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## SEQUENTIAL REGULARIZATION OF ILL-POSED PROBLENS INVOLVING UNBOUNDED OPERATORS

C.W. GROETSCH, Cincinnati

Abstract: Let $A: D(A) \rightarrow H$ be a closed densely defined linear operator in a real Hilbert space $H$ and suppose that for a certain $f \in H$ the ill-posed problem $A u=f$ has a unique solution u. Let $B$ be a bounded positive definite operator on $H$ and set $u_{0}=0$. Then for $n=1,2, \ldots$ the well-posed problem
$\left\langle A u_{n}, A v\right\rangle+\left\langle B u_{n}, v\right\rangle=\left\langle B u_{n-1}, v\right\rangle+\langle f, A v\rangle, \forall v \in D(A)$ has a unique solution $u_{n} \in D(A)$ and $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
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1. Introduction. Suppose that $H$ is a real Hilbert space and $D(A) \subset H$ is a dense subspace. This paper is a theoretieal study of a method of approximating the solution of the problem
(1)

$$
\Delta \mathbf{u}=\mathbf{f}
$$

where $P \in H$ and $A: D(A) \longrightarrow H$ is a closed unbounded operator. We assume that for a certain $f \in H$ the problem ( 1 ) has a unique solution $u$, without assuming that $A$ is an isomorphism of $D(A)$ onto the range of $A$. It is then well-known that equation (1) is ill-posed, that is, for small perturbations of the equation

$$
A x=f+\delta^{\sim} f
$$

may have no solution at all, or may have a solution $x$ which is not near to the solution $u$ of equation (1). We will show that the solution of (1) may be approximated by a sequence of solutions of associated well-posed problems. The idea of replacing a problem of type (1) by a family of nearby well-posed problems has been studied extensively by Lattes and Lions [3] under the title "quasi-reversibility". In particular Lattes and Lions [3, p. 289] show that the problem (2) $\left\langle\boldsymbol{A} u_{\varepsilon}, \mathbf{A v}\right\rangle+\varepsilon\left\langle u_{\varepsilon}, v\right\rangle=\langle\boldsymbol{I}, \mathrm{Av}\rangle, \forall v \in \mathrm{D}(\mathbb{A})$ is well-posed for each $\varepsilon>0$ and the solutions $u_{\varepsilon}$ of (2) converge to the solution $u$ of $(1)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. In solving (2) one must in essence "invert the operator $\varepsilon I+\Delta * A^{n}$, which depends on the parameter $\varepsilon$. In this paper we will replace equation (1) by a sequence of well-posed problems the solution of which requires the inversion of a single operator which is independent of the parameter. The method considered here is related to an analogous procedure for bounded operators studied by Kryanev [2].

As an example of a specific problem of type (1) Lattes and Lions [3, p. 290] consider the boundary value problen

$$
\begin{aligned}
A u & =0 \\
\left.u\right|_{\Gamma_{0}} & =s_{0} \\
\left.\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu_{A}}\right|_{\Gamma_{0}} & =g_{1} \quad \text { (conormal derivative) }
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Gamma_{0}$ is the boundary of an open domain $\Omega \subset R^{n}$ and $A$
is a second order differential operator in $\Omega$ given by

$$
A u=-\sum_{i, j=1}^{m} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(a_{i j}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}}\right)+a_{0} u
$$

where $a_{i j} \in c^{3}(\bar{\Omega}), a_{0} \in C^{0}(\bar{\Omega})$,

$$
i, \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{i j}(x) \xi_{i} \xi_{j} \geq \alpha_{1}\left(\xi_{1}^{2}+\ldots+\xi_{n}^{2}\right), \quad \alpha_{1}>0
$$

and

$$
\alpha_{0}(x) \geq \alpha_{0}>0 .
$$

This problem is analyzed by finding a function $\Phi \in H^{2}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\left.\Phi\right|_{\Gamma_{0}}=g_{0},\left.\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \nu_{A}}\right|_{\Gamma_{0}}=g_{1}
$$

and considering the problem satisfied by $w=u-\Phi$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
A w & =1 \\
\left.w\right|_{\Gamma_{0}} & =0 \\
\left.\frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu_{A}}\right|_{\Gamma_{0}} & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

where $f=-A \Phi$. The domain of the unbounded operator $A$ is then given by

$$
D(A)=\left\{v \in L_{2}(\Omega): A v \in L_{2}(\Omega), \quad v\left|\Gamma_{0}=0, \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu_{A}}\right|_{\Gamma_{0}}=0\right\}
$$

For details the reader is referred to Lattes and Lions [3].

## 2. The regularization Procedure. Kryanev [2] investigated the iterative procedure

$$
B x_{n}+A x_{n}=B x_{n-1}+P
$$

for approximating solutions to the ill-posed problem

$$
A x=f
$$

where A is a bounded positive semi-definite linear operator on a Hilbert space $H$ and $B$ is a bounded positive definite operator on $H$ which is chosen to improve the conditioning of the operator $B+A$. However, as noted above, many ill-posed problems which are of practical interest may be formulated as an equation of type (1) where A is a closed, densely defined but unbounded operator on a suitable Hilbert space. We will examine Kryanev's procedure in the context considered by Lattes and Lions. Below, $A: D(A) \longrightarrow H$ will be a closed linear operator defined on the dense subspace $D(A)$ of the real Hilbert space $H$ and $B$ will be a bounded linear operator on H satisfying

$$
\langle B x, x\rangle \geq c\|x\|^{2}, \quad c>0
$$

We recall that the domain $D\left(A^{*}\right)$ of the adjoint operator is by definition the set of all vectors $y \in H$ for which there is a $y^{*} \in H$ satisfying

$$
\langle A x, y\rangle=\langle x, y *\rangle, \quad \forall x \in D(A)
$$

and the adjoint operator $A^{*}$ is defined by $A^{*} y=y^{*}$.
First we state a lemma which will be useful in the sequel.

Lemma 1. The operator $B+A^{*} A$ has a bounded inverse $U=\left(B+A^{*} A\right)^{-1}: H \rightarrow D\left(A^{*} A\right)$ which is positive.

Proof. By assumption there is a number $c>0$ such that
$\langle B x, x\rangle \geq c\|x\|^{2}$ for each $x \in H$. Choose $k>0$ such that $\max \{|k c-1|, k\|B\|\}<1$. Let $\bar{A}=k A$, then by a theorem in Riesz and Sz.-Nagy [5, p. 307], (I + $\left.\bar{A}^{*} \overline{\mathbf{A}}\right)^{-1}: \mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{D}\left(\mathbf{A}^{*} \mathrm{~A}\right)$ exiats and $\left\|(I+\bar{A} * \overline{\mathbb{A}})^{-1}\right\| \leq 1$. Now,

$$
\|(k B-\bar{A} * \bar{A})-(I+\bar{A} * \bar{A})\| \leq \max \{|k c-1|, k\|B\|\}<1,
$$ and it follows by a standard perturbation result (see e.g. [4, p. 45]) that

$$
\mathbf{k B}+\overline{\mathbf{A}} * \overline{\mathbf{A}}=\mathbf{k}(B+\mathbf{A} * A)
$$

is invertible. Hence $B+A^{*} A$ is invertible and it can be shown that $\left\langle\left(B+A^{*} A\right)^{-1} x, x\right\rangle \geq 0$ for all $x \in H$ as in [5, $p$. 308].

The next lemma defines a sequence of well-posed problems the solutions of which we shall show converge to the solution $u$ of equation (1).

Lemma 2. Set $u_{0}=0$, then for $n=1,2, \ldots$, the problem
(3) $\left\langle B u_{n} \nabla\right\rangle+\left\langle A u_{n}, A v\right\rangle=\left\langle B u_{n-1}, \nabla\right\rangle+\langle f, \Delta v\rangle, \forall v \in D(A)$ has a unique solution $u_{n} \in D(A)$ which depends continuously on $f$.

Proof. Since $A$ is a closed linear operator, the subspace $D(A)$ endowed with the norm

$$
\|x\|_{D(A)}=\left(\|x\|^{2}+\|\Delta x\|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

and corresponding inner product

$$
\langle x, y\rangle_{D(A)}=\langle x, y\rangle+\langle A x, \Delta y\rangle
$$

is a Hilbert space. Define the symmetric bilinear form $Q(x, y)$ on $D(A) \times D(A)$ by

$$
Q(x, y)=\langle B x, y\rangle+\langle\Delta x, A y\rangle .
$$

It is easy to see that $Q(x, y)$ is continuous (with respect
to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{D(A)}$ ) and for $x \in D(A)$

$$
Q(x, x) \geq \min (e, 1)\|x\|_{D(A)}^{2}
$$

Hence $Q(x, y)$ is coercive and the existence of $u_{n}$ follows by use of the Lex-Milgram lemma (see e.g. [1, p.41]). Furthermore, if

$$
Q\left(u_{n}, v\right)=\left\langle B u_{n-1}, \nabla\right\rangle+\langle f, A v\rangle, \quad \forall v \in D(A)
$$

and

$$
Q\left(u_{n}^{\prime}, v\right)=\left\langle B u_{n-1}, v\right\rangle+\left\langle f^{0}, A v\right\rangle, \quad \forall v \in D(A),
$$

then setting $v=u_{n}-u_{n}^{\prime}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\min (c, 1)\left\|u_{n}-u_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{D(A)}^{2} & \leq Q\left(u_{n}-u_{n}^{\prime}, u_{n}-u_{n}^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\left\langle f-f^{\prime}, \Delta\left(u_{n}-u_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle \\
& \leq\left\|P-f^{\prime}\right\|\left\|u_{n}-u_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{D(A)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From this it follows that $u_{n}$ is unique and the mapping $f \mapsto u_{n}$ is continuous.

The main result may now be stated.
Theorem. The solutions $u_{n}$ of the well-posed problems (3) converge strongly to the solution $u$ of problem (1).

Before proceeding with the proof we note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n}=U B u_{n-1}+u_{1} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U=(B+A * A)^{-1}$. In fact, we have by Lemma 2

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left\langle A\left(U B u_{n-1}\right)+u_{1}\right), A \nabla\right\rangle+\left\langle B\left(U B u_{n-1}+u_{1}\right), \nabla\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle A U B u_{n-1}, A \nabla\right\rangle+\left\langle B U B u_{n-1}, \nabla\right\rangle+\langle 1, A \nabla\rangle=
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left\langle\left(A^{*} A+B\right) U B u_{n-1}, \nabla\right\rangle+\langle\rho, A V\rangle \\
& =\left\langle B u_{n-1}, \nabla\right\rangle+\langle 甲, A v\rangle, \quad \forall V \in D(A) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Equation (4) now follows by the uniqueness statement in Lemma 2. The proof of the theorem requires two further lemmas.

Lemma 3. For $m=1,2, \ldots,\left\langle B u_{m}, u_{m}-u\right\rangle \leq 0$.
Proof. Note that by Lemma 2 and equation (1), we have for all $\nabla \in D(A)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle B u_{m}, v\right\rangle+\left\langle A \sum_{n=1}^{m}\left(u_{n}-u\right), A v\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{n=1}^{m}\left\{\left\langle B\left(u_{n}-u_{n-1}\right), v\right\rangle+\left\langle\Delta\left(u_{n}-u\right), A v\right\rangle\right\} \\
& =0, \text { for } m=1,2, \ldots .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence it suffices to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle A \sum_{n=1}^{m}\left(u_{n}-u\right), A\left(u_{n}-u\right)\right\rangle \geq 0, m=1,2, \ldots . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \langle A(u-U B u), A v\rangle+\langle B(U-U B u), v\rangle \\
& =\langle f, A v\rangle-\langle(A * A+B) U B u-B u, v\rangle \\
& =\langle f, A v\rangle, \quad \forall v \in D(A)
\end{aligned}
$$

and it follows from Lemma 2 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}=u-W u \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W=$ UB. We therefore have by (4) and (6)

$$
\begin{aligned}
w\left(u_{m-1}-u\right) & =W u_{m-1}+u_{1}-u \\
& =u_{n}-u,
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence for j \&m we have

$$
u_{m}-u=w^{m-j}\left(u_{j}-u\right)
$$

Therefore, for $j<m$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle A\left(u_{m}-u\right), A\left(u_{j}-u\right)\right\rangle & =\left\langle A w^{m-j}\left(u_{j}-u\right), A\left(u_{j}-u\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle A * A w^{m-j}\left(u_{j}-u\right), u_{j}-u\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

But $\left\langle A * \Delta W^{k} x, x\right\rangle=\left\langle A * \Delta W^{k} x,\left(I+B^{-1} A * A\right)^{k_{1} w^{k}} x\right\rangle$

$$
=\left\langle A * \Delta W^{k} x, w^{k} x\right\rangle+\sum_{j=1}^{k}\left(\frac{k}{j}\right)\left\langle A * \Delta w^{k} x,\left(B^{-1} A * A\right)^{\left.j-1_{B}-1_{A} * \Delta w^{k} x\right\rangle, ~}\right.
$$

and it is easy to show that $\left(B^{-1} A * A\right)^{n_{B}} B^{-1}$ is positive for $n=0,1,2, \ldots$, and hence $\left\langle A\left(u_{n}-u\right), A\left(u_{j}-u\right)\right\rangle \geq 0$, which proves the lemma.

From the above lemma it follows that the sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded, indeed
(7) $\quad\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\langle B u_{n}, u_{n}\right\rangle \leq\left\langle B u_{n}, u\right\rangle \leq\|B\| \quad\left\|u_{n}\right\|\|u\|$.

Lemma 4. $\Delta s \mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty, \Delta \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}} \rightarrow \Delta \mathrm{u}_{\text {. }}$
Proof. Setting $v=u_{n}-u$ in the equation

$$
\left\langle\Lambda\left(u_{n}-u\right), \Delta \nabla\right\rangle=\left\langle B\left(u_{n-1}-u_{n}\right), v\right\rangle
$$

and summing we obtain
(8)

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{m}\left\|\Delta\left(u_{n}-u\right)\right\|^{2}=\left\langle B u_{n}, u\right\rangle-\sum_{n=1}^{m}\left\langle B\left(u_{n}-u_{n-1}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle .
$$

If we define a new inner product and norm by

$$
(x, y)=\langle B x, y\rangle \text { and }\|x\|_{B}^{2}=(x, x) \text {, }
$$

## then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{m}\left\langle B\left(u_{n}-u_{n-1}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle & =\sum_{n=1}^{m}\left\{\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{B}^{2}-\left(u_{n-1}, u_{n}\right)\right\} \\
& =\frac{\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{B}^{2}}{2}+\frac{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}}{2}+
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=2}^{m}\left\{\left\|u_{n}\right\|\left\|_{B}^{2}-2\left(u_{n-1}, u_{n}\right)+\right\| u_{n-1} \|_{B}^{2}\right\}
$$

Therefore

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{m}\left\langle B\left(u_{n}-u_{n-1}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0
$$

and it follows from (8) and (7) that

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{m}\left\|A\left(u_{n}-u\right)\right\|^{2} \leqslant\left\langle B u_{n}, u\right\rangle \leqslant\|B\|^{2}\|u\|^{2} / c
$$

which proves the lemma.

Finally we are in a position to complete the proof of the theorem. Since any subsequence of $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded, we can extract a subsequence which converges weakly to an element $z \in H$. Since the graph of $A$ is closed and convex, it is weakly closed and therefore $z \in D(A)$ and from Lemma 4 we have $A z=A u=f$. But the solation to problen (I) is unique, therefore $z=u$. Hence we see that any subsequence of $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ in turn contains a subsequence which converges weakly to $u$. It follows that the entire sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ converges weakly to $u_{\text {. }}$ By Lemma 3 we have $\left\langle B u_{m}, u_{m}-u\right\rangle \leq 0$ and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u_{m}-u\right\|^{2} & \leq\left\langle B\left(u_{m}-u\right), u_{m}-u\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle B u_{m}, u_{m}-u\right\rangle-\left\langle B u, u_{m}-u\right\rangle \\
& \leq-\left\langle B u, u_{m}-u\right\rangle \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $u_{m} \rightarrow u$, completing the proof of the theorem.
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