Ivana Horová Linear positive operators and their applications to differential equations

Archivum Mathematicum, Vol. 20 (1984), No. 1, 1--8

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/107180

## Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 1984

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

## ARCH. MATH. 1, SCRIPTA FAC. SCI. NAT. UJEP BRUNENSIS XX: 1-8, 1984

## LINEAR POSITIVE OPERATORS AND THEIR APPLICATIONS TO DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

IVANA HOROVÁ, Brno (Received September 30, 1982)

In the present paper we shall deal with linear positive operators constructed in [2].

Let us consider two real functions  $\alpha$  and g which are holomorphic functions defined in the disks  $|x| < R_1$  and  $|x| < R_2$ . It is supposed that coefficients of the corresponding developments in power series are non-negative and that  $\alpha(0) \neq 0$ . We define the sequence  $\alpha_n$ , n = 1, 2, ..., by the relation

(1) 
$$\alpha_n(x) = \exp n \int_0^x \alpha'(s) g(s) ds, \quad x \in [0, R), R = \min (R_1 R_2).$$

In this case the function  $\alpha_n$  admits a development in power series with the convergence radius equal to R, thus

$$\alpha_{\rm s}(x) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} c_{\rm sv} x^{\nu}$$

and the coefficients  $c_{nv}$  are non-negative,  $c_{n0} = 1$ .

Now we establish some formulas which will be usefull in what follows. Let

(2) 
$$\int_{0}^{x} \alpha'(s) g(s) ds = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} a_{\nu} x^{\nu}, \quad x \in [0, R)$$

where the coefficients  $a_k$  are non-negative.

By differentiating  $\alpha_n$  we obtain

$$\alpha'_n(x) = n\alpha'(x) g(x) \cdot \alpha_n(x)$$

thus

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} v c_{nv} x^{v-1} = n(\sum_{v=1}^{\infty} v a_v x^{v-1}) (\sum_{v=0}^{\infty} c_{nv} x^{v}), \qquad x \in [0, R).$$

Ŷ.

This formula implies

 $na_{1} = c_{n1}$   $2na_{2} + na_{1}c_{n1} = 2c_{n2}$   $3na_{3} + 2na_{2}c_{n1} + na_{1}c_{n2} = 3c_{n3}$ 

hence

(3)

$$vc_{nv} = n \sum_{k=0}^{\nu-1} c_{nk} a_{\nu-k} (\nu-k), \qquad \nu = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$
$$c_{n0} = 1$$

Further from the definition of the function  $\alpha_n$  it follows

$$\alpha_{n+1}(x) = \alpha_1(x) \cdot \alpha_n(x)$$

which means that

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} c_{n+1,\nu} x^{\nu} = \left(\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} c_{n\nu} x^{\nu}\right) \left(\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} c_{1\nu} x^{\nu}\right)$$

Thus we may conclude for coefficient.  $c_{ny}$ :

$$c_{n+1,0} = c_{n,0}c_{1,0}$$

$$c_{n+1,1} = c_{n0}c_{11} + c_{n1}c_{10}$$

$$\ldots$$

$$c_{n+1,\nu} = \sum_{k=0}^{\nu} c_{nk}c_{1,\nu-k}, \quad \nu = 1, 2, \ldots$$

(4)

Let Q[a, b] be the set of all real functions defined and bounded on the interval  $[0, \infty)$  and continuous on the interval [a, b], continuous to the left in x = a and continuous to the right in x = b. For n = 1, 2, 3, ... we define operators  $L_n$  by the relations:

$$L_n(f; x) = \frac{1}{\alpha_n(x)} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} c_{n\nu} x^{\nu} f\left(\frac{\nu}{n}\right).$$

These operators are defined for each function which is bounded for  $x \ge 0$ . Further we consider the function

 $\tau(x) = x\alpha^{t}(x) g(x).$ 

From our conditions for  $\alpha$  and g it follows that  $\tau$  is an absolutely monotone function on the interval [0, R).

In [2] it is shown that operators  $L_n(f; x)$  satisfy the following conditions:

$$L_n(f; x) = 1,$$
  

$$L_n(t; x) = \tau(x),$$
  

$$L_n(t^2; x) = \tau^2(x) + \frac{1}{n} \left[ x^2 \alpha'(x) g'(x) + x^2 \alpha''(x) g(x) + \tau(x) \right].$$

Z

In the same paper there is proved the following theorem:

**Theorem.** Let  $a \in (0, R)$  and let  $a^* = \tau(a)$ . If  $f \in Q[0, a^*]$  then the sequence  $\{L_n(f; x)\}, n = 1, 2, ...,$  converges uniformly towards the function  $f(\tau(x))$  on the interval [0, a].

It is known that

 $L_n(t; x) = \tau(x)$  for all n = 1, 2, ...,

consequently

$$\frac{1}{\alpha_{n+1}(x)} \sum_{\nu=e}^{\infty} c_{n+1,\nu} x^{\nu} \frac{\nu}{n+1} = \frac{1}{\alpha_n(x)} \sum_{n=e}^{\infty} c_{n,\nu} x^{\nu} \frac{\nu}{n}$$

and

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} c_{n+1,\nu} x^{\nu} \frac{\nu}{n+1} = \alpha_1(x) \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} c_{n\nu} x^{\nu} \frac{\nu}{n}$$

hence

(5) 
$$\frac{v}{n+1}c_{n+1,v} = \sum_{k=0}^{v} c_{nk}c_{1,v-k}\frac{k}{n}, \quad v = 1, 2, ...$$

We recall the following definitions:

**Definition 1.** A real function f is called convex, non-concave, polynomial, nonconvex, concave of the k-th order on the interval [a, b], if

179 - La 1992

$$[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k+2}; f] > 0, \ge 0, = 0, \le 0, < 0,$$

respectively, for any system of k + 2 knots from [a, b];  $[x_1, ..., x_{k+2}; f]$  is the (k + 1)st-order divided difference of the function f on the knots  $x_1, ..., x_{k+2}$ .

**Definition 2.** A linear functional T defined on C[a, b] is of the exactness degree k or T is said to be in  $\mathscr{E}_k$  if

$$T[x^{j}] = 0, \quad j = 0, 1, ..., k \text{ and } T[x^{k+1}] \neq 0.$$

**Definition 3.** A linear functional T defined on C[a, b] has the simple form of the k-th-order and in this case we say that  $T \in \mathcal{A}_k$ . if for all  $f \in C[a, b]$  it is

 $T[f] = B[x_1, \ldots, x_{k+2}; f],$ 

where  $B \neq 0$  is independent of f(x) and the distinct knots  $x_1, \ldots, x_{k+2}$  dependgenerally on the choice of f(x).

In the rest we shall use the following theorem [3]:

**Theorem.** (T. Popoviciu). Let T be a linear functional defined on C[a, b]. Then  $T \in \mathcal{A}_k$  if and only if  $T \in \mathcal{B}_k$  and  $T[f] \neq 0$  for any function convex of the k-th-order on [a, b].

**Remark.** For x = 0 it is

$$f(0) = L_n(f; 0)$$
  $n = 1, 2, 3, ...$ 

1.00

3

Now, we shall prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.** Let f be convex of the first order on the interval  $[0, \infty)$ . Then the sequence  $\{L_n(f; x)\}, n = 1, 2, ..., is$  decreasing on the interval (0, a], i.e.

$$L_n(f; x) > L_{n+1}(f; x), \quad x \in (0, a], n = 1, 2, 3, ...$$

Proof

$$L_{n}(f; x) - L_{n+1}(f; x) = \frac{1}{\alpha_{n+1}(x)} \left\{ \alpha_{1}(x) \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} c_{n\nu} x^{\nu} f\left(\frac{\nu}{n}\right) - \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} c_{n+1,\nu} x^{\nu} f\left(\frac{\nu}{n+1}\right) \right\}_{\beta}$$

Using the Taylor's series for  $\alpha_1$  and carrying out the multiplication by Cauchy's rule, we obtain for the expression in brackets:

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty}\left[\sum_{k=0}^{\nu}c_{nk}c_{1,\nu-k}f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)-c_{n+1,\nu}f\left(\frac{\nu}{n+1}\right)\right]x^{\nu}.$$

Then it suffices to establish

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\nu} c_{nk} c_{1,\nu-k} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \ge c_{n+1,\nu} f\left(\frac{\nu}{n+1}\right)$$

This is, however, a direct consequence of convexity since f is convex and relations (3) and (4) are valid.

**Remark.** If f is non-concave, polynomial, non-convex. concave of the first order on  $[0, \infty)$ , then the sequence  $\{L_n(f; x)\}$  is non-increasing, stationary, non-decreasing, increasing on the interval (0, a], respectively.

**Corollary.** Let f be convex, non-concave, polynomial, non-convex, concave of the 1-st-order on  $[0, \infty)$ .

Then

$$L_{n}(f; x) > f(\tau(x)), \qquad L_{n}(f; x) \ge f(\tau(x)), \qquad L_{n}(f; x) = f(\tau(x)),$$
$$L_{n}(f; x) \le f(\tau(x)), \qquad L_{n}(f; x) < f(\tau(x)), \qquad x \in (0, a],$$

respectively.

Let x be a fixed point in (0, a]. Let  $T_{ax}$  be a functional defined on  $C[0, \infty)$ . by the relation:

$$T_{nx}[f] = L_{n+1}(f; x) - L_n(f; x).$$

These functionals are in  $\mathcal{S}_1$  since

$$T_{nx}[1] = 0,$$
  

$$T_{nx}[t] = 0,$$
  

$$T_{nx}[t^{2}] = -\frac{x\tau'(x)}{n(n+1)}$$

These functionals take negative values for any function convex of the first order on  $[0, \infty)$ . We see that  $T_{nx}$  satisfies the conditions of the Popoviciu's theorem and these functionals have simple forms of the first order, namely,

(6) 
$$T_{nx}[f] = c_n(x) [\xi_{1n}, \xi_{2n}, \xi_{3n}; f].$$

The value  $c_n(x)$  can be determined by

$$T_{nx}[t^{2}] = \frac{-x\tau'(x)}{n(n+1)} = c_{n}(x) [\xi_{1n}, \xi_{2n}, \xi_{3n}; t^{2}].$$

From this

(7) 
$$c_n(x) = -\frac{x\tau'(x)}{n(n+1)}$$

Now, we define functionals  $R_{nx}$ ,  $x \in (0, a]$ , n = 1, 2, ..., according to relations

$$R_{nx}[f] = L_n(f; x) - f(\tau(x)).$$

These functionals are in  $\mathcal{E}_1$  since

$$R_{nx}[1] = R_{nx}[t] = 0,$$
  
$$R_{nx}[t^{2}] = \frac{1}{n} x\tau'(x).$$

According to the corollary we can see that

 $R_{nx}[f] < 0$ 

for any function convex of the first order on  $[0, \infty)$ . Functionals  $R_{nx}$  satisfy the Popoviciu's theorem and have the following simple forms:

(8) 
$$R_{nx}[f] = A_n(x) [\eta_{1n}, \eta_{2n}, \eta_{3n}; f]$$

where

(9) 
$$A_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} x t'(x).$$

**Remark.** If f'' is continuous on the interval  $[0, \infty)$  and  $|f''(x)| \leq M$  for al  $x \in [0, \infty)$  then

(10) 
$$|R_{nx}[f]| \leq \frac{M}{2n} x \tau'(x),$$

(11) 
$$|T_{nx}[f]| \leq \frac{M}{2n(n+1)} x\tau'(x).$$

Next we shall study the sequence formed by the first order derivatives of the operators  $L_n(f; x)$ .

We know

•

$$L'_{n}(f; x) = \frac{d}{dx} \left\{ \frac{1}{\alpha_{n}(x)} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} c_{n\nu} x^{\nu} f\left(\frac{\nu}{n}\right) \right\} =$$
  
$$= \frac{-\alpha'_{n}(x)}{\alpha_{n}(x)} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} c_{n\nu} x^{\nu} f\left(\frac{\nu}{n}\right) + \frac{1}{\alpha_{n}(x)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \nu c_{n\nu} x^{\nu-1} f\left(\frac{\nu}{n}\right) =$$
  
$$= \frac{1}{\alpha_{n}(x)} \left\{ \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \nu c_{n\nu} x^{\nu} f\left(\frac{\nu}{n}\right) - \frac{\alpha'_{n}(x)}{\alpha_{n}(x)} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} c_{n\nu} x^{\nu} f\left(\frac{\nu}{n}\right) \right\}.$$

It is obvious that

$$\frac{\alpha'_n(x)}{\alpha_n(x)} = n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k a_k x^{k-1}.$$

1

.

Then

$$L'_{n}(f; x) = \frac{1}{\alpha_{n}(x)} \left\{ \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \nu c_{n\nu} x^{\nu-1} f\left(\frac{\nu}{n}\right) - n \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{\nu-1} a_{\nu-k} c_{nk}(\nu-k) f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \right] \right\} x^{\nu-1}.$$

For the expression in brackets we use the relation (3):

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\nu-1} a_{\nu-k} c_{nk}(\nu-k) f\left(\frac{\nu}{n}\right) - \sum_{k=0}^{\nu-1} c_{nk} a_{\nu-k}(\nu-k) f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) =$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\nu-1} a_{\nu-k} c_{nk}(\nu-k) \left(f\left(\frac{\nu}{n}\right) - f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)\right) =$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{\nu-1} a_{\nu-k} c_{nk}(\nu-k)^{2} \left[\frac{k}{n}, \frac{\nu}{n}; f\right].$$

Consequently, for  $L'_n(f; x)$  we have:

$$L'_{n}(f; x) = \frac{1}{\alpha_{n}(x)} \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\nu-1} a_{\nu-k} c_{nk} (\nu-k)^{2} \left[ \frac{k}{n}, \frac{\nu}{n}; f \right] \right) x^{\nu-1}.$$

Next, let us suppose that f satisfies the Lipschitz' condition on the interval  $[0, \infty)$ with a constant K, i.e. والإيجاب والمستحد الأوا

(12) 
$$|f(x_1) - f(x_2)| \leq K |x_1 - x_2|, x_1 x_2 \in [0, \infty).$$

Then the absolute value of the divided difference  $\left[\frac{k}{n}, \frac{v}{n}; f\right]$  is bounded by number K. . 

Then

1

$$|L'_{n}(f;x)| \leq \frac{K}{\alpha_{n}(x)} \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\nu-1} a_{\nu-k} c_{nk} (\nu-k)^{2} \right) x^{\nu-1} =$$
$$= \frac{K}{\alpha_{n}(x)} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{2} a_{k} x^{k-1} \right) \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{nk} x^{k} \right) = K \cdot \tau'(x).$$

6

Thus the following lemma is proved:

Lemma. Let a function f satisfy the condition (12). Then

(13) 
$$|L'_n(f; x)| \leq K\tau'(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in [0, a]$$

**Remark.** If f'(x) is continuous and bounded on the interval  $[0, \infty)$ , then (13) is valid.

Now, we can prove the following theorem concerning application to differential equations.

**Theorem 2.** Let an initial value problem be given

(14) 
$$y' = f(x, y), y(0) = y_0, \quad x \in [0, a), a \leq 1.$$

Let f(x, y) satisfy the Lipschitz' condition in the strip  $0 \le x < a, -\infty < y < +\infty$ 

$$|f(x, y_1) - f(x, y_2)| \leq \lambda |y_1 - y_2| \quad \text{with } \lambda \in [0, 1).$$

Let f(x, y) and its first two partial derivatives be continuous and bounded in the domain  $0 \le x < \infty$ ,  $-\infty < y < \infty$ .

Then the functions  $y_n(x)$  defined recursively by

(15) 
$$y_0(x) = y_0, \quad y_n(x) = y_0 + \int_0^x L_n\{f(t, y_{n-1}, (t)); s\} ds$$

converge uniformly towards the solution y(x) of the initial value problem (14).

Proof. As mentioned in [1] we shall show that the series

$$y_0 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (y_{n+1}(x) - y_n(x))$$

converges uniformly for  $x \in [0, a)$ .

Let us put

$$\varepsilon_n(x) = y_{n+1}(x) - y_n(x), \qquad y'_n(x) = f(x, y_n(x)).$$

Then

.

$$|\varepsilon_n(x)| = |y_{n+1}(x) - y_n(x)| = |\int_0^x L_{n+1}(y'_n, s) \, ds - \int_0^x L_n(y'_{n-1}, s) \, ds| \le$$
  
$$\leq \int_0^x |L_{n+1}(y'_n; s) - L_n(y'_n, s)| \, ds + \int_0^x |L_n(y'_n, s) - L_n(y'_{n-1}, s)| \, ds = E_1 + E_2$$

where

$$E_{1} = \int_{0}^{x} |L_{n+1}(y'_{n}, s) - L_{n}(y'_{n}, s)| ds,$$
  
$$E_{2} = \int_{0}^{x} |L_{n}(y'_{n}, s) - L_{n}(y'_{n-1}, s)| ds.$$

7

8 6

By using (11) it follows

$$E_1 \leq \frac{ka^2\tau'(a)}{2n(n+1)},$$

where

$$k = \sup_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 f(x, y)}{\mathrm{d}x^2} \right|, \quad \Omega = \{ 0 \leq x < \infty, -\infty < y < \infty \}.$$

In the same way as in [1] it is shown

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 f(x, y)}{\mathrm{d}x^2} \leq k < \infty.$$

To estimate  $E_2$  we use the Lipschitz' condition:

$$E_2 = \int_0^\infty |L_n(y'_n, s) - L_n(y'_{n-1}, s)| ds \leq \\ \leq \lambda x \sup_{0 \leq t \leq a} |\varepsilon_{n-1}(t)| \leq \lambda a \sup_{0 \leq t \leq a} |\varepsilon_{n-1}(t)|.$$

The conclusion of this proof is the same as in [1].

## REFERENCES

- [1] Cheney, E. W., Sharma, A.: Bernstein power series, Canadian Journal of Math., vol. XVI, pp. 241-252.
- [2] Lupas, A.: On Bernstein power series, Mathematica (Cluj) 8 (31), 2, 1966, pp. 287-296.
- [3] Popoviciu, T.: Sur le reste dans certaines linéaires d'approximation de l'analyse, Mathematica (Cluj), 1, (24), 1, 1959, pp. 95-142.

1. Horová

Department of Mathematics Faculty of Science Brno 662 95, Janáčkovo nám. 2a Czechoslovakia