Donato Fortunato Remarks on the non self-adjoint Schrödinger operator

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 20 (1979), No. 1, 79--93

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105903

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1979

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

COMMENTATIONES MATHEMATICAE UNIVERSITATIS CAROLINAE 20, 1 (1979)

REMARKS ON THE NON SELF-ADJOINT SCHRODINGER OPERATOR D. FORTUNATO

<u>Abstract</u>: Let h denote the closure in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of the differential operator

 $H u = -\Delta u + qu$ $u \in C_{\alpha}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$

where q is a complex valued function belonging to L^2_{loc} . If for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ q(x) belongs to the sector $0 \neq \arg \{ \neq \pi - - \sigma'(\sigma \in 10, \pi \})$, it is proved that h is the unique closed extension whose spectrum is contained in the above sector. Moreover conditions which are necessary and sufficient for the compactness of the resolvent of h, are obtained.

Key words: Compact resolvent, numerical range, m-sectorial operator.

AMS: 35J10 -

<u>Introduction</u>. It is well known that non-selfadjoint Schrödinger operators arise in quantum mechanical problems with energy dissipation.

Spectral properties of such operators have been studied by many authors (cf. e.g. [3,6,8,9]).

In this paper we study some properties of the operator h obtained by closure in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of the differential operator H defined by

 $Hu = - \Delta u + q(x)u \quad u \in C_{\alpha}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$

where q is a complex valued function belonging to $L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

This research was supported by the G.N.A.F.A. of C.N.R.

- 79 -

If for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n q(x)$ belongs to a sector S (of the complex plane) defined by $0 \leq \arg \{ \leq \pi - \sigma' \pmod{\delta_0}, \pi \}$, it can be proved (cf. th. 2.2) that h is the unique closed extension of H whose spectrum $\delta(h)$ is contained in S. Moreever conditions which are necessary and sufficient for the compactness of the resolvent of h, are obtained (cf. th. 2.3). Analogous results have been obtained in [3,6] for the one-dimensional Schrödinger operator.

Our study is mainly based on some well known results of the theory of the <u>sectorial</u> operators in Hilbert spaces (cf. e.g. [4,10,11]).

1. Some preliminaries. In this section we denote by **E** a separable Hilbert space with scalar product $(\cdot | \cdot)_{\underline{F}}$ and norm $\| \cdot \|_{\underline{F}}$; if **T** is a linear operator in **E**, D(T) denotes the domain and R(T) the range. S(T) denotes the spectrum of **T**. S(T) will be called discrete if it consists entirely of isolated eigenvalues of finite multiplicity. If **T** is closable, \overline{T} denotes its closure.

If T is densely defined in \mathbb{F}, T^{\times} denotes the adjoint of T.

The numerical range N(T) of T is the set of all complex numbers $(Tu|u)_{\mathbf{E}}$ where u changes over all $u \in D(T)$ with $\|u\|_{\mathbf{E}} = 1$.

It is well known that the spectrum $\mathcal{O}(T)$ of T is not contained, in general, in $\widetilde{N(T)}$. However the following result helds (cf. e.g. th. 3.2 pg. 268 of [4] and ch. XIV of [11]).

<u>Theorem 1.1</u> - Let T be a densely defined closable operator in E and suppose that $\overline{N(T)}$ is not a strip of a line. Then for each $\xi \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{N}(\mathbb{T})}$ T- ξI has closed range, dim Ker($\overline{\mathbb{T}}$ - ξI)=0 and codim R($\overline{\mathbb{T}}$ - ξI) is constant for $\xi \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{N}(\mathbb{T})}$. Moreever there exists a closed extension $\widehat{\mathbb{T}}$ of T such that $\mathcal{C}(\widehat{\mathbb{T}}) \subset \mathbb{N}(\overline{\mathbb{T}})$.

Now it is easily verified that if T satisfies the assumptions of theorem 1.1, a closed extension \hat{T} of T satisfying the property

(1.2) $\widetilde{\mathbf{G}}(\widehat{\mathbf{T}}) \subset \overline{\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{T})}$

is maximal (in the sense that \hat{T} has no proper extension satisfying (1.2)). Then such extension \hat{T} is unique if the closure \overline{T} of T satisfies (1.2). Therefore an interesting class of closable operator is the following one:

<u>Definition 1.2</u> - <u>A densely defined, closable operator</u> T <u>is called regular iff</u> $\mathfrak{G}(\overline{T}) \subset \overline{N(T)}$.

Let us observe that if T is symmetric (i.e. T is densely defined and $T \subset T^*$), T is regular if and only if T is essentially self-adjoint.

If T is a positive definite (i.e. $(Tu|u)_{E} \ge 0 \forall u \in D(T)$), self-adjoint operator in E,T^{1/2} denotes its square root. Let us recall the following well known results (cf. e.g. [1,10]).

<u>Theorem 1.3</u> - Let T <u>be a positive definite, self-ad-</u> joint operator in E, then the following statements are equiva-<u>lent</u>:

- a) T has compact resolvent.
- b) T^{1/2} has compact resolvent.
- c) The spectrum S(T) is discrete.

<u>Theorem 1.4</u> - Let $a:D(a)xD(a) \longrightarrow C$ (D(a) dense in E) be a sesquilinear, symmetric form. Then if a is closed and bounded from below, there exists a self-adjoint operator A (Friedrichs extension) with domain

 $D(A) = \{x \in D(a) \mid \exists y \in B \text{ such that } a(x,z) = (y \mid z)_{\overline{B}} \quad \forall z \in D(a)\}$ and defined by setting Ax=y for $x \in D(A)$. A and a have the same lower bound; if a is positive (i.e. $a(u,u) \ge 0 \quad \forall u \in D(a)$), we have

$$D(\mathbb{A}^{1/2}) = D(\mathbf{a}) \text{ and } \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = (\mathbb{A}^{1/2}\mathbf{u} | \mathbb{A}^{1/2}\mathbf{v})_{\mathbf{E}} \quad \forall \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in D(\mathbf{a})$$

It is easy to prove the following

<u>Theorem 1.5</u> - If T is a closed operator in E with $\mathbf{6}(T) \neq \mathbf{C}$ then the following statements are equivalent: a) T has compact resolvent. b) D(T) equipped with the graph norm is compactly embedded in E.

Proof. a) \Longrightarrow b) Let $\{(u_n)\} \subset D(T) \ u_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly in D(T)equipped with graph norm. Then it is easily seen that if $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathfrak{G}(T), (T-\lambda I)u_n \longrightarrow 0$ weakly in E; so, by virtue of a), we deduce that $u_n \longrightarrow 0$ in E.

b) \rightarrow a) Let $\{v_n\} \in E$ $v_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly in E; if $A \notin \mathcal{E}(T)$, we set $u_n = (T - AI)^{-1}(v_n)$; then, by the continuity of $(T - AI)^{-1}$, we deduce that $u_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly in E and so $u_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly in D(T) equipped with the graph norm.

Therefore, by virtue of b), we deduce that $u_n \longrightarrow 0$ in E.

Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n . We shall use the following functional spaces:

- $L^{p}(\Omega)$ denotes the space of (equivalence classes of) functions on Ω which are (Lebesgue) measurable and satisfy

 $\|u\|_{0,p} = \begin{cases} (\int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{p} dx)^{1/p} < +\infty & \text{for } p \in [1, +\infty[\\ & \sup_{x \in \Omega} ess[u(x)] < +\infty & \text{for } p = +\infty \end{cases}$

- 82 -

equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{O,p}$. We shall set

 $(u|v)_0 = \int_{\Omega} u(x)\overline{v(x)}dx$, $||u||_0 = \{(u|u)_0\}^{1/2} = ||u||_{0,2}$, moreover we set $L^p = L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

- If m is a positive integer, $\overline{w}^{m}(\Omega)$ is the Sobolev space of the functions us $L^{2}(\Omega)$ such that $D^{\infty} u \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ for $|\infty| \leq m$ and equipped with the norm

$$\|u\|_{m} = \{ \sum_{|\alpha| \neq m} \|D^{\alpha}u\|_{0}^{2} \}^{1/2}$$

We shall set $W^m = W^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

- \mathbb{W}_{loc}^{m} denotes the projective limit of the spaces $\mathbb{W}^{m}(\Omega_{0})$ (Ω_{0} open and bounded) with respect to the restriction mappings $u \in \mathbb{W}_{loc}^{m} \longmapsto u|_{\Omega_{0}} \in \mathbb{W}^{m}(\Omega_{0})$.
- If φ is a positive function on \mathbb{R}^n belonging to L^1_{loc} , we denote by $\overset{\circ}{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{O}}$ the completion of $C^{\mathscr{O}}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|_{f} = \{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} (|u(x)|^{2}g(x) + |grad u(x)|^{2})dx\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

2. <u>The results</u>. Let q be a complex valued function on \mathbb{R}^n belonging to L^2_{loc} . Let us now consider the operator H in L^2 with domain $D(H) = C^{\infty}_{o}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and defined by

$$Hu = -\Delta u + qu \quad \forall u \in D(H).$$

It is easily verified that the adjoint H^* of H is densely defined, then H is closable; let us denote by h its closure (i.e. $h = H^{**}$).

In what follows we shall find conditions (on q) which are sufficient to guarantee that H is regular (cf. def. 1.2). Mo-

- 83 -

reover conditions which are necessary and sufficient for the compactness of the resolvent of h, will be obtained.

Let us set

 $W_{comp}^2 = \{u \in W^2 \mid u \text{ has compact support}\}$

and prove the following

Lemma 2.1 - Let us assume that

(2.1) $q \in L_{loc}^{r}$ with $r = max\{2, n/2\}$ if $n \neq 4$ and r > 2 if n = 4. <u>Then</u> W_{comp}^{2} is contained in D(h), and

 $\forall u \in W_{comp}^2$:hu = - Δu +qu (in the sense of distributions).

Proof. Let $u \in W^2_{comp}$. Then there exists a ball B, centered at the origin, such that supp $u \in B$. It is easy to prove (if the radius of B is sufficiently large) that there exists a sequence $\{u_n\} \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with supp $u_n \in B$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and such that

(2.2)
$$u_n \rightarrow u \text{ (for } n \rightarrow \infty \text{) in } W^2.$$

By applying Hölder inequality and Sobolev embedding theorems, it is not difficult to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \neq c_1 \| q \|_{L^{r}(B)}^2 \| u_n - u \|_{W^{2}(B)}^2 , \\ & \text{where s} = \begin{cases} + \infty \text{ if } n < 4 \\ & 2r/(r-2) \text{ if } n = 4 \\ & 2n/(n-4) \text{ if } n > 4 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Then from (2.2) and (2.3) we deduce that

- 84 -

(2.4)
$$qu_n \rightarrow qu \text{ (for } n \rightarrow \infty \text{) in } L^2$$
.

Moreover from (2.2), (2.4) we deduce that

$$-\Delta u_n + q u_n \rightarrow -\Delta u + q u \text{ (for } n \rightarrow \infty \text{) in } L^2.$$

Then we conclude that $u \in D(h)$ and $hu = -\Delta u+qu$. Q.E.D.

<u>Theorem 2.2</u> - <u>Let us assume that</u> q <u>satisfies</u> (2.1) <u>Mo-</u> <u>reover assume that</u> q <u>satisfies the following property</u> (q <u>sec-</u> <u>torial</u>):

P) for a.c. $x \in \mathbf{\Omega}$ $0 \leq \arg q(x) \leq \pi - \sigma$, $\sigma \in]0, \pi]$

then H is regular.

Prcof. Let us initially observe that $\overline{N(H)}$ is contained in the sector S of the complex plane defined by

 $0 \leq \arg \leq \pi - \sigma'$.

Then by virtue of the first part of theorem 1.1, it will be sufficient to prove that there exists $\xi \in \mathbb{C} \setminus S$ such that $R(h-\xi I) = L^2$.

Let $\xi \in \mathbb{C} \setminus S$ with Re ξ , Im $\xi < 0$ and consider $\omega \in R(h-\xi)^{\perp}$ (the orthogonal complement of $R(h-\xi I)$). We shall prove that $\omega = 0$.

Obviously

$$\forall \varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n): \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \omega \ \overline{\Delta \varphi} \ d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \omega \ \overline{(q-\xi)\varphi} \ d\mathbf{x}$$

and thus

 $\Delta \omega = (q-\frac{c}{2})\omega$ (in the sense of distributions).

Then, by (2.1) and by well known regularity theorems, it can be deduced that ω belongs to Ψ_{loc}^2 . In the following we shall adapt to our case some tricks used by F. Browder in [2].

- 85 -

Let us consider $\chi \in C^{oo}_{o}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$ with

 $0 \neq \chi(\mathbf{x}) \neq 1 \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and } \chi(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |\mathbf{x}| \neq 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } |\mathbf{x}| \geq 2 \end{cases}$

and set χ (x) = $\chi(\chi x)$.

Then, by lemma 2.1, $\chi^2_{\underline{\mu}}\omega \in D(h-\xi I)$ and

$$(\mathbf{h} - \{\mathbf{x}\} \mathbf{I}) (\mathbf{x}_{\mathcal{E}}^{2} \omega) = -\Delta (\mathbf{x}_{\mathcal{E}}^{2} \omega) + (\mathbf{q} - \{\mathbf{x}\}) (\mathbf{x}_{\mathcal{E}}^{2} \omega)$$
therefore, remembering that $\omega \in \mathbb{R}(\mathbf{h} - \{\mathbf{I}\})^{\perp}$, we obtain
$$(2.5) \quad |(-\Delta (\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{E}}^{*} \omega) + (\mathbf{q} - \{\mathbf{x}\}) (\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{E}}^{*} \omega) | \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{E}}^{*} \omega)_{\mathbf{0}}| =$$

$$= |(-\Delta (\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{E}}^{2} \omega) + (\mathbf{q} - \{\mathbf{x}\}) (\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{E}}^{2} \omega) | \omega)_{\mathbf{0}} + (-\Delta (\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{E}} \omega) | \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{E}}^{*} \omega)_{\mathbf{0}} +$$

$$+ (\Delta (\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{E}}^{2} \omega) | \omega)_{\mathbf{0}}| = |((\mathbf{h} - \{\mathbf{I}\}) (\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{E}}^{2} \omega) | \omega)_{\mathbf{0}} + (-\Delta (\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{E}} \omega) | \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{E}}^{*} \omega)_{\mathbf{0}} +$$

$$+ (\Delta (\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{E}}^{2} \cdot \omega) | \omega)_{\mathbf{0}}| = |(-\Delta (\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{E}} \cdot \omega) | \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{E}}^{*} \omega)_{\mathbf{0}} + (\Delta (\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{E}}^{2} \cdot \omega) | \omega)_{\mathbf{0}}| .$$

$$\text{ On the other hand, if } 1 > \mathbf{y} > \mathbf{0}, \text{ we have}$$

$$(2.6) | (-\Delta(\mathbf{x}_{g}\cdot\boldsymbol{\omega}) + (\mathbf{q}-\boldsymbol{\xi})(\mathbf{x}_{g}\cdot\boldsymbol{\omega}) | \mathbf{x}_{g}\cdot\boldsymbol{\omega})_{0}| =$$

$$= | \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m}} | \mathbf{g} \operatorname{rad}(\mathbf{x}_{g}\cdot\boldsymbol{\omega}) |^{2} d\mathbf{x} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m}} (\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x})-\boldsymbol{\xi}) | \mathbf{x}_{g}(\mathbf{x})\boldsymbol{\omega}(\mathbf{x}) |^{2} d\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{z}|$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{f} \boldsymbol{\eta} | \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m}} | \mathbf{g} \operatorname{rad}(\mathbf{x}_{g}\cdot\boldsymbol{\omega}) |^{2} d\mathbf{x} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m}} \operatorname{Re}(\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x})-\boldsymbol{\xi}) | \mathbf{x}_{e}\cdot\boldsymbol{\omega} |^{2} d\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{z}|$$

$$+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m}} | \operatorname{Im}(\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x})-\boldsymbol{\xi}) | \cdot | \mathbf{x}_{g}\cdot\boldsymbol{\omega} |^{2} d\mathbf{x} \mathbf{z} \mathbf{z} \mathbf{z} \mathbf{z} \mathbf{\eta} \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m}} | \mathbf{g} \operatorname{rad}(\mathbf{x}_{g}\cdot\boldsymbol{\omega}) |^{2} d\mathbf{x} +$$

$$+ \boldsymbol{\eta} \int_{\Omega_{+}} \operatorname{Re}(\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x})-\boldsymbol{\xi}) | \mathbf{x}_{g}\cdot\boldsymbol{\omega} |^{2} d\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\eta} \int_{\Omega_{-}} | \operatorname{Re}(\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x})-\boldsymbol{\xi}) | \cdot | \mathbf{x}_{e}\cdot\boldsymbol{\omega} |^{2} d\mathbf{x} +$$

$$+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m}} | \operatorname{Im}(\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x})-\boldsymbol{\xi}) | \cdot | \mathbf{x}_{g}\cdot\boldsymbol{\omega} |^{2} d\mathbf{x} \mathbf{\xi}$$

where $\Omega_{+} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} | \operatorname{Re}(q(x) - \xi) > 0\}, \quad \Omega_{-} = \mathbb{R}^{n} \setminus \Omega_{+}$.

Now, remembering that $q(x)-\xi$ lies in the sector S for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we can choose η and $\gamma > 0$ so small that

(2.7)
$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_{-}} |\operatorname{Im}(q(x) - \xi)| \cdot |x_{\varepsilon} \omega|^{2} dx \ge (\eta + \gamma) \int_{\Omega_{-}} |\operatorname{Re}(q(x) - \xi)| \cdot |x_{\varepsilon} \omega|^{2} dx.$$

- 86 -

Then from (2.6), (2.7) we deduce that

$$(2.8) | (-\Delta (\mathbf{x}_{e} \cdot \omega) + (\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{\xi}) (\mathbf{x}_{e} \cdot \omega) | \mathbf{x}_{e} \cdot \omega) |_{\mathbf{x}_{e}} z$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2} \{ \eta \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m}} | \mathbf{g} \operatorname{rad}(\mathbf{x}_{e} \cdot \omega) |^{2} d\mathbf{x} + \eta \int_{\Omega_{+}} \operatorname{Re}(\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{\xi}) | |\mathbf{x}_{e} \omega |^{2} d\mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} | \operatorname{Im}(\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{\xi}) | \cdot | \mathbf{x}_{e} \cdot \omega |^{2} d\mathbf{x} \}^{2}$$

$$\geq c_{1} \{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m}} | \mathbf{g} \operatorname{rad}(\mathbf{x}_{e} \cdot \omega) |^{2} + |\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{\xi}| \cdot | \mathbf{x}_{e} \cdot \omega |^{2} d\mathbf{x} \} \geq c_{2} \| \mathbf{x}_{e} \cdot \omega \|_{1}^{2}$$
where c_{1}, c_{2} are positive constants.

On the other hand it can be easily verified that $(2.9) \quad |(\Delta(\mathbf{x}_{\varepsilon}^{2} \cdot \omega) | \omega)_{\bullet} - (\Delta(\mathbf{x}_{\varepsilon} \cdot \omega) | \mathbf{x}_{\varepsilon} \omega)_{\bullet}| =$ $= |\sum_{i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_{v}}} \{2 \mid |\frac{\partial \gamma_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{i}}|^{2} \cdot |\omega|^{2} + \mathbf{x}_{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial^{2} \gamma_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{i}^{2}} |\omega|^{2} + 2 \frac{\partial \gamma_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x_{i}} \cdot \overline{\omega} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{\varepsilon}^{2} d\mathbf{x}|$ then from (2.5), (2.8), (2.9) we deduce that $(2.10) \quad \mathbf{I} = |\sum_{i} (\mathbf{x}_{\varepsilon}^{2} | \frac{\partial \gamma_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{i}} |^{2} \cdot |\omega|^{2} + \mathbf{x}_{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial^{2} \gamma_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{i}^{2}} |\omega|^{2} + \mathbf{x}_{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial^{2} \gamma_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{i}} |\omega|^{2} + \mathbf{x}_{\varepsilon} \frac$

$$(2.10) \quad \mathbf{I} \equiv \left\{ \sum_{i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m}} \left\{ 2 \left| \frac{\partial \mathbf{A} \mathbf{e}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{i}} \right|^{2} |\omega|^{2} + \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{e}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{A} \mathbf{e}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{i}^{2}} \right| |\omega|^{2} \right\}$$
$$+ 2 \frac{\partial \mathbf{A} \mathbf{e}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{i}} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{i}} \quad \overline{\omega} \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{e}}^{2} d\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{z} \mathbf{c}_{2} \cdot \| \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{e}} \cdot \omega \|_{1}^{2} \cdot \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{e}}^{2} \| \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{e}} \cdot \omega \|_{1}^{2} \cdot \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{e}}^{2} \| \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{e}}^{2} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf$$

On the other hand we have

$$(2.11) \|\mathbf{x}_{e} \otimes \|_{1}^{2} \geq \|\mathbf{x}_{e} \otimes \|_{0}^{2} + \mathbf{x}_{i} (\|\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_{e}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{i}} \otimes \|_{0}^{2} + \|\mathbf{x}_{e}\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{i}}\|_{0}^{2} - 2 \|\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_{e}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{i}} \otimes \|_{0}^{2} \|\mathbf{x}_{e}\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{i}}\|_{0}^{2} \geq \|\mathbf{x}_{e} \otimes \|_{0}^{2} + \mathbf{x}_{i}^{2} (\|\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_{e}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{i}} \otimes \|_{0}^{2} + \|\mathbf{x}_{e}\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{i}}\|_{0}^{2} + \|\mathbf{x}_{e}\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{i}}\|_{0}^{2}))$$

where $c_3 = \sup \{ grad \ \chi (x) \}$.

Moreover it is easy to see that

(2.12) $\mathbf{I} \neq \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{q}} \left(\mathbf{\varepsilon}^{2} \| \boldsymbol{\omega} \|_{\mathbf{0}}^{2} + \mathbf{\varepsilon} \left(\| \boldsymbol{\omega} \|_{\mathbf{0}}^{2} + \sum_{\mathbf{t}}^{2} \| \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\omega}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{i}} \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{\varepsilon}} \|_{\mathbf{0}}^{2} \right) \right)$ where $\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{t}}$ is a positive constant. Let us set

$$\mathbf{I}_{1} = \mathbf{c}_{4} (\mathbf{z}^{2} \| \boldsymbol{\omega} \|_{\mathbf{0}}^{2} + \mathbf{\varepsilon} \| \boldsymbol{\omega} \|_{\mathbf{0}}^{2}), \ \mathbf{I}_{2} = \mathbf{\varepsilon} \mathbf{c}_{4} \cdot \sum_{i} \left\| \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\omega}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{i}} \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{\varepsilon}} \right\|_{\mathbf{0}}^{2}.$$

Then from (2.10), (2.11), (2.12) we have

$$I_{1}+I_{2} \geq I \geq ||\mathbf{x}_{e} | \omega ||_{0}^{2} + \sum_{i}^{2} (||\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_{e}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{i}} || \omega ||_{0}^{2} + ||\mathbf{x}_{e} \cdot \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{i}} ||_{0}^{2} - ec_{3}(||\omega ||_{0}^{2} + ||\mathbf{x}_{e} \cdot \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{i}} ||_{0}^{2}))$$

From which we obtain

$$\mathbf{I}_{1} + \varepsilon \mathbf{c}_{3} \| \mathbf{\omega} \|_{0}^{2} \geq \| \mathbf{x}_{\varepsilon} \cdot \mathbf{\omega} \|_{0}^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left(\| \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_{\varepsilon}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{i}} \mathbf{\omega} \|_{0}^{2} + \| \mathbf{x}_{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial \mathbf{\omega}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{i}} \|_{0}^{2} - \varepsilon \varepsilon_{3} \| \mathbf{x}_{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial \mathbf{\omega}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{i}} \|_{0}^{2} - \mathbf{I}_{2} \cdot \mathbf{u} \|_{0}^{2}$$

Then we deduce, if g is sufficiently small, that

where c_5 is a positive constant.

Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{m}} |\omega(\mathbf{x})|^{2} d\mathbf{x} \mathbf{z} c_{5} \int |\omega(\mathbf{x})|^{2} d\mathbf{x} \mathbf{z} c_{5} \int |\omega(\mathbf{x})|^{2} d\mathbf{x} \mathbf{z} \mathbf{z} \mathbf{z}_{5}$$

Letting $e \longrightarrow 0$, we deduce that $\omega = 0$.

Q.E.D.

Let us now prove the following theorem

<u>Theorem 2.3</u> - Let q satisfy (2.1) and the property P). Let us assume also that inf $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ess |q(x)| > 0. Then the following statements are equivalent.

a) $\prod_{i \in I}^{\sigma}$ is compactly embedded in L^2 .

b) h has compact resolvent.

Proof. By theorem 2.2, H is regular then S(h) is contain-

ed in the sector (of the complex plane) S defined by $0 \neq \arg \xi \neq \pi - \sigma^{\prime}$.

Let us now prove that

a) ⇒ b)

By the same arguments used in proving the inequality (2.8), we have:

(2.13)
$$\forall u \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n}) \| hu \|_{0}^{2} + \| u \|_{0}^{2} \ge |(hu|u)_{0}| \ge c_{1} \| u \|_{0}^{2}$$

where $c_1 > 0$ independent of u.

Then, by a limit procedure, it is easily deduced that (2.13) holds for each us D(h). So we conclude that D(h), with the graph norm, is continuously embedded into $\vec{\Gamma}_{ig|}$. Then, if $\vec{\Gamma}_{ig|}$ is compactly embedded in L^2 , h has compact resolvent by theorem 1.5.

Let us now prove that

b) 🚙 a)

Obviously there exists $\sqrt{2}\epsilon^{-\pi/2}$, $\pi/2$ [such that the spectrum $\delta(e^{i\sqrt{2}}h)$ of the operator $e^{i\sqrt{2}}h$ is contained in a sector S' defined by $|\arg | \epsilon \gamma < \pi /2$. Then $e^{i\sqrt{2}}h$ is <u>m-sectorial</u> al [4, ch. V p.280].

Let us now construct the operator B=Re $e^{i\vartheta}$ h, <u>real part</u> of the <u>m-sectorial</u> operator $e^{i\vartheta}$ h [4,ch. VI p. 336]. To this end let us observe that the sesquilinear form

$$a(u,v) = (e^{iv^{0}} hu|v)$$
 $u,v \in D(h)$

is closable [4, Th.1.27 p.318]; let us set

$$b(u,v) = \frac{1}{2} \{ \hat{a}(u,v) + \hat{a}(v,u) \}$$
 $u,v \in D(\hat{a}) = D(b)$

where a denotes the <u>closure</u> of a [4,ch. VI].

Obviously b is symmetric (i.e. $b(u,v) = \overline{b(v,u)}$, closed

[4, Th.1.31 p. 319] and positive (i.e. $b(u,u) \ge 0$), then there exists a unique self-adjoint operator B (Friedrichs exten-, sion) such that

 $D(B) \subset D(b)$ and b(u, v) = (Bu|v) $\forall u, v \in D(B)$. B is called the <u>real part</u> of the operator $e^{iv^2}h$.

Now $e^{i\vartheta}$ h has compact resolvent, then, by a well known result [4, Th.3.3 p. 337], B has compact resolvent: therefore, by theorem 1.3 and theorem 1.5, we deduce that $D(B^{1/2})$, equipped with the scalar product

 $(B^{1/2}u B^{1/2}v)_{o} + (u|v)_{o} = b(u,v) + (u|v)_{o}, \quad u,v \in D(b).$

is compactly embedded in L².

On the other hand it is easily seen that we have

$$\forall u \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad b(u,u) \leq c \|u\|_0^2$$

 Γ_{iq_i}

where c>o independent of u.

Then $\ddot{\Gamma}_{|g|}$ is continuously embedded into D(b). Therefore we conclude that $\ddot{\Gamma}_{|g|}$ is compactly embedded in L².

Remark 2.3 - Let us consider $\varphi \in L^1_{loc}$ with inf ess $\varphi(x) > o$; then the embedding $\int_{|g|}^{p} \hookrightarrow L^2$ is compact if [1, th. 3.1]

(2.14)
$$\int_{\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})} (1/\mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{g})) d\mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow 0 \text{ for } |\mathfrak{x}| \longrightarrow +\infty$$

where S(x) is the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^n centered at x. Observe that (2.14) is obviously satisfied if $g(x) \rightarrow +\infty$ for $|x| \rightarrow +\infty$.

By virtue of a well known theorem due to Molchanow [3,7], it is not difficult to prove that a necessary and sufficient condition for the compactness of the embedding $\int_{p}^{p} \longleftrightarrow L^{2}$

- 90 -

is the following one (Molchanov condition):

(M) there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ s.t., if F is any closed subset of C(x) (C(x) denotes the unit edge cube centered at $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$) with capacity $c(F) < \varepsilon$

$$\int_{C(x)\setminus F} g(y)dy \longrightarrow +\infty \quad \text{for } |x| \longrightarrow +\infty$$

So if q is a complex potential verifying the assumptions of theorem 2.3, then h has compact resolvent if and only if |q| = 0 satisfies the above condition (M). Let us recall that an analogous result has been obtained for one dimensional Schrödinger operators [6].

Remark 2.4 - Observe that if the potential q does not satisfy the property P), the compactness of the embedding of \prod_{iqi}^{p} into L^2 is not sufficient, in general, to guearantee the discreteness of the spectrum $\mathcal{O}(h)$: in fact there are Schrödinger operators h, with real potentials q diverging to $-\infty$ for $|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow +\infty$, whose spectrum covers the entire real axis [3, Th. 2.8 ch. II].

Remark 2.5 - If we assume Re $q \ge 0$, it can be proved that H is regular without the "local regularity" assumption (2.1) on q. In fact: Let $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$ with Re $\xi < 0$, then, by following analogous arguments as in proving the first part of th. 2.2, we have only to prove that $\omega = 0$ is the unique solution of the equation

(2.15) $\Delta \omega = \overline{(q-\xi)}$ (in the distributional sense).

Now, by virtue of a well known inequality [5], by (2.15) we deduce

 $\Delta |\omega| \ge \operatorname{Re} \{ (\operatorname{sign} \overline{\omega}) \Delta \omega \} = \operatorname{Re} \{ (\overline{q-\zeta}) |\omega| \} \ge 0$ in the distributional sense. Then, by following the same arguments used in [5], it can be deduced that $\omega = 0$.

Let us finally observe that in such situation (i.e. if $q \in L^2_{loc}$ and Re $q \ge 0$) it can be also proved, by following analogous arguments as in proving th. 2.3, that h has compact resolvent if $\Gamma_{Req} \hookrightarrow L^2$ compactly (cf. remark 2.3). An analogous result has been proved [2, th. 2.6] under more restrictive conditions on the "growth of Req" at infinity and on its local "regularity".

Remark 2.5 - Let Re $q \ge 0$. Then it can be proved [12] that the formal differential operator $L = -\Delta + q$ has an <u>m-accretive</u> realization A in L^2 if $q \in L_{loc}^r$ with

2n/(n-2)	fer n≥3
$\mathbf{r} = \left\langle 1 + \mathbf{g} (\mathbf{E} > 0) \right\rangle$	for $n = 2$
lı	for n = 1

Moreover, if for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ q(x) belongs to a sector S (of the complex plane) defined by $|\arg \{ \} \neq \gamma < \pi^2$, it can be proved [12] that L has an m-accretive extension under the weaker assumption $q \in L^1_{loc}$.

References

- V. BENCI D. FORTUNATO: Discreteness conditions of the spectrum of Schrödinger operators, J. Math. Anal. and Appl. 64(1978), 695-700
- [2] F.E. BROWDER: On the spectral theory of elliptic differential operators, Mat. Annalen 142(1961), 22-130
- [3] I.M. GLAZMAN: Direct methods of the qualitative spectral analysis of singular differential operators, Israel Program of Translations, Jerusalem (1965).
- [4] T. KATO: Perturbation theory for linear operators, Sprin-

ger Verlag, New York (1966)

- [5] T. KATO: Schrödinger operators with singular potentials, Israel J. Math. 13(1973), 135-148
- [6] V.B. LIDSKII: Conditions for the complete continuity of the resolvent of a nonself-adjoint differential operators, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 113(1957),28-31
- [7] A.M. MOLCHANOV: The conditions for the discreteness of the spectrum of self-adjoint second-order differential equations, Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obšč. 2(1953), 169-200
- [8] M.A. NAIMARK: The spectrum of singular non self-adjoint second order differential operators, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR(1952), 41-44
- [9] B.S. PAVLOV: The non self-adjoint Schrödinger operator, Topics Math. Phys. 1(1967), 83-113
- [10] M. REED B. SIMON: Methods of modern Mathematical Physics, I, Academic Press New York (1972)
- [11] M. SCHECHTER: Principles of functional analysis, Academic Press, New York (1971)
- [12] T. KATO: On some Schrödinger operators with a singular complex potential, Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa 5(1978), 105-114

Università di Bari

Istituto di Matematica Applicata

Via Re David, 200, 70125 Bari

Italia

(Oblatum 24.11. 1978)

- 93 -