Franz Halter-Koch A characterization of Krull rings with zero divisors

Archivum Mathematicum, Vol. 29 (1993), No. 1-2, 119--122

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/107472

Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 1993

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

A CHARACTERIZATION OF KRULL RINGS WITH ZERO DIVISORS

FRANZ HALTER-KOCH

ABSTRACT. It is proved that a Marot ring is a Krull ring if and only if its monoid of regular elements is a Krull monoid.

It was first noticed by L. Skula [7] that a domain R is a Krull domain if and only if the multiplicative monoid $R \setminus \{0\}$ is a Krull monoid (or, equivalently, admits a divisor theory). For independent proofs and historical remarks see [1] and [3].

In this note we extend the above-mentioned result to Krull rings with zero divisors as treated in [4]. All rings in this note are commutative and possess a unit element. If R is a ring, we denote by R^{\bullet} the monoid of regular elements of R, by R^{\times} the group of invertible elements of R and by T(R) a total quotient ring of R; clearly, $T(R)^{\bullet} = T(R)^{\times}$. For a prime ideal P of R, we set $R_{(P)} = (R^{\bullet} \setminus P)^{-1}R \subset T(R)$. Throughout, we shall assume that R is a Marot ring, and we shall use the Marot property in the following form.

Lemma. A ring R is a Marot ring if and only if the following condition is satisfied:

(**M**)
$$\begin{cases} \text{For any two } R\text{-submodules } M_1, M_2 \text{ of } T(R), \\ M_1 \cap T(R)^{\bullet} = M_2 \cap T(R)^{\bullet} \neq \emptyset \text{ implies } M_1 = M_2. \end{cases}$$

Proof. By [4], Theorem 7.1, R is a Marot ring if and only if every regular R-submodule of T(R) is generated by its regular elements. Therefore every Marot ring satisfies (**M**).

Now let R be a ring satisfying (**M**) and let $M \subset T(R)$ be a regular R-submodule. Let $M_0 \subset M$ be the R-submodule generated by $M \cap T(R)^{\bullet}$; it satisfies $M_0 \cap T(R)^{\bullet} = M \cap T(R)^{\bullet} \neq \emptyset$, and therefore $M_0 = M$.

For the valuation theory of monoids and the theory of Krull monoids we refer to [3]. The main result of this note is the following Theorem.

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification: 13F05.

Key words and phrases: Krull ring, Marot ring, divisor theory, essential valuation, discrete rank one valuation ring.

Received February 8, 1993.

Theorem. Let R be a Marot ring. Then R is a Krull ring if and only if R^{\bullet} is a Krull monoid.

Proof of the Theorem (Part 1). Let R be a Krull ring. Then there exists a set Ω of rank one valuations $v : T(R) \to \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$ such that $R = \{x \in T(R) \mid v(x) \geq 0 \text{ for all } v \in \Omega\}$ and, for any $x \in T(R)^{\bullet}$, v(x) = 0 for all but finitely many $v \in \Omega$. If $v \in \Omega$, then $v(x) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $x \in T(R)^{\bullet}$, and $v^{\bullet} = v|T(R)^{\bullet} : T(R)^{\bullet} \to \mathbb{Z}$ is a valuation of R^{\bullet} . The set $\{v^{\bullet} \mid v \in \Omega\}$ is a defining set of valuations of R^{\bullet} , and therefore R^{\bullet} is a Krull monoid. \Box

The proof of the non-trivial part of the Theorem rests on the following Proposition.

Proposition. Let R be a Marot ring, $v: T(R)^{\bullet} \to \mathbb{Z}$ an essential valuation of R^{\bullet} , and let $P \triangleleft R$ be the ideal generated by $\{x \in R^{\bullet} \mid v(x) > 0\}$.

- i) If $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}^{\bullet}$, $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x = \alpha_1 x_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n x_n \in \mathbb{R}^{\bullet}$, then $v(x) \ge \min\{v(x_1), \ldots, v(x_n)\}$.
- ii) P is a prime ideal of R, then

$$R_{(P)} \cap T(R)^{\bullet} = \left\{ x \in T(R)^{\bullet} \mid v(x) \ge 0 \right\},\$$
$$PR_{(P)} \cap T(R)^{\bullet} = \left\{ x \in T(R)^{\bullet} \mid v(x) > 0 \right\}$$

and

$$R_{(P)}^{\times} = \left\{ x \in T(R)^{\bullet} \mid v(x) = 0 \right\}$$

iii) $R_{(P)}$ is a discrete rank one valuation ring.

Proof. i) We may suppose that $n \ge 2$ and $v(x_1) = \min\{v(x_1), \ldots, v(x_n)\}$. For $2 \le \nu \le n$, we have $x_1^{-1}x_{\nu} \in T(R)^{\bullet}$, $v(x_1^{-1}x_{\nu}) \ge 0$, and since v is essential for R^{\bullet} , there exists an element $z_{\nu} \in R^{\bullet}$ such that $v(z_{\nu}) = 0$ and $z_{\nu}x_1^{-1}x_{\nu} \in R^{\bullet}$. Putting $z = z_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot z_n \in R^{\bullet}$, we obtain v(z) = 0, $zx_1^{-1}\alpha_{\nu}x_{\nu} \in R$ for $2 \le \nu \le n$, and hence

$$zx_1^{-1}x = \alpha_1 z + \sum_{\nu=2}^n zx_1^{-1}\alpha_\nu x_\nu \in R^{\bullet} ;$$

consequently, $0 \le v(zx_1^{-1}x) = -v(x_1) + v(x)$, and the assertion follows.

ii) By i), we obtain

$$P \cap R^{\bullet} = \{ x \in R^{\bullet} \mid v(x) > 0 \}$$

For any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{\bullet}$, $xy \in P$ implies 0 < v(xy) = v(x) + v(y), and since $v(x) \ge 0$, $v(y) \ge 0$, we conclude v(x) > 0 or v(y) > 0, i.e. $x \in P$ or $y \in P$. Hence P is a prime ideal by [4], Theorem 7.10.

By construction, every $x \in R_{(P)} \cap T(R)^{\bullet}$ satisfies $v(x) \ge 0$; $x \in PR_{(P)} \cap T(R)^{\bullet}$ implies v(x) > 0, and $x \in R_{(P)}^{\times}$ implies v(x) = 0. For the converse, let $x \in T(R)^{\bullet}$ be an element satisfying $v(x) \ge 0$. Since v is essential for R^{\bullet} , there exists some $z \in R^{\bullet}$ such that $xz \in R^{\bullet}$ and v(z) = 0. This implies $z \notin P$, and consequently $x = \frac{xz}{z} \in R_{(P)}$. If v(x) > 0, then v(xz) = v(x) > 0, whence $xz \in P$ and $x \in PR_{(P)}$. If v(x) = 0, then x and x^{-1} both lie in $R_{(P)}$, whence $x \in R_{(P)}^{\times}$.

iii) By [6], Proposition 22, we must prove that $PR_{(P)}$ is the only regular prime ideal of $R_{(P)}$, and that it is an invertible ideal.

Let $t \in T(R)^{\bullet}$ be an element satisfying v(t) = 1. By ii), $t \in PR_{(P)}$, and we claim that $PR_{(P)} = R_{(P)}t$. Clearly, it is sufficient to prove that $PR_{(P)} \cap T(R)^{\bullet} \subset R_{(P)}t$. If $x \in PR_{(P)} \cap T(R)^{\bullet}$, then v(x) > 0 and hence $v(xt^{-1}) = v(x) - 1 \ge 0$, which implies $xt^{-1} \in R_{(P)}$ and $x \in R_{(P)}t$. Being a regular principal ideal, $PR_{(P)}$ is invertible.

If $Q \triangleleft R_{(P)}$ is a regular prime ideal and $x \in Q \cap T(R)^{\bullet}$, then v(x) > 0 by ii). This implies $v(t^{v(x)}x^{-1}) = 0$, hence $t^{v(x)}x^{-1} = e \in R_{(P)}^{\times}$ and $t^{v(x)} = xe \in Q$, whence $t \in Q$ and $PR_{(P)} \subset Q$. Since $(R_{(P)} \setminus PR_{(P)}) \cap T(R)^{\bullet} = R_{(P)}^{\times}$, the ideal $PR_{(P)}$ is a maximal regular ideal, and therefore $PR_{(P)} = Q$.

Proof of the Theorem (Part 2). Let R^{\bullet} be a Krull monoid and Ω the set of essential valuations of R^{\bullet} . For $v \in \Omega$, let $P_v \triangleleft R$ be the ideal generated by $\{x \in R^{\bullet} \mid v(x) > 0\}$. By the Proposition, P_v is a prime ideal and $R_{(P_v)}$ is a discrete rank one valuation ring. Therefore it is sufficient to prove that

$$R=\bigcap_{v\in\Omega}R_{(P_v)},$$

and every $x \in T(R)^{\bullet}$ lies in $R_{(P_n)}^{\times}$ for all but finitely many $v \in \Omega$.

By [3], Satz 1, Ω is a defining set of valuations for R^{\bullet} , which means that $R^{\bullet} = \{x \in T(R)^{\bullet} \mid v(x) \geq 0 \text{ for all } v \in \Omega\}$ and, for all $x \in T(R)^{\bullet}$, v(x) = 0 for all but finitely many $v \in \Omega$. By the Proposition, this implies

$$R^{\bullet} = \bigcap_{v \in \Omega} R_{(P_v)} \cap T(R)^{\bullet},$$

and hence

$$R = \bigcap_{v \in \Omega} R_{(P_v)}$$

by the Lemma; furthermore, if $x \in T(R)^{\bullet}$, then $x \in R_{(P_v)}^{\times}$ for all but finitely many $v \in \Omega$.

Remark. That the monoid of regular elements of a Krull ring is a Krull monoid, was already observed in [2]. Yet another characterization of Krull rings with zero divisors was given in [5].

References

- [1] Borewicz, Z. I., Shafarevich, I. R., Number Theory, 3rd ed. (Russian), Moskva 1985.
- [2] Geroldinger, A., On the arithmetic of certain not integrally closed noetherian domains, Comm. Alg. 19 (1991), 685-698.
- [3] Halter-Koch, F., Ein Approximationssatz für Halbgruppen mit Divisorentheorie, Result. Math. 19 (1991), 74-82.
- [4] Huckaba, J. A., Commutative rings with zero divisors, Marcel Dekker, 1988.
- [5] Kang, B. G., A characterization of Krull rings with zero divisors, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 72 (1991), 33-38.
- [6] Portelli, D., Spangher, W., Krull rings with zero divisors, Comm. Alg. 11 (1983), 1817-1851.
- [7] Skula, L., On c-semigroups, Acta Arith. 31 (1976), 247-256.

FRANZ HALTER-KOCH INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK KARL-FRANZENS-UNIVERSITÄT HEINRICHSTRASSE 36/IV A-8010 GRAZ, ÖSTERREICH