Luděk Zajíček On the points of multivaluedness of metric projections in separable Banach spaces

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 19 (1978), No. 3, 513--523

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105873

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1978

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

COMMENTATIONES MATHEMATICAE UNIVERSITATIS CAROLINAE

19,3 (1978)

ON THE POINTS OF MULTIVALUEDNESS OF METRIC PROJECTIONS

IN SEPARABLE BANACH SPACES

Luděk ZAJÍČEK, Praha

<u>Abstract</u>: Given a real Banach space X and a nonempty subset $M \subset X$ we consider the set A_M of all points of multivaluedness of the metric projection on M. We prove that if X is separable and strictly convex, then A_M can be covered by countably many of Lipschitz hypersurfaces. In particular, A_M is a set of the first category and of measure zero for any Gaussian measure on X.

Key words: Multivaluedness of metric projections, separable strictly convex Banach space, Lipschitz hypersurface, small sets in Banach spaces.

AMS: Primary 41A65 Secondary 46B99

1. <u>Introduction</u>. We will consider a real Banach space X and a non-empty subset McX. For x & X denote by $d_{\underline{M}}(x)$ the distance from the point x to the set M. The metric projection $P_{\underline{M}}(x)$ on the set M is defined as the (possibly) multivalued operator $P_{\underline{M}}(x) = \{y \in M; ||x-y|| = d_{\underline{M}}(x)\}$. Of course, it is possible that $P_{\underline{M}}(x) = \emptyset$ for some x. The set of all x for which $P_{\underline{M}}(x)$ contains at least two points will be denoted by $A_{\underline{M}}$.

In some Banach spaces the set A_M is always a "small" set. Erdös [2] investigated A_M in the case of n-dimensional

- 513 -

Euclidean space X. He proved that in this case A_m has 6 -finite (n - 1)-dimensional Hausdorff measure. Stečkin [7] investigated A_m in general spaces. He proved, for example, that in any locally uniformly convex Banach space X the set A_M is always of the first category. Note also that in [7] Stečkin proved that in any normed linear space X with strictly convex norm the complement to A_M is dense in X.

In the present article we will consider the case of a separable real Banach space X only. It is the simple fact [7] that if the norm of X is not strictly convex, then there exists a hyperplane M with $A_{M} = X$ and therefore An is not small in any sense. We prove in the present article that if the norm of a separable Banach space X is strictly convex, then A_M can be covered by countably many Lipschitz hypersurfaces (Theorem 1). Since any Lipschitz hypersurface (see Definition 1 below) is obviously a nowhere dense set, we have that A_M is a set of the first category. If X is an n-dimensional Banach space, then our result implies that A_M is of 6 -finite (n - 1)-dimensional Hausdorff measure. This result generalizes the Erdös theorem stated above. In the infinite-dimensional case it is not difficult to deduce from our result that A_M is contained in a Haar zero set in the sense of Christensen [4]. We can use for this purpose the construction from Theorem 1 of Aronszajn [1] or Theorem 7.2 of Christensen [4]. It is interesting to compare our result concern-

- 514 -

ing Haar zero sets with a note of Christensen [4], p. 124. We shall also prove a more strong result which asserts that \mathbf{A}_{M} is of measure zero for any Gaussian measure μ in X (we consider Gaussian measures such that $\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{M}}(\mathrm{G}) = 0$ for any nonempty open subset G of X).

If in addition the norm of X is smooth, then we obtain Theorem 2 from which it follows that A_M belongs to the Aronszahn's system of small sets \mathcal{U}° (defined in [1]). We are not able to prove that A_M belongs to \mathcal{U}° in general separable strictly convex Banach spaces.

2. <u>Notations, definitions and lemmas</u>. If f is realvalued function defined in a Banach space X, $a \in X$ and $o \neq v \in X$, then we put

$$D_v(f,a) = \lim_{h \to 0_+} 1/h (f(a+hv) - f(a)).$$

If we work in a metric space, then by $U_{\sigma'}(a)$ we mean the open σ' -neighbourhood of a point a.

If x, y are points of a Banach space, then by $\overline{x,y}$ we mean the closed line segment joining these points.

The symbol R denotes the set of real numbers. For the symbols $d_M(x)$, $P_M(x)$, A_M see Introduction.

<u>Definition 1</u> ([8]). Let X be a Banach space and $o \neq v \in X$. We shall say that A $\subset X$ is a Lipschitz hypersurface associated with v if there exists a topological complement

- 515 -

Z of the one-dimensional space $V = \text{Lin} \{v\}$ and a Lipschitz mapping $f: \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow V$ such that $\mathbb{A} = \{z + f(z), z \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.

<u>Definition 2</u>. Let X be a Banach space, $x \in X$ and $M \subset X$. Then we denote by contg (M, x) the set of all $o \neq v \in X$ with the following property: There exist sequences $(x_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}, x_i \in M$ and $(\lambda_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}, \lambda_i > 0$ such that $\lambda_i \longrightarrow 0$ and $1/\lambda_i \| x + \lambda_i v - x_i \| \longrightarrow 0$.

<u>Note</u>. The geometrical sense of the preceding definition is clear. It is essentially a natural generalization of the well-known notion of the contingent of a set M in a point x defined in Euclidean spaces. We shall use the notion contg (M,x) only in the connection with the following simple lemma which is an easy generalization of the wellknown proposition concerning contingents in Euclidean spaces (cf.[5], Lemma 3.1, p. 264). In this point we follow in the present article the method of Erdös [2].

Lemma 1. Let M be a subset of a Banach space X and $o \neq v \in X$ a vector. Then the set A of all points $x \in X$ for which $v \notin contg$ (M,x) can be covered by countably many Lipschitz hypersurfaces associated with v.

<u>Proof.</u> Put $V = \text{Lin} \{v\}$. Let Z be a topological complement of V. Denote by π_V (resp. π_Z) the projection of X on V (resp. Z) parallel to Z (resp. V). If $x \in A$ it is easy to see that there exists a positive integer n such that

(1) $\|\pi_Z(y-x)\| > 1/n \|\pi_V(y-x)\|$ whenever $y \in M$ and $\pi_V(y-x) = t v$ for some 0 < t < 1/n.

- 516 -

Let A_n be the set of all points $x \in A$ for which (1) holds. Choose further for each n a sequence of sets $\{A_{nm}\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ such that $A_n = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} A_{nm}$ and $\|\pi_{V}(y-x)\| < 1/n \|v\|$ whenever $x \in A_{nm}$ and $y \in A_{nm}$. Obviously $A = \bigcup_{n,m=1}^{\infty} A_{nm}$.

Let now n, m be fixed and x, y be distinct elements of A_{nm} . Without loss of generality we can suppose that $\pi_{v}(y-x) = t \cdot v$ for $t \ge 0$ and therefore by (1)

$$\|\pi_{v}(y) - \pi_{v}(x)\| < n \|\pi_{z}(y) - \pi_{z}(x)\|.$$

Thus the set $\{(\pi_Z(x), \pi_V(x)); x \in A_{nm}\}$ is the graph of a Lipschitz mapping $f: Z \longrightarrow V$ defined on a subset of Z. Since any Lipschitz function defined on a subset of a metric space has a Lipschitz extension on the whole space, we obtain that A_{nm} is a subset of a Lipschitz hypersurface associated with v. The proof is complete.

<u>Lemma 2</u>. Let \mathbf{v} be a continuous convex function defined on a Banach space X, $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{o} \neq \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{X}$. Then for any $\mathbf{\varepsilon} > 0$ there exists $\mathbf{o}^{r} > 0$ such that

 $-D_{-v}(f,x) - \varepsilon < D_{v}(f,y) < D_{v}(f,x) + \varepsilon$

for any $y \in U_{\sigma}(x)$ (σ -neighbourhood of x).

<u>Proof</u>: Suppose that there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ and a sequence $y_n \rightarrow x$ such that $D_v(f,x) + \varepsilon \leq D_v(f,y_n)$. Then for any t > 0 we have $1/t (f(y_n+tv) - f(y_n)) \geq D_v(f,x) + \varepsilon$. By the continuity of f we have $1/t (f(x+tv) - f(x) \geq D_v(f,x) + \varepsilon$ for any t > 0 and this is a contradiction. Thus "the right inequality" is proved. "The left inequality" follows from the right one in which we replace v by -v.

- 517 -

Lemma 3. Let f be a continuous function defined on a Banach space X, $0 \neq v \in X$, $x \in X$, a > 0 and $K \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $D_v(f,z) < K$ for any $z \in \overline{x, x+av}$ (see Notations). Then f(x+av) = -f(x) < aK.

<u>Proof</u>: If we define g(t) = f(x+vt) for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we see that Lemma is an immediate consequence of well known theorems from the real analysis (e.g. of Theorem 7.2 or Theorem 7.3 from [5], p. 204).

3. Theorems

<u>Theorem 1</u>. Let X be a separable Banach space with a strictly convex norm p and let $M \subset X$. Let A_M be the set of points of multivaluedness of the metric projection P_M . Then A_M can be covered by countably many Lipschitz hypersurfaces.

In particular, A_{M} is a set of the first category and of measure zero for any Gaussian measure μ in X (with supp $\mu = X$). Consequently A_{M} is a subset of a Haar zero set in the sense of Christensen.

<u>Proof</u>: Recall that p(x) = ||x||. For each $x \in A_M$ choose two distinct points $y_1(x)$, $y_2(x)$ from $P_M(x)$. Put $z_1(x) =$ $= y_1(x) - x$, $z_2(x) = y_2(x) - x$, $v(x) = y_2(x) - y_1(x) =$ $= z_2(x) - z_1(x)$. Since $p(z_1(x)) = p(z_2(x))$ and p is strictly convex there exists h(x) > 0 such that

(2)
$$D_{v(x)}(p,z_1(x)) + h(x) < -D_{-v(x)}(p,z_2(x)).$$

Choose by Lemma 2 $\eta(x) > 0$ so small that $D_{v(x)}(p,z) < D_{v(x)}(p,z_1(x)) + 1/3 h(x)$ for any $z \in U_{4\eta(x)}(z_1(x))$ and $-D_{-v(x)}(p,z) > -D_{-v(x)}(p,z_2(x)) - 1/3 h(x)$ for any

- 518 -

 $z \in U_{4\eta(x)}(z_2(x))$. The set $C = \{(z_1(x), z_2(x)); x \in A_M\}$ is a subset of the separable metric space $X \times X$. Therefore there exists a sequence $(x_i)_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset A_M$ such that

$$\mathbf{C} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{U}_{\eta(\mathbf{x}_{i})}(\mathbf{z}_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{i})) \times \mathbf{U}_{\eta(\mathbf{x}_{i})}(\mathbf{z}_{2}(\mathbf{x}_{i})).$$

Let A_i be the set of all points $x \in A_M$ for which

$$(z_1(x), z_2(x)) \in U_{\eta(x_1)}(z_1(x_1)) \times U_{\eta(x_1)}(z_2(x_1)).$$

Then $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{M}} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{A}_i$ and it is sufficient to prove that each set \mathbf{A}_i can be covered by countably many Lipschitz hypersurfaces. By Lemma 1 it is sufficient to prove that for any i and for any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{A}_i$ we have $-\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}_i) \notin \text{contg } (\mathbf{A}_i, \mathbf{x})$. For this purpose fix i and suppose that there exists $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{A}_i$ such that

(3)
$$-\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}_i) \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \operatorname{contg} (\mathbf{A}_i, \mathbf{x}).$$

Put $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}_{i}), \mathbf{z}_{1} = \mathbf{z}_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{i}), \mathbf{z}_{2} = \mathbf{z}_{2}(\mathbf{x}_{i}), \mathbf{h} = \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}_{i}), \boldsymbol{\eta} = \boldsymbol{\eta}(\mathbf{x}_{i})$. By (3) we can choose a > 0 and $\mathbf{x}^{*} \in \mathbf{A}_{i}$ such that $\|\mathbf{av}\| < \boldsymbol{\eta}$ and

(4) $|| x^* - y || < \min (\eta, 1/6 \text{ ah}), \text{ where } y = x - av.$

Now we shall find a lower and an upper bound for $d_M(x^*) = ||z_2(x^*)|| = ||z_1(x^*)||$.

Obviously $||z_2(x^*)|| = ||y_2(x^*) - y + y - x^*|| \ge$

$$\begin{split} & \geq \|y_2(x^*) - y\| - \|y - x^*\| \text{ and } \|y_2(x^*) - y\| = \\ & = \|(y_2(x^*) - x) + (x - y)\| \text{ . Since } y_2(x^*) - x^* = z_2(x^*) \in \\ & \in U\eta(z_2) \text{ and } \|x^* - x\| < 2\eta \text{ , we have that } y_2(x^*) - \end{split}$$

- 519 -

- $\mathbf{x} \in U_{3\eta}(z_2)$ and therefore $D_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{y}_2(\mathbf{x}^*) - \mathbf{x}) > -D_{-\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{p}, z_2) -$ - 1/3 h. Since $(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{a}\mathbf{v}$, we have $\| (\mathbf{y}_2(\mathbf{x}^*) - \mathbf{x}) + (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \| \ge \| \mathbf{y}_2(\mathbf{x}^*) - \mathbf{x} \| + \mathbf{a}(-D_{-\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{p}, z_2) -$ - 1/3 h). Since $\mathbf{y}_2(\mathbf{x}^*) \in \mathbf{M}$, we have $\| \mathbf{y}_2(\mathbf{x}^*) - \mathbf{x} \| \ge \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{M}}(\mathbf{x})$ and therefore (5) $d_{\mathbf{M}}(\mathbf{x}^*) \ge d_{\mathbf{M}}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{a} D_{-\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{p}, z_2) - 1/3$ ah $- \| \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}^* \| >$ $> d_{\mathbf{M}}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{a} D_{-\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{p}, z_2) - 1/2$ ah. On the other hand, $\| \mathbf{z}_1(\mathbf{x}^*) \| = \| \mathbf{y}_1(\mathbf{x}^*) - \mathbf{x}^* \| \le \| \mathbf{y}_1(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{x}^* \| =$ $= \| (\mathbf{y}_1(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{x}) + (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) + (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}^*) \| \le \| \mathbf{z}_1(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{a}\mathbf{v} \| + \| \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}^* \|$ Since $\| \mathbf{a}\mathbf{v} \| < \eta$ and $\mathbf{z}_1(\mathbf{x}) \in U_{\eta}(z_1)$, we have $\overline{z_1(\mathbf{x}), \ z_1(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{a}\mathbf{v}} \in U_{2\eta}(z_1)$

and therefore for any $y \in \overline{z_1(x)}, \overline{z_1(x)} + av$ $D_v(p,y) < D_v(p,z_1) + 1/3 h.$ From Lemma 3 it follows that

$$\| z_1(x) + av \| \le d_{\underline{W}}(x) + a(D_v(p,z_1) + 1/3 h)$$

and therefore by (4)

(6) $d_{\mathbf{M}}(\mathbf{x}^{*}) < d_{\mathbf{M}}(\mathbf{x}) + a D_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{z}_{1}) + 1/3 ah + ||y-\mathbf{x}^{*}|| < 0$

 $< d_{M}(x) + a D_{v}(p,z_{1}) + 1/2 ah.$

From (5) and (6) we obtain that $-D_{-v}(p,z_2) < D_v(p,z_1) + h$ and this is a contradiction with (2).

Let now (be a Gaussian measure in X such that supp (= X. By H. Sato [6] الم can be considered as an ab-

- 520 -

stract Wiener measure. Therefore by L. Gross [3] there exists a dense subset $H \subset X$ such that μ is equivalent with any measure μ_h , $h \in H$ ($\mu_h(A) = (\mu(h+A))$). It is easy to prove that for any Lipschitz hypersurface L there exists $h \in H$ such that L is a Lipschitz hypersurface associated with h and therefore $(\mu(L) = 0$. Thus we have $(\mu(A_M) = 0$. From this assertion we immediately obtain that A_M is a subset of a Haar zero set in the sense of Christensen (it is easy to give a direct proof of this fact, see Introduction).

<u>Corollary</u>. Let X be an n-dimensional Banach space with a strictly convex norm. Then A_{M} is always a set of \mathscr{C} -finite (n-1)-dimensional Hausdorff measure.

<u>Theorem 2</u>. Let X be a separable Banach space with a norm p which is strictly convex and smooth. Let M be a subset of X and let $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a complete sequence of nonzero vectors in X. Then $A_{\underline{M}} \subset \bigcup_{\substack{n,m=1\\n,m=1}}^{\infty} L_{\underline{nm}}$ where each $L_{\underline{nm}}$ is a Lipschitz hypersurface associated with x_n .

In particular, A_{M} belongs to the Aronszajn's class \mathcal{U}° .

<u>Proof</u>: The proof of Theorem 1 works if we for $x \in A_M$ instead of $v(x) = y_2(x) - y_1(x)$ define v(x) as a vector of the form x_n or $-x_n$ for which $D_{v(x)}(p,z_2(x)) < D_{v(x)}(p,z_1(x))$. The existence of a such vector v(x) follows from the properties of the norm p. In fact, if no such v(x) exists, then

 $D_{x_n}(p,z_2(x)) = D_{x_n}(p,z_1(x))$ for any n,

and from the smoothness of p and completeness of $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ we obtain

- 521 -

$$D_{z_2(x)-z_1(x)}(p,z_2(x)) = D_{z_2(x)-z_1(x)}(p,z_1(x)).$$

This is a contradiction with the strict convexity of p. The consequence concerning the class \mathcal{U}° is obvious.

Note: When the present article was written we became acquainted with the paper "S.V. Konjagin, Approksimativnye svojstva proizvolnych množestv v Banachovych prostranstvach, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 239(1978), No 2, 261-264." The results stated in that paper overlap with our results. In Theorem 1 of that paper the following proposition is contained: If X is strictly convex n-dimensional Banach space, then An can becovered by countably many of (n - 1)-dimensional surfaces with finite (n - 1)-dimensional Hausdorff measure. Theorem 4 asserts that in any strictly convex separable Banach space the set A_M is always a set of the first category. In the Konjagin's paper a number of further results is contained. In particular, the points of A_M are classified by degree of singularity and also descriptive properties of A_M are investigated. No results concerning measure or the possibility of the covering of A_{M} by surfaces in infinite-dimensional spaces are stated in the Konjagin's paper.

References

 N. ARONSZAJN: Differentiability of Lipschitzian mappings between Banach spaces, Studia Math. 57 (1976), 147-190.

[2] P. ERDÖS: On the Hausdorff dimension of some sets in Euclidean space, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 52 (1946), 107-109.

- [3] L. GROSS: Potential theory on Hilbert space, J. Functional Analysis 1(1967), 123-181.
- [4] J.P.R. CHRISTENSEN: Topology and Borel Structure, North-Holland Mathematics Studies 10, 1974.
- [5] S. SAKS: Theory of the Integral, New York 1937.
- [6] H. SATO: Gaussian measures on a Banach space and abstract Wiener spaces, Nagoya Math. J. 36(1969), 65-83.
- [7] S. STEČKIN: Approximation properties of sets in normed linear spaces, Rev. Math. Pures Apl. 8(1963), 5-18 (Russian).
- [8] L. ZAJÍČEK: On the points of multiplicity of monotone operators, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 19 (1978), 179-189.

Matematicko-fyzikální fakulta

Universita Karlova

Sokolovská 83, 18600 Praha 8

Československo

(Oblatum 4.5. 1978)