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# On infinitely many solutions of a semilinear elliptic eigenvalue problem 

J.Lembcke

Abstract. We deal with an application of an abstract theorem concerning critical points of functionals with symmetries (see [2]) to the problem

$$
-\Delta u=\lambda \cdot u+g(u), \quad u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega), \quad \Omega \subset R^{n}
$$

For $\lambda \in R$, we prove the existence of infinitely many solutions under assumptions which generalize the previous results of de Candia/Fortunato [4] et al.
Keywords: Semilinear eigenvalue problems
Classification: 35P30

This note deals with the semilinear boundary value problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u=\lambda \cdot u+g(u), \quad u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Omega \subset R^{n}(n \geq 2)$ is a bounded smooth domain, under the following general assumptions on the function $g$ :
(i) $g: R \rightarrow R$ is odd and continuous.
(ii) There are positive constants $c_{1}, c_{2}$ such that for some $p \in(2,2 n /(n-2))$ we have

$$
|g(t)| \leq c_{1}+c_{2} \cdot|t|^{p-1}, \quad t \in R
$$

(iii) For any $c_{3}>0$, there is a constant $c_{4}$ such that

$$
|g(t)| \geq c_{3} \cdot|t|-c_{4}, \quad t \in R
$$

The solutions of (1) are the critical points of the $C^{1}$-functional on $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int|\nabla u|^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \lambda \cdot \int u^{2}-\int G(u) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G(t)=\int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s, t \in R$.
If $G$ is "superquadratic", i.e. satisfies the additional condition
(iv) ${ }_{1}$

$$
g(t) \cdot t-2 \cdot G(t) \geq c \cdot G(t)-c^{\prime}, \quad t \in R
$$

for some positive constants $c, c^{\prime}$, then it is known that (1) possesses an infinite sequence of distinct solutions for any $\lambda \in R$ (see [1], [3], [5]). Easy arguments show
that (iv) ${ }_{1}$ does nor apply to functions $G(t)$ growing nearly as $|t|^{2}$, for example, to $G(t)=t^{2} \cdot\left(\ln \left(1+t^{2}\right)\right)^{\beta}, \beta>0$. From [4] we learned that under the assumption (iv) 2

$$
\begin{gathered}
t \cdot g(t)-2 \cdot G(t) \geq c \cdot|t|^{\alpha}-c^{\prime} \quad t \in R \\
\left(c, c^{\prime}>0 \text { and } \alpha \geq \max \left(2, \frac{2 n}{n+2}(p-1)\right)\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

which covers this case, there also exist infinitely many solutions of (1). Nevertheless, it is easy to see that (iv) ${ }_{1}$ does not follow from (iv) ${ }_{2}$, too.

The aim of this note is to improve the range of $\alpha$ allowed in the de Candia/Fortunato condition (iv) $2_{2}$ and to discuss certain more implicit assumptions generalizing both (iv) $\mathbf{1}_{1}$ and (iv) $\mathbf{2}_{2}$. Our considerations are based on a result of Bartolo/Benci/Fortunato [2, Theorem 2.4]:
Proposition. Suppose that the functional $f \in C^{1}(H, R)$, where $H$ is a Hilbert space, with dual $H^{\prime}$, satisfies the following properties:
$\left(f_{1}\right)$ Every bounded sequence $\left\{u_{k}\right\} \subset f^{-1}((0, \infty))$, for which $\left\{f\left(u_{k}\right)\right\}$ is bounded and $f^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right) \rightarrow 0$, possesses a convergent subsequence.
( $\mathrm{f}_{2}$ ) For any $c \in(0, \infty)$ there exist positive reals $d<c, R$, and $\alpha$ such that

$$
\left\|f^{\prime}(u)\right\|_{H^{\prime}} \cdot\|u\|_{H} \geq \alpha
$$

for all $u \in f^{-1}([c-d, c+d])$ satisfying $\|u\|_{H} \geq R$.
$\left(\mathrm{f}_{3}\right)$ There exist two closed subspaces $H^{+}, H^{-}$of $H$ with codim $H^{+}<+\infty$, and two constants $c_{\infty}>c_{0}>f(0) \geq 0$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
f(u) \geq c_{0}, & u \in H^{+} \text {with }\|u\|_{H}=\delta \text { for some } \delta>0 \\
f(u)<c_{\infty}, & u \in H^{-} \tag{4}
\end{array}
$$

( $\mathrm{f}_{4}$ ) $f$ is even.
Then, if $\operatorname{dim} H^{-} \geq \operatorname{codim} H^{+}, f$ possesses at least $m:=\operatorname{dim} H^{-}-\operatorname{codim} H^{+}$ distinct pairs of critical points whose corresponding critical values belong to $[0, \infty]$.

In the following we prove that our variational functional (2) satisfies the assumptions $\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{f}_{4}\right)$ where $H:=H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ and $H^{\prime}:=H^{-1}(\Omega)$. Let us mention that $\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}\right)$, $\left(f_{3}\right),\left(f_{4}\right)$ follow from the general conditions (i), (ii), (iii) on $g(t)$ in a standard way. Only for showing ( $\mathrm{f}_{2}$ ) we need some additional assumption. It turns out that ( $\mathrm{f}_{2}$ ) with $f=F$ immediately follows form the following property:
$\left(f_{2}\right)^{\prime}$ For arbitrary $M>0$, if $|F(u)| \leq M$ and $\|u\|_{H} \rightarrow \infty$, then $\int g(u) \cdot u-2 G(u) \rightarrow \infty$.
Indeed, since $\left\|F^{\prime}(u)\right\|_{H^{\prime}}\|u\|_{H} \geq\left|\left\langle F^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle\right|$, for $\left(f_{2}\right)$ it is sufficient to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma(u):=\left\langle F^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle=\int|\nabla u|^{2}-\lambda \int u^{2}-\int g(u) \cdot u<-a<0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

under the restriction $F(u) \in(0,2 c)$ and $\|u\|_{H} \geq R$ for large $R$. But due to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma(u)=2 F(u)-\int(g(u) \cdot u-2 G(u)) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(f_{2}\right)^{\prime}$ yields (5) in an obvious way.
Thus, we have to look for more explicit conditions (analogous to (iv) ${ }_{1}$ or (iv) ${ }_{2}$ ) which imply, together with (i) - (iii), the property ( $\left.\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)^{\prime}$.

Lemma. Under the assumptions (ii), (iii), for the problem (1) and the corresponding functional (2) the following implications hold:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
(i v)_{1} \Rightarrow(i v)_{3} \Rightarrow \\
(i v)_{2} \Rightarrow(i v)_{2}^{\prime} \Rightarrow
\end{array}\right\} \quad\left(f_{2}\right)^{\prime} \Rightarrow\left(f_{2}\right)
$$

where (iv) ${ }_{2}^{\prime}$ and (iv) $)_{3}$ are given by:
(iv) ${ }_{2}^{\prime}$ There are positive constants $c_{5}, c_{6}$ and $\alpha>\max \left(1, \frac{n}{2}(p-2)\right)$ such that

$$
t \cdot g(t)-2 G(t) \geq c_{5} \cdot|t|^{\alpha}-c_{6}
$$

(iv) $)_{3}$ There are positive constants $c_{7}, c_{8}$ and $q$ such that for $u \in H\left(:=H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)$

$$
\int(g(u) \cdot u-2 G(u)) \geq c_{7} \cdot\left(\int G(u)\right)^{q}-c_{8}
$$

Psoof : $\left(\mathrm{f}_{2}\right)^{\prime} \Rightarrow\left(\mathrm{f}_{2}\right)$ has already been proved. (iv) $\Rightarrow(\mathrm{iv})_{3}$ is obvious with $q=1$. (iv) $)_{2} \Rightarrow$ (iv $)_{2}^{\prime}$ is clear because $\max \left(1, \frac{n}{2}(p-2)\right)<\max \left(2, \frac{2 n}{n+2}(p-1)\right.$ ) for arbitrary $p \in\left(2, \frac{2 n}{n-2}\right)$. For proving (iv) ${ }_{3} \Rightarrow\left(\mathrm{f}_{2}\right)^{\prime}$ we observe that (iii) and $|F(u)| \leq M$ yield the inequality

$$
\begin{gather*}
\|u\|_{H}^{2}=\int|\nabla u|^{2}=\left(2 F(u)+\lambda \cdot \int u^{2}+2 \int G(u)\right) \leq  \tag{7}\\
\leq c+c^{\prime} \cdot \int G(u) \quad\left(c, c^{\prime}>0\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

Thus, if $\|u\|_{H} \rightarrow \infty$, then $\int G(u) \rightarrow \infty$ and by (iv) $)_{3}$ also $\int g(u) \cdot u-2 G(u) \rightarrow \infty$.
It remains to establish (iv) ${ }_{2}^{\prime} \Rightarrow\left(f_{2}\right)^{\prime}$. As above we can rely on (7). But by (ii) we actually have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{H}^{2} \leq c^{\prime}+c^{\prime \prime}\|u\|_{L_{p}}^{p} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we apply a Gagliardo-Nirenberg-type inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{L_{p}} \leq c \cdot\|u\|_{L_{\alpha}}^{1-\Theta} \cdot\|u\|_{H}^{\Theta}, \quad u \in H \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is valid with $\Theta=n\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{p}\right) /\left(1+n\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\right) \in(0,1)$ for any $1<\alpha<p<\frac{2 n}{n-2}$ (for details, see [6, ch.2.4.2]). From (8) and (9) it follows that $\|u\|_{H} \rightarrow \infty$ implies $\|u\|_{L_{\alpha}} \rightarrow \infty$ whenever $\Theta p<2$ which is equivalent to $\alpha>\frac{\pi}{2}(p-2)$. Thus, by (iv) ${ }_{2}^{\prime}$ we finally get $\int g(u) \cdot u-2 G(u) \rightarrow \infty$ which proves $\left(\mathrm{f}_{2}\right)^{\prime}$. The lemma is established.

We are now able to formulate the main result.

Theorem. The problem (1) has infinitely many distinct solutions if the assumptions (i), (ii), (iii), and any one of the conditions stated in the Lemma is fulfilled.

Proof : As indicated above, we have to examine $\left(f_{1}\right)-\left(f_{4}\right) .\left(f_{2}\right)$ is proved in the lemma, ( $f_{4}$ ) is obvious from (i).

Now, consider a sequence $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ as assumed in $\left(f_{1}\right)$. Observe that

$$
-\Delta u_{k}-\lambda u_{k}-g\left(u_{k}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { in } H^{\prime}
$$

Since $(-\Delta)^{-1}: H^{\prime} \rightarrow H$ is continuous, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{k}-\lambda \cdot(-\Delta)^{-1} u_{k}-(-\Delta)^{-1} g\left(u_{k}\right) \rightarrow 0 \text { in } H \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ is bounded in $H$, hence possesses a weak convergent subsequence still denoted by $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{k} \rightarrow u_{0} \quad(\text { weak convergence in } H) . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $W_{q}^{r}:=W_{q}^{r}(\Omega)$ denote the usual Sobolev spaces, $1<q<\infty$. Next we show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \rightarrow(-\Delta)^{-1} g(u): H \rightarrow H \quad \text { is compact. } \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $u \in H \subset W_{2}^{1}$. The well-known Sobolev embedding theorem leads to $u \in L_{\frac{2 n}{n-2}}$, and by (ii) we obtain $g(u) \in L_{q}$,

$$
q=\frac{2 n}{(n-2)(p-1)} \quad(\text { for } n=2 \text { arbitrary } q<\infty \text { is allowed })
$$

Since $p<\frac{2 n}{n-2}$, we have $q>\frac{2 n}{n+2} \geq 1$. Elliptic regularity yields the boundedness of $(-\Delta)^{-1} \circ g: H \rightarrow W_{q}^{2}$, and according to the compact embedding $W_{q}^{2} \hookrightarrow \hookrightarrow W_{2}^{1}$ we get (12). It remains to observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \rightarrow(-\Delta)^{-1} u: H \rightarrow H \quad \text { is compact. } \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Properties (10) - (13) imply ( $\mathrm{f}_{1}$ ).
In order to verify ( $f_{3}$ ) we choose the following subspaces:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
H_{l}^{-}:=\underset{i}{\oplus} M_{i}, & i=1,2, \ldots, l \\
H_{l^{\prime}}^{+}:=\underset{j}{\oplus} \bar{\oplus}_{j}, & j=l^{\prime}, l^{\prime}+1, \ldots
\end{array}
$$

where $M_{s}$ denotes the eigenspaces corresponding to the eigenvalues $0<\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2}<$ $\lambda_{3}<\ldots$ of the laplacian. Let $l, l^{\prime}$ be such that $m:=\operatorname{dim} H_{l}^{-}-\operatorname{codim} H_{l^{\prime}}^{+}>0$ and $\lambda<\lambda_{l}, \lambda_{l^{\prime}}$. By the definition of $F(u)$ and (ii), (iii) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
2 F(u) & =\int|\nabla u|^{2}-\lambda \int u^{2}-2 \int G(u)  \tag{14}\\
& \geq\|u\|_{H}^{2}-c^{\prime}\|u\|_{L_{p}}^{p}-c^{\prime \prime}, \quad c^{\prime}, c^{\prime \prime}>0
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, by the definition of $H_{l^{+}}^{+}$we have $\|u\|_{L_{2}}^{2} /\|u\|_{H}^{2} \leq 1 / \lambda_{l}$ for $u \in H_{l^{\prime}}^{+}$, which, substituted into (9) for $\alpha=2$, gives

$$
\|u\|_{L_{p}} \leq c \cdot\|u\|_{H} \cdot\left(\lambda_{l^{\prime}}\right)^{-(1-\Theta) / 2}, \quad u \in H_{l^{\prime}}^{+}
$$

where $\Theta=n\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}\right)<1$. Together with (14) this yields

$$
F(u) \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(\delta^{2}-c^{\prime} \cdot c \cdot \delta^{p} \cdot \lambda_{l^{\prime}}^{-(1-\Theta) p / 2}-c^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

for all $u \in H_{l}^{+},\|u\|_{H}=\delta$. This, in turn, implies (3) with an arbitrary large $c_{0}$ if first $\delta$ and then $l^{\prime}$ are chosen large enough.

Finally, to show (4), we take $c_{3}$ in (iii) such that $c_{3} / 2>\lambda_{1}-\lambda$. According to (iii) we have

$$
\int G(u) \geq \int \frac{c_{3}}{2} u^{2}-c_{4}|u| \geq \frac{c_{3}}{4}\|u\|_{L_{2}}^{2}-c_{4}^{\prime}
$$

Furthermore,

$$
\|u\|_{H}^{2} \leq \lambda_{l} \cdot\|u\|_{L_{2}}^{2}, \quad u \in H_{l}^{-}
$$

Thus, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 F(u) & \leq\|u\|_{I I}^{2}-\lambda\|u\|_{L_{2}}^{2}-\frac{c_{3}}{2}\|u\|_{L_{2}}^{2}+2 c_{4}^{\prime} \\
& \leq\left(\lambda_{l}-\lambda-\frac{c_{3}}{2}\right) \cdot\|u\|_{L_{2}}^{2}+2 c_{4}^{\prime} \leq 2 c_{4}^{\prime}, \quad u \in H_{l}^{-}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\left(f_{3}\right)$ is completely established.
Now, the theorem follows from the proposition.
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