Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae

Jerzy Płonka Subdirectly irreducible groupoids in some varieties

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 24 (1983), No. 4, 631--645

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/106261

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1983

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

COMMENTATIONES MATHEMATICAE UNIVERSITATIS CAROLINAE 24.4 (1983)

SUBDIRECTLY IRREDUCIBLE GROUPOIDS IN SOME VARIETIES J. PŁONKA

Abstract: In one special variety of groupoids we study free groupoids, subdirectly irreducible groupoids and the lattice of subvarieties.

Key words: Groupoid, subdirectly irreducible groupoid, wariety.

Classification: 08A30

- 0. In this paper we consider only varieties of groupoids i.e. varieties of type (2) with the fundamental operation x.y and we accept the terminology from [2]. In [3] two varieties \sum_2 and \sum_3 of groupoids were considered where \sum_2 was defined by the identities
- (1) $x \cdot x = x$
- (2) $(x \cdot y) z = (x \cdot s) \cdot y$
- $(3) \quad x \cdot (y \cdot z) = x \cdot y$
- (4) (x.y).y = x.y

and Σ_3 was defined by (1)-(3) and

(4') $(x\cdot y)\cdot y = x$ (see also [2], pp. 394-395).

In [3] it was shown that:

If a groupoid G belongs to Σ_2 or Σ_3 and the operation $x \cdot y$ depends on both variables in G then there exist in G exactly n n-ary polynomials depending on n variables.

In [4] all subdirectly irreducible groupoids in Σ_2 and Σ_3 were found.

In this paper we study the join $\Sigma_2 \vee \Sigma_3$. In Section 1 we prove that $\Sigma_2 \vee \Sigma_3$ is defined by the identities (1)-(3) and the identity

(5) $((x \cdot y) \cdot y) \cdot y = x \cdot y$.

We show that the only subvarieties of $\Sigma_2 \vee \Sigma_3$ are Σ_2 , Σ_3 , the trivial variety T i.e. the variety defined by the identity x-y and the variety Σ_0 defined by the identity x-y = x (see Theorem 1).

In Theorem 2, Section 1 we describe the free algebras in $\Sigma_2 \vee \Sigma_3$. In Section 2 we find all subdirectly irreducible groupoids in $\Sigma_2 \vee \Sigma_3$.

For a variety K of type (2) we denote by E(K) the set of all identities of type (2) satisfied in all groupoids from K. A term g_0 of type (2) constructed by means of the operation will be called a multiplication term. We shall use the notation $(...(x\cdot y)\cdot y...)\cdot y = x\cdot y^n$ n times

1. Example 1. Let X be a set such that |X| > 1. Denote $B = \{\langle a,A \rangle; a \in A \subseteq X \}$. Consider a groupoid $G = (B_1 \cdot)$ where $\langle a,A \rangle \cdot \langle a',A' \rangle = \langle a,A \cup \{a'\} \rangle$. Then $G = \{a,A \} \cdot \langle a',A' \rangle = \langle a,A \cup \{a'\} \rangle$. Of $G = \{a,A \} \cdot \langle a',A' \rangle = \langle a,A \cup \{a'\} \rangle$.

Example 2. Let $Z_4 = (\{0,1,2,3\};+)$ be a cyclic group with addition modulo 4. Consider a groupoid $G = (\{0,1,2,3\};+)$ where $X_1 = X_2 = X_3 = X_4 =$

Let ∑ be the variety of groupoids defined by (1)-(3) and

(5). Let ∞ be an ordinal. A multiplication term φ on variables $x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_\beta, \ldots$ ($\beta < \infty$) will be called a reduced iteration if φ is of the form

(6) $x_1 \cdot x_{i_2}^{k_2} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{i_n}^{k_n}$ where all variables x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_n} are different, $i_2 < i_3 < \dots < i_n$, $0 < k_j \le 2$ for $j = 2, \dots, n$.

Lemma 1. For any multiplication term φ there exists a reduced iteration of the form (6) such that the identity $\varphi = x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ belongs to $E(\Sigma)$.

Proof. In fact by (3) we can reduce all open parentheses standing after a variable in φ . Then we get φ = = $(...(x_{s_1} \cdot x_{s_2})...)x_{s_r}$ belongs to $E(\Sigma)$. By (2) the order of variables $x_{s_2},...,x_{s_r}$ is arbitrary and we get φ = = $(...(x_{i_1} \cdot x_{i_1})...)\cdot x_{i_1})\cdot x_{i_2})\cdot ... \cdot x_{i_2}\cdot ... \cdot x_{i_n}$ belongs to $E(\Sigma)$ where $i_1 = s_1$ and $i_2 < i_3 < ... < i_n$. Now by (1) and (5) we get the statement of the Lemma.

Lemma 2. If two reduced iterations $x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_n}$ and $x_{j_1}, x_{j_2}, \dots, x_{j_m}$ are different then the identities (1)-(3) together with the identity

(7)
$$x_{i_1} \cdot x_{i_2}^{k_2} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{i_n}^{k_n} = x_{j_1} \cdot x_{j_2}^{q_2} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{j_m}^{q_n}$$

imply one of the following identities: (4),(4'), $x \cdot y = x$.

Proof. If $i_1 \neq j_1$ then multiplying (7) on left by x_{i_1} we get by (3) $x_{i_1} \cdot x_{j_1} = x_{i_1}$. If $i_1 = j_1$ but there exists i_r , $r \in \{2, \ldots, n\}$ such that $i_r \notin \{j_2, \ldots, j_m\}$ then putting in (7) x_{i_1} for all variables different from x_{i_r} we get by (1)-(3) $x_{i_1} \cdot x_{i_r} = x_{i_1}$ or $x_{i_1} \cdot (x_{i_r})^2 = x_{i_1}$. If the variables on both sides of (7) are the same but $k_r \neq q_r$ for some $1 < r \neq n$ then putting

 x_{i_r} for all variables different from x_{i_r} we get $x_{i_1} \cdot x_{i_r} =$ = $x_{i_1} \cdot (x_{i_r})^2$. Thus anyway we get one of the identities from the

Theorem 1. The lattice of subvarieties of ≥ consists of the varieties T, Σ_0 , Σ_2 , Σ_3 and Σ where T $\subset \Sigma_0$, $\Sigma_0 \subset \Sigma_2$, $\Sigma_0 \subset \Sigma_3$, Σ_2 and Σ_3 are incomparable and $\Sigma = \Sigma_2 \vee \Sigma_3$.

Proof. The varieties Σ_2 and Σ_3 are incomparable (see Examples 1 and 2). Obviously any of the varieties T, Σ_0 , Σ_2 , Σ_3 is a subvariety of Σ since any of the identities x=y, x-y = x, (4),(4') implies (5). Obviously $T \subset \Sigma_0$, $\Sigma_0 \subset \Sigma_2$, $\Sigma_0 \subset \Sigma_3$. On the other hand, J. Dudek proved in [1] that T and E are the only subvarieties of Σ_2 and Σ_3 and all are different. Thus to complete the proof it is enough to show that if K is a proper subvariety of Σ then K is a subvariety of Σ_2 or Σ_3 . Let

(8) $(\varphi = \psi) \in E(K) \setminus E(\Sigma)$. By Lemma 1, $\varphi = x_1 \cdot x_1 \cdot x_2 \cdot \dots \cdot x_n$ and $\psi = x_{j_1} \cdot x_{j_2} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{j_n} \cdot x_n \cdot \varphi = x_{j_1} \cdot x_{j_2} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{j_n} \cdot x_{j$ = ψ implies (7) where by (8) the sides of (7) are different. Now by Lemma 2, K is a subvariety of Σ_2 or Σ_3 .

Example 3. In the set {0,1,2} let us define an operation m putting

(9)
$$\mathbf{x} \oplus \mathbf{y} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} & \text{if } \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} \neq 2 \\ \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} = 2 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Let us consider a groupoid $O_L = (\{0,1,2\} \times \{0,1,2\}, \cdot)$ where

$$\langle \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{y}_1 \rangle \cdot \langle \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{y}_2 \rangle = \begin{cases} \langle \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{y}_1 \rangle & \text{if } \mathbf{x}_1 = \mathbf{x}_2 \\ \langle \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{y}_1 \oplus \mathbf{x}_2 \rangle & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $Q_{\mathbf{L}}$ satisfies (1)-(3) and (5) so $Q_{\mathbf{L}}$ belongs to Σ and $Q_{\mathbf{L}}$ satisfies neither (4) nor (4).

Let ∞ be an ordinal. If $a \in \{0,1,2\}^{\infty}$ we shall denote by a(k) the k'th coordinate of a. Let us denote by p_k the element of $\{0,1,2\}^{\infty}$ for which $p_k(k) = 1$ and $p_k(i) = 0$ for $i \neq k$. We denote by B the set of all $a \in \{0,1,2\}^{\infty}$ having a finite number of coordinates different from 0. Finally let $B_{\infty} = \{\langle p_k,a \rangle: : k < \infty, a \in B, a(k) = 0\}$.

We define a groupoid $\mathcal{L}_{\infty} = (B_{\mu}, \cdot)$ where

$$\langle p_k, a \rangle \cdot \langle p_{k_1}, a_1 \rangle = \begin{cases} \langle p_k, a \rangle & \text{if } k=k_1 \\ \langle p_k, a' \rangle & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $a'(1) = a(1) \oplus p_{k_1}(1)$; \oplus is defined by (9).

Theorem 2. A free groupoid in the variety Σ with ∞ free generators is isomorphic to \mathcal{L}_{Σ} .

Proof. Let F_{∞} be the set of all multiplication terms on variables $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{\beta}, \dots$, $\beta < \infty$. Let \sim be a relation in F_{∞} defined by the formula $\varphi \sim \psi \iff (\varphi = \psi) \in E(\Sigma)$. A free algebra with ∞ free generators in Σ is isomorphic to the algebra $f_{\infty} = (\{[\varphi]_{n}\}_{\varphi \in F_{\infty}}; \cdot)$. By Lemma 1 any term φ has a representation in the form $\varphi = x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$. But this representation is unique. In fact if $\varphi = x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ and $\varphi = x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ where the right sides of the last identities are different then by Lemma 2 one of the identities (4),(4) or $x \cdot y = x$ belongs to $E(\Sigma)$, which contradicts Example 3.

Now the mapping h defined by the formula $h([x_1, x_1, \dots, x_n]_{\sim}) = \langle p_{i_1}, b \rangle, \text{ where } b(i_j) = k_j \text{ for } 2 \neq j \leq n$ and b(r) = 0 for $r \neq \{i_2, \dots, i_n\}$ - sets up an isomorphism of f_{∞} onto S_{∞} . In fact h is 1-1 since the representation from

Lemma 1 is unique and h is a homomorphism by (1)-(3) and (5).

2. For a class K of groupoids we shall denote by P(K), S(K), H(K) and I(K) the classes of all products, subgroupoids, homomorphic images and isomorphic copies of groupoids from K, respectively. If $\{X_i\}_{i\in I}$ is a partition of a set X we shall denote by $e(\{X_i\}_{i\in I})$ the equivalence relation induced by this partition.

Let us consider the following 6 groupoids $O_{1} = (\{a\}; \cdot).$

 $Q_2 = (\{a,b\};\cdot)$ where x.y = x for any x,y $\in \{a,b\}$.

 $\mathcal{G}_3 = (\{a,b,\mathcal{H}_1\};\cdot)$ where $a \cdot \mathcal{H}_1 = b$, $b \cdot \mathcal{H}_1 = a$ and $x \cdot y = x$ otherwise.

 $\mathcal{O}_A = (\{a,b,c,\mathcal{H}_1^{\frac{1}{2}};\cdot\})$ where $a \cdot \mathcal{H}_1 = b$, $b \cdot \mathcal{H}_1 = a$ and $x \cdot y = x$ otherwise.

 $Q_5 = (\{a,c, \varkappa_2\}; \cdot)$ where $a \cdot \varkappa_2 = c$, and $x \cdot y = x$ otherwise.

 $\mathcal{G}_6 = (\{a,b,c,\mathcal{H}_1,\mathcal{H}_2\},\cdot)$ where $a \cdot \mathcal{H}_1 = b$, $b \cdot \mathcal{H}_1 = a$, $a \cdot \mathcal{H}_2 = b \cdot \mathcal{H}_2 = c$ and $x \cdot y = x$ otherwise.

It was proved in [4] that

- (i) a groupoid G belongs to Σ_2 and it is subdirectly irreducible iff G is isomorphic to one of the groupoids G_1 , G_2 , G_5 .
- (ii) A groupoid $\mathcal G$ belongs to Σ_3 and is subdirectly irrecible iff $\mathcal G$ is isomorphic to one of the groupoids $\mathcal G_1$, $\mathcal G_2$, $\mathcal F_3$, $\mathcal G_4$.

Lemma 3. The groupoid \mathcal{G}_6 belongs to Σ , moreover $\mathcal{G}_6 \in \operatorname{HSP} \{ \mathcal{G}_3, \mathcal{G}_5 \}$.

In fact the set $S = (\{a,b,\varkappa_1\} \times \{a,b,\varkappa_2\}) \setminus \{\langle \varkappa_1,\varkappa_2 \rangle\}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{G}_3 \times \mathcal{G}_5$. So the algebra $\mathcal{T} = (S;\cdot)$ belongs to $SP\{\mathcal{G}_3, \mathcal{G}_5\}$. Further, a relation

 $e=e(\{\{\langle a,a\rangle\},\{\langle b,a\rangle\},\{\langle a,c\rangle,\langle b,c\rangle\},\{\langle \varkappa_1,a\rangle,$

 $\langle x_1, 0 \rangle$, $\{\langle a, x_2 \rangle, \langle b, x_2 \rangle\}$ }

is a congruence in $\mathscr V$. Finally, the algebra $\mathscr V$ /e is isomorphic to $\mathscr Y_6$.

Lemma 4. The groupoid \mathcal{G}_6 is subdirectly irreducible.

Proof. It is enough to show that if R is a congruency in \mathcal{O}_6 such that $[a]_R \neq [b]_R$ then R = ω where ω is the diagonal. We shall write [x] instead of $[x]_R$. In fact, let $c \in [a]$. Then $b = a \cdot \mathcal{H}_1 R c \cdot \mathcal{H}_1 = cR$ a. So bRa - a contradiction. The same contradiction gives the assumption that $c \in [b]$. If $c \in [\mathcal{H}_1]$ then $a = a \cdot c$ R $a \cdot \mathcal{H}_1 = b - a$ contradiction. If $c \in [\mathcal{H}_2]$ then $a = a \cdot c$ R $a \cdot \mathcal{H}_2 = c - a$ contradiction (see the first case). So $[c] = \{c\}$. If $\mathcal{H}_1 \in [a]$ then $b = a \cdot \mathcal{H}_1 R a \cdot a = a - a$ contradiction. The same contradiction gives the assumption $\mathcal{H}_1 \in [b]$. If $\mathcal{H}_1 \in [\mathcal{H}_2]$ then $a = b \cdot \mathcal{H}_1 R b \cdot \mathcal{H}_2 = c - a$ contradiction. So $[\mathcal{H}_1] = \{\mathcal{H}_1\}$. If $\mathcal{H}_2 \in [a]$ then $a = a \cdot a \cdot R a \cdot \mathcal{H}_2 = c - a$ contradiction. Analogously $\mathcal{H}_2 \notin [b]$. Thus $R = \omega$.

Theorem 3. A groupoid G belongs to Σ and it is subdirectly irreducible iff G is isomorphic to one of the groupoids G_1, \ldots, G_6 .

Proof. \Leftarrow . For the groupoids $\mathcal{O}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{O}_5$ the statement holds by Theorem 1, (i) and (ii). For the groupoid \mathcal{O}_6 the statement holds by Theorem 1, Lemma 3 and Lemma 4.

Before we prove the necessity we have to show some properties.

Let $\mathcal{G} = (G; \cdot)$.

(iii) $G \in \Sigma$ iff the following conditions 1°,2° and 3° are satisfied.

- 1° There exists a partition $\{G_i\}_{i\in I}$ of G such that for any iel the set $\{h_i^j\}_{i\in I}$ of mappings from G_i into G_i is given.
 - 20 The mappings his satisfy the following conditions:

$$\forall_{i \in I} \ h_{i}^{i} = id, \ \forall_{i,j,s \in I} \ h_{i}^{j} \circ h_{i}^{s} = h_{i}^{s} \circ h_{i}^{j},$$

$$\forall_{i,j \in I} \ h_{i}^{j} \circ h_{i}^{j} \circ h_{i}^{j} = h_{i}^{j}.$$

3° If $a \in G_1$, $b \in G_1$ then $a \cdot b = h_1^{\dagger}(a)$.

The proof is analogous to that of Theorem 3 from [3].

(iv) If G is of the form from (iii), $a \in G_k$ then for any $i \in I$ one of the following cases holds.

- (10) $h_{k}^{i}(a) = a$
 - (11) $h_{\nu}^{1}(a) = b + a, h_{\nu}^{1}(b) = b$
 - (12) $h_{b}^{1}(a) = b$, $h_{b}^{1}(b) = a$, a + b
 - (13) $h_{\nu}^{1}(a) = b$, $h_{\nu}^{1}(b) = c$, $h_{\nu}^{1}(c) = b$, a+b, a+c, b+c

If $\{R_g\}_{g\in S}$ is a set of nontrivial congruences in a group-oid G such that $\bigcap_{A\in S}R_g=\omega$ then the set $\{R_g\}_{g\in S}$ will be called a decomposition of G. Obviously, if such a decomposition exists then G is subdirectly reducible.

For a set A we shall denote by D(A) the set of all 1-element subsets of A.

From now on we assume that a groupoid $Q = (G; \cdot)$ belongs to Σ , is subdirectly irreducible and is of the form from (iii) Similarly like in [4] (Lemma 1) we can prove

Lemma 5. If for any $i, j \in I$, $h_i^j = id$ then G is isomorphic to G_1 or to G_2 .

In view of Lemma 5 in the sequel we shall assume that (14) $\beta_{1,j,l} \ h_l^j + id$

Let us put $J = \{j \in I: |G_j| > 1\}$.

Lemma 6. |J|=1.

Proof. By (14) we have $|J| \ge 1$. Similarly like in [4] (Lem-ma 2) we can prove $|J| \le 1$.

By Lemma 6 we can denote by k the unique element of J.

Put I' = I\{k\}. So for any $i \in I'$ we have $|G_i| = 1$. Thus only mappings h_i^j for $j \in I'$ can be different from the identity.

Lemma 7. If i, $j \in I'$ and $i \neq j$ then $h_k^1 + h_k^j$.

The proof is analogous to that of Lemma 3 from [4].

Let $I_0 = \{i \in I': h_k^i + id\}$. By (14) we have $I_0 + \emptyset$.

For any $i \in I_0$ we define two relations R_i and R^i as follows: $a R_i b$ iff a = b or $a, b \in G_k$, $b = h_k^i(a)$, and $a = h_k^i(b)$; $a R^i b$ iff a = b or $a, b \in G_k$ and $h_k^i(a) = h_k^i(b)$.

Similarly like in [4] we can prove that any of R_1 and R^1 is a congruence of C_1 .

Lemma 8. For any $1 \in I_0$ we have $R_1 + \omega$ or $R^1 + \omega$.

In fact, since $|G_j| = 1$ for $j \in I'$, so it must exist $a \in G_k$ such that $h_k^i(a) \neq a$. Consequently one of the cases (11),(12) or (13) holds and $|[a]_{R_i}| > 1$ or $|[a]_{D_i}| > 1$.

Lemma 9. For any $i \in I_0$ we have $R_i = \omega$ or $R^1 = \omega$.

In fact, $R_i \cap R^i = \omega$ since if $aR_i \cap R^i$ b then a = b or $a, b \in G_k$ and $a = h_k^i(b) = h_k^i(a) = b$. Thus if both R_i and R^i are different from ω then $\{R_i, R^i\}$ is a decomposition of \mathcal{G} - a contradiction.

Lemma 10. If for some $i \in I_0$ we have $R_i = \omega$, then for $a \in G_k$ exactly one of the cases (10) or (11) holds. If for some $i \in I_0$ we have $R^i = \omega$, then for $a \in G_k$ exactly one of the cases

(10) or (12) holds.

In fact, the case (13) is impossible by Lemma 9. If $R_1 = \omega$ then (12) is impossible. If $R^1 = \omega$ then (11) is impossible.

We denote $I_0^2 = \{i \in I_0: R_i = \omega \}$, $I_0^3 = \{i \in I_0: R^i = \omega \}$. By Lemma 8 and 9 we have $I_0 = I_0^2 \cup I_0^3$ and $I_0^2 \cap I_0^3 = \emptyset$.

Lemma 11. If $I_0^3 = \emptyset$, then G is isomorphic to G_5 . If $I_0^2 = \emptyset$ then G is isomorphic to G_3 or G_4 .

Proof. If $I_0^3 = \emptyset$ then by Lemma 10 and (iii) we infer that G satisfies (4) and by (i) and (14), G is isomorphic to G_5 . If $I_0^2 = \emptyset$ then by Lemma 10 and (iii) we infer that G satisfies (4') and by (ii) and (14), G is isomorphic to G_3 or G_4 .

In view of Lemma 11 from now on we assume that

(15)
$$I_0^2 \neq \emptyset \neq I_0^3$$
.

Denote $R_{\cap} = (\underset{i \in I_{o}^{3}}{\bigcap} R_{i}) \cap (\underset{i \in I_{o}^{i}}{\bigcap} R^{i}).$

Lemma 12. Any congruence class $[a]_R$ is either 1-element or is of the form $[a]_R$ = $\{a,b\}$ where a+b, for any $i \in I_0^3$ we have $h_k^1(a) = b$ and $h_k^1(b) = a$ and for any $i \in I_0^2$ we have $h_k^1(a) = h_k^1(b) \notin [a]_R$.

Proof. For $i \in I_0^3$ any congruence class $[a]_{R_1}$ is at most 2-element. So if $|[a]_{R_0}| > 1$ then it mus be $[a]_{R_1} \subseteq [a]_{R_0}$. Consequently if $|[a]_{R_0}| > 1$ then $[a]_{R_0} = [a]_{R_1} = \{a,b\}$ where $a,b \in G_k$. Moreover for any $i \in I_0^3$ we have $h_k^i(a) = b$ and $h_k^i(b) = a$. Let $j \in I_0^2$, $|[a]_{R_0}| > 1$ and $[a]_{R_0} = \{a,b\}$. So (16) $h_k^i(a) = h_k^i(b)$.

By (15) and by the first part of the proof there exists $i \in I_0^3$ such that

(17)
$$h_k^{\dot{1}}(a) = b \text{ and } h_k^{\dot{1}}(b) = a_0$$

Let us assume that $h_k^j(a) \in [a]_R$ and e.g. $h_k^j(a) = b$. Then by (16) and (17) we get $h_k^j h_k^i(a) = b$, $h_k^i h_k^j(a) = a$, which contradicts 2^0 . Analogously $h_k^j(a) \neq a$.

Let us denote
$$R(2) = \{R^{i}\}_{i \in I_0^2}$$
 and $R(3) = \{R_i\}_{i \in I_0^3}$

Lemma 13. The set G_k contains exactly one 2-element class of the congruence R_{\cap} and exactly one 1-element class of the congruence R_{\cap} .

Proof. If $R_{\triangle} = \omega$ then obviously we have a decomposition of G, namely $\{R_i\}_{i \in I_0^3} \cup \{R^i\}_{i \in I_0^2}^2$, since any of these congruences is not trivial. If $R_{\triangle} \neq \omega$ then by Lemma 12 there exists a 2-element class of the congruence R_{\triangle} . If there exist two different 2-element classes $[a]_{R_{\triangle}}$ and $[a]_{R_{\triangle}}$ included in G_k then two congruences $e(\{[a]_{R_{\triangle}}\} \cup D(G \setminus [a]_{R_{\triangle}}))$ and $e(\{[a]_{R_{\triangle}}\} \cup D(G \setminus [a]_{R_{\triangle}}))$ form a decomposition of G - a contradiction. Denote $Q = [a]_{R_{\triangle}}$. By Lemma 12 it is easy to check that the relation $e_Q = e(\{G_k \setminus Q\} \cup D(Q) \cup D(G \setminus G_k))$ is a congruence of G. We shall show that

In fact it cannot be $G_k \setminus Q = \emptyset$ since $I_0^2 \neq \emptyset$ and by Lemma 12 it must be for $j \in I_0^2$, $h_k^j(a) \notin Q$.

If $|G_k \setminus Q| > 1$ then e_Q is nontrivial and $R(2) \cup R(3) \cup \{e_Q\}$ is a decomposition of U_L .

Proof. \Longrightarrow of Theorem 3. If any h_k^1 is the identity, then by Lemma 5, G is isomorphic to G_1 or G_2 . Otherwise

by Lemma 6 there exists exactly one $k \in I$ such that $|G_k| > 1$ and (14) holds. If $I_0^3 = \emptyset$, then by Lemma 11, C_F is isomorphic to $C_{f_0}^1$. If $I_0^2 = \emptyset$ then by Lemma 11, C_F is isomorphic to $C_{f_0}^1$ or $C_{f_0}^1$. If (15) holds then by Lemma 13 we can denote by a,b,c the elements of G_k where $[a]_{R_0} = [b]_{R_0} = \{a,b\}$ and $[c]_{R_0} = \{c\}$. By Lemma 12 for any $i \in I_0^3$ we have $h_k^1(a) = b$, $h_k^1(b) = a$ and $h_k^1(c) = c$. So by Lemma 7 we have $|I_0^3| = 1$. Let us put $I_0^3 = \{i_0\}$ and denote by \mathcal{H}_1 the only element of G_i . Analogously for any $j \in I_0^2$ we have by Lemma 12: $h_k^1(a) = h_k^1(b) = h_k^1(c) = c$. So by Lemma 7 we have $|I_0^2| = 1$. Put $I_0^2 = \{j_0\}$ and denote by \mathcal{H}_2 the only element of G_i .

It must be $I_0^{N_0} = \emptyset$. In fact, if $m \in I_0^{N_0} = \emptyset$ and d is the only element of G_m , then two congruences $e(\{id\}, G \setminus \{d\}\})$, $e(\{id\}, D(G) \setminus \{c,d\})\}$ form a decomposition of \mathcal{F} . So $G_k = \{a,b,c\},G \setminus G_k = \{a_1,a_2\}$ and G satisfies formulas of multiplication in \mathcal{F}_6 . Thus \mathcal{F}_6 is isomorphic to \mathcal{F}_6 where the isomorphism is defined by denoting elements of G in the above way G. E.D.

By Birkhoff theorem (see [2], p. 124), we have

Corollary 1. A groupoid of belongs to Ξ iff G is isomorphic to a subdirect product of a family of groupoids $G_2 - G_1$

Corollary 2. A groupoid G belongs to Σ iff G can be embedded into some cartesian power of G_6 .

In fact, any of the groupoids $G_1 - G_5$ is a subalgebra of G_6 .

The groupoid \mathcal{G}_6 has 5 elements and generates Σ .

One can ask if there exist groupoids having less elements and generating Σ . The answer is "yes".

Let us consider two groupoids \mathcal{O}_{7} and \mathcal{O}_{8} defined as follows:

 $Q_7 = (\{a,b,c,d\}; \cdot)$ where a.d=b, b.d=c, c.d=b, and x.y=x otherwise.

 $\mathcal{O}_8 = (\{a,b,c,d\}; \cdot) \text{ where a } \cdot c = a \cdot d = b, b \cdot c = b \cdot d = a,$ $a = c \cdot b = d = d \cdot a = d \cdot b, \text{ and } x \cdot y = x \text{ otherwise}.$

Theorem 4. G_L is a 4-element groupoid such that HSP $\{G_l\}_l \ge iff$ G_l is isomorphic to G_l or G_l .

The number 4 is the least number of elements of groupoids generating Σ .

Proof. Consider in \mathcal{G}_7 two congruences R_1 and R_2 where $R_1 = e(\{\{a,c\},\{b\},\{d\}\}\})$, $R_2 = e(\{\{a\},\{b,c\},\{d\}\}\})$. Then \mathcal{G}_7/R_1 is isomorphic to \mathcal{G}_3 and \mathcal{G}_7/R_2 is isomorphic to \mathcal{G}_5 . But $R_1 \cap R_2 = \omega$ so \mathcal{G}_7 is isomorphic to a subdirect product of \mathcal{G}_3 and \mathcal{G}_5 . Consequently $\{\mathcal{G}_3,\mathcal{G}_5\}\subseteq \mathrm{HSP}\{\mathcal{G}_7\}$ and by Lemma 3 and Corollary 2 we have $\mathrm{HSP}\{\mathcal{G}_7\}=\Sigma$. The proof that $\mathrm{HSP}\{\mathcal{G}_8\}=\Sigma$ is similar – it is enough to consider two congruences $R_3 = e(\{\{a\},\{b\},\{c,d\}\})$ and $R_4 = e(\{\{a,b\},\{c\},\{d\}\})$.

To prove that G_7 and G_8 are the only 4-element groupoids generating Σ let us assume that $G_1 = (\{a,b,c,d\};\cdot) \in \Sigma$. By (iii) we have $1 \le |I| \le 4$. If |I| = 4, then any G_1 is one element and by (iii) $x \cdot y = x$ for any $x,y \in \{a,b,c,d\}$. Thus G_1 belongs to \sum_0 and does not generate \sum by Theorem 1. The same case holds if |I| = 1.

In general, if $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}$ satisfies $x \cdot y = x$, then it cannot generate Σ . Excluding this case we have the following possibilities for $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}$, up to permutations of the elements a,b,c,d:

(c₁) Of is isomorphic to Of 7 or Of 8.

For $I = \{1,2\}$, $G_1 = \{a,b,c\}$, $G_2 = \{d\}$ we have possibilities:

- (c₂) a.d = b, b.d = a, x.y = x otherwise. Then $G \in \Sigma_3$.
- (c₃) a.d = c, x.y = x otherwise. Then $G \in \Sigma_2$.
- (o₄) a.d = b.d = c and x.y = x otherwise. Then $\mathcal{G}_{\epsilon} \Sigma_{2}$.

For I = $\{1,2\}$, $G_1 = \{a,b\}$, $G_2 = \{c,d\}$ we have possibilities:

- (e₅) are = ard = b, bre = brd = a, cra = crb = d, dra = d = c, xry = x otherwise. Then $G \in \Sigma_2$.
- (c₆) a.c = a.d = b, c.a = c.b = d and x.y = x otherwise. Then $O_{L} \in \Sigma_{2}$.
- (c₇) a.c = a.d = b, b.c = b.d = a, x.y = x otherwise. Then $C_{f} \in \Sigma_{3}$.
 - (eg) a.c = a.d = b and x.y = x otherwise. Then $G_{\varepsilon} \geq 2$.

For I = $\{1,2,3\}$, $G_1 = \{a,b\}$, $G_2 = \{c\}$, $G_3 = \{d\}$ we have possibilities:

- (c₉) a.c = b and x.y = x otherwise. Then $G \in \Sigma_2$.
- (c₁₀) a.c = b, b.c = a, x.y = x otherwise. Then $\mathcal{G} \in \Sigma_3$.
- (c₁₁) a.c = a.d = b, x.y = x otherwise. Then $G \in \Sigma_2$.
- (c₁₂) a.c = a.d = b, b.c = b.d = a, x.y = x otherwise. Then $O_1 \in \Sigma_3$.

However, by Theorem 1 only in the case (c_1) , O_1 generates Σ

Finally, if G has less than 4 elements and belongs to Σ , then in its decomposition into subdirect product of subdirectly irreducible groupoids from Σ , G_4 and G_6 cannot occur.

If only \mathcal{G}_2 or \mathcal{G}_3 occur, then $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{E}} \geq 3$ and does not generate ≥ 3 .

If only \mathcal{G}_2 or \mathcal{G}_5 occur, then $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{E}} \Sigma_2$ and does not generate Σ .

If \mathcal{G}_3 and \mathcal{G}_5 occur, then \mathcal{G} is isomorphic both to \mathcal{G}_3

and to G_5 by projections, which is a contradiction since G_5 is not isomorphic to G_5 .

References

- [1] J. DUDEK: A new characterization of groupoids with at most n essentially n-ary polynomials, Bull. de la Soc.
 Royale des Soi. de Liège, 48, n°9-10(1980),390-392.
- [2] G. GRÄTZER: Universal algebra, Springer-Verlag, 1979.
- [3] J. P%ONKA: On algebras with n distinct essentially n-ary operations, Algebra Universalis, vol.1, fasc.1(1971), 73-79.
- [4] J. PZONKA: Subdirectly irreducible algebras with exactly n essentially n-ary polynomials, to appear.

Institute of the Polish Academy of Sciences, ul. Kopernika 18, Wroczaw, Poland

(Oblatum 12.9.1983)