Vladimir Vladimirovich Uspenskij A characterization of realcompactness in terms of the topology of pointwise convergence on the function space

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 24 (1983), No. 1, 121--126

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/106210

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1983

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

COMMENTATIONES MATHEMATICAE UNIVERSITATIS CAROLINAE

` 24,1 (1983)

A CHARACTERIZATION OF REALCOMPACTNESS IN TERMS OF THE TOPOLOGY OF POINTWISE CONVERGENCE ON THE FUNCTION SPACE V. V. USPENSKII

<u>Abstract</u>: We prove a theorem of which the following two statements are immediate corollaries: (1) if $C_p(X)$ and $C_p(Y)$ are homeomorphic and X is realcompact, then Y is realcompact; (2) let k be a non-measurable cardinal and f:R^K \longrightarrow R be such a function that its restriction to every countable subset of R^k is continuous, then f is continuous.

<u>Key words</u>: Realcompact spaces, function spaces. Classification: 54A25, 54C35, 54D60

When $C_p(X)$ - the space of all realvalued continuous functions defined on X, with the topology of pointwise convergence - is realcompact? A sufficient condition was found by A.V. Arhangelskii [1]: I is normal, and every x_0 -continuous function f: $X \rightarrow R$ is continuous. A function f: $X \rightarrow Y$ is called kcontinuous if its restriction to every subset $A \subset X$ of power $\leq k$ is continuous. Chigogidze proved later that for a normal space X the above condition is also necessary for $C_p(X)$ to be realcompact. Finally, using a slight modification of the concept of k-continuity, Arhangelskii gave a complete answer to the posed question [2]. Call a function f: $X \rightarrow R$ strictly kcontinuous if for every $A \subset X$ with $|A| \leq k$ there exists a continuous function g: $X \rightarrow R$ such that $f|_A = g|_A$. Now for a Tychonoff space X the following two conditions are equivalent:

- 121 -

(1) $C_p(X)$ is realcompact; (2) every strictly \neq_0 -continuous function f:X \longrightarrow R is continuous (for a normal X "strictly" can be omitted), [2]. In order to state this theorem more generally, consider the cardinal functions q, t_0 , t_m ("the Hewitt number", "the functional tightness" and "the modified functional tightness", respectively) defined as follows, [2] (all spaces are Tychonoff):

 $q(X) = \min \{k: \text{ for every } x \in \beta X \setminus X \text{ there exists a family}$ γ of open subsets of βX such that $x \in \cap \gamma \subset \beta X \setminus X$ and $|\gamma| \leq \leq k$;

 $t_0(X) = \min \{k: every k-continuous function <math>f: X \rightarrow R$ is continuous};

 $t_m(X) = \min \{k: every strictly k-continuous function f: X \longrightarrow R is continuous \}.$

Then $q(X) = x_0$ iff X is realcompact. The theorem of Arhangelskii asserts that the equality $t_m(X) = q(C_p(X))$ holds. The aim of the present paper is to prove the "dual" equality $t_m(C_p(X)) = q(X)$. The inequality " \geq " is due to A.V. Arhangelskii [2, Corollary 6], but the opposite inequality $t_m(C_n(X)) \leq q(X)$ is new.

<u>Theorem 1</u>. For every Tychonoff X, $t_m(C_p(X)) = t_o(C_p(X)) = q(X) = q(C_p(C_p(X))).$

<u>Proof</u>: X can be embedded as a closed subspace in $C_p(C_p(X))$, so $q(X) \leq q(C_p(C_p(X)))$. Applying the equality $t_m(X) = q(C_p(X))$ to $C_p(X)$ instead of X, we see that $q(C_p(C_0(X))) = t_m(C_p(X))$. Let k = q(X). As $t_m(C_p(X)) \leq t_0(C_p(X))$, it is enough to prove that $t_0(C_p(X)) \leq k$.

Lemma. Let $\varphi: Y \longrightarrow Z$ be a continuous surjection. If $t_{\alpha}(Y) \leq k$, B is a base of open sets in Y and for every $G \in B$

- 122 -

there exists an open subset $H \subset Z$ such that $\varphi(G) \subset H \subset \bigcup \{\overline{A}^Z : A \subset C \varphi(G) \text{ and } |A| \leq k \}$ (*), then $t_{\alpha}(Z) \leq k$.

<u>Proof</u>: Let $f:\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be k-continuous. The mapping $f \circ \mathfrak{P}$: $:\mathbb{Y} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, for it is k-continuous and $t_0(\mathbb{Y}) \neq \mathbb{k}$. Let $\mathbf{z}_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{E} > 0$. Choose $\mathbf{y}_0 \in \mathbb{Y}$, and $\mathbb{G} \in \mathbb{B}$ so that $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{y}_0) = \mathbf{z}_0$, $\mathbf{y}_0 \in \mathbb{G}$ and $f \circ \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{G}) \subset [f(\mathbf{z}_0) - \mathfrak{C}]$, $f(\mathbf{z}_0) + \mathfrak{C}]$. If $\mathbb{H} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ satisfies the condition (*), then $\mathbf{z}_0 \in \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{G}) \subset \mathbb{H}$, and k-continuity of f implies $f(\mathbb{H}) \subset f(\bigcup\{\overline{\mathbb{A}}:\mathbb{A} \subset \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{G}) \text{ and } |\mathbb{A}| \neq \mathbb{k}\} \subset \bigcup\{\overline{f(\mathbb{A})}:\mathbb{A} \subset \mathbb{C} = \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{G})$ and $|\mathbb{A}| \leq \mathbb{k}\} \subset [f(\mathbf{z}_0) - \mathfrak{E}]$, $f(\mathbf{z}_0) + \mathfrak{E}]$, which means that f is continuous.

Instead of $C_n(X)$ we shall consider its subspace $Z = \{f \in$ $\in C_{p}(X): f(X) \subset (0,1)$, which is homeomorphic to $C_{p}(X)$. For $f \in Z$ denote by \hat{T} the extension of f to βX , and put $Y = {\hat{T}: f \in Z}$ = $\{g \in C_p(\beta X) : 0 \le g \le 1 \text{ and } g^{-1}(0) \cup g^{-1}(1) \subset \beta X \setminus X \} \subset C_p(\beta X).$ The tightness of $C_p(\beta I)$ is countable, so $t_o(Y) \leq t(Y) \leq t(C_n(\beta I)) =$ = $\mathfrak{K}_{0} \leq k$. Let $g: \mathbb{Y} \to \mathbb{Z}$ be the restriction, $g(g) = g|_{\mathbb{X}}$ for $g \in \mathbb{Y}$. By the lemma, the proof will be complete when we check the condition (x). Let B be the standard base in Y, i.e. elements of B are the sets $G = \bigcap \{ M(x, O_x) : x \in E \}$, where E is a finite subset of βX , $\{0_{-}: x \in E\}$ is a family of nonempty open subsets of the closed interval [0,1] and $M(x,0_x) = \{g \in Y: g(x) \in 0_y\}$. We claim that if $G \in B$ is as above, then for $H = \{f \in Z: f(x) \in O_x \text{ for every } x \in I\}$ $\in E \cap X$ = $\mathcal{G}(\cap \{M(x, 0_{\tau}) : x \in E \cap X\})$ the condition (*) is satisfied. The inclusion $\varphi(G) \subset H$ is obvious. It remains to show that for every $f \in H$ there exists a set $A \subset G$ such that $|A| \leq k$ and $f \in$ $\in \overline{\varphi(A)}$. To this end, put $E_1 = E \cap X$, $E_2 = E \cap (\beta X \setminus X)$, and choose a family γ of open subsets of β X such that $\mathbf{E}_{\boldsymbol{z}} \subset \bigcap \boldsymbol{\gamma} \subset \beta$ X \ X and $|\gamma| \leq k$. This is possible by the definition of q(X). We may suppose that γ is closed under finite intersections and

- 123 -

 $(\bigcup_{\gamma}) \cap \mathbb{B}_{1} = \emptyset$. For each $\bigcup \in \gamma$ let $\mathbb{E}_{U}: \beta \mathbb{X} \longrightarrow [0,1]$ be a function such that $\mathbb{g}_{U}(\beta \mathbb{X} \setminus \mathbb{U}) \subset \{0\}$ and $\mathbb{g}_{U}(\mathbb{E}_{2}) \subset \{1\}$. Choose a function $t \in \mathbb{G}$ which does not assume values 0 and 1, and define $h_{U} = \widehat{f} \cdot (1 - \mathbb{E}_{U}) + t \cdot \mathbb{E}_{U} \in \mathbb{C}(\beta \mathbb{X})$. Clearly $h_{U} \in \mathbb{Y}$. Moreover, $h_{U} \in \mathbb{G}$: since $h_{U}|_{\mathbb{E}_{1}} = f|_{\mathbb{E}_{1}}$ and $h_{U}|_{\mathbb{E}_{2}} = t|_{\mathbb{E}_{2}}$, we have $h_{U}(\mathbb{X}) \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbb{X}}$ for each $\mathbb{X} \in \mathbb{B}_{1} \cup \mathbb{E}_{2} = \mathbb{E}$. Let $\mathbb{A} = \{h_{U}: U \in \gamma\}$. Then $\mathbb{A} \subset \mathbb{G}$ and $|\mathbb{A}| \leq |\gamma| \leq k$. For every finite subset $\mathbb{P} \subset \mathbb{X}$ there exists a set $\mathbb{U} \in \gamma$ which has an empty intersection with \mathbb{F} . The corresponding function h_{U} coincides with f on the set \mathbb{F} . Consequently, $f \in \overline{\varphi(\mathbb{A})}$. The theorem is proved.

<u>Corollary 1</u>. X is realcompact iff $C_p C_p(X)$ is realcompact. <u>Corollary 2</u>. Suppose $C_p(X)$ and $C_p(Y)$ are homeomorphic. If X is realcompact, then Y is realcompact.

The same conclusion was known to be true under the assumption that $C_p(X)$ and $C_p(Y)$ are isomorphic as topological vector spaces.

<u>Corollary 3</u>. If a cardinal k is nonmeasurable, then $t_o(\mathbb{R}^k) = \mathcal{K}_o$; in other words, every \mathcal{K}_o -continuous function $f: \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous.

<u>Proof</u>: Let D(k) be a discrete space of power k. When k is nonmeasurable, D(k) is realcompact. Apply the theorem to X == D(k) and note that $R^k = C_n(D(k))$.

If k is a measurable cardinal, there exists a discontinuous function $f:\mathbb{R}^k \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is n-continuous for every nonmeasurable cardinal n. To construct such a function, choose a nontrivial two-valued measure m on D(k). Every $g \in C(D(k))$ coincides with a constant almost everywhere relative to m. Let f(g)be this constant.

- 124 -

Corollary 3 solves a problem posed in [1, ch. 4, § 2]. It can be generalized as follows:

<u>Theorem 2</u>. Let $\{X_{\alpha} : \alpha \in A\}$ be a family of first countable spaces and $X = \prod \{X_{\alpha} : \alpha \in A\}$. If |A| is nonmeasureable, then every \mathcal{K}_{α} -continuous function $f:X \longrightarrow R$ is continuous.

<u>Proof</u>. Let $\mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{T}(2)$. Arguing as above and applying the lemma to the natural continuous bijection $C_p(\beta \mathfrak{D}(k), \mathfrak{D}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{D}^k$, one shows that $t_o(\mathfrak{D}^k) = \mathfrak{K}_o$ for every nonmeasurable cardinal k. Our theorem now follows by Theorems 1.1 and 2.4 of [3].

Theorem 2 should be compared with the Noble's result [3, Theorem 5.1]: if $\{X_{\infty} : \alpha \in A\}$ is a family of first countable spaces, $X = \Pi\{X_{\infty} : \alpha \in A\}$ and the cardinal |A| is not sequential, then every sequentially continuous function $f:X \rightarrow R$ is continuous. A cardinal k is sequential iff there exists a sequentially continuous function $f: \Im^k \rightarrow R$ which is not continuous. The first sequential cardinal is regular limit [4] and does not exceed the first real-valued measurable cardinal. Under the Martin's Axiom MA a cardinal k is sequential iff it is real-valued measurable iff it is Ulam measurable [5, 6]. So if MA is added to the assumptions of Theorem 2, its conclusion can be refined by writing "sequentially continuous" instead of " K_0 -continuous.

The author wishes to thank Professor A.V. Arhangelskii for helpful suggestions.

R e f e r e n c e s [1] А.В. АРХАНГЕЛЬСКИЙ: Строение и классификация топологическицх пространств и кардинальные инварианты,

- 125 -

Успехи Математическицх Наук 33(1978), 29-84.

- [2] A.V. ARHANGELSKII: Functional tightness, Q-spaces and ~-embeddings, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae, 24(1983),
- [3] W. WOBLE: The continuity of functions on Cartesian products, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 149(1970), 187-198.
- [4] S. MAZUR: On continuous mappings on Cartesian products, Fund. Math. 39(1952), 229-238.
- [5] R.M. SOLOVAY: Real-valued measurable cardinals, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 13(1971), 397-428.
- [6] D.V. ČUDNOVSKII: Sequentially continuous mappings and realvalued measurable cardinals, Infinite and Finite Sets (Budapest 1973).

Faculty of Mechanics and Mathematics, Moscow State University, Moscow 117234, USSR

(Oblatum 22.9. 1982)