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# THE PROOF OF THE ISOMORPHISM OF THE $n$ - DIMENSIONAL PROJECTIVE SPACES DEFINED AXIOMATICALLY 

V. Bálint, P. Grešák, M. Kaštieľ and †J. Kateřiñák


#### Abstract

The paper gives a proof (without of using of "great" Desargues' axiom) that any two axiomatically defined $n$-dimensional projective spaces are isomorphic.


## 1. Axioms and auxiliary theorems.

The projective space $\mathbf{P}_{n}$ of dimension $n \geq 2$ is meant to be a non-empty set with $n-1$ systems of non-empty subsets (so called subspaces) fulfilling the generalized Hilbert's axioms of incidence J1- J5, the projective axiom $\mathbf{P}$ and a special Desargues' axiom GP, on which separation relation $\nu \subset \mathbf{P}_{n} \times \mathbf{P}_{n} \times \mathbf{P}_{n} \times \mathbf{P}_{n}$ is defined so that it is fulfilling the separation axioms N1-N6 and Dedekind's axiom $\mathbf{D N}$. The points (i.e. the elements) of space $\mathbf{P}_{n}$ we will note by $A, B, C, B$, $B^{\prime \prime}$, and similarly, or also $\mathbf{P}_{0}, \mathbf{P}_{0}^{\prime}, \mathbf{P}^{\prime \prime}{ }_{0}, \ldots$ as one-point sets. The subspaces of dimension $k=1,2, \ldots, n-1$ (i.e. the subsets of the $k$-th system) of the space $\mathbf{P}_{n}$ we will note by $\mathbf{P}_{k}, \mathbf{P}_{k}^{\prime}, \mathbf{P}^{\prime \prime}{ }_{k}, \ldots$ The empty set we will note also by $\mathbf{P}_{-1}, \mathbf{P}^{\prime}{ }_{-1}$, $\mathbf{P}^{\prime \prime}{ }_{-1}, \ldots$.

Definition. $B_{0}, \ldots, B_{k} \in \mathbf{P}_{n}$ are independent in $\mathbf{P}_{n}$ (and we write $B_{0} \ldots B_{k}$ ) $\Leftrightarrow$ for every $\mathbf{P}_{k-1} \subset \mathbf{P}_{n}$ at least one $B_{i} \notin \mathbf{P}_{k-1}$.

Generalized Hilbert's axioms of incidence ([4], p.69)
$\mathbf{J 1} \quad \mathbf{P}_{1} \subset \mathbf{P}_{n} \Rightarrow$ there are independent $B_{0}, B_{1} \in \mathbf{P}_{1}$.
$\mathbf{J 2}$ For every $k=0,1, \ldots, n$ there are independent $B_{0}, \ldots, B_{k} \in \mathbf{P}_{n}$.
J3 Independent $B_{0}, \ldots, B_{k} \in \mathbf{P}_{n} \Rightarrow$ there is one and only one $\mathbf{P}_{k} \subset \mathbf{P}_{n}$ such that $B_{0}, \ldots, B_{k} \in \mathbf{P}_{k}$ (we write $\mathbf{P}_{k}=B_{0} \ldots B_{k}$ ).
J4 $\quad \mathbf{P}_{k}, \mathbf{P}_{k+1} \subset \mathbf{P}_{n}$ and independent $B_{0}, \ldots, B_{k} \in \mathbf{P}_{k} \cap \mathbf{P}_{k+1} \Rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{k} \subset \mathbf{P}_{k+1}$. J5 $\quad \mathbf{P}_{k}, \mathbf{P}_{k}^{\prime} \subset \mathbf{P}_{k+1} \subset \mathbf{P}_{n}$ and $\mathbf{P}_{k} \cap \mathbf{P}_{k}^{\prime} \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow$ there are independent $B_{0}, \ldots, B_{k-1} \in \mathbf{P}_{k} \cap \mathbf{P}_{k}^{\prime}$.

[^0]Projective axiom and special Desarguesian axiom
$\mathbf{P} \quad \mathbf{P}_{1}, \mathbf{P}_{1}^{\prime} \subset \mathbf{P}_{2} \subset \mathbf{P}_{n} \Rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{1} \cap \mathbf{P}_{1}^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$
GP Independent $A, B, C \in \mathbf{P}_{2} \subset \mathbf{P}_{n}$, independent $D, E, F \in \mathbf{P}_{2}, A D \cap B E=$ $=A D \cap C F=B E \cap C F=Q \in \mathbf{P}_{1} \subset \mathbf{P}_{2}, A B \cap D E=X \in \mathbf{P}_{1}, A C \cap D F=$ $=Y \in \mathbf{P}_{1} \Rightarrow B C \cap E F=Z \in \mathbf{P}_{1}$.

Separation axioms and Dedekind's axiom ([3], p. 262-263 and 278; $(A, B, C, D) \in \nu$ we read: the pair $A, C$ separates the pair $B, D)$
N1 $(A, B, C, D) \in \nu \Rightarrow A, B, C, D \in \mathbf{P}_{1} \subset \mathbf{P}_{n}$ mutually distinct, $(C, B, A, D) \in \nu,(B, A, D, C) \in \nu$.
N2 $A, C \in \mathbf{P}_{1} \subset \mathbf{P}_{n}, A \neq C \Rightarrow$ there is $(A, B, C, D) \in \nu$.
N3 Mutually distinct $A, B, C, D \in \mathbf{P}_{1} \subset \mathbf{P}_{n} \Rightarrow$ it holds just one of the following three relations: $(A, B, C, D) \in \nu,(A, C, B, D) \in \nu,(A, B, D, C) \in \nu$.
N4 $A, B, C, D, E \in \mathbf{P}_{1} \subset \mathbf{P}_{n}, A \neq C \neq B,(A, C, B, D) \notin \nu,(A, C, B, E) \notin$ $\nu \Rightarrow(A, D, B, E) \notin \nu$.
N5 $(A, C, B, D),(A, C, B, E) \in \nu \Rightarrow(A, D, B, E) \notin \nu$.
N6 $A, B, C, D \in \mathbf{P}_{1} \subset \mathbf{P}_{2} \subset \mathbf{P}_{n}, E, F, G, H \in \mathbf{P}_{1}^{\prime} \subset \mathbf{P}_{2}, Q \in \mathbf{P}_{2}, Q \notin \mathbf{P}_{1}$, $Q \notin \mathbf{P}_{1}^{\prime}, E \in A Q, F \in B Q, G \in C Q, H \in D Q,(A, B, C, D) \in \nu$ $\Rightarrow(E, F, G, H) \in \nu$.
DN If $A, B, C \in \mathbf{P}_{1} \subset \mathbf{P}_{n}, A \neq B \neq C \neq A, X \in D \Leftrightarrow(A, X, B, C) \in \nu$, $\emptyset \neq D^{\prime} \subset D, \emptyset \neq D^{\prime \prime} \subset D$,
a) $D^{\prime} \cup D^{\prime \prime}=D, D^{\prime} \cap D^{\prime \prime}=\emptyset$,
b) $Y \in D^{\prime},(A, X, Y, C) \in \nu \Rightarrow X \in D^{\prime}$
c) $Y \in D^{\prime \prime},(Y, X, B, C) \in \nu \Rightarrow X \in D^{\prime \prime}$,
then there is $H \in D$ such that
d) $(A, X, H, C) \in \nu \Rightarrow X \in D^{\prime}$ $(H, X, B, C) \in \nu \Rightarrow X \in D^{\prime \prime}$.
The affine space $\mathbf{A}_{n}$ of dimension $n \geq 2$ is meant to be non-empty set together with $n-1$ systems of non-empty subsets (so called subspaces) fulfilling the generalized Hilbert's axioms of incidence J1-J5, (Euklid's) parallel axiom E and a special Desargues' axiom GE, on which the betweenness relation $\mu \subset \mathbf{A}_{n} \times \mathbf{A}_{n} \times \mathbf{A}_{n}$, fulfilling the axioms M1-M4 and Dedekind's axiom DM, is defined. The subspaces of $\mathbf{A}_{n}$ will be denoted by $\mathbf{A}_{k}, \mathbf{A}_{k}^{\prime}, \mathbf{A}_{k}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots$

The parallel axiom and the special Desarguesian axiom (see [4], p.70)
$\mathbf{E} \quad \mathbf{A}_{1} \subset \mathbf{A}_{2} \subset \mathbf{A}_{n}, B \in \mathbf{A}_{2}-\mathbf{A}_{1} \Rightarrow$ there is exactly one $\mathbf{A}_{1}^{\prime} \subset \mathbf{A}_{2}$ such that $B \in \mathbf{A}_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{A}_{1} \cap \mathbf{A}_{1}^{\prime}=\emptyset$.
GE Independent $A, B, C \in \mathbf{A}_{2} \subset \mathbf{A}_{n}$, independent $D, E, F \in \mathbf{A}_{2}, A D \cap B E=$ $=A D \cap C F=B E \cap C F=\emptyset, A B \cap D E=\emptyset, A C \cap D F=\emptyset \Rightarrow B C \cap E F=\emptyset$.

The axioms of betweenness relation and Dedekind's axiom (see [4], p.70, and [3], pp.44-45; $(A, B, C) \in \mu$ we read: the point $B$ is between the points $A, C)$

M1 $(A, B, C) \in \mu \Rightarrow A, B, C \in \mathbf{A}_{1} \subset \mathbf{A}_{n}, A \neq B \neq C \neq A,(C, B, A) \in \mu$.
M2 $A, B \in \mathbf{A}_{n}, A \neq B \Rightarrow$ there is $(A, B, C) \in \mu$.
M3 $(A, B, C) \in \mu \Rightarrow(B, A, C),(A, C, B) \notin \mu$.
M4 If independent $A, B, C \in \mathbf{A}_{2} \subset \mathbf{A}_{n}, \mathbf{A}_{1} \subset \mathbf{A}_{2}, A, B, C \notin \mathbf{A}_{1}$ and there is

```
\(D \in \mathbf{A}_{1},(A, D, B) \in \mu\), then there is either \(E \in \mathbf{A}_{1},(A, E, C) \in \mu\), or
\(F \in \mathbf{A}_{1},(B, F, C) \in \mu\).
```

$\mathbf{D M}$ If $A, B \in \mathbf{A}_{n}, A \neq B, X \in D \Leftrightarrow(A, X, B) \in \mu, \emptyset \neq D^{\prime} \subset D, \emptyset \neq D^{\prime \prime} \subset D$,
a) $D^{\prime} \cup D^{\prime \prime}=D, D^{\prime} \cap D^{\prime \prime}=\emptyset$,
b) $Y \in D^{\prime},(A, X, Y) \in \mu \Rightarrow X \in D^{\prime}$
c) $Y \in D^{\prime \prime},(Y, X, B) \in \mu \Rightarrow X \in D^{\prime \prime}$,
then there is $H \in D$ such that
d) $(A, X, H) \in \mu \Rightarrow X \in D^{\prime}$

$$
(H, X, B) \in \mu \Rightarrow X \in D^{\prime \prime}
$$

The projective space $\mathbf{P}_{n}$ is isomorphic with the projective space $\mathbf{P}_{n}^{\prime}$ if there is a bijective mapping $f$ of the space $\mathbf{P}_{n}$ onto the space $\mathbf{P}_{n}^{\prime}$ (so called isomorphism) such that the (isomorphic) image of a subspaces $\mathbf{P}_{k} \subset \mathbf{P}_{n}$ are the subspaces $\mathbf{P}_{k}^{\prime} \subset \mathbf{P}_{n}$ and the (isomorphic) image of a separation $\nu$ in $\mathbf{P}_{n}$ is a separation $\nu^{\prime}$ in $\mathbf{P}_{n}^{\prime}$.

Given the projective space $\mathbf{P}_{n}$, let us choose a subspace $\mathbf{P}_{n-1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbf{P}_{n}$ and let us put $\mathbf{A}_{n}=\mathbf{P}_{n}-\mathbf{P}_{n-1}^{\infty}$. For $k=1,2, \ldots, n-1$ we define the subsets $\mathbf{A}_{k} \subset \mathbf{A}_{n}$ and a subset $\mu \subset \mathbf{A}_{n} \times \mathbf{A}_{n} \times \mathbf{A}_{n}$ as following:
(1) $\mathbf{A}_{k}=\mathbf{P}_{k}-\mathbf{P}_{n-1}^{\infty}$ for $\mathbf{P}_{k} \subset \mathbf{P}_{n}, \mathbf{P}_{k} \not \subset \mathbf{P}_{n-1}^{\infty}$;
(2) $(B, D, C) \in \mu \Leftrightarrow(B, D, C, Z) \in \nu$ and $Z \in \mathbf{P}_{n-1}^{\infty}$ for the separation $\nu$ in $\mathbf{P}_{n}$.
Now $\mathbf{A}_{n}$ is the affine space of dimension $n, \mathbf{A}_{k}$ are its subspaces of dimension $k$ and $\mu$ is the betweenness relation in $\mathbf{A}_{n}$. Axioms J1-J5 and $\mathbf{P}$ imply the following statements:
(3) $\mathbf{P}_{1}, \mathbf{P}_{k}^{\prime} \subset \mathbf{P}_{k+1}, \mathbf{P}_{1} \not \subset \mathbf{P}_{k}^{\prime}, 1 \leq k \leq n-1 \Rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{1} \cap \mathbf{P}_{k}^{\prime}=B$.
(4) $\mathbf{P}_{h}, \mathbf{P}_{k}^{\prime} \subset \mathbf{P}_{k+1}, \mathbf{P}_{h} \not \subset \mathbf{P}_{k}^{\prime}, 1 \leq h \leq k \leq n-1 \Rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{h} \cap \mathbf{P}_{k}^{\prime}=\mathbf{P}_{h-1}^{\prime \prime}$.

## 2.The mean statement and its proof.

Theorem 1. Any two projective spaces $\mathbf{P}_{n}$ and $\mathbf{P}_{n}^{\prime}$ are isomorphic.
Proof. Let us choose a subspace $\mathbf{P}_{n-1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbf{P}_{n}$ and a subspace $\mathbf{P}_{n-1}^{\prime \infty} \subset \mathbf{P}_{n}^{\prime}$ and construct the affine spaces $\mathbf{A}_{n}=\mathbf{P}_{n}-\mathbf{P}_{n-1}^{\infty}$ and $\mathbf{A}_{n}^{\prime}=\mathbf{P}_{n}^{\prime}-\mathbf{P}_{n-1}^{\prime \infty}$. By [4] there is an isomorphic mapping $f$ of space $\mathbf{A}_{n}$ onto the space $\mathbf{A}_{n}^{\prime}$ such that $f\left(\mathbf{A}_{k}\right)=\mathbf{A}_{k}^{\prime}$ and $f(\mu)=\mu^{\prime}$. Let us define the mapping $\bar{f}$ as follows:
(5) $\bar{f}(X)=f(X)=X^{\prime} \in \mathbf{A}_{n}^{\prime}$ for every $X \in \mathbf{A}_{n}$.

For $Y \in \mathbf{P}_{n-1}^{\infty}$ let us choose $B \in \mathbf{A}_{n}$ and let us put $\mathbf{P}_{1}=B Y, \mathbf{A}_{1}=\mathbf{P}_{1}-\mathbf{P}_{n-1}^{\infty}$, $f\left(\mathbf{A}_{1}\right)=\mathbf{A}_{1}^{\prime} \subset \mathbf{P}_{1}^{\prime}, \bar{f}(Y)=Y^{\prime}=\mathbf{P}_{1}^{\prime} \cap \mathbf{P}_{n-1}^{\prime \infty}$. We are going to show that in (5) it does not depend on the choosing of the point $B$; therefore let us choose $C \in \mathbf{A}_{n}$, $\overline{\mathbf{P}}_{1}=C Y \neq B Y, \overline{\mathbf{A}}_{1}=\overline{\mathbf{P}}_{1}-\mathbf{P}_{n-1}^{\infty}, f\left(\overline{\mathbf{A}}_{1}\right)=\overline{\mathbf{A}}_{1}^{\prime} \subset \overline{\mathbf{P}}_{1}^{\prime}, \bar{f}(Y)=\bar{Y}^{\prime}=\overline{\mathbf{P}}_{1}^{\prime} \cap \mathbf{P}_{n-1}^{\prime \infty}$. Because the points $B, C, Y$ are independent, there is only one $\mathbf{P}_{2}=B C Y \supset$ $\mathbf{P}_{1}, \overline{\mathbf{P}}_{1}$ and therefore also only one $\mathbf{A}_{2}=\mathbf{P}_{2}-\mathbf{P}_{n-1}^{\infty} \supset \mathbf{A}_{1}, \overline{\mathbf{A}}_{1}$ and it is true that $\mathbf{A}_{1} \cap \overline{\mathbf{A}}_{1}=\emptyset$ (because $\mathbf{P}_{1} \cap \overline{\mathbf{P}}_{1}=Y \in \mathbf{P}_{n-1}^{\infty}$ ). For the images in the isomorphism $f$ we have $\mathbf{A}_{2}^{\prime}=f\left(\mathbf{A}_{2}\right) \supset \mathbf{A}_{1}^{\prime}, \overline{\mathbf{A}}_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{A}_{1}^{\prime} \cap \overline{\mathbf{A}}_{1}^{\prime}=\emptyset$. For $\mathbf{P}_{2}^{\prime} \supset \mathbf{A}_{2}^{\prime}$ we have
$\mathbf{P}_{2}^{\prime} \supset \mathbf{P}_{1}^{\prime}, \overline{\mathbf{P}}_{1}^{\prime}$ and by the axiom $\mathbf{P}$ the point $Z^{\prime}=\mathbf{P}_{1}^{\prime} \cap \overline{\mathbf{P}}_{1}^{\prime}$ is unique (if $\mathbf{P}_{1}^{\prime}=\overline{\mathbf{P}}_{1}^{\prime}$, then also $\mathbf{A}_{1}^{\prime}=\overline{\mathbf{A}}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) and in consequence of $\mathbf{A}_{1}^{\prime} \cap \overline{\mathbf{A}}_{1}^{\prime}=\emptyset$ it must be $Z^{\prime} \in \mathbf{P}_{n-1}^{\prime \infty}$ and $Y^{\prime}=Z^{\prime}=\bar{Y}^{\prime}$, too.

Further we have the following statement:
a) $Y, Z \in \mathbf{P}_{n}, Y \neq Z \Rightarrow \bar{f}(Y) \neq \bar{f}(Z)$,
b) $Y^{\prime} \in \mathbf{P}_{n}^{\prime} \Rightarrow$ there is $Y \in \mathbf{P}_{n}$ such that $\bar{f}(Y)=Y^{\prime}$.

Proof of a). It is true $\bar{f}(Y)=f(Y) \neq f(Z)=\bar{f}(Z)$ for $Y, Z \in \mathbf{A}_{n}$; for $Z \in \mathbf{A}_{n}$, $Y \in \mathbf{P}_{n-1}^{\infty}$ we have $\bar{f}(Z)=f(Z) \in \mathbf{A}_{n}^{\prime}$ and $\bar{f}(Y) \in \mathbf{P}_{n-1}^{\prime \infty}$, and so $\bar{f}(Z) \neq \bar{f}(Y)$. Let us $\overline{\mathbf{P}}_{1}=B Z, \overline{\mathbf{A}}_{1}=\overline{\mathbf{P}}_{1}-\mathbf{P}_{n-1}^{\infty}, f\left(\overline{\mathbf{A}}_{1}\right)=\overline{\mathbf{A}}_{1}^{\prime} \subset \overline{\mathbf{P}}_{1}^{\prime}, \bar{f}(Z)=Z^{\prime}=\overline{\mathbf{P}}_{1}^{\prime} \cap \mathbf{P}_{n-1}^{\infty}$ for $Y, Z \in \mathbf{P}_{n-1}^{\infty}$; if now $Y^{\prime}=Z^{\prime}$, then $\mathbf{P}_{1}^{\prime}=\overline{\mathbf{P}}_{1}^{\prime}, \mathbf{A}_{1}^{\prime}=\overline{\mathbf{A}}_{1}^{\prime}, \mathbf{A}_{1}=\overline{\mathbf{A}}_{1}, \mathbf{P}_{1}=\overline{\mathbf{P}}_{1}$ and by (3) also $Y=Z$, what is a contradiction.

Proof of b). For $Y^{\prime} \in \mathbf{A}_{n}^{\prime}$ there is $Y \in \mathbf{A}_{n}$ such that $\bar{f}(Y)=f(Y)=Y^{\prime}$. Let us $Y^{\prime} \in \mathbf{P}_{n-1}^{\prime \infty}$; let us choose $B^{\prime} \in \mathbf{A}_{n}^{\prime}$ and let us put $\mathbf{P}_{1}^{\prime}=B^{\prime} Y^{\prime}, \mathbf{A}_{1}^{\prime}=$ $=\mathbf{P}_{1}^{\prime}-\mathbf{P}_{n-1}^{\prime \infty}, f(B)=B^{\prime}, f\left(\mathbf{A}_{1}\right)=\mathbf{A}_{1}^{\prime}, \mathbf{P}_{1} \supset \mathbf{A}_{1} \ni B, Y=\mathbf{P}_{1} \cap \mathbf{P}_{n-1}^{\infty}$; then evidently $\bar{f}(Y)=Y^{\prime}$.

By (5) and (6) $\bar{f}$ is a bijective mapping of the space $\mathbf{P}_{n}$ onto the space $\mathbf{P}_{n}^{\prime}$. Now we show

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{P}_{k} \subset \mathbf{P}_{n} \Rightarrow \bar{f}\left(\mathbf{P}_{k}\right)=\mathbf{P}_{k}^{\prime} \subset \mathbf{P}_{n}^{\prime} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

First of all we have $\bar{f}\left(\mathbf{P}_{n-1}^{\infty}\right)=\mathbf{P}_{n-1}^{\infty}$ for $\mathbf{P}_{n-1}^{\infty}$. Let us $\mathbf{P}_{k} \not \subset \mathbf{P}_{n-1}^{\infty}$. Let us take $\mathbf{A}_{k}=\mathbf{P}_{k}-\mathbf{P}_{n-1}^{\infty}, f\left(\mathbf{A}_{k}\right)=\mathbf{A}_{k}^{\prime}=\mathbf{P}_{k}^{\prime}-\mathbf{P}_{n-1}^{\prime \infty}$, and we show that $\bar{f}\left(\mathbf{P}_{k}\right)=\mathbf{P}_{k}^{\prime}$. For $X \in \mathbf{A}_{k}$ we have $\bar{f}(X)=f(X)=X^{\prime} \in \mathbf{A}_{k}^{\prime} \subset \mathbf{P}_{k}^{\prime}$ and, the other way round, $\bar{f}^{-1}\left(X^{\prime}\right)=X \in \mathbf{A}_{k}$ for $X^{\prime} \in \mathbf{A}_{k}^{\prime}$; for $Y \in \mathbf{P}_{k} \cap \mathbf{P}_{n-1}^{\infty}$ there is $B \in \mathbf{A}_{k}$ and $\mathbf{P}_{1}=$ $=B Y \subset \mathbf{P}_{k}, \mathbf{A}_{1}=\mathbf{P}_{1}-\mathbf{P}_{n-1}^{\infty}, B^{\prime}=f(B) \in f\left(\mathbf{A}_{1}\right)=\mathbf{A}_{1}^{\prime} \subset \mathbf{P}_{1}^{\prime}=B^{\prime} Y^{\prime} \subset \mathbf{P}_{k}^{\prime}$, $Y^{\prime}=\mathbf{P}_{1}^{\prime} \cap \mathbf{P}_{n-1}^{\prime \infty}$ and so $Y^{\prime} \in \mathbf{P}_{k}^{\prime}$, and the other way round $\bar{f}^{-1}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)=Y \in$ $\in \mathbf{P}_{k} \cap \mathbf{P}_{n-1}^{\infty}$ for $Y^{\prime} \in \mathbf{P}_{k}^{\prime} \cap \mathbf{P}_{n-1}^{\prime \infty}$. Simultaneously we have proved $\bar{f}\left(\mathbf{P}_{k-1}\right)=$ $=\mathbf{P}_{k-1}^{\prime}=\mathbf{P}_{k}^{\prime} \cap \mathbf{P}_{n-1}^{\prime \infty}$ for $\mathbf{P}_{k-1}=\mathbf{P}_{k} \cap \mathbf{P}_{n-1}^{\infty}$ and therefore $\bar{f}\left(\mathbf{P}_{k-1}\right)=\mathbf{P}_{k-1}^{\prime} \subset$ $\subset \mathbf{P}_{n-1}^{\prime \infty}$ for $\mathbf{P}_{k-1} \subset \mathbf{P}_{n-1}^{\infty}$.

The statement (7) is proved.
Now we show, that for the separation $\nu$ in $\mathbf{P}_{n}$ and $\nu^{\prime}$ in $\mathbf{P}_{n}^{\prime}$ it is true the following statement:
(8) $(B, D, C, F) \in \nu \Rightarrow \bar{f}(B, D, C, F)=\left(B^{\prime}, D^{\prime}, C^{\prime}, F^{\prime}\right) \in \nu^{\prime}$.

Let us $(B, D, C, F) \in \nu$. By N1 the mutually distinct points $B, D, C, F \in \mathbf{P}_{1} \subset$ $\subset \mathbf{P}_{n}$ and there are two possibilities:
I. $\mathbf{P}_{1} \not \subset \mathbf{P}_{n-1}^{\infty}$. By (3) we have exactly one point $Z=\mathbf{P}_{1} \cap \mathbf{P}_{n-1}^{\infty}$ and we can suppose that $B \neq Z \neq C, Z \neq D$ (otherwise in N1 we change either the points $B, C$ and $D, F$ or the points $D$ and $F$ ). Hence the mutually distinct points $B, D, C \in \mathbf{A}_{1}=\mathbf{P}_{1}-\mathbf{P}_{n-1}^{\infty}$ and by (2) we get
(9) $(B, D, C, Z) \in \nu$ and $(B, D, C) \in \mu$.

By [3], $\S 89$, Thm.7, p.264, there are the subsets $\mathbf{K}_{1}, \mathbf{K}_{2} \subset \mathbf{P}_{1}-B-C$ such that
(10) $\mathbf{K}_{1} \cup \mathbf{K}_{2}=\mathbf{P}_{1}-B-C, \mathbf{K}_{1} \cap \mathbf{K}_{2}=\emptyset$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X \in \mathbf{K}_{1} \text { and } Y \in \mathbf{K}_{2} \Rightarrow(B, X, C, Y) \in \nu \\
& X, Y \in \mathbf{K}_{1} \text { or } X, Y \in \mathbf{K}_{2} \Rightarrow(B, X, C, Y) \notin \nu
\end{aligned}
$$

and we can suppose that $D \in \mathbf{K}_{1}$ and $F, Z \in \mathbf{K}_{2}$ (otherwise we change the indexes of the sets $\mathbf{K}_{1}, \mathbf{K}_{2}$ ). Let us denote by $B^{\prime}, D^{\prime}, C^{\prime}, F^{\prime}, Z^{\prime}$ the images of points $B, D, C, F, Z$ at the mapping $\bar{f}$, so $f(B, D, C)=\left(B^{\prime}, D^{\prime}, C^{\prime}\right) \in \mu^{\prime}$, $B^{\prime}, D^{\prime}, C^{\prime} \in f\left(\mathbf{A}_{1}\right)=\mathbf{A}_{1}^{\prime}=\mathbf{P}_{1}^{\prime}-\mathbf{P}_{n-1}^{\prime \infty}, Z^{\prime}=\mathbf{P}_{1}^{\prime} \cap \mathbf{P}_{n-1}^{\prime \infty}$ and by (2)-which is true also for $\mu^{\prime}$ and $\nu^{\prime}$ - we have $\left(B^{\prime}, D^{\prime}, C^{\prime}, Z^{\prime}\right) \in \nu^{\prime}$.

According to [3], $\S 89$, Thm. 7, p.264, there are the subsets $\mathbf{K}_{1}^{\prime}, \mathbf{K}_{2}^{\prime} \subset \mathbf{P}_{1}^{\prime}-B^{\prime}-C^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{K}_{1}^{\prime} \cup \mathbf{K}_{2}^{\prime}=\mathbf{P}_{1}^{\prime}-B^{\prime}-C^{\prime}, \mathbf{K}_{1}^{\prime} \cap \mathbf{K}_{2}^{\prime}=\emptyset  \tag{11}\\
& X^{\prime} \in \mathbf{K}_{1}^{\prime} \text { and } Y^{\prime} \in \mathbf{K}_{2}^{\prime} \Rightarrow\left(B^{\prime}, X^{\prime}, C^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}\right) \in \nu^{\prime} \\
& X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime} \in \mathbf{K}_{1}^{\prime} \text { or } X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime} \in \mathbf{K}_{2}^{\prime} \Rightarrow\left(B^{\prime}, X^{\prime}, C^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}\right) \notin \nu^{\prime}
\end{align*}
$$

and we can supppose that $D^{\prime} \in \mathbf{K}_{1}^{\prime}$ and $Z^{\prime} \in \mathbf{K}_{2}^{\prime}$ (otherwise we change the indexes of the sets $\mathbf{K}_{1}^{\prime}, \mathbf{K}_{2}^{\prime}$ ). By (2) - which is true also for $\mu^{\prime}$ and $\nu^{\prime}$ - we have $X \in \mathbf{K}_{1} \Leftrightarrow(B, X, C, Z) \in \nu \Leftrightarrow(B, X, C) \in \mu \Leftrightarrow f(B, X, C)=\left(B^{\prime}, X^{\prime}, C^{\prime}\right) \in \mu^{\prime}$ $\Leftrightarrow\left(B^{\prime}, X^{\prime}, C^{\prime}, Z^{\prime}\right) \in \nu^{\prime} \Leftrightarrow X^{\prime} \in \mathbf{K}_{1}^{\prime}$ and so $\bar{f}\left(\mathbf{K}_{1}\right)=f\left(\mathbf{K}_{1}\right)=\mathbf{K}_{1}^{\prime}$. Because $\bar{f}\left(\mathbf{P}_{1}\right)=\mathbf{P}_{1}^{\prime}$ by (7), we have - in accordance with (10) and (11) - also $\bar{f}\left(\mathbf{K}_{2}\right)=\mathbf{K}_{2}^{\prime}$. It is $D \in \mathbf{K}_{1}$ and $F \in \mathbf{K}_{2}$, hence for the images at $\bar{f}$ we have $D^{\prime} \in \mathbf{K}_{1}^{\prime}$ and $F^{\prime} \in \mathbf{K}_{2}^{\prime}$ and by (11) we conclude ( $\left.B^{\prime}, D^{\prime}, C^{\prime}, F^{\prime}\right) \in \nu^{\prime}$.
II. $\mathbf{P}_{1} \subset \mathbf{P}_{n-1}^{\infty}$. There is a point $Q \in \mathbf{P}_{n}-\mathbf{P}_{n-1}^{\infty}$ and by $\mathbf{N} 2$ there is a point $\bar{B} \in B Q, \bar{B} \neq B, B \neq Q$ so that $\bar{B} \notin \mathbf{P}_{n-1}^{\infty}$ (otherwise it would be $Q \in B \bar{B} \subset$ $\subset \mathbf{P}_{n-1}^{\infty}$ ) and for $\overline{\mathbf{P}}_{1}=\bar{B} F$ it is true $Q \notin \overline{\mathbf{P}}_{1}$ (otherwise it would be $Q \in Q \bar{B}=$ $\left.=B \bar{B}=\bar{B} F=B F=\mathbf{P}_{1} \subset \mathbf{P}_{n-1}^{\infty}\right)$. So there is a projection $g$ from $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ onto $\overline{\mathbf{P}}_{1}$ from the point $Q$ such that $g(B)=\bar{B}=\overline{\mathbf{P}}_{1} \cap B Q, g(D)=\bar{D}=\overline{\mathbf{P}}_{1} \cap D Q, g(C)=$ $=\bar{C}=\overline{\mathbf{P}}_{1} \cap C Q, g(F)=\bar{F}=F=\overline{\mathbf{P}}_{1} \cap F Q$, and $g(B, D, C, F)=(\bar{B}, \bar{D}, \bar{C}, \bar{F}) \in \nu$ by N6. Let us $Q^{\prime}, B^{\prime}, D^{\prime}, C^{\prime}, F^{\prime}, \bar{B}^{\prime}, \bar{D}^{\prime}, \bar{C}^{\prime}, \bar{F}^{\prime}=F^{\prime}$ the images of the points $Q$, $B, D, C, F, \bar{B}, \bar{D}, \bar{C}, \bar{F}=F$ at the mapping $\bar{f}$ so that $\bar{B}, \bar{D}, \bar{C}, \bar{F} \in \overline{\mathbf{P}}_{1} \not \subset \mathbf{P}_{n-1}^{\infty}$ and - according to the above proved point I - we get $\left(\bar{B}^{\prime}, \bar{D}^{\prime}, \bar{C}^{\prime}, \bar{F}^{\prime}\right) \in \nu^{\prime}$. However by (7) there is a projection $g^{\prime-1}$ which is the image of the inverse projection $g^{-1}$ at the mapping $\bar{f}$ and $g^{\prime-1}$ is a projection from $\overline{\mathbf{P}}_{1}^{\prime}=\bar{f}\left(\overline{\mathbf{P}}_{1}\right)$ onto $\mathbf{P}_{1}^{\prime}=\bar{f}\left(\mathbf{P}_{1}\right)$ from the such point $Q^{\prime}$ that $g^{\prime-1}\left(\bar{B}^{\prime}, \bar{D}^{\prime}, \bar{C}^{\prime}, \bar{F}^{\prime}\right)=\left(B^{\prime}, D^{\prime}, C^{\prime}, F^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(B^{\prime}, D^{\prime}, C^{\prime}, F^{\prime}\right) \in \nu^{\prime}$ according to N6.

From (7) and (8) we conclude that $\bar{f}$ is an isomorphism from $\mathbf{P}_{n}$ onto $\mathbf{P}_{n}^{\prime}$.
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