Boris Rudolf The generalized boundary value problem is a Fredholm mapping of index zero

Archivum Mathematicum, Vol. 31 (1995), No. 1, 55--58

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/107524

Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 1995

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

ARCHIVUM MATHEMATICUM (BRNO) Tomus 31 (1995), 55 – 58

THE GENERALIZED BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM IS A FREDHOLM MAPPING OF INDEX ZERO

BORIS RUDOLF

ABSTRACT. In the paper it is proved that each generalized boundary value problem for the n-th order linear differential equation generates a Fredholm mapping of index zero.

This contribution is a short note on the paper of V.Šeda [2].

The main goal of this paper is to prove that each generalized boundary value problem for the n-th order linear differential equation

(1)
$$x^{(n)}(t) + a_1(t)x^{(n-1)}(t) + \dots + a_n(t)x(t) = 0,$$

(2)
$$l_i(x) = 0$$
 $i = 1, ..., n,$

where $a_i(t) \in C([a, b])$ and $l_i : C^n([a, b]) \to R$ are continuous linear functionals, generates a Fredholm mapping of index zero.

Functionals l_i , i = 1, ..., n are assumed to be linearly independent. Cf. [2, Lemma 10].

The result is based on Nikol'skij's theorem.

Theorem. (Nikol'skij) [3, p.233]. A linear bounded operator $A : X \to Y$ is Fredholm of index zero if and only if

$$A = C + T$$

where X and Y are Banach spaces, C is a linear homeomorphism of X onto Y and $T: X \to Y$ is a linear completely continuous operator.

We denote $X = \{x \in C^n([a, b]), l_i(x) = 0 \mid i = 1, ..., n\}$ and Y = C([a, b]).

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification: 34B05.

Key words and phrases: generalized BVP, Fredholm mapping.

Received December 19, 1993.

Theorem. The operator $A: X \to Y$

$$Ax(t) = x^{(n)}(t) + a_1(t)x^{(n-1)}(t) + \dots + a_n(t)x(t)$$

is a Fredholm mapping of index zero.

Proof. We shall find a suitable homeomorphism C and a completely continuous operator T such that A = C + T.

As the boundary conditions (2) are linearly independent no functional l_i is identically equal to zero and for every i = 1, ..., n we can represent the factor space

$$C^{n}([a,b])/_{\{l_{i}(x)=0\}}$$

by the one dimensional subspace $\{c\phi_i(t), c \in R\}$ of $C^n([a,b])$, where $\phi_i(t) \in C^n([a,b])$ is a suitable (not uniquely determined) function.

Now we choose functions $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^n$ by the induction.

1. In the first step we choose ϕ_1 positive. If $l_1(1) \neq 0$, then we set $\phi_1 = 1$. If $l_1(1) = 0$, we choose arbitrary $\bar{\phi}_1$ such that $l_1(\bar{\phi}_1) \neq 0$. Being from $C^n([a,b])$, $\bar{\phi}_1$ has a minimum on [a,b] and there is a constant $k \geq 0$ such that

$$\phi_1(t) = \bar{\phi}_1(t) + k > 0$$
 for $t \in [a, b]$.

Obviously

$$l_1(\phi_1) = l_1(\bar{\phi}_1) \neq 0.$$

Functionals l_1 and $-l_1$ represent the same boundary condition. We choose between them such, and denote it again l_1 , that $l_1(\phi_1) > 0$.

2. Assume that we have found functions $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_{k-1}$ associated with functionals l_1, \ldots, l_{k-1} such that the Wronskian

(3)
$$W(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_i) > 0 \quad \text{for } t \in [a, b]$$
$$l_i(\phi_i) > 0, \quad l_j(\phi_i) = 0$$

holds for every $i = 1, \ldots, k - 1$ and every j < i.

We find the function ϕ_k associted with the functional l_k , such that (3) holds for $i = 1, \ldots, k$ and j < i.

At first we find a function $\bar{\phi}_k$ satisfying the condition

$$W(\phi_1,\ldots,\phi_{k-1},\bar{\phi}_k)=1.$$

As the function ϕ_k is a solution of the above (k-1)-th order ODE it is

$$\bar{\phi}_k(t) = y(t) + c_1 \phi_1(t) + \dots + c_{k-1} \phi_{k-1}(t).$$

Now beginning by c_1 , we choose the coefficients $\{c_i\}_{i=1}^{k-1}$ such that $l_j(\bar{\phi}_k) = 0$ for $j = 1, \ldots, k-1$. The choice is possible while $l_j(\phi_i) = 0$ for j < i.

If $l_k(\bar{\phi}_k) \neq 0$, we set $\phi_k = \bar{\phi}_k$.

If $l_k(\bar{\phi}_k) = 0$, we choose the function $\tilde{\phi}_k$ arbitrary but such that $l_j(\tilde{\phi}_k) = 0$ for $j = 1, \ldots, k - 1$ and $l_k(\tilde{\phi}_k) \neq 0$, and we calculate the Wronskian

$$W(\phi_1,\ldots,\phi_{k-1},\tilde{\phi}_k)=d(t).$$

The function d(t) is continuous. We set

$$\phi_k = \tilde{\phi}_k + c\bar{\phi}_k$$

where $c \in R$, $c > |min \ d(t)|$ is a constant.

Now it is easy to prove that

$$W(\phi_1,\ldots,\phi_k)>0$$

and $l_j(\phi_k) = 0$ for j = 1, ..., k - 1 and $l_k(\phi_k) \neq 0$.

At last we again choose between functionals l_k , $-l_k$ such, denoting it again l_k , that $l_k(\phi_k) > 0$.

Thus we have found *n* linearly independent functions $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^n, \phi_i \in C^n([a, b])$ satisfying (3) for each i = 1, ..., n and each j < i. These functions generate the basis of the set of solutions of the homogeneous linear differential equation

(4)
$$W(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n, x) = 0,$$

or dividing by the first coefficient, the equation

(5)
$$x^{(n)}(t) + c_1(t)x^{(n-1)}(t) + \dots + c_n(t)x(t) = 0.$$

That means, the boundary value problem (5), (2) has only the trivial solution. Then the operator $C: X \to Y$ given by

$$Cx(t) = x^{(n)}(t) + c_1(t)x^{(n-1)}(t) + \dots + c_n(t)x(t),$$

i.e. the operator generated by the problem (5), (2) is continuous, invertible and onto

Y. The Banach inverse function theorem means that C is also a homeomorphism. Then

$$A = C + T$$

where $T: X \to Y$

$$Tx(t) = (a_1(t) - c_1(t)) x^{(n-1)}(t) + \dots + (a_n(t) - c_n(t)) x(t)$$

Because $X \subseteq C^n([a, b])$ and the highest derivative is of the order n - 1, the Arsela-Ascoli theorem follows that T is a completely continuous operator.

57

We remark that the basis $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of the set of solutions of the equation (5) has property (3) and especially $W(\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_i) > 0$ for each $t \in [a, b]$, and each $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

The property (3) implies that the equation (5) is disconjugate on [a, b]. (See [1]).

Corollary. To each system of n independent generalized boundary coditions (2) there is a disconjugate on [a, b] ordinary differential equation of n-th order such that the boundary value problem has only the trivial solution.

Lets consider the generalized boundary value problem

(6)
$$x^{(n)}(t) + a_1(t)x^{(n-1)}(t) + \dots + a_n(t)x(t) + f(t, x, \dots, x^{(m)}) = q(t),$$

(2)
$$l_i(x) = 0$$
 $i = 1, ..., n,$

where $f \in C([a,b] \times \mathbb{R}^{m+1})$, $m < n, q \in C([a,b])$ and $l_i : C^{n-1}([a,b]) \to \mathbb{R}$ $i = 1, \ldots, n$ is a linear continuous functional [2, p.11-12].

The right side of the equation (6) defines an operator $C + (T + B) : X \to Y$, where $B : X \to Y$ is the completely continuous Nemickij's operator given by the function f.

Obviously the restriction of l_i on $C^n([a, b])$ is a continuous linear functional.

As the consequence of our theorem we obtain that the operator C + (T + B) is a completely continuous perturbation of a linear homeomorphism. (Confer [2, Lemma 10, 12, Theorem 5, 6] where an additional assumption is supposed.)

References

- Hartman, P., Ordinary differential equations, John Wiley & Sons, New York-London-Sydney, 1964.
- [2] Šeda, V., Fredholm mappings and the generalized boundary value problem, Differential and Integral Equations 8 (1995), 19-40.
- [3] Trenogin, V. A., Functional analysis, Nauka, Moscow, 1980. (Russian)

Boris Rudolf Katedra Matematiky Elektrotechnická fakulta STU Mlynská dolina 812 19 Bratislava, SLOVAK REPUBLIC