley,July 1-26,1968),pp.1-60.Amer.Math.Soc., Providence, Rhode Island 1970.
[7] HESS,-.: Nonlinear functional equations and eigenvalue problems, Commentarii Math.Helvetici 46, 3(1971), 314-323.
[8] HESS P.: A variational approach to a class of nonlinear eigenvalue problems; Proc.Amer.Math.Scc.29(1971), 272-276.
[9] PETRY W.: Generalized Hammerstein equation and integral equations of Hammerstein type, Math.Nachr. (in print).
[10] PETRY W.: Existence theorems for operator equations and nonlinear elliptic boundary value problems, Comment.Math.Univ.Carolinae 14(1973),27-46.

Mathematisches Institut
der Universität
4 Düsseldorf 1, Haroldstrasse 19
West Germany
(Oblatum 27.10.1972)

