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## A central limit theorem for non stationary mixing processes

Dalibor Volný


#### Abstract

For a non stationary $\alpha$-mixing sequence of random variables it is given a necessary and sufficient condition for the central limit theorem. The condition is expressed by uniform integrability of squares of certain normalized partial sums of the process.
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Let $\left(X_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be an $\alpha$-mixing (strong mixing) sequence of square integrable random variables, $E X_{i}=0$ for all $i$. We denote $S_{n}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{j}, \sigma_{n}^{2}=E S_{n}^{2}$. In the whole of the paper conditions $A$ and $B$ are supposed to be fulfilled.
A. $\sigma_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
B. $\max _{1 \leq j \leq n} E X_{j}^{2} / \sigma_{n}^{2} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

In [2], [3] and [4] it has been shown that if $\left(X_{i}\right)$ is strictly stationary, then $S_{n} / \sigma_{n}$ weakly converge to the normal distribution $N(0,1)$ if and only if the random variables $S_{n}^{2} / \sigma_{n}^{2}$ are uniformly integrable. Here we give a necessary and sufficient condition for the CLT for processes which are not stationary. In proving the result we shall use ideas from [4].

We say that $J \subset\{1, \ldots, n\}$ is an interval if together with any $i<k, J$ contains all $j \in N$ for which $i<j<k$. By $\pi_{n, k}, k \leq n$, we denote a partition $\left\{I_{n, 1, k}, \ldots \downarrow, I_{n, k, k}\right\}$ of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ into intervals; $S_{n, j, k}$ denotes $\sum_{i \in I_{n, j, k}} X_{i}$, and $\sigma_{n, j, k}^{2}=E S_{n, j, k}^{2}$.
Proposition. Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a set of positive integers and let for each $k \in \mathcal{K}$ there exists $n(k) \in N$ so that

$$
S_{n, j, k}^{2} / \sigma_{n, j, k}^{2}, k \in \mathcal{K}, j=1, \ldots, k, n=n(k), n(k)+1, \ldots,
$$

are uniformly integrable,

$$
\sigma_{n, j, k}^{2} \rightarrow \infty \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \text { for each } k \in \mathcal{K}, 1 \leq j \leq k
$$

Then for each $n \geq n(k)$ there exist mutually independent random variables $Z_{n, 1, k}, \ldots$, $Z_{n, k, k}$ such that

$$
Z_{n, j, k}^{2} / \sigma_{n, j, k}^{2}, k \in \mathcal{K}, j=1, \ldots, k, \quad n=n(k), n(k)+1, \ldots,
$$

are uniformly integrable and

$$
\left\|\frac{S_{n, j, k}}{\sigma_{n, j, k}}-\frac{Z_{n, j, k}}{\sigma_{n, j, k}}\right\|_{2} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \text { for each } k \in \mathcal{K}, j=1, \ldots, k
$$

Hence, $\left\|\cdot \frac{S_{n}}{\sigma_{n}}-\frac{1}{\sigma_{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{k} Z_{n, j, k}\right\|_{2} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for each $k \in \mathcal{K}$.
Proof : The proof is based on the same idea as the proof of Theorem 1 in [4] so we shall give a sketch only.

Let $q$ be a positive integer whose value will be specified later. By removing $(k-1) \cdot q$ numbers we replace each block $I_{n, j, k}$ by a smaller block $I_{n, j, k}^{\prime} \subset I_{n, j, k}$ so that random variables $S_{n, j, k}^{\prime}=\sum_{i \in I_{n, j, k}^{\prime}} X_{i}, j=1, \ldots, k$, are mixing with coefficient $\alpha(q)$. When considering $n$ large enough only, random variables $S_{n, j, k}^{\prime 2} / \sigma_{n, j, k}^{2}$ are uniformly integrable and close to $S_{n, j, k}^{2} / \sigma_{n, j, k}^{2}$ in $L_{1}$ norm. Given $K<\infty$ and $H \in N$ sufficiently large we can find random variables $\widehat{S}_{n, j, k}$ which attain only $H$ values, so that $E \widehat{S}_{n, j, k}=0,\left|\widehat{S}_{n, j, k}\right| / \sigma_{n, j, k} \leq K$, and $\widehat{S}_{n, j, k} / \sigma_{n, j, k}$ are sufficiently close to $S_{n, j, k}^{\prime} / \sigma_{n, j, k}$ in $L_{2}$ norm (hence to $S_{n, j, k} / \sigma_{n, j, k}$, too). Given $K$ and $H$ fixed we can find $q$ sufficiently large so that there exist random variables $Z_{n, j, k}, \ldots, Z_{n, k, k}$ which are mutually independent and for each $j, 1 \leq j \leq k, Z_{n, j, k} / \sigma_{n, j, k}$ is close to $\widehat{S}_{n, j, k} / \sigma_{n, j, k}$ in $L_{2}$ norm and has the same distribution. From this the Proposition follows.
C. For each $k \in N$ and $n$ greater or equal than a positive integer $n(k)$ there exists a partition $\pi_{n, k}$ of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that it holds
(i) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{n, j, k}=\infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for each $k \in N, j=1, \ldots, k$,
(ii) $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}{ }_{n}^{\infty} \rightarrow \varlimsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max _{1 \leq j \leq k} \sigma_{n, j, k} / \sigma_{n}=0$,
(iii) $S_{n, j, k}^{2} / \sigma_{n, j, k}^{2}, k \in N, j=1, \ldots, k, \quad n=n(k), n(k)+1, \ldots$ are uniformly integrable.

It should be noted that according to the Proposition, from C follows
(iv) for each $k \in N, \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sigma_{n, j, k}^{2} / \sigma_{n}^{2} \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem. Let $\left(X_{i}\right)$ be an $\alpha$-mixing sequence and conditions $A, B$ are fulfilled. Then $S_{n} / \sigma_{n} \underset{\boldsymbol{D}}{ } N(0,1)$ if and only if $C$ holds.

## Proof:

1. Let condition $C$ hold (and $A, B$ as well).

According to the Proposition, for each $n \in N$ there exist a positive integer $k(n)$ and mutually independent random variables $Z_{n, 1}, \ldots, Z_{n, k(n)}$ such that $k(n) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty,\left\|\frac{S_{n}}{\sigma_{n}}-\frac{1}{\sigma_{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{k(n)} Z_{n, j}\right\|_{2} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty, Z_{n, j}^{2} / E Z_{n, j}^{2}, j=1, \ldots, k(n), n=$ $1,2, \ldots$ are uniformly integrable, and $\frac{1}{\sigma_{n}^{2}} E\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k(n)} Z_{n, j}\right)^{2} \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$,
$\max _{1 \leq j \leq k(n)} \frac{1}{\sigma_{n}^{2}} E Z_{n, j}^{2} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For the triangular array of random variables $Z_{n, j}$ the Feller-Lindeberg condition is fulfilled, hence $\frac{1}{\sigma_{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{k(n)} Z_{n, j} \overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} N(0,1)$, therefore $S_{n} / \sigma_{n} \vec{D} N(0,1)$.
2. Let $S_{n} / \sigma_{n} \underset{\boldsymbol{D}}{ } N(0,1)$.

From conditions A,B it follows that $\max _{1 \leq j \leq n-1}\left(\sigma_{j+1}^{2}-\sigma_{j}^{2}\right) / \sigma_{n}^{2} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence we can find numbers $0=0(n)<1(n)<\cdots<k(n)=n, n=k, k+1, \ldots$ such that $\sigma_{j(n)}^{2} / \sigma_{n}^{2} \rightarrow j / k$ as $n \rightarrow \infty, 0 \leq j \leq k$. We put $I_{n, j, k}=\{(j-1)(n)+1, \ldots, j(n)\}$,
and $S_{n, j, k}=\sum_{i \in I_{n, j, k}} X_{i}, 0 \leq j \leq k$. Let $k \in N$ be fixed. From [1], Theorem 5.4 it follows that $S_{n}^{2} / \sigma_{n}^{2}$ are uniformly intergrable, therefore $S_{j(n)}^{2} / \sigma_{j(n)}^{2}$ are uniformly integrable, too. From this we get that $S_{n, j, k}^{2} /\left(\sigma_{n}^{2} / k\right)$ are uniformly integrable. From the Proposition it follows that there exist random variables $Z_{n, j, k}, 1 \leq j \leq k$, such that $Z_{n, 1, k}, \ldots, Z_{n, k, k}$ are mutually independent for each $n,\left\|\frac{S_{n, j, k}}{\sigma_{n}}-\frac{Z_{n, j, k}}{\sigma_{n}}\right\|_{2} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and $k \cdot Z_{n, j, k}^{2} / \sigma_{n}^{2}$ are uniformly integrable. From this it follows that $E Z_{n, j, k}^{2} / \sigma_{n}^{2} \rightarrow 1 / k$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, so $\sigma_{n, j, k}^{2} / \sigma_{n}^{2} \rightarrow 1 / k$ as $n \rightarrow \infty, 1 \leq j \leq k$. In this way,conditions (i) and (ii) of C are fulfilled.

The uniform integrability of $S_{n, j, k}^{2} / \sigma_{n, j, k}^{2}$ is guaranted for each $k$ fixed only, however. We shall show that there exist positive integers $n(k)$ such that condition (i) of C holds.

From the assumptions it follows that $S_{j(n)} / \sigma_{j(n)} \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{D}} N(0,1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, hence $\frac{1}{\sigma_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{j} Z_{n, i, k} \underset{\mathcal{D}}{ } N(0, \sqrt{j / k})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, therefore $S_{n, j, k} / \sigma_{n, j, k} \underset{\boldsymbol{D}}{ } N(0,1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let $X$ be a random variable with distribution $N(0,1)$, for $m=1,2, \ldots$ let $K_{1} \leq K_{2} \leq \cdots \leq K_{m} \leq \cdots<\infty, E\left[\chi\left(|X|>K_{m}\right) \cdot X^{2}\right]<1 / m$. For $k=$ $1,2, \ldots$ we can thus choose $k(n)$ such that for $n \geq k(n), E\left[\chi\left(\left|S_{n, j, k}\right| / \sigma_{n, j, k}>K_{m}\right)\right.$. $\left.S_{n, j, k}^{2} / \sigma_{n, j, k}^{2}\right]<2 / m, m=1, \ldots, k, j=1, \ldots, k$. Now, we can show that for each $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $K<\infty$ such that $E\left[\chi\left(\left|S_{n, j, k}\right| / \sigma_{n, j, k}>K_{m}\right) \cdot S_{n, j, k}^{2} / \sigma_{n, j, k}^{2}\right]<\varepsilon$ for each $k=1,2, \ldots, j=1,2, \ldots, n=n(k), n(k)+1, \ldots$ : For $\varepsilon>0$ given there exists $m \in N, 2 / m<\varepsilon$. For $k \geq m$ and $n \geq k(n)$ it is $E\left[\chi\left(\left|S_{n, j, k}\right| / \sigma_{n, j, k}>\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.K_{m}\right) \cdot S_{n, j, k}^{2} / \sigma_{n, j, k}^{2}\right]<2 / m, j=1, \ldots k$. There are only finitely many positive integers $k$ smaller than $m$ and for each $k$ fixed, $S_{n, j, k}^{2} / \sigma_{n, j, k}^{2}$ are uniformly integrable; from this the existence of suitable $K$ follows.
Remarks. From the Theorem, the result for strictly stationary processes easily follows.

The divisions of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ into intervals $I_{n, j, k}$ need not be equidistant; it can be seen on an example of a sequence of random variables which are mutually independent and have distributions $N(0,1 / \sqrt{n})$
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