Gerald J. Murphy Self-dual subnormal operators

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 23 (1982), No. 3, 467--473

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/106168

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1982

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

COMMENTATIONES MATHEMATICAE UNIVERSITATIS CAROLINAE

23,3 (1982)

SELF-DUAL SUBNORMAL OPERATORS G. J. MURPHY

Abstract: A characterization of self-dual subnormal operators is given, and this characterization is shown to give quick proofs that certain classes of operators consist of selfdual subnormal operators.

Key words: Self-dual subnormal operator

Classification: 47B20

Recall that a <u>subnormal</u> operator is the restriction to an invariant subspace of a normal operator (all operators are understood to be bounded linear operators defined on Hilbert spaces). Every subnormal operator has a minimal normal extension N, and N is unique up to unitary equivalence [2]. Suppose then S is a subnormal operator on a Hilbert space H and N is a normal operator on a Hilbert space H and N is a normal operator on a Hilbert space K \geq H such that N is the minimal normal extension of S. Then relative to the decomposition K = H \oplus H^{\perp} of K, N has operator matrix

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} S & X \\ 0 & T^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now if S is a <u>pure</u> subnormal operator (i.e. S has no nonzero reducing subspace on which it is normal) then T is unique up to unitary equivalence and is called the <u>dual</u> of S (see, for example, [1]). S is said to be <u>self-dual</u> if S is unitarily

- 467 -

equivalent to its dual T.

It is convenient to make the following definition - an operator S is <u>pure</u> if S has no non-zero reducing subspace on which S is normal.

We now give a simple characterization of self-dual subnormal operators which eliminates reference to the minimal normal extension.

[X,Y] denotes the commutator XY - YX for operators X and Y.

<u>Theorem 1</u>. Let S be a pure operator on a Hilbert space H. Then S is a self-dual subnormal operator if and only if there exists a normal operator A on H such that

$$[S^*,S] = AA^*$$
 and $AS = S^*A$.

<u>Proof</u>: Suppose first that S is a self-dual subnormal operator and

$$\mathbf{N} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{S} & \mathbf{X} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{T}^* \end{pmatrix}$$

is its minimal normal extension on $H \oplus H$. Then for some unitary operator U on H, T = USU*. But the equation NN* = N* N implies

$$\begin{pmatrix} SS^* + XX^* & XT \\ T^* X^* & T^* T \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} S^*S & S^* X \\ X^*S & X^* X + TT^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence $[S^*, S] = XX^*$, $XT = S^* X$ and $[T^*, T] = X^* X$.

We define A = XU. Then $X = AU^*$, and $AS = XUS(U^*U) =$ = $(XT)U = (S^*X)U = S^*A$, i.e. $AS = S^*A$. Also $[S^*,S] = XX^* =$ = $AU^*(AU^*)^* = AA^*$. Finally A is normal, because $A^*A = (XU)^*XU$

- = U*X*XU
- = U*[T*,T]U
- = U*((USU*)*USU* USU*(USU*)*)U
- = U*(US*SU* USS*U*)U
- = [\$*,\$]
- = AA*

Now to prove the converse, suppose we are given a normal operator A such that $[S^*,S] = AA^*$ and $AS = S^*A$, and we'll show this implies S is a self-dual subnormal operator.

Put

$$\mathbf{N} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{S} & \mathbf{A} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{S}^* \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus N is an operator on $H \oplus H$, and some trivial matrix calculations show

 $\mathbf{N}^*\mathbf{N} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{S}^*\mathbf{S} & \mathbf{S}^*\mathbf{A} \\ \mathbf{A}^*\mathbf{S} & \mathbf{A}^*\mathbf{A}^*\mathbf{S}^* \end{pmatrix}$ $\mathbf{N}\mathbf{N}^* = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{S}\mathbf{S}^{*} + \mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^* & \mathbf{A}\mathbf{S} \\ \mathbf{S}^*\mathbf{A}^* & \mathbf{S}^*\mathbf{S} \end{pmatrix}$

So from the relations $[S^*,S] = AA^*$ and $AS = S^*A$ we deduce that $NN^* = N^*N$, i.e. N is normal. Thus the proof will be concluded if we show N is the minimal normal extension of S.

Supposing it is not, we derive a contradiction:

(For notational convenience let K denote the space on which N acts and regard H as a subspace of K, so that $K = = H \bigoplus H^{\perp}$.)

Now as N is not the minimal normal extension there exists a proper subspace M of K which reduces N, and M contains H

469 -

but is not equal to H. Thus M_{M} , the restriction of N to M, is normal.

Now $K = H \oplus H^{\perp} = (H \oplus M \odot H) \oplus M^{\perp} = M \oplus M^{\perp}$.

Thus relative to the decomposition $K = H \oplus (M \ominus H) \oplus M^{\perp}$, N has operator matrix

$$\mathbf{N} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{S} & \mathbf{X}_1 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{X}_2 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{N}_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and relative to the decomposition $K = M \oplus M^{\perp}$, N has operator matrix

$$\mathbf{N} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{N}_1 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{N}_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Also since M is reducing for N, we must have N_1 , N_2 normal. But we can also identify the operator matrix of N relative to the decomposition K = H \oplus (M \ominus H) \oplus M^{\perp} as

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} S & X_1 & O \\ O & \\ O & (S^*) \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence identifying corresponding submatrices of the above 3 x 3 operator matrices we deduce that

$$S^* = \begin{pmatrix} x_2 & 0 \\ 0 & n_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

relative to the decomposition $(M \oplus H) \oplus M^{\perp}$. Thus $S^* = X_2 \oplus N_2$ on the space $(M \oplus H) \oplus M^{\perp} = H^{\perp}$, and hence $S = X_2^* \oplus N_2^*$. This implies S is normal on the reducing subspace M^{\perp} (since N_2 is normal) and hence $M^{\perp} = 0$ by the purity of S. Thus M = K, a contradiction.

- 470 -

<u>Corollary 1</u>. If S is a pure hyponormal operator and $[S^*,S]^{1/2} S = S^*[S^*,S]^{1/2}$ then S is a self-dual subnormal operator.

Proof: Take $A = [S^*, S]^{1/2}$.

<u>Corollary 2</u>. If S is a pure isometry, S is a self-dual subnormal operator.

Proof: $S^* S = 1$ implies $[S^*,S] = 1 - SS^*$ is a projection, whence $[S^*,S]^{1/2} = 1 - SS^*$. Thus $[S^*,S]^{1/2}S = (1 - SS^*)S = 0 = S^*(1 - SS^*) = S^*[S^*,S]^{1/2}$. The result now follows by applying Corollary 1.

<u>Corollary 3</u>. A pure quasinormal operator S is a self-dual subnormal operator.

<u>Proof</u>: S has a commuting polar decomposition S = U|S|== |S|U, and as S is pure U is an isometry. Now $U^*|S| = |S|U^*$ also, so $S^*S - SS^* = U^*|S|U|S| - U|S|U^*|S| = |S|^2(U^*U - UU^*) =$ = $|S|^2(1 - UU^*)$. Hence $[S^*,S]^{1/2} = |S|(1 - UU^*)$. We conclude $[S^*,S]^{1/2} S = |S|(S - UU^*S) = |S|(S - U|S|) =$ = |S|(S - S) = 0, and so also $S^*[S^*,S]^{1/2} = 0$.

<u>Remarks</u>. One could generalize Corollary 2 by stating that if S is a pure operator, $[S^*,S]$ is a projection, and $[S^*,S]S =$ = S*[S*,S], then S is a self-dual subnormal operator.

The results in Corollaries 2 & 3 are not new, see [1] for example.

The condition given in Corollary 1 is not a necessary condition on an arbitrary pure operator that S be a self-dual subnormal. In [1] it is above that the unilateral weighted shift

- 471 -

S with weights (1/4, 1,1,1,...) is a self-dual subnormal operator. But S does not satisfy the condition $[S^*,S]^{1/2} S = S^*[S^*,S]^{1/2}$. This is because $S^*S - SS^*$ is the diagonal operator with diagonal sequence $(1/4,3/4,0,0,\ldots)$, and hence $[S^*,S]^{1/2}$ is diagonal with sequence $(1/2, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, 0, 0, \ldots)$. Thus $[S^*,S]^{1/2}S = [S^*,S]^{1/2} e_1/4 = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \frac{1}{4} e_1 \neq 0$ and $S^*[S^*,S]^{1/2}e_0 = 0$ (here as usual e_0, e_1, e_2, \ldots denote the orthonormal basis for the Hilbert space). Hence $[S^*,S]^{1/2}S + S^*[S^*,S]^{1/2}e_0$.

We conclude with a new characterization of the pure hyponormal operators which are self-dual subnormal operators.

<u>Theorem 2</u>. Let S be a pure hyponormal operator on the Hilbert space H. Then S is a self-dual subnormal operator if and only if there is a unitary operator U on H such that

> $U[S^*,S]^{1/2} S = S^*[S^*,S]^{1/2} U$ and $U[S^*,S]^{1/2} = [S^*,S]^{1/2} U$.

<u>Proof</u>: Suppose firstly that S is a self-dual subnormal. Then by Theorem 1 there is a normal operator A on H such that AS = S*A and [S*,S] = AA*. Now we can polar decompose A == U|A = |A|U where U is a unitary.

Hence $AA^* = |A|^2 = [S^*,S]$ implies $|A| = [S^*,S]^{1/2}$. Also AS = S*A implies U[S*,S]^{1/2} S = S*[S*,S]^{1/2} U.

Conversely if we suppose that a unitary operator U exists for which $U[S^*,S]^{1/2} S = S^*[S^*,S]^{1/2} U$ and $U[S^*,S]^{1/2} =$ = $[S^*,S]^{1/2} U$, we simply put A = $U[S^*,S]^{1/2}$ and find that $[S^*,S] = AA^*$, As = S^*A, and A is normal. Thus by Theorem 1, S is a self-dual subnormal operator.

- 472 -

References

- [1] CONWAY J.B.: The dual of a subnormal operator. To appear, J. Operator Theory.
- [2] HALMOS P.R.: A Hilbert space problem book, D. Van Nostrand Co., Princeton (1967).

Dalhousie University, Halifax, N.S., Canada B3H 4H8

(Oblatum 17.2. 1982)

.