

George Blanton; John A. Baker

Iteration groups generated by C^n functions

Archivum Mathematicum, Vol. 18 (1982), No. 3, 121--127

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/107133>

Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 1982

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ITERATION GROUPS GENERATED BY C^n FUNCTIONS

G. BLANTON and JOHN A. BAKER

(Received March 3, 1981)

Throughout this paper I denotes a non-empty open interval in R , the real numbers. Suppose G is a set of functions from I to I which is a group with respect to composition. The main result of this paper gives sufficient conditions on G to guarantee that it is the iteration group generated by a C^n diffeomorphism of I onto R . The concept of iteration group is recalled below.

Whenever a set of functions from I to I is referred to as a group it is understood that the group operation is composition of functions and the identity of the group is the identity function, i , defined by $i(x) = x$ for all $x \in I$. Every member of such a group must therefore be a bijection of I onto I and its inverse is its inverse function.

Given a set G of functions from I to I we define $\cup G = \{(x, f(x)): x \in I, f \in G\}$, the union of the graphs of members of G . Such a set is said to be *disjoint* provided the graphs of any two distinct members of G are disjoint; that is, if $f, g \in G$ and $f(x_0) = g(x_0)$ for some $x_0 \in I$ then $f(x) = g(x)$ for all $x \in I$. We say G is *complete* provided $\cup G = I \times I$.

Suppose $\varphi: I \rightarrow R$ is a bijection of I onto R and for each $\alpha \in R$ we define $\varphi_\alpha(x) = \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(x) + \alpha)$ for $x \in I$. Then it is easy to check that $\{\varphi_\alpha: \alpha \in R\}$ is a group of functions from I to I which we denote by $F[\varphi]$ and call the iteration group generated by φ . In fact the mapping $\alpha \rightarrow \varphi_\alpha$ is easily seen to be an isomorphism of the additive group R onto $F[\varphi]$ and hence $F[\varphi]$ is abelian. It is also clear that $F[\varphi]$ is disjoint. To see that $F[\varphi]$ is complete notice that if $x_0, y_0 \in I$ and $\alpha = \varphi(y_0) - \varphi(x_0)$ then $\varphi_\alpha(x_0) = y_0$. Clearly, if φ is a C^n diffeomorphism of I onto R then every member of $F[\varphi]$ is a C^n function. To summarize, given a C^n diffeomorphism φ of I onto R , $F[\varphi]$ is a complete, disjoint group of C^n functions from I to I . Our main result, which we now state, is a converse to the last assertion and answers a question raised by O. Borůvka and F. Neuman.

Theorem 1. If $n \geq 0$ and F is a complete, disjoint group of C^n functions from I to I then $F = F[\varphi]$ for some C^n diffeomorphism φ of I onto R .

The case $n = 0$ is essentially a result of Aczél [1] for which we give a different proof.

Borůvka and Neuman have also raised the following problems. Given a disjoint group G of continuous functions from I to I such that $\cup G$ is dense in $I \times I$, can G be embedded in a complete, disjoint group F of continuous functions from I to I ? If so, and if each member of G is a C^n function, is each member of F a C^n function? We answer the first question in the *affirmative*. The answer to the second question is no if $n \geq 1$ and is the subject of a forthcoming paper of the first author.

The main tools used in this paper are a theorem of O. Hölder concerning fully ordered Archimedean groups and theorems of N. G. de Bruijn concerning the so-called difference property.

Lemma 2. If G is a disjoint group of continuous functions from I to I then each member of G is a strictly increasing bijection of I onto I .

Proof. As noted earlier, each member of G is a continuous bijection of I onto I and hence strictly monotonic. If some f in G were decreasing then there would exist an $x_0 \in I$ such that $f(x_0) = x_0 = i(x_0)$. But this is impossible since $f \neq i$ and G is disjoint. Hence each member of G is strictly increasing.

If G is a disjoint set of continuous functions from I to I and if $f, g \in G$ with $f \neq g$ then either $f(x) < g(x)$ for all $x \in I$ or $g(x) < f(x)$ for all $x \in I$. Thus we define a total (full or linear) ordering on G by letting $f < g$ in case $f, g \in G$ and $f(x) < g(x)$ for all (or some) $x \in I$. We refer the reader to [3] for terminology concerning partially ordered sets and groups.

Proposition 3. If G is a disjoint group of continuous functions from I to I then G is a fully ordered Archimedean group.

Proof. As just observed, our ordering is full. If $f, g \in G$ and $f < g$ then clearly $f \circ h < g \circ h$ and $h \circ f < h \circ g$ for all $h \in G$ since every h in G is strictly increasing. Thus G is a fully ordered group.

To see that G is Archimedean, let $f, g \in G$ with $i \leq f, i \leq g$ and suppose $f^n < g$ for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$. If $x_0 \in I$ then $x_0 \leq f^n(x_0) \leq f(f^{n-1}(x_0)) = f^{n-1}(x_0) < g(x_0)$ and so, if $y_0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} f^n(x_0)$ then $y_0 \in I$ and $f(y_0) = f(\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} f^n(x_0)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} f(f^n(x_0)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} f^{n+1}(x_0) = y_0 = i(y_0)$. It follows that $f = i$. Hence G is Archimedean.

Our proofs of the embedding result and the case $n = 0$ of Theorem 1 depend heavily on the following theorem of O. Hölder (1901). A proof, due to H. Cartan can be found on pages 45–46 of the book [3] of L. Fuchs.

Theorem 4. Every fully ordered Archimedean group is isomorphic, as an ordered group, to a subgroup of the additive group R with the natural ordering and is therefore abelian.

Corollary 5. Suppose J is a dense subset of I and J is a fully ordered Archimedean group with respect to a binary operation $*$ on J and the natural ordering inherited

from R . Then there exists a strictly increasing bijection φ of I onto R such that $\varphi(x * y) = \varphi(x) + \varphi(y)$ for all $x, y \in J$.

Proof. By Theorem 4 there exists a strictly increasing $\psi : J \rightarrow R$ such that $\psi(x * y) = \psi(x) + \psi(y)$ for all $x, y \in J$. Since J is dense in I , between any two members of J we can find another member of J . Since ψ is strictly increasing, between any two members of $\psi(J)$ we can find another member of $\psi(J)$. Thus in $\psi(J)$ we can find a bounded, strictly monotonic sequence which is therefore a Cauchy sequence in R . That is, given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $u, v \in \psi(J)$ such that $u < v < u + \varepsilon$. Now $\psi(J)$ is a subgroup of R so $v - u \in \psi(J)$ and $0 < v - u < \varepsilon$. Thus $\psi(J)$ contains arbitrarily small positive members. It follows that $\psi(J)$ is dense in R .

Now we show that ψ is continuous. Given $\varepsilon > 0$ choose $\delta \in J$ such that $0 < \psi(\delta) < \varepsilon$. Then $e < \delta$ where e is the identity of J . If $x_0 \in J$ then $x_0 \delta^{-1} < x_0 < x_0 \delta$. If $x_0 \delta^{-1} < x < x_0 \delta$ and $x \in J$ then $\delta^{-1} < x_0^{-1} x < \delta$ and so $-\varepsilon < -\psi(\delta) = \psi(\delta^{-1}) < \psi(x_0^{-1} x) = \psi(x) - \psi(x_0) < \psi(\delta) < \varepsilon$. Now $U = \{x \in J \mid x_0 \delta^{-1} < x < x_0 \delta\}$ is a neighborhood of x_0 in J and we have shown that $|\psi(x) - \psi(x_0)| < \varepsilon$ if $x \in U$. Hence ψ is continuous.

Since J is dense in I there exists a continuous $\varphi : I \rightarrow R$ such that $\varphi(x) = \psi(x)$ for all $x \in J$. But ψ is strictly increasing and hence so is φ . Moreover, $\psi(J)$ is dense in R so $\varphi(I) = R$.

Theorem 6. If G is a disjoint group of continuous functions from I to I such that, for some $\Theta \in I$, $\{f(\Theta) : f \in G\}$ is dense in I then G is a subgroup of $F[\varphi]$ for some strictly increasing continuous bijection φ of I onto R . Moreover, if $\{f(\Theta) : f \in G\} = I$ then $G = F[\varphi]$.

Proof. Suppose $J = \{f(\Theta) : f \in G\}$ is dense in I for some $\Theta \in I$ and define $\Phi : G \rightarrow J$ by letting $\Phi(f) = f(\Theta)$ for all $f \in G$. Then Φ is a strictly increasing bijection of G onto J where J has the natural ordering. For $x, y \in J$ define $x * y = \Phi(\Phi^{-1}(x) \circ \Phi^{-1}(y))$. Then $(J, *)$ is a fully ordered Archimedean group with respect to the natural ordering and in fact Φ is an order isomorphism of G onto J . Notice that $\Theta = \Phi(i)$ is the identity of J . By Corollary 5 there exists a strictly increasing continuous bijection φ of I onto R such that $\varphi(x * y) = \varphi(x) + \varphi(y)$ for all $x, y \in J$. We claim that G is a subgroup of $F[\varphi]$.

Let $f \in G$ and put $\alpha = \varphi(f(\Theta))$. Notice $f(\Theta) \in J$. Then, for any $x \in J$,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_\alpha(x) &= \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(x) + \alpha) = \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(x) + \varphi(f(\Theta))) = \\ &= \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(f(\Theta)) + \varphi(x)) = f(\Theta) * x = \Phi(\Phi^{-1}(f(\Theta)) \circ \Phi^{-1}(x)) = \\ &= \Phi(f \circ \Phi^{-1}(x)) = (f \circ \Phi^{-1}(x))(\Theta) = f(\Phi^{-1}(x)(\Theta)) = f(x) \end{aligned}$$

since $\Phi^{-1}(x)(\Theta) = x$. Since φ_α and f are continuous and J is dense in I , $\varphi_\alpha(x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in I$. Thus G is a subgroup of $F[\varphi]$.

If $J = I$ we claim $G = F[\varphi]$. For, if $\beta \in R$, then there exists $f \in G$ such that

$f(\theta) = \varphi_\beta(\theta)$. But there exists $\alpha \in R$ such that $f = \varphi_\alpha$ so $\varphi_\alpha(\theta) = \varphi_\beta(\theta)$. Hence $\varphi_\beta = \varphi_\alpha$ since $F[\varphi]$ is disjoint and so $\varphi_\beta = f \in G$.

Notice that we have proved Theorem 1 for $n = 0$ because, if G is complete, then $\{f(\theta) : f \in G\} = I$ for all $\theta \in I$.

To settle the first embedding problem it is convenient to consider first the case $I = (0, 1)$. We will use

Proposition 7. Suppose G is a disjoint group of continuous functions from $(0, 1)$ to $(0, 1)$ such that $\cup G$ is dense in $(0, 1) \times (0, 1)$. Then

(i) for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $g \in G$ such that $x < g(x) < x + \varepsilon$ for all $x \in (0, 1)$ and

(ii) for every $\theta \in I$, $\{f(\theta) : f \in G\}$ is dense in I .

Proof. (i) Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1/2$. For $x \in I$, $\cup G$ must intersect the open set $\{(t, u) : x < t < x + \varepsilon, t < u < x + \varepsilon\}$. Hence for each $x \in I$ there exists $f_x \in G$ and $t \in (0, 1)$ such that $x < t < x + \varepsilon$ and $t < f_x(t) < x + \varepsilon$. Since $t < f_x(t)$ we must have $i < f_x$ and so $x < f_x(x)$. Since $x < t$ and f_x is increasing, $f_x(x) < f_x(t) < x + \varepsilon$. Thus $x < f_x(x) < x + \varepsilon$. Since f_x is continuous, there is a neighborhood V_x of x in $(0, 1)$ such that $t < f_x(t) < t + \varepsilon$ for all $t \in V_x$. Now $[\varepsilon, 1 - \varepsilon]$ is compact so we can choose $x_1, \dots, x_n \in [\varepsilon, 1 - \varepsilon]$ such that $[\varepsilon, 1 - \varepsilon] \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^n V_{x_k}$.

Let g be the smallest of f_{x_1}, \dots, f_{x_n} . It follows that $x < g(x) < x + \varepsilon$ for all $x \in [\varepsilon, 1 - \varepsilon]$. If $0 < x < \varepsilon$ then $x < g(x) < g(\varepsilon) < \varepsilon + \varepsilon < x + 2\varepsilon$. If $1 - \varepsilon < x < 1$ then $x < g(x) < 1 < x + \varepsilon$. Thus we have $x < g(x) < x + 2\varepsilon$ for all $x \in (0, 1)$.

Similarly we could show that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $h \in G$ such that $x - \varepsilon < h(x) < x$ for all $x \in (0, 1)$.

(ii) Let $\theta \in I$ and $0 < \varepsilon$. Choose $g \in G$ such that $x < g(x) < x + \varepsilon$ for all $x \in (0, 1)$. Then $g^n(\theta) < g(g^n(\theta)) = g^{n+1}(\theta) < g^n(\theta) + \varepsilon$ for all $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Moreover $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} g^n(\theta) = 1$ because if $x_0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} g^n(\theta)$ and $0 < x_0 < 1$ then $g(x_0) = x_0 = i(x_0)$ which is impossible since $i < g$. It follows that $\{f(\theta) : f \in G, i \leq f\}$ is dense in $[\theta, 1)$. Similarly $\{f(\theta) : f \in G, f \leq i\}$ is dense in $(0, \theta]$.

Theorem 8. Suppose G is a disjoint group of continuous functions from I to I and $\cup G$ is dense in $I \times I$. Then there exists a strictly increasing bijection φ of I onto R such that G is a subgroup of $F[\varphi]$.

Proof. If $I = (0, 1)$ this follows from (ii) of Proposition 7 and Theorem 6.

In general choose a strictly increasing homeomorphism τ of I onto $(0, 1)$ and let $\tilde{G} = \{\tau \circ f \circ \tau^{-1} : f \in G\}$. Then the mapping $f \rightarrow \tau \circ f \circ \tau^{-1}$ of G onto \tilde{G} is an order preserving group isomorphism. Since the mapping $(x, y) \rightarrow (\tau(x), \tau(y))$ is a homeomorphism of $I \times I$ onto $(0, 1) \times (0, 1)$ it follows that $\cup \tilde{G}$ is dense in $(0, 1) \times (0, 1)$. Hence there exists a strictly increasing homeomorphism ψ of $(0, 1)$

onto R such that \tilde{G} is a subgroup of $F[\psi]$. It follows that G is a subgroup of $F[\varphi]$ if $\varphi = \psi \circ \tau$.

To complete the proof of Theorem 1 we will use the following result of N. G. de Bruijn [2].

Theorem 9. Suppose $f: R \rightarrow R$ is such that for every $\alpha \in R$ the mapping $x \rightarrow f(x + \alpha) - f(x)$ is continuous on R . Then there exists a continuous $g: R \rightarrow R$ and an additive $A: R \rightarrow R$ such that $f(x) = g(x) + A(x)$ for all $x \in R$. Moreover, if $n \geq 1$ and for every $\alpha \in R$ the mapping $x \rightarrow f(x + \alpha) - f(x)$ is n times (continuously) differentiable on R , then g is n times (continuously) differentiable.

Theorem 10. Suppose $n \geq 1$ and F is a complete, disjoint group of n times (continuously) differentiable functions from I to I . Then there exists a strictly increasing bijection φ of I onto R which is n times (continuously) differentiable on I and such that $F = F[\varphi]$ and $\varphi'(x) > 0$ for all $x \in I$.

Proof. According to Theorem 6 there exists a strictly increasing homeomorphism φ of I onto R such that $F = F[\varphi]$.

Since φ is increasing it is differentiable almost everywhere on I (see [4], p. 264). Hence there exists $x_0 \in I$ such that $\varphi'(x_0)$ exists. Now let x_1 be an arbitrary member of I . Since F is complete there exists $f \in F$ such that $f(x_0) = x_1$. Choose $\alpha \in R$ such that $f = \varphi_\alpha$ so that

$$\varphi(f(x)) = \varphi(x) + \alpha \quad \text{for all } x \in I.$$

Hence, for sufficiently small real $\delta \neq 0$,

$$\varphi(f(x_0 + \delta)) - \varphi(f(x_0)) = \varphi(x_0 + \delta) - \varphi(x_0)$$

and so

$$\frac{\varphi(f(x_0 + \delta)) - \varphi(f(x_0))}{f(x_0 + \delta) - f(x_0)} \cdot \frac{f(x_0 + \delta) - f(x_0)}{\delta} = \frac{\varphi(x_0 + \delta) - \varphi(x_0)}{\delta}.$$

Now $\varphi'(x_0)$ exists and f is differentiable on I . Since f^{-1} is also differentiable it follows that $f'(x) > 0$ for all $x \in I$ and we concluded that $\varphi'(f(x_0))$ exists. But $x_1 = f(x_0)$ and x_1 was chosen arbitrarily from I . Hence φ is differentiable and $\varphi'(f(x))f'(x) = \varphi'(x)$ for all $x \in I$ and all $f \in F$.

If $\varphi'(x_0) = 0$ for some $x_0 \in I$ then $\varphi'(f(x_0)) = 0$ for all $f \in F$. This implies $\varphi'(x) = 0$ for all $x \in I$ which is impossible since φ is strictly increasing. Hence $\varphi'(x) > 0$ for all $x \in I$. Therefore φ^{-1} is differentiable as well and $(\varphi^{-1})'(x) > 0$ for all $x \in R$.

Now suppose f' is continuous for every $f \in F$. This means that, for every $\alpha \in R$, the mapping $x \rightarrow \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(x) + \alpha)$ is continuously differentiable on I . Let $\psi = \varphi^{-1}$ so that, for every $\alpha \in R$, the mapping $x \rightarrow \psi(\varphi(x) + \alpha)$ is continuously differentiable on I . Hence, for every $\alpha \in R$, the mapping $x \rightarrow \psi'(\varphi(x) + \alpha)\varphi'(x)$ is continuous on I . Since $\psi = \varphi^{-1}$ is a homeomorphism of R onto I , for every $\alpha \in R$ the mapping $x \rightarrow \psi'(x + \alpha)\varphi'(\psi(x))$ is continuous on R . Let $\varrho(x) = \log \psi'(x)$ and $\sigma(x) =$

$= \log \varphi'(\psi(x))$ for $x \in R$. Then, for every $\alpha \in R$, the mapping $x \rightarrow \varrho(x + \alpha) + \sigma(x)$ is continuous on R . In particular the mapping $x \rightarrow \varrho(x) + \sigma(x)$ is continuous. Hence, for every $\alpha \in R$, the mapping $x \rightarrow \varrho(x + \alpha) - \varrho(x)$ is continuous on R . By Theorem 9 there exists a continuous $\mu : R \rightarrow R$ and an additive $A : R \rightarrow R$ such that $\varrho(x) = \mu(x) + A(x)$ for all $x \in R$. Since ψ is differentiable, ψ' is measurable and so ϱ is measurable. Hence A is measurable and therefore there exists $c \in R$ such that $A(x) = cx$ for all $x \in R$ (see, for example, Ostrowski [5]). It follows that ϱ is continuous and hence ψ' is continuous. Therefore φ' is continuous and the proof is complete in case $n = 1$.

Next suppose $n = 2$. Then, for every $\alpha \in R$, the mapping $x \rightarrow \psi'(\varphi(x) + \alpha) \varphi'(x)$ is (continuously) differentiable on I . We have seen that φ' and ψ' are continuous and so for every $\alpha \in R$ the mapping $x \rightarrow \psi'(x + \alpha) \varphi'(\psi(x))$ is (continuously) differentiable on R . It follows from Theorem 9 that μ is (continuously) differentiable on R . But so is A and hence ϱ is (continuously) differentiable on R . Thus ψ' and φ' are (continuously) differentiable on R . This proves our result in case $n = 2$.

The proof can be completed by induction.

Our results can be reformulated to give the following analogue of the result of de Bruijn.

Theorem 11. Suppose $n \geq 0$ and ψ is a bijection of I onto R such that for every $\alpha \in R$ the mapping $x \rightarrow \psi^{-1}(\psi(x) + \alpha)$ is continuous from I to R . Then there exists a strictly increasing, continuous bijection φ of I onto R and an additive bijection A of R onto R such that $\psi(x) = A(\varphi(x))$ for all $x \in I$. Moreover, if for every $\alpha \in R$ the mapping $x \rightarrow \psi^{-1}(\psi(x) + \alpha)$ is n times (continuously) differentiable on I , then φ is n times (continuously) differentiable on I .

Proof. The iteration group $F[\psi]$ is complete and disjoint and we are assuming that each of its members is continuous. Hence there exists a strictly increasing, continuous bijection ϱ of I onto R such that $F[\psi] = F[\varrho]$, according to Theorem 6.

Thus, for every $\alpha \in R$ there exists $B(\alpha) \in R$ such that $\psi_\alpha = \varrho_{B(\alpha)}$. Clearly B is a bijection of R onto R . Moreover, if $\alpha, \beta \in R$, then

$$\varrho_{B(\alpha+\beta)} = \psi_{\alpha+\beta} = \psi_\alpha \circ \psi_\beta = \varrho_{B(\alpha)} \circ \varrho_{B(\beta)} = \varrho_{B(\alpha)+B(\beta)}$$

so that B is additive. Choose $x_0 \in I$ such that $\psi(x_0) = 0$ and let $k = \varrho(x_0)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^{-1}(\alpha) &= \psi^{-1}(\psi(x_0) + \alpha) = \psi_\alpha(x_0) = \varrho_{B(\alpha)}(x_0) = \\ &= \varrho^{-1}(\varrho(x_0) + B(\alpha)) = \varrho^{-1}(k + B(\alpha)) \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in R. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $x = \psi^{-1}(\psi(x)) = \varrho^{-1}(k + B(\psi(x)))$ for all $x \in I$. Hence $\varrho(x) = k + B(\psi(x))$ for all $x \in I$. Let $\varphi(x) = \varrho(x) - k$ for all $x \in I$ and let $A = B^{-1}$. Then $\psi(x) = A(\varphi(x))$ for all $x \in I$.

If $n \geq 1$ and, for every $\alpha \in R$, the mapping $x \rightarrow \varphi^{-1}(\psi(x) + \alpha)$ is n times (continuously) differentiable on I , then from Theorem 10 it follows that ϱ (and hence φ) is n times (continuously) differentiable.

The authors would like to sincerely thank Professor F. Neuman for bringing these problems to our attention and for many stimulating and fruitful discussions. We would also like to thank Professor J. Aczél for suggestions he made after reading an earlier version of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] Aczél, J.: *Funktionskomposition, Iterationsgruppen und Gewebe*, Archiv der Mathematik, 17 (1966), 469—475.
- [2] De Bruijn, N. G.: *Functions whose differences belong to a given class*, Nieuw. Archief voor Wiskunde, 23 (1951), 194—218.
- [3] Fuchs, L.: *Partially Ordered Algebraic Systems*, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1963.
- [4] Hewitt, E. and Stromberg, K.: *Real and Abstract Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1965.
- [5] Ostrowski, A.: *Über die Funktionalgleichung der Exponentialfunktion und verwandte Funktionalgleichungen*, Jahresber. Deut. Math.-Verein 38 (1929), 54—62.

*Department of Pure Mathematics
University of Waterloo
Waterloo, Ontario
Canada, N2L 3G1*