Saidachmat N. Lakaev Discrete spectrum of operator valued Friedrichs models

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 27 (1986), No. 2, 341--357

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/106456

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1986

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

COMMENTATIONES MATHEMATICAE UNIVERSITATIS CAROLINAE 27,2 (1986)

DISCRETE SPECTRUM OF OPERATOR VALUED FRIEDRICHS MODELS S. N. LAKAJEV

Abatract: The operator valued Friedrichs model is studied. It is proved that there is only a finite number of eigenvalues outside the continuous spectrum.

<u>Key words</u>: Friedrichs model, Fredholm theory, Puiseux series. Classification: 45805, 81ClO

Several problems of mathematical physics lead to the study of a spectrum of a self-adjoint operator (operator valued Friedrichs models) acting on the Hilbert space $L_2(S^{\gamma}, \mathcal{X})$ according to the following formula

(1)
$$(Hf)(x) = u(x)f(x) + \int_{S'} K(x,y)f(y)dy, f \in L_2(S'', \mathcal{H})$$

Here S^{γ} is a γ -dimensional torus, $\mathscr H$ is an n-dimensional complex Hilbert space, and the matrices

$$\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{u}_{11}(\mathbf{x}) \dots \mathbf{u}_{1n}(\mathbf{x}) \\ \dots \\ \mathbf{u}_{n1}(\mathbf{x}) \dots \mathbf{u}_{nn}(\mathbf{x}) \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$K(x,y) = \begin{pmatrix} K_{11}(x,y) \dots K_{1n}(x,y) \\ \dots \\ K_{n1}(x,y) \dots K_{nn}(x,y) \end{pmatrix}$$

are self-adjoint. We shall suppose that $u_{ij}(x) = u_{ji}(x)$ and $K_{ij}(x,y) =$

= $K_{ji}(x,y) = K_{ij}(x,y)$, i,j = 1,2,...,n are real-analytic functions on S^V and S^V \times S^V, respectively.

A spectrum of operator of the form (1) was first investigated by Friedrichs [1] for u(x) = x, and in [2] for an arbitrary real-analytic function u(x).

Here we shall give a more detailed description of the spectrum of operator (1), namely, we shall prove that there is only a finite number of eigenvalues outside the continuous spectrum.

Let us denote by $\Sigma_{\rm cont}({\rm H})$ the continuous spectrum of the operator H, and by $\Gamma_{\rm x}$ the set

 $\Gamma_{\mathbf{x}} = \{ z \in \mathbb{C}^1 : \sigma'(\mathbf{x}, z) = 0 \},\$

where \mathbf{C}^1 is the complex plane, $\mathbf{O}'(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})$ is a determinant of $u(\mathbf{x})$ --**-zE**.

It is well known that the self-adjointness of u(x), $x \in S^{\nu}$ implies that $\Gamma_{\nu} \subset R^{1}$, where R^{1} is the real line.

Proposition 1. It is

(2)
$$\Sigma_{cont}(H) = \bigcup_{x \in S^{v}} \Gamma_{x}$$

<u>Proof</u>. Let $z \in \bigcup_{x \in S^{\mathcal{V}}} \int_{x}^{r}$, i.e. $\mathscr{O}(x,z) = 0$ for some $x \in S^{\mathcal{V}}$. Then the operator u(x)-zE, where E is the identity operator in \mathcal{H} , is not invertible. Therefore, the operator

 $[(H_n-zI)f](x) = (u(x)-zE)f(x), f \in L_2(S^{\nu}, \mathcal{H})$

where I is the identity operator in $L_2(S^{\nu}, \mathcal{H})$, is not invertible in the space of bounded operators on $L_2(S^{\nu}, \mathcal{H})$ i.e. $z \in \Sigma_{cont}(H_0)$. Since

(3)
$$\int_{S_X S^y} \|K(x,y)\|^2 dx \, dy < \infty$$

we infer that the operator

$$[(H-H_0)f](x) = \int_{S^{2}} K(x,y)f(y)dy, f \in L_2(S^{2},\mathcal{H})$$

- 342-

belongs to the class of Hilbert-Schmidt operators. Using the well known theorem of H. Weyl (see [3]) we conclude, that the continuous spectra of both H and H_n coincide. Thus $z \in \Sigma_{cont}(H)$.

Now let $z \in \Sigma_{cont}(H)$. Using again the mentioned theorem of 4. Weyl we have also $z \in \Sigma_{cont}(H_0)$, and thus $z \in \Gamma_x$ for some $x \in S^{\nu}$, i.e. $z \in \bigcup_{x \in Y} \Gamma_x$.

<u>Theorem 1</u>. The resolvent $R_z(H)$ of H exists. It can be expressed by the formula $(R_zf(x)=[u(x)-zE]^{-1}f(x)+[u(z)-zE]^{-1}\int_{S^2}\frac{g(x,y;z)}{\Delta(z)}f(y)dy$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}^1$, Im $z \neq 0$ where $\Delta(z)$, and $\mathfrak{D}(x,y;z)$ are defined below (in (11),(13)).

<u>Proof</u>. We shall find an explicit formula for $R_z(H) =$ the inverse of H-zI. Let for some $g \in L_2(S^{\vee}, \mathcal{H})$, (4) $[(H-zI)f](x)=(u(x)-zE)f(x)+\int_{S^{\vee}} K(x,y)f(y)dy=g(x), f \in L_2(S^{\vee}, \mathcal{H})$ Since u(x) is self-adjoint in \mathcal{H} , the determinant $\mathcal{O}(x,z)$ of the matrix u(x)-zE is nonvanishing for all $z \in \mathbb{C}^1$, Im $z \neq 0$, and hence the inverse operator

$$(\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})-\mathbf{z}\mathbf{E})^{-1} = \frac{1}{\sigma(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z})} \begin{pmatrix} \vartheta_{11}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z})\dots \vartheta_{n1}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z}) \\ \dots \dots \dots \dots \\ \vartheta_{n1}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z})\dots \vartheta_{nn}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z}) \end{pmatrix}$$

exists. Here $\vartheta_{ji}(x,z)$ denotes the signed minor of the element $u_{ij}(x,z)$ of the matrix u(x)-zE. Introducing the inotation (5) $\hat{f}(x) = [u(x)-zE]f(x), f \in L_2(S^{\vee}, \partial C)$ we can write (4) as $\hat{f}(x) + \int_{S^{\vee}} K(x,y)[u(y)-zE]^{-1}\hat{f}(y)dy = g(x), \hat{f} \in L_2(S^{\vee}, \partial C)$ which can be formulated as a system

$$(6) \begin{cases} \hat{\mathbf{f}}_{1}(x) + \int_{S^{*}} K_{11}(x,y;z) \hat{\mathbf{f}}_{1}(y) dy + \ldots + \int_{S^{*}} K_{1n}(x,y;z) \hat{\mathbf{f}}_{n}(y) dy = g_{1}(x) \\ \vdots \\ \hat{\mathbf{f}}_{n}(x) + \int_{S^{*}} K_{n1}(x,y;z) \hat{\mathbf{f}}_{1}(y) dy + \ldots + \int_{S^{*}} K_{nn}(x,y;z) \hat{\mathbf{f}}_{n}(y) dy = g_{n}(x) \end{cases}$$

of integral equations. Here

$$\hat{f}(x) = (\hat{f}_1(x), \dots, \hat{f}_n(x)), \ g(x) = (g_1(x), \dots, g_n(x)), \ \hat{f}_i, g_i \in L_2(S^3, \mathbb{C}^1)$$

$$i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

and $L_2(S^{\nu}, \mathbb{C}^1)$ is the Hilbert space of all square integrable complex functions defined on the γ -dimensional torus S^{ν} , and

(7)
$$K_{ij}(x,y;z) = \frac{1}{\sigma(y,z)} \sum_{a=1}^{m} K_{is}(x,y) \vartheta_{is}(y,z).$$

We shall now rewrite (6) as an integral equation equivalent to the system (6). To this end we denote by \dot{M} the union of disjoint copies of S 3 , i.e.

$$M = \frac{\sigma_{1}}{\sigma_{1}} (S^{\nu})_{j}, (S^{\nu})_{j} = S^{\nu}, j = 1, 2, ..., n.$$

Define now a measure on M such that its restriction to each $(S^{\nu})_{j} = S^{\nu}$, j = 1, 2, ..., n coincides with the Lebesgue measure. For each $z \in \mathbb{C}^{1}$, Im $z \neq 0$ we define the function (kernel) K($\Omega, \mu; z$) on M×M as

$$K(\Lambda, \mu; z) = K_{ij}(x, y; z), \quad \Lambda = x \in (S^{\nu})_{i}, \quad \mu = y \in (S^{\nu})_{i}.$$

Finally we define the following functions on M: $f(\lambda) = f_i(x), g(\lambda) = g_i(x), \lambda = x \epsilon(S^{\gamma})_i, i = 1, 2, ..., n.$

Then the system of integral equations (6) is equivalent with

$$f(\lambda) + \int_{M} K(\lambda, \mu; z) f(\mu) d\mu = g(\lambda), f, g \in L_{2}(M, \mathbb{C}^{\perp}),$$

where $L_2(M, \mathbb{C}^1)$ is the Hilbert space of all square integrable complex valued functiona on M.

<u>Proposition 2</u>. Any $z \in \mathbb{C}^1 \setminus \Sigma_{cont}(H)$ is an eigenvalue of H if and only if the homogeneous equation

(8)
$$f(\lambda) + \int_M K(\lambda, \mu; z) f(\lambda) d\mu = 0$$

- 344 -

has a nonzero solution $f \in L_2(M, \mathbb{C}^1)$.

<u>Proof</u>. Any $z \in \mathbb{C}^1 \setminus \Sigma_{cont}(H)$ is an eigenvalue of H iff for some $f \in L_2(S^{\nu}, \mathscr{H})$ the following relation holds true:

(9)
$$(u(x)-zE)f(x)+\int_{M}K(x,y)f(y)dy = 0.$$

By the same argument as before it is possible to show that (9) is equivalent to the system of homogeneous integral equations

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{f}_{1}(\mathbf{x}) + \int_{S^{\mathbf{y}}} K_{11}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}; \mathbf{z}) \mathbf{f}_{1}(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} + \ldots + \int_{S^{\mathbf{y}}} K_{1n}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}; \mathbf{z}) \mathbf{f}_{n}(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{f}_{n}(\mathbf{x}) + \int_{S^{\mathbf{y}}} K_{n1}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}; \mathbf{z}) \mathbf{f}_{1}(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} + \ldots + \int_{S^{\mathbf{y}}} K_{nn}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}; \mathbf{z}) \mathbf{f}_{n}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0} . \end{cases}$$

Further, from the definition of $L_2(M, \mathbb{C}^1)$ and the kernel $K(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}; z)$ it follows that for any $z \in \mathbb{C}^1 \setminus \sum_{\text{cont}}(H)$, the system (10) has a nonzero solution iff the homogeneous integral equation (8) has a nonzero solution from $L_2(M, \mathbb{C}^1)$.

To finish the proof of Theorem 1 we use the self-adjointness of H to infer from Proposition 2 that for each $z \in \mathbb{C}^1$, Im $z \neq 0$ the homogeneous equation

$$f(\lambda) + \int_{M} K(\lambda, \mu; z) f(\mu) d\mu = 0,$$

has no nonzero solution. Besides, since

$$\int_{M\times M} |K(\lambda, u; z)|^2 d\lambda d\mu = \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \int_{S^{v} \times S^{v}} |K_{ij}(x, y; z)|^2 dx dy < \infty$$

it follows that the operator

$$[K(z)f](\mathcal{A}) = \int_{S^{*}} K(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}; z)f(\mathcal{A}) f \in L_{2}(M, \mathbb{C}^{1})$$

is of Hilbert-Schmidt type. Therefore, it follows from Fredholm theorem (see [4]) that the equation (7) has a unique solution feL₂(M, \mathbb{C}^1), for any geL₂(M, \mathbb{C}^1). This solution can be expressed as

$$f(\lambda) = g(\lambda) - \frac{1}{N(z)} \int_{M} \mathcal{D}(\lambda, u; z) g(\lambda) d\mu$$

where $\Delta(z)$, and $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}, z)$ denote the Fredholm determinant, and minor, respectively. Considering the restriction of f on $(5^{\mathfrak{V}})_i$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, we obtain the solution of the system (6) in the following form:

$$\hat{f}_{i}(x) = g_{i}(x) + \int_{M} \frac{\mathfrak{D}_{i}(x, u; z)}{\Delta(z)} g(u) du =$$

$$= g_{i}(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{S} \frac{\mathfrak{D}_{ij}(x, y; z)}{\Delta(z)} g_{i}(y) dy, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., n.$$

Here $\mathfrak{D}_{i\,i}(x,y;z)$ and $\Delta(z)$ are given by the following formulas:

.

$$\int_{S'} \int_{S'} \int_{S'} \left| \begin{array}{c} \kappa_{ij}(x,y;z) \kappa_{ij_{1}}(x,t_{1};z) \dots \kappa_{ij_{s}}(x,t_{s};z) \\ \kappa_{j_{1}j}(t_{1},y;z) \kappa_{j_{1}j_{1}}(t_{1},t_{1};z) \dots \kappa_{j_{1}j_{s}}(t_{1},t_{s};z) \\ \dots \\ \kappa_{j_{s}j}(t_{s},y;z) \kappa_{j_{s}j_{1}}(t_{s},t_{1};z) \dots \kappa_{j_{s}j_{s}}(t_{s},t_{s};z) \end{array} \right| \times$$

$$\star dt_1 dt_2 \dots dt_n$$

Therefore it follows from the formula (11) and (5) that the resolvent of H acts on $L_2(S^{\nu}, \mathcal{H})$ according to the formula

$$[R_{z}f](x) = [u(x)-zE]^{-1}f(x) - \frac{[u(x)-zE]^{-1}}{\Delta(z)} \int_{S^{y}} \mathfrak{D}(x,y;z)f(y) dy$$

where

(13)
$$\mathfrak{D}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y};\mathbf{z}) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{D}_{11}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y};\mathbf{z}) \dots \mathfrak{D}_{1n}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y};\mathbf{z}) \\ \dots & \dots \\ \mathfrak{D}_{n1}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y};\mathbf{z}) \dots \mathfrak{D}_{n}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y};\mathbf{z}) \end{pmatrix}$$

The boundedness of R_z follows from the explicit formula (13). Thus, the theorem 1 is proved.

<u>Theorem 2</u>. The operator (1) has only a finite number of eigenvalues not belonging to the continuous spectrum.

We shall restrict ourselves to the case $\gamma = 1$ and $u_{ij}(x)=0$ for $i \neq j$ to avoid certain technical difficulties of the general case. In addition, without loss of generality we can assume that $u_j(x) \equiv u_{jj}(x)$ and $K_{j_1j_2}(x,y)$, $j,j_1,j_2 = 1,2,...,n$ are 2π periodical functions defined on $[0,2\pi]$ and $[0,2\pi] \neq [0,2\pi]$, res-pectively. We notice that in this special case the continuous spectrum of H consists of

$$\Sigma_{\text{cont}}(H) = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} [A_j, B_j],$$

where $A_{j} = \inf_{x} u_{j}(x), B_{j}(x) = \sup_{x} u_{j}(x),$ and the function $d_{q}(z)$, q = 1, 2, ... from (12) can be written as $d_{q}(z) = \int_{1}^{\infty} \int_{1}^{\infty} d_{j_{1}j_{2}\cdots j_{q}}(z) = \int_{1}^{\infty} \int_{1}^{\infty} d_{j_{1}}(z) d$

The following lemma plays a crucial role in the proof of Theorem 2.

Lemma 1. Let
$$A' \in \sum_{cont} (H)$$
 and $u_j^{-1}(A') = \{x_{j1}, x_{j2}, \dots, x_{jm_j}\}$,
 $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then there is an ε -neighborhood $V_{\varepsilon}(A') =$

= {z $\in \mathbb{C}^1: 0 < |z-A'| < \varepsilon$ } of z = A' such that the restriction $\Delta(z)/\mathbb{C}^1_+$ of the $\Delta(z)$, where $\mathbb{C}^1_+ = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^1: \text{Im } z > 0\}$ is the halfplane, has an analytic continuation onto $V'_{\varepsilon}(A')$. This analytic continuation $\Delta^{*}(z)$ is a multivalued function with the branching

- 347 -

point z = A' and can be in $V'_{e}(A')$ expanded into the series

$$\Delta^{*}(z) = \sum_{A^{*},S} F_{A^{*},S}(K)(z-A^{*})^{S/p}, z \in V_{\varepsilon}^{*}(A^{*}).$$
Here
$$\hat{A} = P_{\Delta} \sum_{A^{*},A^{*}} \sum_{A^{*},A^{*}} \frac{R_{jS}^{-1}}{R},$$

and $R_{js} - 1 = R(x_{js}) - 1$ denote the multiplicity of the root x = $=x_{js}$ of the function $u'_j(x)$, $j = 1, 2, ..., m_j$, P is the lowest common multiple of the numbers

$$\{R_{11}, \ldots, R_{1m_1}, \ldots, R_{n1}, \ldots, R_{nm_n}\}$$

The proof of this lemma is based on Lemma 2 which we shall prove first.

Lemma 2. Let A' $\in \Sigma_{cont}(H)$. Then for any q = 1, 2, ... there is a neighborhood $V_{e}(A')$ of z = A', and a function $d_q^*(z)$ defined on it, such that

$$\begin{split} d_q^{*}(z)/v_g'(A') & \cap C_+^1 &= d_q(z)/v_g'(A') \cap C_+^1 \ , \\ \text{where } C_+^1 &= \{z \in C^1: \text{Im } z > 0\}. \text{ The function } d_q^{*}(z) \text{ is a multivalued} \\ \text{function with the branching point } z &= A'. \end{split}$$

<u>Proof</u> of Lemma 2. For any $A \in \sum_{cont}(H) = \bigcup_{j=1}^{m} [A_j, B_j]$ we denote by $u_j^{-1}(A') \subset [0, 2\pi]$, j = 1, 2, ..., n' its pre-image with respect to the mapping u_j . It is obviously finite, i.e. we can write $u_j^{-1}(A') = \{x_{j1}, x_{jm}\}$. Let us denote by $u_j(\S)$ and $K_{j_1j_2}(\S_1, \S_2)$ the analytic continuations of $u_j(x)$, and $K_{j_1j_2}(x_1, x_2)$, into $Q \subset \mathbb{C}^1$, and $Q \times Q \subset \mathbb{C}^2$, respectively, where $Q \subset \mathbb{C}^1$ is some complex neighborhood of the segment $[0, 2\pi]$.

Because $u_j(\xi)$, j = 1, 2, ..., n is regular in $x = x_j$, $y = 1, 2, ..., m_j$ there are some e > 0 and d > 0 (in the following we shall assume that these numbers are sufficiently small) such that

- 348 -

for each $z \in V_{\epsilon}(A')$ the equation

 $u_j(\xi) - z = 0$ has exactly $R_{j\nu}$, $\nu = 1, 2, ..., m_j$ solutions in the disc $|x_{j\nu} - \xi| < d'$. These solutions are branches of some $R_{j\nu}$ -valued analytical functions, whose branching point z = A' has an order $R_{j\nu}$ and can be in $V_{\vec{k}}(A')$ expanded into the series

(14)
$$\psi_{j}^{\flat}(z) = x_{j\flat} + c_{j1}^{\flat}(z-A')^{I'R_{j}} + c_{j2}^{\flat}(z-A')^{I'R_{j}\flat} + \dots,$$

where $1/$

(15)
$$\mathbf{c}_{j1}^{\mathbf{y}} = \left[\frac{\mathbf{R}_{j\nu}}{(\mathbf{R}_{j\nu})}\right]^{1/\mathbf{R}_{j\nu}}, \quad \nu = 1, 2, \dots, \mathbf{m}_{j}.$$

This statement follows from the theorem about inverse function of an analytic function (see [5]).

We put

$$\begin{array}{l} 1/R_{j^{\mathfrak{p}}} = |z-A^{\prime}|^{1/R_{j^{\mathfrak{p}}}} \exp\left\{i \frac{\arg(z-A^{\prime})}{R_{j^{\mathfrak{p}}}} + \frac{2\pi i}{R_{j^{\mathfrak{p}}}} \cdot s\right\} \\ s = 0, 1, \ldots, R_{j^{\mathfrak{p}}} - 1 \text{ and call this value the s-th value of the root} \\ (z-A^{\prime})^{1/R_{j^{\mathfrak{p}}}} \cdot Correspondingly we call the \\ \psi_{js}^{\mathfrak{p}}(z) = x_{j^{\mathfrak{p}}} + c_{j1}^{\mathfrak{p}}(z-A^{\prime}) \cdot c_{j^{\mathfrak{p}}}^{1/R_{j^{\mathfrak{p}}}} + c_{j2}^{\mathfrak{p}}[(z-A^{\prime})^{1/R_{j^{\mathfrak{p}}}}]^{2} + \ldots \\ \text{the s-th value of the multivalued function } \psi_{j}^{\mathfrak{p}}(z). \end{array}$$

 $\begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} \displaystyle \underset{j \neq j}{\operatorname{Proposition 3}}. \quad \text{For any } \sigma' > 0 \ \text{there exists } e > 0 \ \text{such that} \\ \text{for each } z \in V_{e}^{'}(A^{'}), \ \text{Im } z > 0 \ \text{the number of values } \psi_{j0}^{\varphi}(z), \psi_{j1}^{\varphi}(z), \\ \displaystyle \ldots, \psi_{jp_{jy}^{-1}}^{\varphi} \ \text{of } \psi_{j}^{\varphi}(z) \ \text{which belong to } \{ \xi \in \mathbb{C}^{1} \colon \text{Im } \xi > 0, \ | \xi - x_{jy} | < \\ < \sigma' \}, \ \text{equals to } \mathsf{P}_{jy}. \ \text{Here, } \mathsf{P}_{jy} \ \text{is the integer part of} \\ \quad \quad \frac{1}{2} \{ \mathsf{R}_{jy} + [\text{sgn } u_{j}^{(\mathsf{R}_{jy}^{\varphi})}(x_{jy})] \ \overset{\mathsf{R}_{jy}}{\mathbb{P}} \} \cdot \end{array}$

<u>Proof</u>. Since Im z > 0 we observe that P'_{jv} values of (z - A')

- 349 -

where $P'_{j\nu}$ is the integer part of $\frac{1}{2}(R_{j\nu} + 1)$, belong to the upper half-plane. From this fact and from (15) it follows that $P_{j\nu}$ values

$$e_{j1}^{\nu}(z-A')_{0}^{\mu}$$
, $c_{j1}^{\nu}(z-A')_{1}^{\mu}$, $c_{j1}^{\nu}(z-A')_{1}^{\mu}$, $c_{j1}^{\nu}(z-A')_{1}^{\mu}$

belong to the upper half-plane. The smallness of $\varepsilon > 0$ then implies that P_{iv} values

$$\psi_{j0}^{9}(z), \psi_{j1}^{9}(z), \dots, \psi_{jP_{j}-1}^{9} \text{ of } \psi_{j}^{9}(z)$$

belong to $\{\xi \in \mathbb{C}^{1} . \text{ Im } \xi > 0, |\xi - x_{jv}| < \sigma^{2} \}.$

<u>Proposition 4</u>. The function $[u_j(\psi_j^{\gamma})]^{-1}$ can be in $V_{\epsilon}(A')$ expressed in the form

$$\left[u_{j}^{\prime}(\psi^{\flat})^{-1} = \frac{(R_{j\flat} - 1)!}{(R_{j\flat})(R_{j\flat})(R_{j\flat})(R_{j\flat})(R_{j\flat})} \cdot \left[1 + \frac{\infty}{R_{j\flat}} + \hat{R}_{k}^{(z-A')}\right] + \frac{K}{R_{j\flat}} \right]$$

and the function K $_{j_1j_2}(\psi_{j_1}^{\nu_1},\psi_{j_2}^{\nu_2})$ in the form

<u>Proof</u>. Since $\S = x_{j\nu}$, $\nu = 1, 2, ..., m_j$ is a zero point of the order $R_{j\nu} = 1$ of the function $u'_j(\S)$, we can expand this function into the following series:

(16)
$$u_{j}(\xi) = \frac{u_{j}(R_{jy})(x_{jy})}{(R_{jy}-1)!} (\xi - x_{jy})^{R_{jy}-1} + \frac{u_{j}(R_{jy}+1)}{(R_{jy})} (\xi - x_{jy})^{R_{jy}} + \dots$$

Substituting (14) into (16) we obtain

(17)
$$u_{j}(\psi_{j}^{p}) = \frac{u_{j}^{(R_{jp})}(x_{jp})}{(R_{ip}-1)!}(\xi - x_{jp}) + \frac{u_{j}^{(R_{jp}+1)}(x_{jp})}{(\xi - x_{jp})!}[\psi_{j}^{p}(z) - A'] + \dots =$$

$$= \frac{u_{j}^{(R_{jy})}(x_{jy})(\hat{e}_{j_{1}}^{y})^{R_{jy}-1}}{(R_{jy}-1)!} [(z-A')^{R_{jy}}]^{R_{jy}-1} [1+\hat{e}_{j_{2}}^{y}(z-A')^{R_{jy}}+...].$$

For a sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ and $z \in V_{\varepsilon}(A')$ we have an inequality $|c_{j2}^{\gamma}(z-A')|^{R} |j^{\gamma}+\ldots| < 1.$

This inequality, together with (17), implies the statement of Proposition 4 for the function $[u_j(\psi^{\nu})]^{-1}$. The second assertion of Proposition 4 is proved in an analogous way.

Coming back to the proof of Lemma 2 let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\sigma' > 0$ be such that the segments

do not intersect and

$$u_{j}^{-1}(V_{\epsilon}(A^{'})) \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{m} \{ \xi \in \mathbb{C}^{1} : | \xi - x_{j\nu} | < \sigma' \}.$$

Investigate the function

$$d_{j}(z) = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{K_{jj}(\xi, \xi)}{u_{j}(\xi) - z} d\xi, \quad j = 1, 2, ..., n$$

which is regular in $V_{\epsilon}(A') \cap \mathbb{C}^1_+$. We can write the function $d_j(z)$ in terms of its residua as follows:

$$(x_{j1} - d', x_{j1} + d'), \dots, (x_{jm_j} - d', x_{jm_j} + d')$$

and containing all the half-circles

- 351 -

 $\{ \xi \in \mathbb{C}^1 : | \xi - x_{iv} | = \sigma', \text{ Im } \xi \ge 0 \}.$ Since $\xi \in \Gamma_r$ we conclude that

 $u_1(\varsigma) \overline{\epsilon} V_{\epsilon}(A') = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^1 : |z - A'| < \epsilon \}$

Therefore, the function $\int_{\Gamma_r} \frac{K_{jj}(\xi,\xi)}{u_j(\xi)-z} d\xi$ is regular in $V_{\epsilon}(A')$. Using the representation (18) of $d_j(z)$, j = 1, 2, ..., n, the existence of an analytical continuation of $d_{i}^{}(z)$ into the region $V_{\pmb{\delta}}^{'}(A^{'})$ through the interval (A'- $\varepsilon,$ A') and also through (A', A'+ ε) follows. Both these analytical continuations coincide. We denote by $d_j^{*}(z)$ the analytical continuation of $d_j(z)$. From the proposition 4 it follows that $d_{i}^{\#}(z)$ is a multivalued function with the branching point z = A', expressed in the Puiseux series in the powers of z - A'.

Consider now the function

. .

$$d_{j_{1}j_{2}}(z) = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| \begin{array}{c} \kappa_{j_{1}j_{1}}(\xi_{1},\xi_{1})\kappa_{j_{1}j_{2}}(\xi_{1},\xi_{2}) \\ \kappa_{j_{2}j_{1}}(\xi_{2},\xi_{1})\kappa_{j_{2}j_{2}}(\xi_{2},\xi_{2}) \end{array} \right| \frac{d\xi_{1} d\xi_{2}}{(u_{j_{1}}(\xi_{1})-z)(u_{j_{2}}(\xi_{2})-z)}.$$

.

Using the "theorem about residua" to the function $d_{j_1j_2}(z)$ several times, we obtain:

$$\frac{1}{\frac{u_{j_{2}}(\psi_{j_{2}}^{2})}{\psi_{j_{2}}(\psi_{j_{2}}^{2})}} + \int_{P_{0}} \left| \frac{\kappa_{j_{1}j_{1}}(\xi_{1},\xi_{1})\kappa_{j_{1}j_{2}}(\xi_{1},\xi_{2})}{\kappa_{j_{2}j_{1}}(\xi_{2},\xi_{1})\kappa_{j_{2}j_{2}}(\xi_{2},\xi_{2})} \right| \frac{d\xi_{2}}{\frac{u_{j_{2}}(\xi_{2})-z}} d\xi_{2} = \\ = (2\pi i)^{2} \sum_{\psi_{q=1}}^{m_{j_{1}}} \sum_{\xi_{q=1}}^{m_{j_{2}}} \sum_{\delta_{q=0}}^{\mu_{j_{1}}-1} \sum_{\delta_{q=0}}^{\mu_{j_{2}}-1} \sum_{\delta_{q=0}}^{\mu_{j_{2}}-1} \\ = (2\pi i)^{2} \sum_{\psi_{q=1}}^{m_{j_{1}}} \sum_{\xi_{q=1}}^{\mu_{j_{2}}-1} \sum_{\delta_{q=0}}^{\mu_{j_{2}}-1} \sum_{\delta_{q=0}}^{\mu_{j_{2}}-1} \\ = (2\pi i)^{2} \sum_{\psi_{q=1}}^{\mu_{j_{1}}} \sum_{\xi_{q=1}}^{\mu_{j_{2}}-1} \sum_{\delta_{q=0}}^{\mu_{j_{2}}-1} \sum_{\delta$$

-352 -

$$\left| \begin{array}{c} \kappa_{j_{1}j_{2}} (\psi_{j_{1}s_{1}}^{y_{1}},\psi_{j_{1}s_{1}}^{y_{1}}) \kappa_{j_{1}j_{2}} (\psi_{j_{1}s_{1}}^{y_{1}},\psi_{j_{2}s_{2}}^{y_{1}}) \\ \kappa_{j_{2}j_{1}} (\psi_{j_{2}s_{2}}^{y_{2}},\psi_{j_{1}s_{1}}^{y_{1}}) \kappa_{j_{2}j_{2}} (\psi_{j_{2}s_{2}}^{y_{2}},\psi_{j_{2}s_{2}}^{y_{2}}) \\ + 2\pi i \int_{V_{1}=1}^{m_{j_{1}}} \int_{L_{2}=0}^{M_{j_{2}}-1} \int_{L_{3}} \left| \begin{array}{c} \kappa_{j_{1}j_{1}} (\psi_{j_{1}s_{1}}^{y_{1}},\psi_{j_{1}s_{1}}^{y_{2}}) \\ \kappa_{j_{2}s_{1}} (\psi_{j_{1}s_{1}}^{y_{1}}) (u_{j_{2}} (\psi_{j_{2}}^{y_{2}}) \\ \kappa_{j_{2}s_{1}} (\psi_{j_{1}s_{1}}^{y_{1}}) (u_{j_{2}} (\xi_{2}) - z) \end{array} \right| \times \\ \times \frac{d\xi_{2}}{(u_{j_{1}} (\psi_{j_{1}s_{1}}^{y_{1}}) (u_{j_{2}} (\xi_{2}) - z)} + 2\pi i \int_{M_{2}=1}^{M_{j_{2}}} \int_{L_{2}=0}^{M_{j_{2}}} \frac{d\xi_{1}}{(u_{j_{1}} (\xi_{1}) - z) u_{j_{2}} (\psi_{j_{2}s_{2}}^{y_{2}})} \\ \int_{\Gamma_{0}} \left| \begin{array}{c} \kappa_{j_{1}j_{1}} (\xi_{1},\xi_{1}) \kappa_{j_{1}j_{2}} (\xi_{1},\psi_{j_{2}s_{2}}^{y_{2}},\psi_{j_{2}s_{2}}^{y_{2}}) \\ \kappa_{j_{2}j_{1}} (\psi_{j_{2}s_{2}}^{y_{2}},\xi_{1}) \kappa_{j_{2}j_{2}} (\psi_{j_{2}s_{2}}^{y_{2}},\psi_{j_{2}s_{2}}^{y_{2}}) \end{array} \right| \frac{d\xi_{1}}{(u_{j_{1}} (\xi_{1}) - z) u_{j_{2}} (\psi_{j_{2}s_{2}}^{y_{2}})} + \\ \int_{\Gamma_{0}} \int_{\Gamma_{0}} \left| \begin{array}{c} \kappa_{j_{1}j_{1}} (\xi_{1},\xi_{1}) \kappa_{j_{1}j_{2}} (\xi_{1},\xi_{2}) \\ \kappa_{j_{2}j_{1}} (\xi_{2},\xi_{1}) \kappa_{j_{2}j_{2}} (\xi_{2},\xi_{2}) \end{array} \right| \frac{d\xi_{1}}{(u_{j_{1}} (\xi_{1}) - z) (u_{j_{2}} (\xi_{2}) - z)} \cdot \\ \end{array} \right|$$

Using analogical considerations as in the case of $d_j(z)$, j = 1, 2,...,n it follows from the representation (19) that the function $d_{j_1j_2}(z)$ which is regular on the upper half-plane, has an analytical continuation into $V_{\epsilon}'(A')$, through the segments $(A' - \varepsilon, A')$ and $(A', A' + \varepsilon)$. Both these analytical continuations coincide. From Proposition 4 it follows that this continuation is a multivalued function with the branching point z = A'.

Now we investigate the function $d_{\begin{subarray}{c} j_1 \begin{subarray}{c} j_2 \end{subarray} \\ formula \end{subarray}$

- 353 -

$$\frac{d_{j_{1}\cdots j_{q}}(z) = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| \frac{\kappa_{j_{1}j_{1}}(\xi_{1},\xi_{1})\cdots\kappa_{j_{1}j_{q}}(\xi_{1},\xi_{q})}{\kappa_{j_{q}j_{1}}(\xi_{q},\xi_{1})\cdots\kappa_{j_{q}j_{q}}(\xi_{q},\xi_{q})} \right| \times \frac{d\xi_{1}\cdots d\xi_{q}}{(u_{j_{1}}(\xi_{1})-z)\cdots)u_{j_{q}}(\xi_{q})-z)}$$

(which is regular on the upper half-plane). Finally, using the mentioned "theorem about residua" repeatedly many times we can write down the following expression:

.

$$(20) \quad d_{j_{1}\cdots j_{q}}(z) = (2\pi i)^{q} \frac{m_{j_{1}}^{m_{j_{1}}^{m_{j_{2}}^{m_{j_{2}}^{m_{j_{1}^{m_{j_{1}}}^{m_{j_{1}}^{m_{j_{1}}^{m_{j_{1}}}^{m_{j_{1}}^{m_{j}}}^{$$

$$\left| \begin{array}{c} \kappa_{j_{1}j_{1}}(\gamma_{j_{1}s_{1}}^{j_{1}},\gamma_{j_{1}s_{1}}^{j_{1}})\cdots\kappa_{j_{1}j_{q'}}(\gamma_{j_{1}s_{1}}^{j_{1}},\gamma_{j_{d's'}}^{j_{q'}})\kappa_{j_{1}j_{q'+1}}(\gamma_{j_{1}s_{1}}^{j_{1}},\gamma_{q'}) \\ & \cdots \\ \kappa_{j_{1}j_{q}}(\gamma_{j_{1}s_{1}}^{j_{q'}},\gamma_{q}) \\ & \cdots \\ \kappa_{j_{q}j_{1}}(\gamma_{j_{d's'}}^{j_{q'}},\gamma_{j_{1}s_{1}}^{j_{1}})\cdots\kappa_{j_{q}j_{q'}}(\gamma_{j_{q'}s_{q'}}^{j_{q'}},\gamma_{j_{q's_{q}}}^{j_{q'}})\kappa_{j_{q}j_{q'+1}}(\gamma_{j_{q's_{q'}}}^{j_{q'}},\gamma_{q}) \\ & \cdots \\ \kappa_{j_{q}j_{1}}(\gamma_{j_{d's'_{q'}}}^{j_{q'}},\gamma_{j_{1}s_{1}}^{j_{1}})\cdots\kappa_{j_{q}j_{q'}}(\gamma_{j_{q's'_{q'}}}^{j_{q'}},\gamma_{j_{q's_{q}}}^{j_{q'}})\kappa_{j_{q}j_{q'+1}}(\gamma_{j_{q's_{q'}}}^{j_{q'}},\gamma_{q}) \\ & \cdots \\ \kappa_{j_{q}j_{1}}(\gamma_{q'},\gamma_{j_{1}s_{1}}^{j_{1}})\cdots\kappa_{j_{q'}j_{q'+1}j_{q'}}(\gamma_{q'+1},\gamma_{j_{q's_{q'}}}^{j_{q'}})\kappa_{j_{q'+1}j_{q'+1}} \\ & (\gamma_{q'+1},\gamma_{q'+1})\cdots\kappa_{j_{q'+1}j_{q'}}(\gamma_{q'},\gamma_{q'}) \\ & \cdots \\ \kappa_{j_{q}j_{1}}(\gamma_{q},\gamma_{j_{1}s_{1}}^{j_{1}})\cdots\kappa_{j_{q}j_{q'}}(\gamma_{q'},\gamma_{q'})\kappa_{j_{q'}j_{q'+1}}(\gamma_{q'+1},\gamma_{q'}) \\ & \cdots \\ \kappa_{j_{q}j_{q}}(\gamma_{q},\gamma_{q}) \\ & \end{array}\right|$$

Using the same arguments as above we infer from (20) that the function has an analytical continuation into the ε -neighborhood $V_{\varepsilon}(A')$ of z = A' through the intervals $(A' - \varepsilon, A')$ and $(A', A' + \varepsilon)$. We denote by $d_{j_1\cdots j_q}^{*}(z)$ the analytical continuation of $d_{j_1\cdots j_q}(z)/\mathbb{C}_+^1$ into the region $V_{\varepsilon}(A')$. From the proposition 4 it follows that the function is, generally speaking, a multivalued

- 355 -

function with the branching point z = A'.

Let us denote by $d_{\alpha}^{\#}$ (z), q = 1,2,... the function

(21)
$$d_{q}^{*}(z) = \sum_{j_{1}, j_{2}, \dots, j_{q}=1}^{d} d_{j_{1}j_{2}\dots j_{q}}^{*}(z), z \in V_{e}^{'}(A^{'})$$

which is again a multivalued one on $V_{\varepsilon}(A')$, with a branching point z = A'. From the Puiseux theorem and the expression (20) it follows that the function $d_q^{*}(z)$, $z \in V_{\varepsilon}(A')$ expands into the following series:

$$d_{q}^{*}(z) = \sum_{s=-\hat{q}}^{\infty} F_{s,A'}(K)(Z-A')^{s/P}, z \in V_{e}(A')$$

Thus the proof of Lemma 2 is completed.

Proof of Lemma 1. Denote by

(22)
$$\Delta^{*}(z) = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q!} d_{q}^{*}(z), z \in V_{\varepsilon}(A').$$

Then from (21) for $d_q^*(z)$, q = 1, 2, ... and from the Hadamard inequality for determinants (see [4]) it follows that the series (22) converges absolutely in $V_6(A')$ and defines a multivalued analytical function with a unique branching point z = A'. Therefore, from the Puiseux theorem (see [6]) and the expansion (22) used for $d_a^*(z)$, q = 1, 2, ... we obtain the statement of Lemma 1.

<u>Proof</u> of Theorem 2. Following Proposition 2 and the Fredholm theorem a point $z \in \mathbb{C}^1 \setminus \Sigma_{cont}(H)$ is an eigenvalue of H iff $\Delta(z) = 0$.

Because of the self-adjointness of H, it is sufficient to show that the function $\Delta(z)$ has only a finite number of real zeros not belonging to the continuous spectrum. We shall only show that $\Delta(z)$ may have a finite number of real zeros greater than A, $A = \sup_{\substack{\Lambda \in \Sigma_{cont}(H)}} \Lambda$. The remaining intervals of the complement of the

continuous spectrum may be investigated in an analogous way. It

follows from Lemma 1 that the function $\Delta(z)$ can be expressed in the e-neighborhood $V'_e(A') \setminus (-\infty, A]$ of

z = A' by the following series:

$$\Delta(z) = \sum_{s=-\hat{q}}^{\infty} F_{s,A} (K)(z-A)^{s/P}, z \in V_{\hat{c}}(A') \setminus (-\infty, A].$$

Therefore, A cannot be a limit point for the set $\{z \in \mathbb{R}^1 : \Delta(z) = 0, z > A\}$. On the other hand, the function $\Delta(z)$ is regular in $\mathbb{C}^1 \setminus \Sigma_{\text{cont}}(H)$ and $\Delta(z) \longrightarrow 1$ for $|z| \longrightarrow \infty$, Im z = 0, and thus it has only a finite number of zeros belonging to $(A + \varepsilon, \infty)$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

References

- FRIEDRICHS K.O.: Über die Spektralzerlegung eines Integraloperators, Math.Ann. 115(1938), 249-272.
- [2] LAKAJEV S.N.: About the discrete spectrum of a generalized Friedrichs model, Dokl.Akad.Nauk.Uzbek.SSR,No. 4, 1979, 9-10.
- [3] ACHIEZER N.I., GLAZMAN I.M.: Theory of linear operators in Hilbert space, Nauka, Moscow, 1965 (in Russian).
- [4] LOVITT W.V.: Linear integral equations, New York, 1924.
- [5] EVGRAFOV M.A.: Analytical functions, Nauka ,1968(in Russian).
- [6] VAINBERG M.M., TRENDGIN V.A.: Theory of branching of solutiona of nonlinear equations, Nauka, 1969(in Russian).

(Oblatum 18.9. 1985)

Department of Math. Analysis, 703 000 Samarkand State University, Uzbek SSR

(Oblatum 18.9. 1985)