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## SUBDIRECTLY IRREDUCIBLE GROUPOIDS IN SOME VARIETIES J. PRONKA

```
Abstract: In one special variety of groupoids we study Iree groupoids, subdireotiy irreducible groupoide and the lattice of gubvarieties.
Kev words: Groupoid, subdirectly irreduoible groupoid, varie㓎.
Classification: 08A30
```

O. In this peper we consider only varieties of groupoide 1.e. varieties of type (2) with the fundamental operation $x \cdot y$ and we accopt the terminology from [2]. In [3] two varieties $\Sigma_{2}$ and $\Sigma_{3}$ of groupoids were conaidered where $\Sigma_{2}$ was defined by the identitien
(1) $x \cdot x=x$
(2) $(x \cdot y) z=(x \cdot y) \cdot y$
(3) $x \cdot(y \cdot z)=x \cdot y$
(4) $(x \cdot y) \cdot y=x \cdot y$
and $\Sigma_{3}$ was defined by (1)-(3) and
( $4^{\circ}$ ) $(x \cdot y) \cdot y=x$ (see also [2], pp. 394-395).
In [3] it was mhow that:
If a groupoid of belongs to $\Sigma_{2}$ or $\Sigma_{3}$ and the operation $x \cdot y$ depends on both variables in O then there exist in og exactly $n$ n-ary polynomials depending on $n$ variables.

In [4] all subdirectiy irreducible groupoids in $\Sigma_{2}$ and $\Sigma_{3}$ vere found.

In this paper we atudy the join $\Sigma_{2} \vee \Sigma_{3}$. In Section 1 we prove that $\Sigma_{2} \vee \Sigma_{3}$ is defined by the identities (1)-(3) and the identity
(5) $((x \cdot y) \cdot y) \cdot y=x \cdot y$.

We show that the only subvarieties of $\Sigma_{2} \vee \Sigma_{3}$ are $\Sigma_{2}$, $\Sigma_{3}$, the trivial variety $I$ 1.e. the variety defined by the identity $x=y$ and the variety $\Sigma_{0}$ defined by the identity $x \cdot y=x$ (see Theoren 1).

In Theorem 2, Section 1 we describe the free algebras in $\Sigma_{2} V$ $\vee \Sigma_{3}$. In Section 2 we ind all mubdirectly irreducible groupoide in $\Sigma_{2} \vee \Sigma_{3}$

For a variety X of type (2) we denote by $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{K})$ the set of all identities of type (2) satisfied in all groupoids from $K$. 1 torm $\rho$ of type (2) conetruoted by means of the operation . will be called a maltiplication term. We shall use the notati-


1. Bxample 1. Let $I$ be a set auch that $|X|>1$. Denote $B=\{\langle a, A\rangle: a \in A \subseteq X\}$. Conmider a groupoid $\mathcal{G}=\left(B_{3} \cdot\right)$ where $\langle a, A\rangle \cdot\left\langle a^{\circ}, A^{\circ}\right\rangle=\left\langle a, A \cup\left\{a^{\circ}\right\}\right\rangle$. Then $\mathcal{O}$ satiafies (1)-(4) so $\mathcal{y} \in \Sigma_{2}$, but $\mathcal{O}$ doen not atisfy ( $4^{\circ}$ ).

Bxample 2. Let $z_{4}=(\{0,1,2,3\} ;+)$ be a cyclic group with addition modulo 4. Consider a groupoid $\mathscr{O}=(\{0,1,2,3\} ; \cdot)$ whe$\mathrm{re} x_{0} \mathrm{y}=3 \mathrm{x}+2 \mathrm{y}$. Then Cy satisfies $(1)-(3)$ and $\left(4^{\circ}\right)$ wo $\mathrm{O} \in \Sigma_{3}$, but it does not matisif (4).

Let $\Sigma$ be the variety of groupoids defined by (1)-(3) and
(5). Let $\propto$ be an ordinal. A multiplication term $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ on variablea $x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{\beta}, \ldots(\beta<\alpha)$ will be called a reduced iteration if $\varphi$ is of the form
(6) $x_{i_{1}} \cdot x_{i_{2}}^{k_{2}} \ldots \cdot x_{i_{n}}^{k_{n}}$ where all variables $x_{i_{1}}, \ldots, x_{i_{n}}$ are differ rent, $i_{2}<i_{3}<\ldots<i_{n}, 0<k_{j} \leqslant 2$ for $j=2, \ldots, n$.

Lemma 1. For any multiplication term $\rho$ ther exists a reduced iteration of the form (6) such that the identity $\varphi=$ $=x_{1_{1}} \cdot x_{1_{2}}^{k_{2}} \ldots \cdot x_{i_{n}}^{k_{n}}$ belongs to $\mathrm{F}(\Sigma)$.

Proof. In fact by (3) we can reduce all open parenthesea atanding after a variable in $\varphi$. Then we get $\varphi=$ $=\left(\ldots\left(x_{g_{1}} \cdot x_{g_{2}}\right) \ldots\right) x_{g_{r}}$ belongs to $E(\Sigma)$. By (2) the order of vem riables $x_{\mathbf{B}_{2}}, \ldots, x_{s_{r}}$ is arbitrary and we get $\rho=$ $\left.\left.\left.\left.\left.=\left(\ldots\left(x_{i_{1}} \cdot x_{i_{1}}\right) \cdot \ldots\right) \cdot x_{i_{1}}\right) \cdot x_{i_{2}}\right) \cdot \ldots\right) x_{i_{2}} \ldots \ldots\right) x_{i_{n}} \cdot \ldots\right) \cdot x_{1_{n}}$ belongs to $\mathrm{E}(\Sigma)$ where $i_{1}=s_{1}$ and $i_{2}<i_{3}<\ldots<i_{n}$. How by (1) and (5) we get the statement of the Lemma.

Lemma 2. If two reduced iterations $x_{1_{1}} \cdot x_{1_{2}}^{k_{2}} \ldots \ldots x_{1_{n}}^{k_{n}}$ and $x_{j_{1}} \cdot x_{j_{2}}^{q_{2}} \ldots \cdot x_{j_{m}}^{q_{m}}$ are different then the identities (1)-(3) together with the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i_{1}} \cdot x_{i_{2}}^{k_{2}} \ldots \cdot x_{i_{n}}^{k_{n}}=x_{j_{1}} \cdot x_{j_{2}}^{q_{2}} \ldots \ldots \cdot x_{j_{m}}^{q_{n}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

imply one of the following identities: $(4),\left(4^{\circ}\right), x \cdot y=x$.
Proof. If $i_{1} \neq j_{1}$ then multiplying (7) on left by $x_{1_{1}}$ we get by (3) $x_{i_{1}} \cdot x_{j_{1}}=x_{1_{1}}$. If $i_{1}=j_{1}$ but there exiata $i_{r}$, $r \in\{2, \ldots, n\}$ such that $i_{r} \notin\left\{j_{2}, \ldots, j_{m}\right\}$ then putting in (7) $x_{i_{1}}$ for all variables different from $x_{1_{r}}$ we get by (1)-(3) $x_{i_{1}} \cdot x_{i_{r}}=x_{i_{1}}$ or $x_{i_{1}} \cdot\left(x_{i_{r}}\right)^{2}=x_{1_{1}}$. If the variablea on both eides of (7) are the same but $k_{y} \neq q_{r}$ for some $1<r \in n$ then putting
$x_{1_{1}}$ ror all variables different from $x_{1_{r}}$ we get $x_{1_{1}} \cdot x_{1_{r}}=$ $=x_{1_{1}} \cdot\left(x_{1_{r}}\right)^{2}$. Thas anyway re get one of the identities from the 1eman.

Theoren 1. The lattice of abvarieties of $\Sigma$ conaiats of the varietien $I, \Sigma_{0}, \Sigma_{2}, \Sigma_{3}$ and $\Sigma$ where $I \subset \Sigma_{0}, \Sigma_{0} C \Sigma_{2}$, $\Sigma_{0} \subset \Sigma_{3}, \Sigma_{2}$ and $\Sigma_{3}$ are incomparable and $\Sigma=\Sigma_{2} \vee \Sigma_{3^{\circ}}$

Prool. The varieties $\Sigma_{2}$ and $\Sigma_{3}$ are incomparable (seo Examples 1 and 2). Obviously any of the varieties $T, \Sigma_{0}, \Sigma_{2}, \Sigma_{3}$ is a mbvariety of $\sum$ mince an of the identities $x=y, x \cdot y=x$, (4) , ( $4^{\circ}$ ) implies (5). Obviousis ic $\Sigma_{0}, \Sigma_{0} \subset \Sigma_{2^{\circ}} \Sigma_{0} \subset \Sigma_{3^{\circ}}$ On the other hand, J. Dudek proved in [1] that $I$ and $\Sigma_{0}$ are the onis aubvarieties of $\Sigma_{2}$ and $\Sigma_{3}$ and all are different. Thus to complete the proof it is enough to show that if $K$ is a proper subvariety of $\Sigma$ then $K$ is a subvariets of $\Sigma_{2}$ or $\Sigma_{3}$. Let
(8) $\quad(\varphi=\psi) \subseteq E(K) \backslash E(\Sigma)$ 。

By Lemma $1, \varphi=x_{i_{1}} \cdot x_{1_{2}}^{k_{2}} \ldots \cdot x_{i_{n}}^{k_{n}}$ and $\psi=x_{j_{1}} \cdot x_{j_{2}}^{q_{2}} \ldots \cdot \cdot x_{j_{n}}^{q_{m}}$ so $\varphi=$ = $\Psi$ impliea (7) where by (8) the aides of (7) are different. How by Lemea $2, \mathrm{X}$ is a mabvariety of $\Sigma_{2}$ or $\Sigma_{3}$.

Example 3. In the set $\{0,1,2\}$ let us define an operation (1) putting
(9) $x \oplus y=\left\{\begin{array}{l}x+y \text { if } x+y \leqslant 2 \\ x+y-2 \text { otherwise }\end{array}\right.$

Let us consider a groupoid of $=(\{0,1,2\} \times\{0,1,2\} ;$.) where

$$
\left\langle x_{1}, y_{1}\right\rangle \cdot\left\langle x_{2}, y_{2}\right\rangle=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left\langle x_{1}, y_{1}\right\rangle \text { if } x_{1}=x_{2} \\
\left\langle x_{1}, y_{1} \oplus x_{2}\right\rangle \text { otherwise. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then of aatiafies (1)-(3) and (5) so $\mathcal{O}$ belongs to $\Sigma$ and $\mathcal{O}$ sam tiafien neither (4) nor ( $4^{\circ}$ ).

Let $\alpha$ be an ordinal. It $a \in\{0,1,2\}^{\propto}$ we shall denote by $a(k)$ the $k$ th coordinate of a. Let us denote by $p_{k}$ the element of $\{0,1,2\}^{\propto}$ for which $p_{k}(k)=1$ and $p_{k}(1)=0$ for $1 \neq k$. We denote by $B$ the set of all a $\in\{0,1,2\}^{\infty}$ having a finite mumer of coordinates different from 0. Finally let $B_{\alpha}=\left\{\left\langle p_{k}, a\right\rangle\right.$ :
$t k<\alpha, a \in B, a(k)=0\}$.
We define a groupoid $\mathscr{L}_{\alpha}=\left(B_{\alpha} ; \cdot\right)$ where

$$
\left\langle p_{k}, a\right\rangle \cdot\left\langle p_{k_{1}}, d_{1}\right\rangle=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left\langle p_{k_{1}}, a\right\rangle \text { if } k=k_{1} \\
\left\langle p_{k_{k}}, a^{\circ}\right\rangle \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $a^{\prime}(i)=a(i) \oplus p_{\mathbf{k}_{1}}(i) ; \oplus$ is defined by (9).
Theorem 2. A free groupoid in the variety $\Sigma$ with $\propto$ free generators is isomorphic to $\mathcal{L}_{\infty}$.

Proof. Let $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}$ be the set of all maltiplication terms on variables $x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{\beta}, \ldots, \beta<\alpha$. Let $\sim$ be a relation in $F_{\alpha}$ defined by the formula $\varphi \sim \psi \Longleftrightarrow(\varphi=\psi) \in E(\Sigma)$. A free algebra with $\alpha$ iree generators in $\Sigma$ is isomorphic to the algebra $f_{\infty}=\left(\left\{[\varphi]_{\sim}\right\}_{\varphi G F_{\alpha} ;} \cdot\right)$. By Lemas 1 any term $\varphi$ has a representation in the form $\varphi=x_{i_{1}} \cdot x_{1_{2}}{ }_{2} \ldots \cdot x_{1_{n}}$. But this representation is unique. In fact if $\varphi=x_{1_{1}} \cdot x_{1_{2}}^{k_{2}} \ldots \cdot x_{i_{n}}$ and $\varphi=x_{j_{1}} \cdot x_{j_{2}}^{q_{2}} \ldots$ $\ldots \cdot x_{j_{m}}^{q_{m}}$ where the right sides of the last identities are different then by Lemma 2 one of the identities (4), ( $4^{\prime}$ ) or $x \cdot y=x$ belongs to $\mathrm{E}(\Sigma)$, which oontradiots Example 3 .

How the mapping $h$ defined by the formula
$h\left(\left[x_{i_{1}} \cdot x_{i_{2}}^{k_{2}} \ldots \cdot x_{i_{n}}^{k_{n}}\right]_{N}\right)=\left\langle p_{i_{1}}, b\right\rangle$, where $b\left(i_{j}\right)=k_{j}$ for $2 \leqslant j \leqslant n$ and $b(r)=0$ for $r \notin\left\{1_{2}, \ldots, i_{n}\right\}-$ sets up an isomorphism of $f_{\alpha}$ onto $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}$. In lact $h$ fe 1.1 since the representation from

Lemma 1 is unique and $h$ is a homomorphism by (1)-(3) and (5).
2. For a class $K$ of groupoids we shall denote by $P(K)$, $S(K), H(K)$ and $I(K)$ the classes of all products, subgroupoids, homomorphic images and isomorphic copies of groupoids from $K$, respectively. If $\left\{X_{1}\right\}$ ifI is a partition of a set $X$ we shall denote by e(\{X, $\}_{i \in I}$ ) the equivalence relation induced by this partition.

Let us consider the following 6 groupoids $y_{1}=(\{a\} ; \cdot)$.
$g_{2}=(\{a, b\} ; \cdot)$ where $x \cdot y=x$ for any $x, y \in\{a, b\}$.
$G_{3}=\left(\left\{a, b, x_{1}\right\} ; \cdot\right)$ where $a \cdot x_{1}=b, b \cdot x_{1}=a$ and $x \cdot y=x$ otherwise.
$g_{4}=\left(\left\{a, b, c, x_{1}\right\} ; \cdot\right)$ where $a \cdot x_{1}=b, b \cdot x_{1}=a$ and $x \cdot y=x$ otherwise.
$\mathcal{O}_{5}=\left(\left\{a, c, x_{2}\right\} ; \cdot\right)$ where $a \cdot x_{2}=c$, and $x \cdot y=x$ otherwise.
$y_{6}=\left(\left\{a, b, c, x_{1}, x_{2}\right\} ; \cdot\right)$ where $a \cdot x_{1}=b, b \cdot x_{1}=a, a \cdot x_{2}=$ $=\mathrm{b} \cdot \mathscr{X}_{2}=0$ and $\mathrm{x} \cdot \mathrm{y}=\mathrm{x}$ otherwise.

It was proved in [4] that
(i) a groupoid $O$ belongs to $\Sigma_{2}$ and it is subdirectly irreducible iff $\mathcal{O}$ is isomorphic to one of the groupoids $\mathcal{F}_{1}$, $y_{2}, y_{5}$
(ii) A groupoid $\mathcal{Y}$ belongs to $\Sigma_{3}$ and is subdirectly irre'cible iff $\mathcal{O}$ is isomorphic to one of the groupoids $\mathrm{Kg}_{1}, \mathrm{Cg}_{2}$, $\mathrm{r}_{3}, \mathrm{Cg}_{4}$ 。

Lemma 3. The groupoid $\mathcal{O}_{6}$ belongs to $\Sigma$, moreover $\mathcal{O}_{6} \in$ $\in \operatorname{HSP}\left\{\mathrm{Cg}_{3}, \mathrm{Cg}_{5}\right\}$ 。
In fact the set $S=\left(\left\{a, b, x_{1}\right\} \times\left\{a, b, x_{2}\right\}\right) \backslash\left\{\left\langle x_{1}, x_{2}\right\rangle\right\}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{Y}_{3} \times \mathcal{O}_{5}$. So the algebra $\mathrm{F}^{2}=(\mathrm{S} ; \cdot)$ belongs to $S P\left\{\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{Cg}_{5}\right\}$. Purther, a relation
$\operatorname{exe}\left(\left\{\{\langle a, a\rangle\},\{\langle b, a\rangle\},\{\langle a, c\rangle,\langle b, c\rangle\},\left\{\left\langle x_{1}, a\right\rangle\right.\right.\right.$, $\left.\left.\left.\left\langle x_{1}, c\right\rangle\right\},\left\{\left\langle a, x_{2}\right\rangle,\left\langle b, x_{2}\right\rangle\right\}\right\}\right)$
is a congruence in $\mathcal{O}^{2}$. Finally, the algebra of $/ \mathrm{e}$ is isomorphic to $\%_{6}{ }^{\circ}$

Lemma 4. The groupoid. $\mathcal{O}_{6}$ is subdirectly irreducible.
Proof. It is enough to show that if $R$ is a congruency in $\mathcal{Y}_{6}$ such that $[a]_{R} \neq[b]_{R}$ then $R=\omega$ where $\omega$ is the diagonal. We shall write $[x]$ instead of $[x]_{R}$. In fact, let $c \in[a]$. Then $b=a \cdot x_{1} R c \cdot x_{1}=C R$ a. So bRa - a contradiction. The same contradiction gives the assumption that $0 \in[b]$. If $c \in\left[\mathcal{F}_{1}\right]$ then $a=a \cdot c R a \cdot \mathscr{X}_{1}=b-a$ contradiction. If $c \in\left[\mathscr{H}_{2}\right]$ then $a=a \cdot c R a \cdot x_{2}=c-a$ contradiction (see the first case). So $[c]=\{c\}$. If $\mathscr{P}_{1} \in[a]$ then $b=a \cdot \mathscr{P}_{1} R a \cdot a=a-a$ contradiction. The same contradiction gives the assumption $x_{1} \in[b]$. If $x_{1} \in\left[x_{2}\right]$ then $a=b \cdot x_{1} \mathrm{Rb} \cdot x_{2}=c-a$ contradiction. So $\left[x_{1}\right]=\left\{x_{1}\right\}$. If $x_{2} \in[a]$ then $a=a \cdot a R a \cdot x_{2}=c-a$ contradiction. Analogously $x_{2} \notin[b]$. Thus $R=\omega$.

Theorem 3. A groupoid of belongs to $\Sigma$ and it is subdirectly irreducible iff $\mathcal{O}$ is isomorphic to one of the groupoids $\mathrm{Cg}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~g}_{6}$.

Proof. $\Longleftarrow . ~ F o r ~ t h e ~ g r o u p o i d s ~ C \mathcal{~}, \ldots, \mathrm{O}_{5}$ the statement holds by Theorem 1, (i) and (ii). For the groupoid $\mathrm{OF}_{6}$ the statement holds by Theorem 1, Lemma 3 and Lemma 4.

Before we prove the necessity we have to show some properties.

Let $\mathrm{O}=(\mathrm{G} ; \cdot \mathrm{F}$.
(iii) $\mathcal{G} \in \Sigma$ iff the following condjtions $1^{\circ}, 2^{\circ}$ and $3^{\circ}$ are satisfied.
$1^{0}$ There exists a partition $\left\{G_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ of $G$ such that for any $i \in I$ the set $\left\{h_{i}^{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ of mappings from $G_{i}$ into $G_{i}$ is given.
$2^{0}$ The mappings hil satisfy the following conditions:
$\forall_{i \in I} h_{1}^{i}=1 d_{i} \quad \forall_{i, j, s \in I} h_{1}^{j} \circ h_{1}^{s}=h_{1}^{s} \circ h_{i}^{j} ;$
$\forall_{1, j \in I} h_{i}^{j} \circ h_{i}^{j} \circ h_{i}^{j}=h_{1}^{j}$.
$3^{0}$ If $a \in G_{i}, b \in G_{j}$ then $a \cdot b=h_{1}^{j}(a)$.
The proof is analogous to that of Theorem 3 from [3].
(iv) If $g$ is of the form from (iii), $a \in G_{k}$ then for any $i \in I$ one of the following cases holds.
(10) $h_{\frac{1}{i}}(a)=a$
(11) $h_{k}^{i}(a)=b \neq a, h_{k}^{1}(b)=b$
(12) $h_{f}^{i}(a)=b, h_{k}^{i}(b)=a, a \neq b$
(13) $h_{k}^{1}(a)=b, h_{x}^{1}(b)=c, h_{k}^{1}(c)=b, a \neq b, a \neq c, b \neq c$

If $\left\{R_{s}\right\}$ sGS is a set of nontrivial congruences in a groupoid of such that $\int_{A \in S} R_{B}=\omega$ then the set $\left\{R_{R}\right\}_{s \in S} w i l l$ be called a decomposition of O . Obviously, if such a decomposition exists then $O$ is subdirectly reducible.

For a set $A$ we shall denote by $D(A)$ the set of all 1-element subsets of $A$.

From now on we assume that a groupoid $O=(G ; v)$ belongs to $\Sigma$, is subdirectly irreducible and is of the form from (iii)

Similarly like in [4] (Lemma 1) we can prove
Lemma 5. If for any $i, j \in I, h_{i}^{j}=1 d$ then $\mathcal{G}$ is isomorphic to $g_{1}$ or to $g_{2}$.

In view of Lemma 5 in the sequel we shall assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exists_{1, j \cdot I} h_{i}^{j} \neq 1 d \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us put $J=\left\{j \in I_{:}\left|G_{1}\right|>1\right\}$.

Lemma 6. $|J|=1$.
Proof. By (14) we have $|J| \geq 1$. Similarly like in [4] (Lemna 2) we can prove|J| $\leqslant 1$.

By Lemam 6 we can denote by $k$ the unique element of $J$. Put $I^{\prime}=I \backslash\{k\}$. So for any $i \in I^{\prime}$ we have $\left|G_{1}\right|=1$. Thus only mappings $h_{k}^{j}$ for $j \in I^{\circ}$ can be different from the identity.

Lemma 1. If $1, j \in I^{\circ}$ and $i \neq j$ then $h_{k}^{i} \neq h_{k}^{j}$.
The proof is analogous to that of Lemma 3 from [4].
Let $I_{0}=\left\{1 \in I^{0}: h_{k}^{1} \neq 1 d\right\}$. By (14) we have $I_{0} \neq \phi_{0}$
For any $i \in I_{0}$ we define two relations $R_{i}$ and $R^{1}$ as follows:
$a R_{i} b$ iff $a=b$ or $a, b \in G_{k}, b=h_{k}^{1}(a)$, and $a=h_{k}^{1}(b)_{;}$
a $R^{1} b$ iff $a=b$ or $a, b \in G_{k}$ and $h_{k}^{i}(a)=h_{k}^{1}(b)$.
Similarly like in [4] we can prove that any of $R_{i}$ and $R^{1}$ is a congruence of g .

Lemma 8. For any $1 \in I_{0}$ we have $R_{i} \neq \omega$ or $R^{1} \neq \omega$.
In fact, since $\left|G_{j}\right|=1$ for $j \in I^{\prime}$, so it must exist $a \in G_{k}$ such that $h_{i}^{i}(a) \neq a$. Consequently one of the cases (11),(12) or (13) holds and $\left|[a]_{R_{i}}\right|>1$ or $\left|[a]_{R^{1}}\right|>1$.

Lemma 2. For any $i \in I_{0}$ we have $R_{i}=\omega$ or $R^{i}=\omega$.
In fact, $R_{1} \cap R^{i}=\omega$ since if $a R_{1} \cap R^{i_{b}}$ then $a=b$ or $a, b \in$ $6 G_{k}$ and $a=h_{k}^{i}(b)=h_{k}^{i}(a)=b$. Thus if both $R_{i}$ and $R^{i}$ are different from $\omega$ then $\left\{R_{i}, R^{i}\right\}$ is a decomposition of $g$ - a contradiction.

Lemma 10. If for some $i \in I_{0}$ we have $R_{i}=\omega$, then for $a \in G_{k}$ exactly one of the cases (10) or (11) holds. If for mome $i \in I_{o}$ we have $R^{i}=\omega$, then for acG exactly one of the cases
(10) or (12) holds.

In fact, the case (13) is impossible by Lemma 9. If $R_{i}=\omega$ then (12) is impossible. If $R^{i}=\omega$ then (11) is impossible.

We denote $I_{0}^{2}=\left\{1 \in I_{0}: R_{i}=\omega\right\}, I_{0}^{3}=\left\{1 \in I_{0}: R^{i}=\omega\right\}$. By Lemma 8 and 9 we have $I_{0}=I_{0}^{2} \cup I_{0}^{3}$ and $I_{0}^{2} \cap I_{0}^{3}=\varnothing$.

Lemma 11. If $I_{0}^{3}=\varnothing$, then of is isomorphic to $\mathcal{g}_{5}$. If $I_{0}^{2}=\varnothing$ then OH is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Og}_{3}$ or $\mathcal{O}_{4}$.

Proof. If $I_{0}^{3}=\varnothing$ then by Lemma 10 and (iii) we infer that of satisfies (4) and by (i) and (14), of is isomorphic to $\mathrm{CH}_{5}$. If $I_{0}^{2}=\emptyset$ then by Lemma 10 and (iii) we infer that of satisfiea $\left(4^{\circ}\right)$ and by (ii) and (14), of is isomorphic to $\mathcal{G}_{3}$ or $\mathcal{G}_{4}$. Q.E.D.

In view of Lemma 11 from now on we assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{0}^{2} \neq \varnothing \neq I_{0}^{3} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote $R_{n}=\left(i \bigcap_{i \in I_{0}} R_{i}\right) \cap\left(i \bigcap_{i \in I_{0}} R^{i}\right)$.
Lemma 12. Any congruence class $[a]_{R_{n}}$ is either 1-element or is of the form $[a]_{R_{\cap}}=\{a, b\}$ where $a \neq b$, for any $i \in I_{0}^{3}$ we have $h_{k}^{1}(a)=b$ and $h_{k}^{i}(b)=a$ and for any $1 \in I_{o}^{2}$ we have $h_{k}^{i}(a)=$ $=h_{k}^{1}(b) \notin[a]_{R_{n}}$.

Proof. For $i \in I_{0}^{3}$ any congruence class $[a]_{R_{1}}$ is at most 2-element. So if $\left|[a]_{R_{n}}\right|>1$ then it mus be $[a]_{R_{i}} \subseteq[a]_{R_{n}}$. Consequently if $\left|[a]_{R_{n}}\right|>1$ then $[a]_{R_{n}}=[a]_{R_{i}}=\{a, b\}$ where $a, b \in G_{k}$. Moreover for any $i \in I_{0}^{3}$ we have $h_{k}^{1}(a)=b$ and $h_{k}^{1}(b)=$ $=$ a. Let $j \in I_{0}^{2},\left|[a]_{R_{n}}\right|>1$ and $[a]_{R_{n}}=\{a, b\}$. So

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{k}^{j}(a)=h_{k}^{j}(b) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (15) and by the first part of the proof there exdsts $i \in I_{0}^{3}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{k}^{1}(a)=b \text { and } h_{k}^{1}(b)=a \text {. } \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us assume that $h_{k}^{j}(a) \in[a]_{R_{n}}$ and e.g. $h_{k}^{j}(a)=b$. Then by (16) and (17) we get $h_{k}^{j} h_{k}^{i}(a)=b, h_{k}^{i} h_{k}^{j}(a)=a$, which contradicts $2^{0}$. Analogously $h_{K}^{j}(a) \neq a$.

Let us denote $R(2)=\left\{R_{i}^{1}\right\}_{i \in I_{0}^{2}}$ and $R(3)=\left\{R_{1}\right\}_{i \in I_{0}^{3}}$
Lemma 13. The set $G_{k}$ containg exactly one 2-element class of the congruence $R_{n}$ and exactly one 1-element olass of the congruence $R_{n}$.

Proof. If $R_{n}=\omega$ then obviougly we have a decomposition of g , namely $\left\{R_{i}\right\}_{i \in I_{0}^{3}}{ }_{0}\left\{R_{i}^{1}\right\}_{i \in I_{0}^{2}}^{2}$, since any of these congruences is not trivial. If $R_{n} \neq \omega$ then by Lemma 12 there exists a 2-element class of the congruence $R_{n}$. If there exist two different 2-element classes $[a]_{R_{n}}$ and $[a]_{R_{n}}$ included in $G_{k}$ then two congruences $e\left(\left\{[a]_{R_{n}}\right\} \cup D\left(G \backslash[a]_{R_{n}}\right)\right)$ and $e\left(\left\{\left[a^{\prime}\right]_{R_{n}}\right\} \cup D\left(G \backslash\left[a^{0}\right]_{R_{n}}\right)\right)$ form a decomposition of g - a contradiction. Denote $Q=[a]_{R_{r}}$. By Lemma 12 it is easy to oheok that the relation $Q_{Q}=e\left(\left\{G_{k} \backslash Q\right\} \cup D(Q) \cup D\left(G \backslash G_{K}\right)\right)$ is a congruence of Of . We shall show that

$$
\left|G_{k} \backslash Q\right|=1
$$

In fact it cannot be $G_{k} \backslash Q=\emptyset$ since $I_{0}^{2} \neq \varnothing$ and by Lemma 12 it unst be for $j \in I_{0}^{2}, h_{K}^{j}(a) \notin Q$.

If $\left|G_{k} \backslash Q\right|>1$ then $e_{Q}$ is nontrivial and $R(2) \cup R(3) \cup\left\{Q_{Q}\right\}$ is a decomposition of Cf .

Proof. $\Rightarrow$ of Theorem 3. If any $h_{k}^{i}$ is the identity, then by Lemma 5, $\mathcal{O}$ is isomorphic to $\mathscr{G}_{1}$ or $\mathscr{G}_{2}$. Otherwise
by Lemma 6 there exists exactly one $k \in I$ such that $\left|G_{k}\right|>1$ and (14) holds. If $I_{0}^{3}=\varnothing$, then by Lemma 11, OYis isomorphic to $\mathrm{CJ}_{5}$. If $\mathrm{I}_{0}^{2}=\emptyset$ then by Lemma $11, \mathrm{Og}$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Cg}_{3}$ or $\mathcal{O}_{4}$. If (15) holds then by Lemma 13 we can denote by $a, b, c$ the elements of $G_{k}$ where $[a]_{R_{n}}=[b]_{R_{n}}=\{a, b\}$ and $[c]_{R_{n}}=\{c\}$. By Lemma 12 for any $i \in I_{0}^{3}$ we have $h_{k}^{1}(a)=b, h_{k}^{i}(b)=a$ and $h_{K}^{1}(c)=c$. So by Lemma 7 we have $\left|I_{0}^{3}\right|=1$. Let us put $I_{0}^{3}=\left\{1_{0}\right\}$ and denote by $\mathcal{L}_{1}$ the only element of $G_{i_{0}}$. Analogously for any $j \in I_{o}^{2}$ we have by Lemma 12: $h_{k}^{j}(a)=h_{k}^{j}(b)=h_{k}^{j}(c)=c$. So by Lemma 7 we have $\left|I_{0}^{2}\right|=1$. Put $I_{0}^{2}=\left\{j_{0}\right\}$ and denote by $x_{2}$ the only element of $G_{j_{0}}$.

It must be $I_{0}^{\prime} I_{0}=\varnothing$. In fact, if $m \in I_{0}^{\prime} \backslash I_{0}$ and $d$ is the onIy element of $G_{m}$, then two congruences $e(\{\{d\}, G \backslash\{d\}\})$, $e(\{\{c, d\}, D(G) \backslash\{c, d\})\}$ ) form a decomposition of $\mathcal{C}$. So $G_{k}=$ $=\{a, b, c\}, G \backslash G_{k}=\left\{\mathscr{X}_{1}, \mathscr{P}_{2}\right\}$ and $G$. satisfies formulas of multiplication in $\mathcal{H}_{6}$. Thus of is isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}_{6}$ where the isc morphism is defined by denoting elements of $G$ in the above waj
Q.E.D.

By Birkhoff theorem (see [2], p. 124), we have
Corollary 1. A groupoid of belongs to $\sum$ iff of is isomos phic to a subdirect product of a pamily of groupoids $\mathcal{g}_{2}-\mathcal{V}_{1}$

Corollary 2. A groupoid of belongs to $\Sigma$ iff $\mathcal{O}$ can be embedded into some cartesian power of $\mathrm{g}_{6}$.

In fact, any of the groupoids $\mathcal{G}_{1}-\mathcal{H}_{5}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathrm{g}_{6}$

The groupoid $\mathcal{Y}_{6}$ has 5 elements and generates $\Sigma$.
One can ask if there exist groupoids having less elements and generating $\Sigma$. The answer is "yes".

Let us consider two groupoids $\mathcal{O}_{7}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{8}$ defined as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{O}_{7}= & (\{a, b, c, d\} ; \cdot) \text { where } a \cdot d=b, b \cdot d=c, c \cdot d=b, \text { and } x \cdot y=x \\
& \text { otherwise. } \\
\mathcal{O}_{8}= & (\{a, b, c, d\} ; \cdot) \text { where } a \cdot c=a \cdot d=b, b \cdot c=b \cdot d=a, \\
& a=c \cdot b=d=d \cdot a=d \cdot b, \text { and } x \cdot y=x \text { otherwise. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 4. $C y$ is a 4-element groupoid such that HSP $\{\mathrm{C}\}=\sum$ iff of is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{7}$ or $\mathcal{O}_{8}$.

The number 4 is the least number of elements of groupoids generating $\Sigma$.

Proof. Consider in $\mathcal{C H}_{7}$ two congruences $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ where $R_{1}=e(\{\{a, c\},\{b\},\{d\}\}), R_{2}=e(\{\{a\},\{b, c\},\{d\}\})$. Then $\mathcal{G} / R_{1}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{C H}_{3}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{7} / R_{2}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{5}$. But $R_{1} \cap$ $\cap \mathrm{R}_{2}=\omega$ so $\mathrm{Cg}_{7}$ is isomorphic to a subdirect product of $\mathrm{Cf}_{3}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{5}$. Consequently $\left\{\mathcal{G}_{3}, \mathcal{F}_{5}\right\} \subseteq \operatorname{HSP}\left\{\mathcal{G}_{7}\right\}$ and by Lemras 3 and Corollary 2 we have $\operatorname{HSP}\left\{\mathrm{Cy}_{7}\right\}=\Sigma$. The proof that $\operatorname{HSP}\left\{\mathrm{CH}_{8}\right\}=$ $=\Sigma$ is similar - it is enough to consider two congruences $R_{3}=e(\{\{a\},\{b\},\{c, d\}\})$ and $R_{4}=e(\{\{a, b\},\{c\},\{d\}\})$.

To prove that $\mathcal{O}_{7}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{8}$ are the only 4-element groupoids generating $\Sigma$ let us assume that $\mathcal{Y}=(\{a, b, c, d\} ; \cdot) \in \sum$. By (iii) we have $1 \leq|I| \leq 4$. If $|I|=4$, then any $G_{i}$ is one element and by (iii) $x \cdot y=x$ for any $x, y \in\{a, b, c, d\}$. Thus $g$ belongs to $\Sigma \sum_{0}$ and does not generate $\Sigma$ by Theorem 1. The same case holds if $|I|=1$.

In general, if $\mathcal{O}$ satisfies $x \cdot y=x$, then it cannot generate $\Sigma$. Excluding this case we have the following possibilities for of, up to permatations of the elements $a, b, c, d$ : $\left(c_{1}\right)$ of is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{7}$ or $\mathcal{O}_{8}$.

For $I=\{1,2\}, G_{1}=\{a, b, o\}, G_{2}=\{d\}$ we have possibilities: $\left(c_{2}\right) a \cdot d=b, b \cdot d=a, x \cdot y=x$ otherwise. Then $g \in \sum_{3^{\circ}}$
$\left(0_{3}\right)$ a.d $=c, x \cdot y=x$ otherwise. Then of $\in \Sigma_{2}$.
$\left(O_{4}\right) a \cdot d=b \cdot d=0$ and $x \cdot y=x$ otherwise. Then $g \in \Sigma_{2}$.
For $I=\{1,2\}, G_{1}=\{a, b\}, G_{2}=\{0, d\}$ we have possibilities:
$\left(a_{5}\right) \quad a \cdot c=a \cdot d=b, b \cdot c=b \cdot d=a, c \cdot a=c \cdot b=d, d \cdot a=$
$=\mathrm{d} \cdot \mathrm{b}=0, \mathrm{x} \cdot \mathrm{y}=\mathrm{x}$ otherwise. Then $\mathrm{g} \in \Sigma_{3}$.
( $0_{6}$ ) a.c $=a \cdot d=b, c \cdot a=0 \cdot b=d$ and $x \cdot y=x$ otherwise.
Then $g \in \Sigma_{2}$.
( $a_{7}$ ) $a \cdot c=a \cdot d=b, b \cdot c=b \cdot d=a, x \cdot y=x$ otherwise. Then of $\in \Sigma_{3}$
( 08 ) $2.0=a \cdot d=b$ and $x \cdot y=x$ otherwise. Then $\mathcal{O} \in \Sigma_{2^{*}}$
For $I=\{1,2,3\}, G_{1}=\{a, b\}, G_{2}=\{c\}, G_{3}=\{d\}$ we have posaibilitiess
( $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{g}}$ ) $\mathrm{a} \cdot \mathrm{o}=\mathrm{b}$ and $\mathrm{x} \cdot \mathrm{y}=\mathrm{x}$ otherwise. Then $\mathcal{G} \in \Sigma_{2^{\circ}}$
$\left(c_{10}\right) a \cdot 0=b, b \cdot c=a, x \cdot y=x$ otherwise. Then $\mathcal{G} \in \Sigma_{3^{\circ}}$
$\left(c_{11}\right) \quad a \cdot 0=a \cdot d=b, x \cdot y=x$ otherwise. Then $\mathcal{O} \in \Sigma_{2}$
$\left(c_{12}\right) \quad a . c=a \cdot d=b, b \cdot c=b \cdot d=a, x \cdot y=x$ otherwise.
Then $g \in \Sigma_{3}$.
However, by Theorem 1 only in the case ( $o_{1}$ ), of generates $\Sigma$
Finally, if of has less than 4 elements and belongs to $\Sigma$, then in its decomposition into subdirect product of subdirectily irreducible groupoids from $\Sigma, \mathcal{O}_{4}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{6}$ cannot occur.

If only $g_{2}$ or $\mathcal{C H}_{3}$ occur, then $\mathcal{O} \in \Sigma_{3}$ and does not generate $\Sigma$.

If only $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{Of}_{5}$ occur, then $\mathrm{O} \in \Sigma_{2}$ and does not generate $\Sigma$.

If $\mathrm{g}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{g}_{5}$ occur, then Of is isomorphic both to $\mathrm{g}_{3}$
and to $\mathcal{H}_{5}$ by projections, which is a contradiction since $\mathcal{O}_{3}$ is not isomorphic to $\mathrm{Of}_{5}$.
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