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# ON ZEROS OF SOLUTIONS OF THE DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION 

$$
y^{\prime \prime}+f(t, y) g\left(y^{\prime}\right)=0
$$

MIROSLAV BARTUŠEK, Brno<br>(Received August 24, 1978)

1. Consider the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}+f(t, y) g\left(y^{\prime}\right)=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f \in C^{1}(D), D=\{(t, y): t \in[a, \infty), y \in R\}, f(t, y)=-f(t,-y)$ in $D, f(t, y) y>$ $>0$ for $y \neq 0, g \in C_{0}(-\infty, \infty), g(v)>0$ for $v \in R$.

A non-trivial solution $y$ of (1) is called oscillatory if there exists a sequence of numbers $\left\{t_{k}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ such that $a \leqq t_{k}<t_{k+1}, y\left(t_{k}\right)=0, y(t) \neq 0$ on $\left(t_{k}, t_{k+1}\right)$, $k=1,2,3, \ldots, \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} t_{k}=\infty$ holds.

In all the paper we shall omit the trivial solution $y \equiv 0$ from our considerations.
Let $y$ be an oscillatory solution of (1) and $\left\{t_{k}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ the sequence of all its zeros. Then there exists exactly one sequence of numbers $\left\{\tau_{k}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ called the sequence of extremants of $y$, such that $t_{k}<\tau_{k}<t_{k+1}, y^{\prime}\left(\tau_{k}\right)=0$ holds and

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
f(t, y(t)) y^{\prime}(t)>0 & \text { on }\left(t_{k}, \tau_{k}\right) \\
f(t, y(t)) y^{\prime}(t)<0 & \text { on }\left(\tau_{k}, t_{k+1}\right) \tag{2}
\end{array}
$$

(see [1], [2]).
Put $\Delta_{i}=t_{i+1}-t_{i}, \quad \delta_{i}=\tau_{i}-t_{i}, \quad \gamma_{i}=t_{i+1}-\tau_{i}, \quad i=1,2,3, \ldots, \quad D_{1}=$ $=\{(t, y):(t, y) \in \nu, y \geqq 0\}, D_{2}=\{(t, y):(t, y) \in D, y>0\}$. Thus $\Delta_{i}=\delta_{i}+\gamma_{i}$. Our aim lies in finding conditions under which the sequence $\left\{\Delta_{i}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ is monotone. This problem was studied e.g. in [3], [4]. The necessary results of [3] are stated in the following

Theorem 1. Let $y$ be an oscillatory solution of (1) and let $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|f(t, y)| \leqq 0$ $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|f(t, y)| \geqq 0\right)$.
(i) If $g(v)=g(-v)$ for $v \in R$, then $\delta_{k} \leqq \gamma_{k}\left(\delta_{k} \geqq \gamma_{k}\right) k=1,2,3, \ldots$ and

$$
\left|y\left({ }^{1} t\right)\right| \leqq\left|y\left({ }^{2} t\right)\right| \quad\left(\left|y\left({ }^{1} t\right)\right| \geqq\left|y\left({ }^{2} t\right)\right|\right)
$$

where ${ }^{1} t \in\left[\tau_{k}, t_{k+1}\right],{ }^{2} t \in\left[t_{k+1}, \tau_{k+1}\right],\left|y^{\prime}\left({ }^{1} t\right)\right|=\left|y^{\prime}\left({ }^{2} t\right)\right|$.
(ii) If $\frac{\partial}{\partial y} f(t, y) \geqq 0$ in $D, \frac{\partial}{\partial y} f(t, y)$ is non-increasing with respect to $y$ in $D_{1}$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial y} f(t, y)$ is non-increasing (non-decreasing) with respect to $t$ in $D_{1}$, then

$$
\gamma_{k} \leqq \delta_{k+1} \quad\left(\gamma_{k} \geqq \delta_{k+1}\right), \quad k=1,2,3, \ldots
$$

If, in addition, $g(v)=g(-v), v \in R$, then

$$
\Delta_{k} \leqq \Delta_{k+1} \quad\left(\Delta_{k} \geqq \Delta_{k+1}\right), \quad k=1,2,3, \ldots
$$

Bihari [4] deals with the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}+h(t) f(y) g\left(y^{\prime}\right)=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h \in C^{1}[a, \infty), f \in C^{1}(R), g \in C_{0}(R), f(y) y>0$ for $y \neq 0, h>0, g>0$, $f(y)=-f(-y)$. He proved that $\left\{\Delta_{k}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ is non-increasing under the more restrictive assumptions (as in Theorem 1) on the functions $g$ and $h$ and under the different assumptions on the function $f$.
2. Now, we prove the monotonicity of $\left\{\Delta_{k}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ under less restrictive assumptions on $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$ considered as the function of $y$.

Theorem 2. Let $y$ be an oscillatory solution of (1) and suppose that $\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \leqq 0$ in $D_{1}$, $\frac{1}{f} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$ is non-increasing with respect to $y$ in $D_{2}$
and
$\frac{1}{f} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$ is non-increasing with respect to $t$ in $D_{2}$.
Then $\gamma_{k} \leqq \delta_{k+1}, k=1,2,3, \ldots$
If, in addition, $g(v)=g(-v), v \in R$, then $\Delta_{k} \leqq \Delta_{k+1}, k=1,2,3, \ldots$
Proof. Denote by ${ }^{1} t\left(y^{\prime}\right)\left({ }^{2} t\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right)$ the inverse function to $y^{\prime}(t), t \in\left[\tau_{k}, t_{k+1}\right]$ ( $t \in\left[t_{k+1}, \tau_{k+1}\right]$ ). These functions exist because $y^{\prime \prime}(t)=0 \Leftrightarrow y(t)=0 \Leftrightarrow t=t_{k+1}$ on [ $\tau_{k}, \tau_{k+1}$ ]. Suppose that $y^{\prime}(t)>0$ holds on $\left(\tau_{k}, \tau_{k+1}\right)$. If $y^{\prime}(t)<0$, the statement can be proved similarly. Then $y(t)<0, f<0, y^{\prime \prime}(t)>0$ on [ $\left.\tau_{k}, t_{k+1}\right), y(t)>0, f>0$, $y^{\prime \prime}(t)<0$ on $\left(t_{k+1}, \tau_{k+1}\right]$ (use (2)). By use of $f$ being odd with respect to $y$ the following estimation holds for $y^{\prime} \in J=\left[0, y^{\prime}\left(t_{k+1}\right)\right]$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} y^{\prime}}\left\{\frac{\left|y^{\prime \prime}\left({ }^{1} t\right)\right|}{g\left(y^{\prime}\right)}-\frac{\left|y^{\prime \prime}\left({ }^{2} t\right)\right|}{g\left(y^{\prime}\right)}\right\}= \\
=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} y^{\prime}}\left\{\left|f\left({ }^{1} t, y\left({ }^{1} t\right)\right)\right|-f\left({ }^{2} t, y\left({ }^{2} t\right)\right)\right\}= \\
=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left|f\left({ }^{1} t, y\left({ }^{1} t\right)\right)\right| \cdot \frac{1}{y^{\prime \prime}\left({ }^{1} t\right)}+\frac{y^{\prime}}{y^{\prime \prime}\left({ }^{1} t\right)} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left|f\left({ }^{1} t, y\left({ }^{1} t\right)\right)\right|- \\
-\frac{1}{y^{\prime \prime}\left({ }^{2} t\right)} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left|f\left({ }^{2} t, y\left({ }^{2} t\right)\right)\right|-\frac{y^{\prime}}{y^{\prime \prime}\left({ }^{2} t\right)} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} f\left({ }^{2} t, y\left({ }^{2} t\right)\right) \leqq \\
\leqq y^{\prime}\left\{-\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial y} f\left({ }^{1} t,\left|y\left({ }^{1} t\right)\right|\right)}{y^{\prime \prime}\left({ }^{1} t\right)}-\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial y} f\left({ }^{2} t, y\left({ }^{2} t\right)\right)}{y^{\prime \prime}\left({ }^{2} t\right)}\right\}= \\
= \\
\frac{y^{\prime}}{g\left(y^{\prime}\right)}\left\{-\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial y} f\left({ }^{1} t,\left|y\left({ }^{1} t\right)\right|\right)}{f\left({ }^{1} t,\left|y\left({ }^{1} t\right)\right|\right)}+\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial y} f\left({ }^{2} t, y\left({ }^{2} t\right)\right)}{f\left({ }^{2} t, y^{\prime}\left({ }^{2} t\right)\right)}\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

As $\left|y\left({ }^{1} t\right)\right| \leqq y\left({ }^{2} t\right)$ holds according to Theorem 1 , we can see that $\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{\prime}} G\left(y^{\prime}\right) \leqq \alpha$ on $J$ and $G\left(y^{\prime}\left(t_{k+1}\right)\right)=0$ where $G\left(y^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\left|y^{\prime \prime \prime}\left({ }^{1} t\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right)\right|}{g\left(y^{\prime}\right)}-\frac{\left|y^{\prime \prime}\left({ }^{2} t\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right)\right|}{g\left(y^{\prime}\right)}$. From this $G\left(y^{\prime}\right) \geqq 0, y^{\prime} \in J$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}\left({ }^{1} t\right) \geqq\left|y^{\prime \prime}\left({ }^{2} t\right)\right|, \quad y^{\prime} \in J . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider two functions $z_{1}\left(y^{\prime}\right)=t_{k+1}-{ }^{1} t\left(y^{\prime}\right), z_{2}\left(y^{\prime}\right)={ }^{2} t\left(y^{\prime}\right)-t_{k+1}, y^{\prime} \in J$. According to (4)

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} y^{\prime}}\left[z_{1}-z_{2}\right]=-\frac{1}{y^{\prime \prime}\left({ }^{1} t\right)}-\frac{1}{y^{\prime \prime}\left({ }^{2} t\right)} \geqq 0, \quad y^{\prime} \in\left[0, y^{\prime}\left(t_{k+1}\right)\right)
$$

Thus $z_{1}-z_{2}$ is non-decreasing and with respect to $z_{1}\left(y^{\prime}\right)=z_{2}\left(y^{\prime}\right)=0$ for $y^{\prime}=$ $=y^{\prime}\left(t_{k+1}\right)$ we can conclude that $z_{1} \leqq z_{2}$ and the first part of the statement $\gamma_{k} \leqq \delta_{k+1}$ is proved. The rest follows from this and from Theorem 1

$$
\Delta_{k}=\gamma_{k}+\delta_{k} \leqq \delta_{k+1}+\gamma_{k} \leqq \gamma_{k+1}+\delta_{k+1}=\Delta_{k+1}
$$

The theorem is proved.
The following theorem can be proved similarly to Theorem 2.
Theorem 3. Let $y$ be an oscillatory solution of (1) and suppose that $\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \geqq 0$ in $D_{1}$, $\frac{1}{f} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$ is non-increasing with respect to $y$ in $D_{2}$
and
$\frac{1}{f} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$ is non-decreasing with respect to $t$ in $D_{2}$.
Then $\gamma_{k} \geqq \delta_{k+1}, k=1,2, \ldots$
If, in addition, $g(v)=g(-v), v \in R$, then $\Delta_{k} \geqq \Delta_{k+1}, k=1,2,3, \ldots$
Corollary. Let $y$ be an oscillatory solution of $(3)$ and let $h^{\prime}(t) \leqq 0\left(h^{\prime}(t) \geqq 0\right)$ for $t \in[a, \infty)$.
(i) If $g(v)=g(-v), v \in R$, then $\delta_{k} \leqq \gamma_{k}\left(\delta_{k} \geqq \gamma_{k}\right), k=1,2, \ldots$
(ii) If $f^{\prime}(y)>0, y \in R$ and $\frac{f^{\prime}(y)}{f(y)}$ is non-increasing for $y>0$, then $\gamma_{k} \leqq \delta_{k+1}$ $\left(\gamma_{k} \geqq \delta_{k+1}\right), k=1,2,3, \ldots$ If, in addition, $g(v)=g(-v), v \in R$, then $\Delta_{k} \leqq \Delta_{k+1}$ $\left(\Delta_{k} \geqq \Delta_{k+1}\right), k=1,2,3, \ldots$
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