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# CONDITIONS FOR TRIVIAL PRINCIPAL TOLERANCES 

## JOSEF NIEDERLE, Brno

(Received December 5, 1980)

Definitions. By a tolerance on an algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ is meant a compatible reflexive and symmetric relation on $\mathfrak{A}$, i.e. a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{A} \times \mathfrak{A}$ with a reflexive and symmetric relation on $|\mathfrak{A}|$ as its support.

By a principal tolerance $T(a, b)$ on an algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ is meant the least tolerance on $\mathfrak{A}$ containing $[a, b] \in|\mathfrak{A}| \times|\mathfrak{A}|$.

An algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ is said to have trivial principal tolerances if every principal tolerance on $\mathfrak{A}$ is a congruence.

A class of algebras $\mathscr{V}$ is said to have trivial principal tolerances if every algebra from $\mathscr{V}$ has trivial principal tolerances.

Lemma 1. Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be an algebra, $a, b, x, y \in|\mathfrak{A}|$. There holds $[x, y] \in T(a, b)$ iff there exist a natural number $n$, an $(n+2)$-ary polynomial $f$ on $\mathfrak{H}$ and elements $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n} \in|\mathfrak{H}|$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=f\left(a, b, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right) \\
& y=f\left(b, a, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof will be omitted, cf. [1].
Lemma 2. Let $\varphi$ be a homomorphism of an algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ onto an algebra $\mathfrak{B}$. Then $T(\varphi a, \varphi b)=(\varphi \times \varphi) T(a, b)$.

Proof. Let $[x, y] \in(\varphi \times \varphi) T(a, b)$. Then there exist elements $v, w \in|\mathfrak{A}|$ such that $[v, w] \in T(a, b)$ and $x=\varphi v, y=\varphi w$. By the lemma 1, there exist an $(n+2)$-ary polynomial $f$ and elements $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n} \in|\mathfrak{H}|$ such that $v=f\left(a, b, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right)$, $w=f\left(b, a, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=\varphi v=\varphi f\left(a, b, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right)=f\left(\varphi a, \varphi b, \varphi c_{1}, \ldots, \varphi c_{n}\right) \\
& y=\varphi w=\varphi f\left(b, a, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right)=f\left(\varphi b, \varphi a, \varphi c_{1}, \ldots, \varphi c_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

so that it holds $[x, y] \in T(\varphi a, \varphi b)$. We have obtained $(\varphi \times \varphi) T(a, b) \subseteq T(\varphi a, \varphi b)$.

Clearly $[\varphi a, \varphi b] \in(\varphi \times \varphi) T(a, b)$. Since $(\varphi \times \varphi) T(a, b)$ is reflexive, as $\varphi$ is onto, and symmetric, and as a homomorphic image of a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{A} \times \mathfrak{A}$ a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{B} \times \mathfrak{B}$, so a tolerance on $\mathfrak{B}$, it follows $T(\varphi a, \varphi b) \subseteq(\varphi \times \varphi) T(a, b)$. Consequently $T(\varphi a, \varphi b)=(\varphi \times \varphi) T(a, b)$. Q.E.D.

Proposition. An algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ satisfies (i) and (ii) iff it satisfies (iii).
(i) $\mathfrak{A}$ has trivial principal tolerances
(ii) every principal congruences $S, T$ on $\mathfrak{A}$ satisfy $S T S=T S T$
(iii) every principal tolerances $S, T$ on $\mathfrak{A}$ satisfy $S T S=T S T$

Proof. Obviously (i) and (ii) implies (iii). Let (iii) hold, let $T$ be a principal tolerance on $\mathfrak{A}$. Since $\Delta$ is a principal tolerance on $\mathfrak{A}$, it follows $T=\Delta T \Delta=$ $=\boldsymbol{T} \Delta \boldsymbol{T}=\boldsymbol{T} \boldsymbol{T}$. Thus $\boldsymbol{T}$ is a congruence. There holds ( $i$ ). But ( $i$ ) and (iii) implies ( $i$ ) immediately. Q.E.D.

This proposition describes completely relations among the conditions (i), (i) and (iii). It will be illustrated in the following examples.

Example 1. Let $\mathscr{V}$ be the variety of all monounary algebras that satisfy identity $f f x=x$. Every $\mathscr{V}$-free algebra satisfies (i), but if it has at least two generators it does not satisfy (ii) and (iii):

Obviously $T(a, b)=\{[a, b],[b, a],[f a, f b],[f b, f a]\} \cup \Delta$.

1. $f a=b$

In this case $T(a, b)=\{[a, b],[b, a]\} \cup \Delta$. It is a congruence.
2. $f a \neq b$

In this case $f b \neq a$, because $f b=a$ would imply $f a=f f b=b$, and obviously $f a \neq a, f b \neq b$. So $T(a, b)$ is a congruence.

Let $a, b$ be two distinct free generators of a $\mathscr{V}$-free algebra. We have $[b, f b] \in$ $\in T(a, b) T(a, f a) T(a, b)$, but $[b, f b] \notin T(a, f a) T(a, b) T(a, f a)$.


Example 2. A simple algebra which is not tolerance simple satisfies (ii) but it does not satisfy ( $i$ ) and (iii). An example of such an algebra is the following modular lattice.


Theorem 1. Let $\mathscr{V}$ be a class of algebras and let $\mathscr{F}$ be a subclass of $\mathscr{V}$ such that $\mathscr{V}=\mathbf{H} \mathscr{F}$. The following conditions are equivalent:
(A) $\mathscr{V}$ has trivial principal tolerances
(B) every algebra $\mathfrak{9}$ from $\mathscr{F}$ satisfies (i) and (ii)
(C) every algebra $\mathfrak{H}$ from $\mathscr{F}$ satisfies (iii)
(D) every algebra $\mathfrak{\mathfrak { H }}$ from $\mathscr{V}$ satisfies (iii)

Proof. $A \Rightarrow D$ : Let $\mathscr{V}$ have trivial principal tolerances. Let $S=\Theta(a, b)$, $T=\Theta(c, d)$ be arbitrary principal tolerances i.e. principal congruences on $\mathfrak{A}$. We have $\mathbf{H} \mathscr{V}=\mathbf{H} H \mathscr{F}=\mathbf{H} \mathscr{F}=\mathscr{V}$, thus $\left.\mathscr{A}\right|_{\boldsymbol{T}}$ is an algebra from $\mathscr{V}$. Denote by $\varphi$ the quotient homomorphism of $\mathfrak{A}$ onto $\left.\mathfrak{A}\right|_{T}$. By the lemma $2, T(\varphi a, \varphi b)=$ $=(\varphi \times \varphi) T(a, b)$. Let $[x, y] \in S T S$, so there exists elements $v, w$ such that $[x, v] \in S$, $[v, w] \in T$ and $[w, y] \in S$. Then $\varphi v=\varphi w$ and it holds $[\varphi x, \varphi v] \in T(\varphi a, \varphi b)$, $[\varphi v, \varphi y] \in T(\varphi a, \varphi b)$. Since $\left.\mathfrak{A}\right|_{T}$ is an algebra from $\mathscr{V}$ and so it has trivial principal tolerances, we have $[\varphi x, \varphi y] \in T(\varphi a, \varphi b)$. It means that there exist elements $x_{1}, y_{1} \in|\mathfrak{H}|$ such that $\left[x, x_{1}\right] \in T,\left[y, y_{1}\right] \in T$ and $\left[x_{1}, y_{1}\right] \in S$, and so $[x, y] \in T S T$. We have obtained $S T S \subseteq T S T$ for arbitrary principal tolerances $S, T$ on $\mathfrak{A}$. Thus $S T S \subseteq T S T \subseteq S T S$ holes for arbitrary principal tolerances on $\mathfrak{M}$.
$\boldsymbol{D} \Rightarrow \boldsymbol{C}$ is obvious.
$\boldsymbol{C} \Rightarrow \boldsymbol{B}$ : follows from the Proposition.
$\boldsymbol{B} \Rightarrow \boldsymbol{A}$ : Let every algebra $\mathfrak{N}$ from $\mathscr{F}$ satisfy $(i)$ and (ii). Let $\mathfrak{B}$ be an arbitrary algebra from $\mathscr{V}$. Since $\mathscr{V}=\mathbf{H} \mathscr{F}$, there exists an algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ from $\mathscr{F}$ and a homomorphism $\varphi$ of $\mathfrak{A}$ onto $\mathfrak{B}$. Let $a, b, x, y, z \in|\mathfrak{B}|$ be such that $[x, y] \in T(a, b)$ and $[y, z] \in T(a, b)$. Choose $g_{a} \in \varphi^{-1} a$ and $g_{b} \in \varphi^{-1} b$. Then, by the lemma 2, $T(a, b)=$ $=(\varphi \times \varphi) T\left(g_{a}, g_{b}\right)$. Thus there exist elements $g_{x}, g_{y}, h_{y}, h_{z} \in|\mathfrak{M}|$ such that $\left[g_{x}, g_{y}\right] \in T\left(g_{a}, g_{b}\right),\left[h_{y}, h_{z}\right] \in T\left(g_{a}, g_{b}\right)$ and $\varphi g_{x}=x, \varphi g_{y}=\varphi h_{y}=y, \varphi h_{z}=z$. By the assumption, $T\left(g_{a}, g_{b}\right)$ is a congruence. So if $g_{y}=h_{y}$, then $\left[g_{x}, h_{z}\right] \in$ $\in T\left(g_{a}, g_{b}\right)$ and consequently $[x, z] \in T(a, b)$. If $g_{y} \neq h_{y}$, denote $S=T\left(g_{y}, h_{y}\right)$ and $T=T\left(g_{a}, g_{b}\right)$. We have $\left[g_{x}, h_{z}\right] \in T S T$. By the assumption, there exist elements $g_{1}, g_{2} \in|\mathfrak{A}|$ such that $\left[g_{x}, g_{1}\right] \in S,\left[g_{1}, g_{2}\right] \in T$ and $\left[g_{2}, h_{z}\right] \in S$. Since $S \subset$ $\subseteq \operatorname{Ker} \varphi$, it holds $\varphi g_{x}=\varphi g_{1}$ and $\varphi g_{2}=\varphi h_{z}$. Hence $[x, z]=\left[\varphi g_{x}, \varphi h_{z}\right]=$
$=\left[\varphi g_{1}, \varphi g_{2}\right] \in(\varphi \times \varphi) T=T(a, b)$. We have obtained that $T(a, b)$ is a congruence. Q.E.D.

Theorem 2. Let $\mathscr{V}$ be a variet $v$ of algebras. The following conditions are equivalent:
(A) $\boldsymbol{V}$ has trivial principal tolerances,
(B) every $\mathscr{V}$-free algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ satisfies (i) and (ii),
(C) every $\mathscr{V}$-free algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ satisfies (iii),
(D) every algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ from $\mathscr{V}$ satisfies (iii),
(E) for every natural number $n$, every $(n+2)$-ary polynomials $f_{1}, g, f_{2}$ and every n-ary polynomials $s, t, u, v$ such that
$f_{1}\left(s\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), t\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=g\left(u\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), v\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, $f_{2}\left(t\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), s\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=g\left(v\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), u\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$,
holds in $\mathscr{V}$ there exist $(n+2)$-ary polynomials $g_{1}, f, g_{2}$ such that
$f_{1}\left(t\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), s\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=g_{1}\left(u\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), v\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, $f\left(s\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), t\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=g_{1}\left(v\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), u\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, $f\left(t\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), s\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=g_{2}\left(u\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), v\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, $f_{2}\left(s\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), t\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=g_{2}\left(v\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), u\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ holds in $\mathscr{V}$.

Proof. Since every algebra from $\mathscr{V}$ is a homomorphic image of a $\mathscr{V}$-free algebra, we have $\boldsymbol{A} \Rightarrow \boldsymbol{D} \Rightarrow \boldsymbol{C} \Rightarrow \boldsymbol{B} \Rightarrow \boldsymbol{A}$ by theorem 1.
$\boldsymbol{C} \Rightarrow \boldsymbol{E}$ : Suppose $\boldsymbol{C}$. Let $f_{1}, g, f_{2}, s, t, u, v$ be polynomials satisfying the first two identities. Then $\left[f_{1}(t(\boldsymbol{x}), s(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x}), f_{2}(s(\boldsymbol{x}), t(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x})\right] \in T(s(\boldsymbol{x}), t(\boldsymbol{x})) T(u(\boldsymbol{x}), v(\boldsymbol{x}))$ $T(s(x), t(x))$, where $\boldsymbol{x}$ denotes $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$. It is true also for the $\mathscr{V}$-free algebra over $n$ free generators, so applying $C$ and lemma 1 we obtain polynomials $g_{1}, f$, $g_{2}$ in request.
$\boldsymbol{E} \Rightarrow \boldsymbol{C}$ : Suppose $\boldsymbol{E}$. Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a $\mathscr{V}$-free algebra, $a, b, c, d, x, y \in|\mathfrak{A}|,[x, y] \in$ $\in T(a, b) T(c, d) T(a, b)$. By the lemma 1 , there exist natural numbers $m_{p}, m_{q}, m_{r}$ and an $m_{p}$-ary polynomial $h_{p}$, an $m_{q}$-ary polynomial $h_{q}$, an $m_{r}$-ary polynomial $h_{r}$, elements $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{m_{p}}, q_{1}, \ldots, q_{m_{q}}, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{m_{r}}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{p}\left(a, b, p_{1}, \ldots, p_{m_{p}}\right)=x \\
& h_{p}\left(b, a, p_{1}, \ldots, p_{m_{p}}\right)=h_{q}\left(c, d, q_{1}, \ldots, q_{m_{q}}\right), \\
& h_{r}\left(a, b, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{m_{r}}\right)=h_{q}\left(d, c, q_{1}, \ldots, q_{m_{q}}\right), \\
& h_{r}\left(b, a, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{m_{r}}\right)=y .
\end{aligned}
$$

There exists a finite set of free generators of $\mathfrak{A}$, denote it $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$, such that $a, b, c, d, p_{1}, \ldots, p_{m_{p}}, q_{1}, \ldots, q_{m_{q}}, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{m_{r}}$ are elements of the subalgebra $\mathfrak{B}$ of $\mathfrak{A}$ generated by $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$, which is itself a $\mathscr{V}$-free algebra with the set of
free generators $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$. Thus there exist $n$-ary polynomials $s, t, u, v$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a=t\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), \\
& b=s\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), \\
& c=u\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), \\
& d=v\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and $(n+2)$-ary polynomials $f_{1}, g, f_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{p}\left(w, z, p_{1}, \ldots, p_{m_{p}}\right)=f_{1}\left(w, z, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), \\
& h_{q}\left(w, z, q_{1}, \ldots, q_{m_{q}}\right)=g\left(w, z, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), \\
& h_{r}\left(w, z, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{m_{r}}\right)=f_{2}\left(w, z, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

holds for abitrary elements $w, z \in|\mathfrak{B}|$. Now we substitute $a, b, c, d$ for $w, z$ and then $t(\boldsymbol{x}), s(\boldsymbol{x}), u(\boldsymbol{x}), v(\boldsymbol{x})$ for $a, b, c, d$. We obtain the first two expresions from $\boldsymbol{E}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}(t(\boldsymbol{x}), s(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x})=\boldsymbol{x} \\
& f_{2}(s(\boldsymbol{x}), t(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x})=y
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ are free generators, the first two identities from $E$ hold identically in the variety $\mathscr{V}$. Thus there exist $(n+2)$-ary polynomials $g_{1}, f, g_{2}$ such that the last four identities from $E$ hold in $\mathscr{V}$. But then $[x, y] \in T(c, d) T(a, b) T(c, d)$ Q.E.D.

The condition (ii) in $B$ cannot be omitted. There exists a variety which has not trivial principal tolerances even though all free algebras of it have.

Example 3. The variety from example 1 has not trivial principal tolerances: Put $|\mathfrak{A l}|=\{a, b, c\}, f=(a \mapsto a, b \mapsto c, c \mapsto b)$. Obviously $[a, c] \in T(a, b)$, but $[b, c] \notin T(a, b)$.


Example 4. The variety of distributive lattices has trivial principal tolerances (cf. [2]). We confirm that fact by proving the assertion (E).

Let $f_{1}, f_{2}, g, s, t, u, v$ be arbitrary lattice polynomials satisfying the conditions required. Denote by $h_{1}, h_{2}$ the following ( $n+2$ )-ary lattice polynomials:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{1}(y, z, \boldsymbol{x}) \equiv f_{1}(s(\boldsymbol{x}), t(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x}) \vee f_{1}(z, y, \boldsymbol{x}) \vee f_{2}(y, z, \boldsymbol{x}) \vee f_{2}(t(\boldsymbol{x}), s(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x}) \\
& h_{2}(y, z, \boldsymbol{x}) \equiv f_{1}(s(\boldsymbol{x}), t(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x}) \wedge f_{1}(z, y, \boldsymbol{x}) \wedge f_{2}(y, z, \boldsymbol{x}) \wedge f_{2}(t(\boldsymbol{x}), s(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x})
\end{aligned}
$$

It is clear that

$$
h_{2}(s(\boldsymbol{x}), t(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x}) \leqq h_{2}(t(\boldsymbol{x}), s(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x}) \leqq h_{1}(t(\boldsymbol{x}), s(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x}) \leqq h_{1}(s(\boldsymbol{x}), t(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x})
$$

and if we denote

$$
j(y, z, \boldsymbol{x}) \equiv(g(u(\boldsymbol{x}), v(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x}) \wedge g(y, z, \boldsymbol{x})) \vee(g(z, y, \boldsymbol{x}) \wedge g(v(\boldsymbol{x}), u(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x})
$$

then we obtain

$$
h_{1}(t(\boldsymbol{x}), s(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x})=j(u(\boldsymbol{x}), v(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x})
$$

and

$$
h_{2}(t(\boldsymbol{x}), s(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x})=j(v(\boldsymbol{x}), u(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x}) .
$$

From the $\vee \wedge$-representation of $h_{1}$ and $h_{2}$ and in view of the above we conclude that there exist $n$-ary lattice polynomials $a_{1}, a_{2}, b_{1}, b_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{1}(s(\boldsymbol{x}), t(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x})=\left(a_{1}(\boldsymbol{x}) \wedge(s(\boldsymbol{x}) \vee t(\boldsymbol{x}))\right) \vee b_{1}(\boldsymbol{x}), \\
& h_{1}(t(\boldsymbol{x}), s(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x})=\left(a_{1}(\boldsymbol{x}) \wedge(s(\boldsymbol{x}) \wedge t(\boldsymbol{x}))\right) \vee b_{1}(\boldsymbol{x}), \\
& h_{2}(t(\boldsymbol{x}), s(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x})=\left(a_{2}(\boldsymbol{x}) \wedge(s(\boldsymbol{x}) \vee t(\boldsymbol{x}))\right) \vee b_{2}(\boldsymbol{x}), \\
& h_{2}(s(\boldsymbol{x}), t(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x})=\left(a_{2}(\boldsymbol{x}) \wedge(s(\boldsymbol{x}) \wedge t(\boldsymbol{x}))\right) \vee b_{2}(\boldsymbol{x}),
\end{aligned}
$$

be means of which we can construct the desired polynomials. First we define auxiliary $(n+2)$-ary lattice polynomials $k_{1}, k_{2}, l$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
k_{1}(y, z, \boldsymbol{x}) & \equiv j(y, z, \boldsymbol{x}) \vee\left(\left(a_{1}(\boldsymbol{x}) \vee a_{2}(x)\right) \wedge(s(\boldsymbol{x}) \vee t(\boldsymbol{x}))\right), \\
k_{2}(y, z, \boldsymbol{x}) & \equiv j(y, z, \boldsymbol{x}) \wedge\left(\left(\left(\left(a_{1}(\boldsymbol{x}) \vee a_{2}(\boldsymbol{x})\right) \wedge(s(\boldsymbol{x}) \wedge t(\boldsymbol{x}))\right) \vee b_{2}(\boldsymbol{x})\right),\right. \\
l(y, z, \boldsymbol{x}) & \equiv\left(((s(\boldsymbol{x}) \wedge y) \vee(z \wedge t(\boldsymbol{x}))) \wedge\left(a_{1}(\boldsymbol{x}) \vee a_{2}(\boldsymbol{x})\right)\right) \vee b_{2}(\boldsymbol{x}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
k_{1}(u(\boldsymbol{x}), v(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x})= & h_{1}(t(\boldsymbol{x}), s(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x}) \vee\left(\left(a_{1}(\boldsymbol{x}) \vee a_{2}(\boldsymbol{x})\right) \wedge(s(\boldsymbol{x}) \vee t(\boldsymbol{x}))\right)= \\
= & \left(\left(a_{1}(\boldsymbol{x}) \wedge(s(\boldsymbol{x}) \wedge t(\boldsymbol{x}))\right) \vee b_{1}(\boldsymbol{x})\right) \vee \\
& \left.\vee\left(\left(a_{1}(\boldsymbol{x}) \vee a_{2}(\boldsymbol{x})\right) \wedge(s(\boldsymbol{x}) \vee t(\boldsymbol{x}))\right)\right)= \\
= & \left(\left(a_{1}(\boldsymbol{x}) \vee a_{2}(\boldsymbol{x})\right) \wedge(s(\boldsymbol{x}) \vee t(\boldsymbol{x}))\right) \vee b_{1}(\boldsymbol{x})= \\
= & \left(a_{1}(\boldsymbol{x}) \wedge(s(\boldsymbol{x}) \vee t(\boldsymbol{x}))\right) \vee\left(a_{2}(\boldsymbol{x}) \wedge(s(\boldsymbol{x}) \vee t(\boldsymbol{x}))\right) \vee b_{1}(\boldsymbol{x})= \\
= & h_{1}(s(\boldsymbol{x}), t(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x}), \\
k_{1}(v(\boldsymbol{x}), u(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x})= & h_{2}(t(\boldsymbol{x}), s(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x}) \vee\left(\left(a_{1}(\boldsymbol{x}) \vee a_{2}(\boldsymbol{x})\right) \wedge(s(\boldsymbol{x}) \vee t(\boldsymbol{x}))\right)= \\
= & \left(\left(a_{2}(\boldsymbol{x}) \wedge(s(\boldsymbol{x}) \vee t(\boldsymbol{x}))\right) \vee b_{2}(\boldsymbol{x})\right) \vee \\
& \vee\left(\left(a_{1}(\boldsymbol{x}) \vee a_{2}(\boldsymbol{x})\right) \wedge(s(\boldsymbol{x}) \vee t(\boldsymbol{x}))\right)= \\
= & \left(\left(a_{1}(\boldsymbol{x}) \vee a_{2}(\boldsymbol{x})\right) \wedge(s(\boldsymbol{x}) \vee t(\boldsymbol{x}))\right) \vee b_{2}(\boldsymbol{x})=l(s(\boldsymbol{x}), t(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x}),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
k_{2}(u(\boldsymbol{x}), v(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x})= & h_{1}(t(\boldsymbol{x}), s(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x}) \wedge \\
& \wedge\left(\left(\left(a_{1}(\boldsymbol{x}) \vee a_{2}(\boldsymbol{x})\right) \wedge(s(\boldsymbol{x}) \wedge t(\boldsymbol{x}))\right) \vee b_{2}(\boldsymbol{x})\right)= \\
= & \left(\left(\left(a_{1}(\boldsymbol{x}) \wedge(s(\boldsymbol{x}) \wedge t(\boldsymbol{x}))\right) \vee b_{1}(\boldsymbol{x})\right) \wedge\right. \\
& \wedge\left(\left(\left(a_{1}(\boldsymbol{x}) \vee a_{2}(\boldsymbol{x})\right) \wedge(s(\boldsymbol{x}) \wedge t(\boldsymbol{x}))\right) \vee b_{2}(\boldsymbol{x})\right)= \\
= & \left(\left(a_{1}(\boldsymbol{x}) \vee a_{2}(\boldsymbol{x})\right) \wedge(s(\boldsymbol{x}) \wedge t(\boldsymbol{x}))\right) \vee b_{2}(\boldsymbol{x})= \\
= & l(t(\boldsymbol{x}), s(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x}), \\
k_{2}(v(\boldsymbol{x}), u(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x})= & h_{2}(t(\boldsymbol{x}), s(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x}) \wedge\left(\left(\left(a_{1}(\boldsymbol{x}) \vee a_{2}(\boldsymbol{x})\right) \wedge(s(\boldsymbol{x}) \wedge t(\boldsymbol{x}))\right) \vee b_{2}(\boldsymbol{x})\right)= \\
= & \left(\left(a_{2}(\boldsymbol{x}) \wedge(s(\boldsymbol{x}) \vee t(\boldsymbol{x}))\right) \vee b_{2}(\boldsymbol{x})\right) \wedge \\
& \wedge\left(\left(\left(a_{1}(\boldsymbol{x}) \vee a_{2}(\boldsymbol{x})\right) \wedge(s(\boldsymbol{x}) \wedge t(\boldsymbol{x}))\right) \vee b_{2}(\boldsymbol{x})\right)= \\
= & \left(a_{2}(\boldsymbol{x}) \wedge(s(\boldsymbol{x}) \wedge t(\boldsymbol{x}))\right) \vee b_{2}(\boldsymbol{x})= \\
= & h_{2}(s(\boldsymbol{x}), t(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, the polynomials $g_{1}, g_{2}, f$ are as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{1}(y, z, \boldsymbol{x}) & \equiv\left(f_{1}(t(\boldsymbol{x}), s(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x}) \wedge k_{1}(y, z, \boldsymbol{x})\right) \vee\left(f_{2}(s(\boldsymbol{x}), t(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x}) \wedge k_{2}(z, y, \boldsymbol{x})\right), \\
g_{2}(y, z, \boldsymbol{x}) & \equiv\left(f_{1}(t(\boldsymbol{x}), s(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x}) \wedge k_{2}(y, z, \boldsymbol{x})\right) \vee\left(f_{2}(s(\boldsymbol{x}), t(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x}) \wedge k_{1}(z, y, \boldsymbol{x})\right), \\
f(y, z, \boldsymbol{x}) & \equiv\left(f_{1}(t(\boldsymbol{x}), s(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x}) \wedge l(y, z, \boldsymbol{x})\right) \vee\left(f_{2}(s(\boldsymbol{x}), t(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x}) \wedge l(z, y, \boldsymbol{x})\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Indeed, this construction yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{1}(u(\boldsymbol{x}), v(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x})= & \left(f_{1}(t(\boldsymbol{x}), s(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x}) \wedge h_{1}(s(\boldsymbol{x}), t(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x})\right) \vee \\
& \vee\left(f_{2}(s(\boldsymbol{x}), t(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x}) \wedge h_{2}(s(\boldsymbol{x}), t(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x})\right)= \\
= & f_{1}(t(\boldsymbol{x}), s(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x}), \\
g_{1}(v(\boldsymbol{x}), u(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x})= & \left(f_{1}(t(\boldsymbol{x}), s(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x}) \wedge l(s(\boldsymbol{x}), t(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x})\right) \vee \\
& \vee\left(f_{2}(s(\boldsymbol{x}), t(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x}) \wedge l(t(\boldsymbol{x}), s(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x})\right)= \\
= & f(s(\boldsymbol{x}), t(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x}), \\
g_{2}(u(\boldsymbol{x}), v(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x})= & \left(f_{1}(t(\boldsymbol{x}), s(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x}) \wedge l(t(\boldsymbol{x}), s(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x})\right) \vee \\
& \vee\left(f_{2}(s(\boldsymbol{x}), t(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x}) \wedge l(s(\boldsymbol{x}), t(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x})\right)= \\
= & f(t(\boldsymbol{x}), s(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x}), \\
g_{2}(v(\boldsymbol{x}), u(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x})= & \left(f_{1}(t(\boldsymbol{x}), s(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x}) \wedge h_{2}(s(\boldsymbol{x}), t(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x})\right) \vee \\
& \vee\left(f_{2}(s(\boldsymbol{x}), t(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x}) \wedge h_{1}(s(\boldsymbol{x}), t(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x})\right)= \\
= & f_{2}(s(\boldsymbol{x}), t(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Noted by the referee. For motivation and some other results see [3], which has appeared in the meantime.
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