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# On weak solutions to a viscoelasticity model 
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#### Abstract

The existence of global in time weak solutions of a viscoelasticity model is proved. There is no restriction on the dimension but it is supposed that the memory response is linear and a kernel has special properties.
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## 1. Introduction.

The purpose of this paper is to prove the existence of global in time weak solutions of equations of motion for a model of viscoelastic body. We assume that the body occupies a reference configuration $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^{N}$ ( $\Omega$ is a bounded domain with smooth boundary) and has unit reference density. We denote by $u(x, t)$ the displacement at the time $t$ of the particle with the reference position $x$. The strain $\epsilon$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon(x, t)=\nabla_{x} u(x, t) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the equation of balance of linear momentum has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t t}(x, t)=\operatorname{div}_{x} \sigma(x, t)+f(x, t) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma$ is the stress and $f$ is a body force. The body is characterized by constitutive assumptions which relate the stress to the motion. General constitutive theories are discussed for example in Coleman \& Noll [2], Coleman \& Mizel [1] and Saut \& Joseph [11]. For the comprehensive account see the recent monograph Renardy \& Hrusa \& Nohel [10].

We shall limit our attention to constitutive relations of the type

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(x, t)=\int_{-\infty}^{t} k(t-s) G(\epsilon(x, t), \epsilon(x, s)) d s \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $k$ is a given nonincreasing positive function which satisfies certain growth conditions at 0 and $\infty$. We suppose that the tensor function $G$ has the special form

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(a, b)=g(a)+h(b) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, our crucial assumption is that $h$ is linear. Assumptions on $g$ are stated below.

Substitution of the constitutive relations into (1.2) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t t}(x, t)=\operatorname{div}_{x} g(\nabla u(x, t))-\int_{-\infty}^{t} k(t-s) \Delta u(x, s) d s+f(x, t) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$x \in \Omega, t \geq 0$. We consider this equation together with the Dirichlet boundary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \mid \partial \Omega=0 \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall write (1.5) and (1.6) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t t}+\phi(u)+k * \Delta u=f . \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We seek a vector function $u$ which satisfies (1.7) in weak sense together with the initial conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(0)=u_{0}, \quad u_{t}(0)=u_{1} . \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We remark that little is known about the existence of weak solutions for viscoelastic models. Recently, Nohel \& Rogers \& Tzavaras [9] established the global existence of weak solutions to the initial value problem above in the special case $\Omega=\mathbf{R}$ and $g=h$ in (1.4).

In Section 2 we introduce appropriate function spaces. Section 3 is devoted to the proof of the existence of weak solutions. The proof is standard: we use the Galerkin method to construct approximate solutions, establish a priori estimates and use compact imbeddings and the theory of monotone operators to prove convergence. The method of monotone operators was used in similar situation in [6].

The authors are indebted to John A. Nohel for the discussion of the preliminary version of this paper.

After this paper was finished we learned about the work of H.Engler [3] dealing with more general equation than (1.5) in one space dimension.

## 2. Appropriate spaces and operators.

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^{N}$ be a bounded domain with smooth boundary. The spaces $V=$ $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega), \quad H=L^{2}(\Omega)$ and $V^{\prime}=H^{-1}(\Omega)$ of $\mathbf{R}^{N}$-valued functions are defined in the usual way. We denote by $(\cdot, \cdot)$ and $((\cdot, \cdot))$ respectively the scalar product in $H$ and $V$. The corresponding norms are denoted by $|\cdot|$ and $\|\cdot\|$. The duality between $V^{\prime}$ and $V$ is denoted by $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$. The Laplace operator $\Delta: V \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ is defined by

$$
\langle-\Delta u, v\rangle=\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v=((u, v))
$$

$u, v \in V$.
Consider the orthogonal basis of $H$ consisting of the eigenfunctions $w_{n} \in V$ of $-\Delta$. We assume

$$
-\Delta w_{n}=\lambda_{n} w_{n}, \quad\left|w_{n}\right|=1, \quad n=1,2, \cdots,
$$

where $0<\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2} \leq \lambda_{3}$ is the sequence of eigenvalues of $-\Delta$.
We denote by $P_{m}$ the orthogonal projection (in $H$ ) of $H$ onto the linear hull $V_{m}$ of the first $m$ eigenfunctions. The following statement is obvious:

Lemma 1. The operators $P_{m}$ can be extended to the orthogonal projections in $V^{\prime}$. The extension of $P_{m}$ to $V^{\prime}$ will be denoted also by $P_{m}$.
Let $u \in V$ and let $c_{k}=\left(w_{k}, u\right)$. We define

$$
[u]_{s}^{2}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{k}^{s} c_{k}^{2} .
$$

We shall assume $-\frac{1}{2}<s<\frac{1}{2}$. We can consider $[\cdot]_{s}$ as a norm on $V$ and the completion of $V$ in this norm is denoted by $H^{s}(\Omega)$.

Let $E$ be a Hilbert space and let $a<b \in \mathbf{R}$. The space $L^{2}(a, b ; E)$ is defined in the usual way. For $0<\nu<\frac{1}{2}$ and $u \in L^{2}(a, b ; E)$ we define

$$
\|u\|_{\nu}^{2}=\int_{0}^{1} d t \cdot t^{-(2 \nu+1)} \int_{\mathbf{R}}|u(\tau-t)-u(\tau)|_{E}^{2} d \tau
$$

(We extend $u$ by zero outside $(a, b)$.) The space of all $u \in L^{2}(a, b ; E)$ for which $\|u\|_{\nu}$ is finite is denoted by $\mathcal{H}^{\nu}(a, b ; E)$. For $u \in L^{2}(a, b ; E)$ we denote by $\hat{u}$ the Fourier transform of $u$. It is well-known (see e.g. [5]) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\int_{\mathbf{R}}\left(1+\sigma^{2}\right)^{\nu}|\hat{u}(\sigma)|_{E}^{2} d \sigma\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an equivalent norm on $\mathcal{H}^{\nu}(a, b ; E)$.We put $\mathcal{H}^{0}(a, b ; E)=L^{2}(a, b ; E)$ and for $0 \leq \alpha<\frac{1}{2}$ define $\mathcal{H}^{1+\alpha}(a, b ; E)$ as the space of all $u \in L^{2}(a, b ; E)$ with distributional derivatives $u^{\prime}$ belonging to $\mathcal{H}^{\alpha}(a, b ; E)$. The norm on $\mathcal{H}^{1+\alpha}(a, b ; E)$ is defined by

$$
\|u\|_{1+\alpha}=\|u\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{\alpha} .
$$

We also introduce the spaces

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{H}_{-}^{1+\alpha}(a, b ; E)=\left\{u \in \mathcal{H}^{1+\alpha}(a, b ; E), u(a)=0\right\} \\
& \mathcal{H}_{0}^{1+\alpha}(a, b ; E)=\left\{u \in \mathcal{H}^{1+\alpha}(a, b ; E), u(a)=u(b)=0\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Throughout this article we assume $0<\nu<\frac{1}{2}$.
Lemma 2. The natural imbedding

$$
\mathcal{H}_{-}^{1+\nu}\left(a, b ; V^{\prime}\right) \cap L^{\infty}(a, b ; H) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{-}^{1}\left(a, b ; V^{\prime}\right)
$$

is compact.
Proof : Let $\left(u_{m}\right)$ be a bounded sequence in

$$
\mathcal{H}_{-}^{1+\nu}\left(a, b ; V^{\prime}\right) \cap L^{\infty}(a, b ; H)
$$

We fix a smooth function $\theta$ vanishing on $(b+1, \infty)$ and $=1$ in a neighbourhood of $b$ and define

$$
v_{m}(t)= \begin{cases}u_{m}(t), & t \in[a, b] \\ \theta(t) u_{m}(b), & t \in[b, b+1] .\end{cases}
$$

The sequence $v_{m}$ is bounded in $\mathcal{H}_{0}^{1+\nu}\left(a, b+1 ; V^{\prime}\right) \cap L^{\infty}(a, b+1 ; H)$. Let $\beta \in$ $(0,1), \quad \nu^{\prime} \in(0, \nu)$ satisfy $\beta(1+\nu)>1+\nu^{\prime}$. We notice that

$$
\lambda_{k}^{-\beta}\left(1+\sigma^{2}\right)^{1+\nu^{\prime}} \leq \lambda_{k}^{-1}\left(1+\sigma^{2}\right)^{1+\nu}+1
$$

and using the definition of the norm $[\cdot]_{\nu}$ and the expression (2.2) we see that

$$
\mathcal{H}_{0}^{1+\nu}\left(a, b+1 ; V^{\prime}\right) \cap L^{\infty}(a, b+1 ; H)
$$

is continuously imbedded into $\mathcal{H}_{0}^{1+\nu^{\prime}}\left(a, b+1 ; H^{-\beta}(\Omega)\right)$. The last space is compactly imbedded into $\mathcal{H}_{0}^{1}\left(a, b+1 ; V^{\prime}\right)$.(See the proof of Theorem 1.5.2. in [4],for example.)

To construct the operator $\phi$ in (1.7.) we fix a convex function $F: \mathbf{R}^{N \times N} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ of the class $\mathcal{C}^{2}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F(0)=0, \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_{i j}}(0)=0, \quad i, j=1, \cdots, N \\
& \left|\frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial p_{i j} \partial p_{k l}}\right| \leq M, \quad i, j, k, l=1, \cdots, N \\
& \sum_{i, j, k, l=1}^{N} \frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial p_{i j} \partial p_{k l}} \xi_{i j} \xi_{k l} \geq \mu|\xi|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for some positive $\mu$ and $M$. We define the operator $\varphi: V \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ by the formula

$$
\langle\varphi(u), v\rangle=\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_{i j}}(\nabla u) \frac{\partial v_{i}}{\partial x_{j}},
$$

$u, v \in V$ Clearly $\varphi$ is Lipschitz continuous and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu\|u-v\|^{2} \leq\langle\varphi(u)-\varphi(v), u-v\rangle \leq M\|u-v\|^{2}, \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$u, v \in V$. For fixed $T \in(0, \infty)$ we introduce the operator $\phi: L^{2}(0, T ; V) \rightarrow L^{2}\left(0, T ; V^{\prime}\right)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\phi(u), v\rangle_{T}=\int_{0}^{T}\langle\varphi(u(t)), v(t)\rangle d t \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$u, v \in L^{2}(0, T ; V)$, where $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{T}$ denotes the duality between
$L^{2}(0, T ; V)$ and $L^{2}\left(0, T ; V^{\prime}\right)$.

Lemma 3. The operator $\phi$ maps $\mathcal{H}^{\nu}(0, T ; V)$ into $\mathcal{H}^{\nu}\left(0, T ; V^{\prime}\right)$ and

$$
\|\phi(u)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\nu}\left(0, T ; V^{\prime}\right)} \leq M\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\nu}(0, T ; V)}
$$

for all $u \in \mathcal{H}^{\nu}(0, T ; V)$.
Proof : This follows easily from (2.5).
Let us fix $\alpha, \beta>0$ and let

$$
k(t)= \begin{cases}0, & \text { for } t \leq 0  \tag{2.7}\\ \beta t^{-2 \nu} e^{-\alpha t}, & \text { for } t>0\end{cases}
$$

In what follows we could replace $k$ by any function vanishing on $(-\infty, 0)$ and satisfying together with its derivative the same growth conditions at 0 and $\infty$ as the special $k$ above.

We define the operator $\mathcal{K}: L^{2}(0, T ; V) \rightarrow L^{2}\left(0, T ; V^{\prime}\right)$ by

$$
\mathcal{K} u(t)=\int_{0}^{t}-k(t-s) \Delta u(s) d s
$$

It is not difficult to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\mathcal{K} u, u\rangle \leq \kappa\|u\|_{L^{2}(0, T ; V)}^{2}, \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\kappa=\int_{0}^{\infty} k$.
Lemma 4. The operator $\mathcal{K}$ maps $\mathcal{H}^{\nu}(0, T ; V)$ into $\mathcal{H}^{\nu}\left(0, T ; V^{\prime}\right)$ and

$$
\|\mathcal{K} u\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\nu}\left(0, T ; V^{\prime}\right)} \leq \kappa\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\nu}(0, T ; V)} .
$$

Proof : This is easy.
Lemma 5. Let $E$ be a Hilbert space and let $v: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow E$ satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
v(t) & =0 \quad \text { for } t \leq 0 \\
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} v(t) & =v_{\infty} \quad(\text { strong limit }),  \tag{2.9}\\
v^{\prime} & \in L^{1} \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbf{R} ; E)
\end{align*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(k * v(s), v^{\prime}(s)\right)_{E} d s  \tag{2.10}\\
&=\frac{\kappa}{2}\left|v_{\infty}\right|_{E}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} d s k^{\prime}(s) \int_{0}^{\infty} d t|v(t)-v(t-s)|_{E}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof : It is not difficult to see that the following computation is legal

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{\infty}(k & \left.* v(s), v^{\prime}(s)\right)_{E} d s-\frac{\kappa}{2}\left|v_{\infty}\right|_{E}^{2} \\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty} d s \int_{0}^{\infty} d t k(t)\left(v(s-t)-v(s), v^{\prime}(s)\right)_{E} \\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty} d s \int_{0}^{\infty} d t k(s-t)\left(v(t)-v(s), \frac{d}{d s}(v(s)-v(t))\right)_{E} \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} d s \int_{0}^{\infty} d t k(s-t) \frac{d}{d s}|v(s)-v(t)|_{E}^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} d t \int_{0}^{\infty} d s k^{\prime}(s-t)|v(s)-v(t)|_{E}^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} d s \int_{0}^{\infty} d t k^{\prime}(t)|v(s)-v(s-t)|_{E}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof is finished.

## 3. Construction of solutions.

Our next step consist in the construction of Galerkin approximations for the problem (1.7),(1.8). We assume that the forcing term $f$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
f \in L^{2}(0, T ; H) \cap \mathcal{H}^{\nu}\left(0, T ; V^{\prime}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some fixed $T \in(0,+\infty)$.
Lemma 6. Suppose $\mu>\kappa$. For each $m \in \mathbf{N}$ there is a unique function $u_{m} \in$ $H_{2}^{2}\left(0, T ; V_{m}\right)$ satisfying
(3.2) $\quad\left\langle\left(u_{m}^{\prime \prime}(t)+\varphi\left(u_{m}(t)\right)+k * \Delta u_{m}(t)\right), w_{j}\right\rangle=\left(f(t), w_{j}\right), \quad j=1, \ldots, m$,
for a.e. $t \in(0, T)$ and

$$
u_{m}(0)=u_{m}^{\prime}(0)=0
$$

The functions $u_{m}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{m}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(0, T ; H)}^{2}+\left\|u_{m}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(0, T ; V)}^{2} \leq c \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{m}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\nu}(0, T ; V)}^{2} \leq c \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ does not depend on $m$.
Proof : It is standard that (3.2) has a solution on a small interval $(0, \delta)$. (See e.g. [7]). Let us derive a priori estimates. Let $t \in(0, T)$ and suppose $u_{m}$ is defined on ( $0, t$ ). Replacing $w_{j}$ by $u_{m}^{\prime}$ in (3.2) and integrating over ( $0, t$ ) we obtain

$$
\left.\left(\frac{1}{2}\left|u_{m}^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\int_{\Omega} F\left(\nabla u_{m}\right)\right)\right|_{t=0} ^{t=t}=\int_{0}^{t}\left(\left(k * u_{m}, u_{m}^{\prime}\right)\right)+\int_{0}^{t}\left(f, u_{m}^{\prime}\right)
$$

From Lemma 5 we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{t}\left(\left(k * u_{m}, u_{m}^{\prime}\right)\right)  \tag{3.5}\\
& \quad=\frac{\kappa}{2}\left\|u_{m}(t)\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} d \tau k^{\prime}(\tau) \int_{0}^{\infty} d s\left\|u_{m}^{(t)}(s-\tau)-u_{m}^{(t)}(s)\right\|^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
u_{m}^{(t)}(s)= \begin{cases}u_{m}(s), & \text { if } s<t \\ u_{m}(t), & \text { if } s \geq t .\end{cases}
$$

Since the second term on the right-hand side is negative (or nonpositive) and $u_{m}(0)=u_{m}^{\prime}(0)=0$, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}\left|u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}+\int_{\Omega} F\left(\nabla u_{m}(t)\right)-\frac{\kappa}{2}\left\|u_{m}(t)\right\|^{2} \leq \int_{0}^{t}\left(f, u_{m}^{\prime}\right) . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now if $\mu>\kappa$ then

$$
\int_{\Omega} F\left(\nabla u_{m}(t)\right)-\frac{\kappa}{2}\left\|u_{m}(t)\right\|^{2} \geq \frac{\mu-\kappa}{2}\left\|u_{m}(t)\right\|^{2}
$$

and by the standard use of the Gronwall lemma we infer

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left|u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}+\left\|u_{m}(t)\right\|^{2}  \tag{3.7}\\
&-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} d \tau k^{\prime}(\tau) \int_{0}^{\infty} d s\left\|u_{m}^{(t)}(s-\tau)-u_{m}^{(t)}(s)\right\|^{2} \leq c
\end{align*}
$$

where $c$ is independent of $m$ and $t \leq T$.
To estimate the $\mathcal{H}^{\nu}$ norm let us define

$$
\tilde{u}_{m}(s)= \begin{cases}u_{m}(s), & \text { if } s \leq t \\ 0, & \text { if } s>t\end{cases}
$$

By Lemma 5 the $\mathcal{H}^{\nu}(0, T ; V)$ norm of $u_{m}$ is estimated by $\int_{\mathbf{R}}\left(\left(k * \tilde{u}_{m}, \tilde{u}_{m}^{\prime}\right)\right)$. But this integral equals to

$$
-\left(\left(k * u_{m}(t), u_{m}(t)\right)\right)+\int_{0}^{t}\left(\left(k * u_{m}, u_{m}^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

since, roughly speaking, $\tilde{u}_{m}^{\prime}$ gives the Dirac measure at $t$. By (3.5) this amounts to

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\frac{\kappa}{2}\left\|u_{m}(t)\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} d \tau k^{\prime}(\tau) \int_{0}^{\infty} d s\left\|u_{m}^{(t)}(s-\tau)-u_{m}^{(t)}(s)\right\|^{2} \\
-\left(\left(k * u_{m}(t), u_{m}(t)\right)\right)
\end{array}
$$

and this expression is bounded by (3.7). Hence

$$
\left\|u_{m}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\nu}(0, T ; V)}^{2} \leq c,
$$

where $c$ does not depend on $m$ and $t \leq T$. The proof is finished.

Lemma 7. The sequence $u_{m}^{\prime \prime}$ is compact in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; V^{\prime}\right)$.
Proof : We notice that (3.2) can be rewritten as

$$
u_{m}^{\prime \prime}+\tilde{P}_{m} \phi(u)+\mathcal{K} u_{m}=\tilde{P}_{m} f,
$$

where $\tilde{P}_{m}: L^{2}\left(0, T ; V^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow L^{2}\left(0, T ; V_{m}\right)$ is defined by $\left(\tilde{P}_{m} u\right)(t)=P_{m}(u(t))$ (see Lemma 1). We can now use Lemmas 1-4 together with the estimates (3.3) and (3.4) to infer that the sequence $u_{m}^{\prime}$ is bounded in $\mathcal{H}_{-}^{1+\nu}\left(a, b ; V^{\prime}\right) \cap L^{\infty}(a, b ; H)$ and hence compact in $\mathcal{H}_{-}^{1}\left(a, b ; V^{\prime}\right)$. This implies that $u_{m}^{\prime \prime}$ is compact in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; V^{\prime}\right)$. The proof is finished.

Passing to a subsequence, if necessary, we can assume that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{m} \rightarrow u \quad \text { in } \quad L^{2}(0, T ; V), \\
& u_{m}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow u^{\prime \prime} \quad \text { in } \quad L^{2}\left(0, T ; V^{\prime}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem. Let $\kappa<\mu$. Then $u$ is a weak solution of (1.7).
Proof : The only problem is to show that $\phi\left(u_{m}\right) \rightharpoonup \phi(u)$ in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; V^{\prime}\right)$. Since clearly $\mathcal{K} u_{m} \rightarrow \mathcal{K} u$ it is enough to show $\mathcal{B} u_{m}-\mathcal{B} u$, where $\mathcal{B}=\phi-\mathcal{K}$. By our assumptions, $\mathcal{B}$ is strongly monotone and Lipschitz continuous. We can suppose $\mathcal{B} u_{m} \rightharpoonup \chi$ in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; V^{\prime}\right)$. Clearly $u^{\prime \prime}+\chi=f$. For any $v \in L^{2}(0, T ; V)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle\chi-\mathcal{B} v, u-v\rangle_{T} & =\left\langle-u^{\prime \prime}+f-\mathcal{B} v, u-v\right\rangle_{T}=(\text { by Lemma } 7) \\
& =\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle u_{m}^{\prime \prime}+f-\mathcal{B} v, u_{m}-v\right\rangle_{T}=\left\langle\mathcal{B} u_{m}-\mathcal{B} v, u_{m}-v\right\rangle_{T} \geq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

From this we can infer $\chi=\mathcal{B} u$ by "Minty's trick". (See, for example, [8]). The proof is finished.

Remark. If $T=\infty$ and $f \in L^{1}(0, \infty ; H)$ the procedure above yields a weak solution $u$ on the interval $(0, \infty)$ which belongs to the space $\mathcal{H}^{\nu}(0, \infty ; V)$. This follows easily from the estimate

$$
\left\|u_{m}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\nu}(0, \infty ; V)} \leq\|f\|_{L^{1}(0, \infty ; H)},
$$

which can be obtained in a similar way as (3.7).
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