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# ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOUR OF SOLUTIONS OF DELAY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS OF $n$-TH ORDER 

N. PARHI AND SESHADEV PADHI

AbSTRACT. This paper deals with property A and B of a class of canonical linear
homogeneous delay differential equations of $n$-th order.

## 1.

In a recent paper [1], Dzurina has studied property (A) of $n$-th order linear delay-differential equations of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{n} y(t)+p(t) y(g(t))=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n \geq 2, p \in C([\sigma, \infty),[0, \infty)), g \in C([\sigma, \infty), R)$ is nondecreasing, $g(t)<t$ and $g(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
L_{n} y(t)=\left(\frac{1}{r_{n-1}(t)}\left(\ldots\left(\frac{1}{r_{1}(t)} y^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime} \ldots\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}
$$

and $r_{i} \in C([\sigma, \infty), R)$ such that $r_{i}(t)>0,1 \leq i \leq n-1$. He has obtained sufficient conditions under which (1.1) has property (A). These conditions include non-existence of eventually positive solutions of first order linear delay-differential inequalities of the form

$$
y^{\prime}(t)+q_{i}(t) y(g(t)) \leq 0
$$

$1 \leq i \leq n-1$, where $q_{i}(t)$ is given in [1]. In another paper [2], he has studied property (B) of

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{n} y(t)-p(t) y(g(t))=0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$
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under the assumption that

$$
y^{\prime}(t)+q_{\ell}(t) y(w(t)) \leq 0
$$

$1 \leq \ell \leq n-2$, has no eventually positive solutions, where $q_{\ell}(t)$ is given in [2], $g(t)<w(t)<t$,

$$
L_{n} y(t)=\frac{1}{r_{n}(t)}\left(\frac{1}{r_{n-1}(t)}\left(\ldots\left(\frac{1}{r_{1}(t)}\left(\frac{y(t)}{r_{0}(t)}\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \ldots\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}
$$

$p, g, r_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n-1$, are same as in (1.1) and $r_{n}, r_{0} \in C([\sigma, \infty], R)$ such that $r_{n}(t)>0$ and $r_{0}(t)>0$. However, $q_{\ell}(t)$ are different from $q_{i}(t)$ stated above.

The present work is motivated by our work on delay-differential equations of third order (see [9] and [10]) and the observation that the method developed to study property (A) could be applied to study property (B) and vice-versa. The latter problem was brought to our notice by Prof. Dzurina. In Section 2 we study property (A) of

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{n} y(t)+p(t) y(g(t))=0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n \geq 2, L_{0} y(t)=y(t) / r_{0}(t), L_{i} y(t)=\left(L_{i-1} y(t)\right)^{\prime} / r_{i}(t), 1 \leq i \leq n, p$, $r_{i} \in C([\sigma, \infty], R)$ such that $p(t) \geq 0, r_{i}(t)>0,0 \leq i \leq n$ and $g \in C([\sigma, \infty], R)$ is increasing, $g(t)<t$ and $g(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. We have considered two methods, one with $g(t)$ and the other with higher delay $w(t)$, and have compared them. Although our method with $g(t)$ has some similarity with the work in [1], they differ for higher $i$. Section 3 deals with the study of property (B) of

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{n} y(t)-p(t) y(g(t))=0 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p$ and $g$ are same as in (1.3). We have compared our results with the work in [2] for better understanding. The technique employed here is different from that in [2].

We assume in the sequel that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\sigma}^{\infty} r_{i}(t) d t=\infty, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The operator $L_{n}$ is said to be in canonical form if (1.5) holds. It is well-known that any differential operator of the form $L_{n}$ can always be represented in a canonical form in an essentially unique way (see [11]). A nontrivial solution of (1.3) (or $(1.4))$ is called oscillatory if it has arbitrarily large zeros; otherwise, it is called nonoscillatory. Equation (1.3) (or (1.4)) is said to be oscillatory if all its solutions are oscillatory.

The asymptotic behaviour of solutions of (1.3) is described in the following lemma which is a generalization of a lemma due to Kiguradze [5, Lemma 3].

Lemma 1.1. If $y(t)$ is a nonoscillatory solution of (1.3) on $\left(T_{y}, \infty\right), T_{y} \geq \sigma$, then there is an integer $\ell \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$ with $n+\ell$ odd and $t_{0}>T_{y}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
y(t) L_{i} y(t) & >0, & & 0 \leq i \leq \ell \\
(-1)^{i-\ell} y(t) L_{i} y(t) & >0, & & \ell \leq i \leq n \tag{1.6}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $t \geq t_{0}$.
If $N$ denotes the set of all nonoscillatory solutions of (1.3) and $N_{\ell}$ denotes the set of all nonoscillatory solutions of (1.3) satisfying (1.6), then

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
N=N_{0} \cup N_{2} \cup \cdots \cup N_{n-1} & \text { for } n \text { odd, } \\
N=N_{1} \cup N_{3} \cup \cdots \cup N_{n-1} & \text { for } n \text { even. }
\end{array}
$$

Following Kiguradze, Eq. (1.3) is said to have property (A) if for $n$ odd $N=N_{0}$ and for $n$ even $N=\emptyset$, that is, (1.3) is oscillatory.

The following lemma which is a generalization of a lemma due to Kiguradze [5, Lemma 3] describes the asymptotic behaviour of solutions of (1.4).

Lemma 1.2. If $y(t)$ is a nonoscillatory solution of (1.4) on $\left[T_{y}, \infty\right), T_{y} \geq \sigma$, then there is an integer $\ell \in\{0,1, \ldots, n\}$ with $\ell \equiv n(\bmod 2)$ and $t_{0}>T_{y}$ such that (1.6) holds for all $t \geq t_{0}$.

If $\bar{N}$ denotes the set of all nonoscillatory solutions of (1.4) and $\bar{N}_{\ell}$ denotes the set of all nonoscillatory solutions of (1.4) satisfying (1.6), then

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\bar{N}=\bar{N}_{1} \cup \bar{N}_{3} \cup \cdots \cup \bar{N}_{n} & \text { for } n \text { odd } \\
\bar{N}=\bar{N}_{0} \cup \bar{N}_{2} \cup \cdots \cup \bar{N}_{n} & \text { for } n \text { even. }
\end{array}
$$

Equation (1.4) is said to have property (B) if for $n$ odd $\bar{N}=\bar{N}_{n}$ and for $n$ even $\bar{N}=\bar{N}_{0} \cup \bar{N}_{n}$.

Following [6], we define

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{0}=1 \\
& I_{k}\left(t, s ; r_{i_{k}}, \ldots, r_{i_{1}}\right)=\int_{s}^{t} r_{i_{k}}(x) I_{k-1}\left(x, s ; r_{i_{k-1}}, \ldots, r_{i_{1}}\right) d x \tag{1.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $i_{k} \in\{1, \ldots, n-1\}, 1 \leq k \leq n-1$, and $t, s \in[\sigma, \infty)$. It is easy to see that
(i) $I_{k}\left(t, s ; r_{i_{k}}, \ldots, r_{i_{1}}\right)=(-1)^{k} I_{k}\left(s, t ; r_{i_{1}}, \ldots, r_{i_{k}}\right)$
(ii) $I_{k}\left(t, s ; r_{i_{k}}, \ldots, r_{i_{1}}\right)=\int_{s}^{t} r_{i_{1}}(x) I_{k-1}\left(t, x ; r_{i_{k}}, \ldots, r_{i_{2}}\right) d x$.

The following lemma is a generalization of Taylor's formula with remainder. The proof is straightforward.

Lemma 1.3. If $y(t)$ is a solution of (1.3) or (1.4) on $\left[T_{y}, \infty\right)$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{i} y(t)= & \sum_{j=1}^{k}(-1)^{j-i} L_{j} y(s) I_{j-i}\left(s, t ; r_{j}, \ldots, r_{i+1}\right)  \tag{1.9}\\
& +(-1)^{k-i+1} \int_{t}^{s} I_{k-i}\left(x, t ; r_{k}, \ldots, r_{i+1}\right) r_{k+1}(x) L_{k+1} y(x) d x
\end{align*}
$$

for $0 \leq i \leq k \leq n-1$ and $t, s \in\left[T_{y}, \infty\right)$.
2.

In this section sufficient conditions are obtained so that Eq. (1.3) has property (A).

Theorem 2.1. If the delay-differential inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{\prime}(t)+F_{\ell}(t, T) z(g(t)) \leq 0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\ell \in\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$, does not admit eventually positive solutions for every large $T>0$, then Eq.(1.3) has property (A), where

$$
F_{n-1}(t, T)=r_{n}(t) p(t) r_{0}(g(t)) I_{n-1}\left(g(t), T ; r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n-1}\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{\ell}(t, T)= & r_{\ell+l}(t) I_{\ell}\left(g(t), T ; r_{1}, \ldots, r_{\ell}\right) \int_{t}^{\infty} r_{\ell+2}\left(s_{n-\ell-1}\right) \\
& \times \int_{s_{n-\ell-1}}^{\infty} r_{\ell+3}\left(s_{n-\ell-2}\right) \cdots \int_{s_{2}}^{\infty} r_{n}\left(s_{1}\right) p\left(s_{1}\right) r_{0}\left(g\left(s_{1}\right)\right) d s_{1} \ldots d s_{n-\ell-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\ell \in\{1,2, \ldots, n-2\}$.
Proof. If possible, suppose that Eq. (1.3) does not have property (A). Hence Eq. (1.3) admits a nonoscillatory solution $y(t)$ such that $y \in N_{\ell}$, where $\ell \in$ $\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$. We may assume, without any loss of generality, that $y(t)>0$ and $y(g(t))>0$ for $t \geq t_{1}>t_{0}$. Hence from Lemma 1.1 it follows that $n+\ell$ is odd and

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{i} y(t)>0,0 \leq i \leq \ell \quad \text { and } \quad(-1)^{i-\ell} L_{i} y(t)>0, \ell \leq i \leq n \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t \geq t_{1}$. Putting $i=0, k=\ell-1, t \geq s$ and $s=t_{1}$ in (1.9) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{0} y(t)= & \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1}(-1)^{j} L_{j} y\left(t_{1}\right) I_{j}\left(t_{1}, t ; r_{j}, \ldots, r_{1}\right) \\
& +(-1)^{\ell} \int_{t}^{t_{1}} I_{\ell-1}\left(x, t ; r_{\ell-1}, \ldots, r_{1}\right) r_{\ell}(x) L_{\ell} y(x) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

The use of (1.7), (1.8) and (2.2) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{0} y(t)= & \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} L_{j} y\left(t_{1}\right) I_{j}\left(t, t_{1} ; r_{1}, \ldots, r_{j}\right) \\
& +\int_{t_{1}}^{t} I_{\ell-1}\left(t, x ; r_{1}, \ldots, r_{\ell-1}\right) r_{\ell}(x) L_{\ell} y(x) d x \\
\geq & \int_{t_{1}}^{t} I_{\ell-1}\left(t, x ; r_{1}, \ldots, r_{\ell-1}\right) r_{\ell}(x) L_{\ell} y(x) d x \\
\geq & L_{\ell} y(t) \int_{t_{1}}^{t} I_{\ell-1}\left(t, x ; r_{1}, \ldots, r_{\ell-1}\right) r_{\ell}(x) d x \\
= & L_{\ell} y(t) I_{\ell}\left(t, t_{1} ; r_{1}, \ldots, r_{\ell}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For $t \geq t_{2} \geq t_{1}$, we have $g(t)>t_{1}$. Thus, for $t \geq t_{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{0} y(g(t)) \geq L_{\ell} y(g(t)) I_{\ell}\left(g(t), t_{1} ; r_{1}, \ldots, r_{\ell}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\ell \in\{1,2, \ldots, n-1\}$.
Let $\ell=n-1$. From (1.3) and (2.3) we obtain, for $t \geq t_{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-L_{n} y(t)=p(t) y(g(t)), \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\left(L_{n-1} y(t)\right)^{\prime} & =r_{n}(t) p(t) r_{0}(g(t)) L_{0} y(g(t)) \\
& \geq r_{n}(t) p(t) r_{0}(g(t)) L_{n-1} y(g(t)) I_{n-1}\left(g(t), t_{1} ; r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n-1}\right) \\
& =F_{n-1}\left(t, t_{1}\right) L_{n-1} y(g(t))
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $z(t)=L_{n-1} y(t)$ is a positive solution of

$$
z^{\prime}(t)+F_{n-1}\left(t, t_{1}\right) z(g(t)) \leq 0
$$

for $t \geq t_{2}$, a contradiction to the given hypothesis. Next let $\ell \in\{1, \ldots, n-2\}$. Repeated integration of (2.4) yields, due to (2.2), that

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\left(L_{\ell} y(t)\right)^{\prime} \geq & r_{\ell+1}(t) \int_{t}^{\infty} r_{\ell+2}\left(s_{n-\ell-1}\right) \int_{s_{n-\ell-1}}^{\infty} r_{\ell+3}\left(s_{n-\ell-2}\right) \\
& \ldots \int_{s_{2}}^{\infty} r_{n}\left(s_{1}\right) p\left(s_{1}\right) y\left(g\left(s_{1}\right)\right) d s_{1} \ldots d s_{n-\ell-2} d s_{n-\ell-1} \\
= & r_{\ell+1}(t) \int_{t}^{\infty} r_{\ell+2}\left(s_{n-\ell-1}\right) \int_{s_{n-\ell-1}}^{\infty} r_{\ell+3}\left(s_{n-\ell-2}\right) \\
& \ldots \int_{s_{2}}^{\infty} r_{n}\left(s_{1}\right) p\left(s_{1}\right) r_{0}\left(g\left(s_{1}\right)\right) L_{0} y\left(g\left(s_{1}\right)\right) d s_{1} \ldots d s_{n-\ell-2} d s_{n-\ell-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $t \geq t_{2}$. Since $L_{0} y(t)$ is increasing and $g(t)$ is nondecreasing, we get, using (2.3),

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\left(L_{\ell} y(t)\right)^{\prime} \geq & L_{0} y(g(t)) r_{\ell+1}(t) \int_{t}^{\infty} r_{\ell+2}\left(s_{n-\ell-1}\right) \int_{s_{n-l-1}}^{\infty} r_{\ell+3}\left(s_{n-\ell-2}\right) \\
& \cdots \int_{s_{2}}^{\infty} r_{n}\left(s_{1}\right) p\left(s_{1}\right) r_{0}\left(g\left(s_{1}\right)\right) d s_{1} \ldots d s_{n-\ell-2} d s_{n-\ell-1} \\
\geq & L_{\ell} y(g(t)) F_{\ell}\left(t, t_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $t \geq t_{2}$. Thus $z(t)=L_{\ell} y(t)$ is a positive solution of

$$
z^{\prime}(t)+F_{\ell}\left(t, t_{1}\right) z(g(t)) \leq 0
$$

for $t \geq t_{2}$, a contradiction. Hence the theorem is proved.
We need the following lemma (see $[8, \mathrm{pp} .16,19]$ ) for our use in the sequel.
Lemma 2.2. If

$$
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{g(t)}^{t} p(s) d s>1 / e
$$

or

$$
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{g(t)}^{t} p(s) d s>1
$$

then $y^{\prime}(t)+p(t) y(g(t)) \leq 0$ does not admit eventually positive solutions.
Corollary 2.3. If, for $\ell \in\{1,2, \ldots, n-1\}$ such that $n+\ell$ odd

$$
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{g(t)}^{t} F_{\ell}(s, T) d s>1 / e
$$

or

$$
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{g(t)}^{t} F_{\ell}(s, T) d s>1
$$

for every large $T>0$, then $E q$.(1.3) has property (A), where $F_{\ell}(t, T)$ is same as in (2.1).

This follows from Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 2.2.
Remark. It is easy to verify that $F_{\ell}(t, T), \ell \in\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$, and $q_{\ell}(t), \ell \in$ $\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$, (see [1]) differ for higher $\ell$.
Example 1. Consider the canonical delay-differential equation

$$
2 \sqrt{2} t^{\sqrt{2}+1}\left(\frac{1}{2 t^{\sqrt{2}-1}}\left(\frac{1}{4 \sqrt{2} t^{\sqrt{2}-1}}\left(4 t^{\sqrt{2}} y\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+2^{4+\sqrt{2}} y\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)=0, \quad t \geq 1
$$

For $T \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{t / 2}^{t} F_{2}(s, T) d s= & 4 \log 2+\frac{2^{3+\sqrt{2}} T^{\sqrt{2}}}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{t^{\sqrt{2}}}-\frac{2^{3+2 \sqrt{2}} T^{\sqrt{2}}}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{t^{\sqrt{2}}} \\
& -\frac{2^{2+2 \sqrt{2}} T^{2 \sqrt{2}}}{2 \sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{t^{2 \sqrt{2}}}+\frac{2^{2+4 \sqrt{2}} T^{2 \sqrt{2}}}{2 \sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{t^{2 \sqrt{2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

implies that

$$
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t / 2}^{t} F_{2}(s, T) d s=4 \log 2>\frac{1}{e}
$$

for every $T \geq 1$. Hence the equation has property (A) due to Corollary 2.3.
In the following we present another method of obtaining sufficient conditions so that Eq. (1.3) has property (A). This problem was brought to our notice by Prof. Dzurina.
Theorem 2.4. If the delay-differential inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{\prime}(t)+Q_{\ell}(t, T) z(w(t)) \leq 0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\ell \in\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$, does not admit eventually positive solutions for every large $T>0$, then Eq. (1.3) has property (A), where

$$
Q_{n-1}(t, T)=p(t) r_{0}(g(t)) r_{n}(t) I_{n-1}\left(g(t), T ; r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n-1}\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{\ell}(t, T)= & r_{\ell+1}(t) \int_{t}^{\tau(t)} I_{n-\ell-2}\left(x, t ; r_{n-1}, \ldots, r_{\ell+2}\right) r_{n}(x) p(x) \\
& \times r_{0}(g(x)) I_{\ell}\left(g(x), T ; r_{1}, \ldots, r_{\ell}\right) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

$\ell \in\{1, \ldots, n-2\}, \tau$ and $w$ are real valued continuous functions on $[\sigma, \infty)$ such that $\tau(t)>t$ and $w(t)=g(\tau(t))<t$.
Proof. Since $g(t)$ is nondecreasing, then $g(t)<w(t)<t$. Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 2.1 we obtain, for $t \geq t_{2}$,

$$
-\left(L_{n-1} y(t)\right)^{\prime} \geq Q_{n-1}\left(t, t_{1}\right) L_{n-1} y(g(t))
$$

Since $L_{n-1} y(t)$ is monotonic decreasing, then

$$
-\left(L_{n-1} y(t)\right)^{\prime} \geq Q_{n-1}\left(t, t_{1}\right) L_{n-1} y(w(t))
$$

for $t \geq t_{2}$. Thus $z(t)=L_{n-1} y(t)$ is a positive solution of

$$
z^{\prime}(t)+Q_{n-1}\left(t, t_{1}\right) z(w(t)) \leq 0
$$

for $t \geq t_{2}$, a contradiction. Let $\ell \in\{1, \ldots, n-2\}$. Putting $i=\ell+1, k=n-1$ and $s \geq t \geq t_{1}$ in Lemma 1.3 and using (2.2) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{\ell+1} y(t)= & \sum_{j=\ell+1}^{n-1}(-1)^{j-\ell-1} L_{j} y(s) I_{j-\ell-1}\left(s, t ; r_{j}, \ldots, r_{\ell+2}\right) \\
& +(-1)^{n-\ell-1} \int_{t}^{s} I_{n-\ell-2}\left(x, t ; r_{n-1}, \ldots, r_{\ell+2}\right) r_{n}(x) L_{n} y(x) d x \\
\leq & \int_{t}^{s} I_{n-\ell-2}\left(x, t ; r_{n-1}, \ldots, r_{\ell+2}\right) r_{n}(x) L_{n} y(x) d x \\
= & \int_{t}^{s} I_{n-\ell-2}\left(x, t ; r_{n-1}, \ldots, r_{\ell+2}\right) r_{n}(x) p(x) r_{0}(g(x)) L_{0} y(g(x)) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $s \rightarrow \infty$, we get, using (2.3),

$$
\begin{aligned}
-L_{\ell+1} y(t) \geq & \int_{t}^{\infty} I_{n-\ell-2}\left(x, t ; r_{n-1}, \ldots, r_{\ell+2}\right) r_{n}(x) p(x) r_{0}(g(x)) L_{0} y(g(x)) d x \\
\geq & \int_{t}^{\tau(t)} I_{n-\ell-2}\left(x, t ; r_{n-1}, \ldots, r_{\ell+2}\right) r_{n}(x) p(x) r_{0}(g(x)) \\
& \times I_{\ell}\left(g(x), t_{1} ; r_{1}, \ldots, r_{\ell}\right) L_{\ell} y(g(x)) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

for $t \geq t_{2}$. Since $g$ is nondecreasing, $w(t)=g(\tau(t))$ and $L_{\ell} y$ is monotonic decreasing, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\left(L_{\ell} y(t)\right)^{\prime} \geq & r_{\ell+1}(t) L_{\ell} y(w(t)) \int_{t}^{\tau(t)} I_{n-\ell-2}\left(x, t ; r_{n-1}, \ldots, r_{\ell+2}\right) r_{n}(x) \\
& \times p(x) r_{0}(g(x)) I_{\ell}\left(g(x), t_{1} ; r_{1}, \ldots, r_{\ell}\right) d x \\
\geq & Q_{\ell}\left(t, t_{1}\right) L_{\ell} y(w(t))
\end{aligned}
$$

for $t \geq t_{2}$. Thus $z(t)=L_{\ell} y(t)$ is a positive solution of

$$
z^{\prime}(t)+Q_{\ell}\left(t, t_{1}\right) z(w(t)) \leq 0
$$

for $t \geq t_{2}$, a contradiction which completes the proof of the theorem.
Corollary 2.5. If, for $\ell \in\{1, \ldots n-1\}$,

$$
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{w(t)}^{t} Q_{\ell}(s, T) d s>\frac{1}{e}
$$

or

$$
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{w(t)}^{t} Q_{\ell}(s, T) d s>1
$$

for every large $T>0$, then Eq. (1.3) has property (A), where $Q_{\ell}(t, T)$ is same as in (2.5).
Remark. We may notice that $F_{n-1}(t, T)=Q_{n-1}(t, T)$. However, $F_{\ell}(t, T) \not \equiv$ $Q_{\ell}(t, T)$ for $\ell<n-1$.

Example 2. Consider

$$
\underset{\text { 6) }}{\left(\log \frac{t}{2 t_{1}}\right)\left(t\left(t\left(t\left(\left(\log \frac{t}{T}\right)^{2} y(t)\right)^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}\right)_{t>T>1,}^{\prime}+\log \frac{t}{2 t_{1}} y\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)=0,}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{0}(t) & =\frac{1}{\left(\log \frac{t}{T}\right)^{2}}, r_{1}(t)=r_{2}(t)=r_{3}(t)=\frac{1}{t}, r_{4}(t)=\frac{1}{\log \frac{t}{2 T}} \\
p(t) & =\log \frac{t}{2 T} \quad \text { and } \quad g(t)=\frac{t}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{s_{2}}^{\infty} r_{4}\left(s_{1}\right) p\left(s_{1}\right) r_{0}\left(g\left(s_{1}\right)\right) d s_{1}>s_{2} \frac{1}{\log \frac{s_{2}}{2 T}}, \\
& \int_{t}^{\infty} r_{3}\left(s_{2}\right) \int_{s_{2}}^{\infty} r_{4}\left(s_{1}\right) p\left(s_{1}\right) r_{0}\left(g\left(s_{1}\right)\right) d s_{1} d s_{2}>\int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s} \cdot s \frac{1}{\log \frac{s}{2 T}} d s \\
& >t\left\{\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \log \alpha-\log \left(\log \frac{t}{2 T}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
I_{1}\left(g(t), T ; r_{1}\right)=\int_{T}^{t / 2} \frac{1}{s} d s=\log \frac{t}{2 T}
$$

Hence

$$
F_{1}(t, T)=r_{2}(t) I_{1}\left(g(t), T ; r_{1}\right) \int_{t}^{\infty} r_{3}\left(s_{2}\right) \int_{s_{2}}^{\infty} r_{4}\left(s_{1}\right) p\left(s_{1}\right) r_{0}\left(g\left(s_{1}\right)\right) d s_{1} d s_{2}=\infty
$$

for every $t$. Thus

$$
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{g(t)}^{t} F_{1}(s, T) d s>\frac{1}{e} .
$$

Further

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{3}\left(g(t), T ; r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right) & =\int_{T}^{t / 2} r_{1}\left(s_{1}\right) \int_{T}^{s_{1}} r_{2}\left(s_{2}\right) \int_{T}^{s_{2}} r_{3}\left(s_{3}\right) d s_{3} d s_{2} d s_{1} \\
& =\frac{1}{6}\left(\log \frac{t}{2 T}\right)^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
F_{3}(t, T)=\frac{1}{6} \log \frac{t}{2 T} .
$$

Hence

$$
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{g(t)}^{t} F_{3}(s, T) d s>\frac{1}{e}
$$

Thus, from Corollary 2.3, it follows that Eq. (2.6) has property (A). However, Corollary 2.5 cannot be applied to Eq. (2.6) because, for $\tau(t)=t+1>t$, we obtain $w(t)=g(\tau(t))=\frac{t+1}{2}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1}\left(g(t), T ; r_{1}\right) & =\log \frac{t}{2 T}, \quad I_{1}\left(x, t ; r_{3}\right)=\log \frac{x}{t} \\
Q_{1}(t, T) & =\frac{1}{t} \int_{t}^{t+1} \log \frac{x}{t} \cdot \frac{1}{\log \frac{x}{2 T}} \cdot \log \frac{x}{2 T} \cdot \frac{1}{\left(\log \frac{x}{2 T}\right)^{2}} \cdot \log \frac{x}{2 T} d x \\
& <\frac{1}{t \log \frac{t}{2 T}} \cdot \log \left(1+\frac{1}{t}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{w(t)}^{t} Q_{1}(s, T) d s & <\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \log \left(1+\frac{2}{t+1}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{\log \left(\frac{t+1}{4 T}\right)} \cdot \log \left(\frac{2}{1+\frac{1}{t}}\right) \\
& =0<\frac{1}{e}<1
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark. As the conditions in Corollaries 2.3 and 2.5 are not comparable, it would be interesting to find an example where Corollary 2.5 holds but Corollary 2.3 fails to hold.

In the following we state a result which is a particular case of Theorem 1 due to Fink and Kusano [3].

Theorem 2.6. Let $\ell$ be an integer such that $0 \leq \ell<n$ and $n+\ell$ odd. A necessary and sufficient condition for Eq.(1.3) to have a maximal solution $y(t)$ satisfying (1.6) is that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\sigma}^{\infty} K_{n-\ell-1}(t, \sigma) p(t)\left|J_{\ell}(g(t), \sigma)\right| d t<\infty \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{i}(t, s)=r_{0}(t) I_{i}\left(t, s ; r_{1}, \ldots, r_{i}\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{i}(t, s)=r_{n}(t) I_{i}\left(t, s ; r_{n-1}, \ldots, r_{n-i}\right), \quad 0 \leq i \leq n-1 . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark. We may observe that, for $\ell=n-1$,

$$
K_{n-\ell-1}(t, \sigma) p(t) J_{\ell}(g(t), \sigma)=F_{\ell}(t, \sigma)=Q_{\ell}(t, \sigma)
$$

and, for $\ell \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-2\}$,

$$
K_{n-\ell-1}(t, \sigma) p(t) J_{\ell}(g(t), \sigma) \neq F_{\ell}(t, \sigma) \quad \text { and } \quad \neq Q_{\ell}(t, \sigma) .
$$

In Example 2, $n=4$ and hence from Corollary 2.3 it follows that all solutions of (2.6) are oscillatory. It is confirmed by Theorem 2.6 because (2.7) fails to hold for $\ell=1$.

An attempt has been made in the following to compare property (A) of certain $n$-th order canonical ordinary differential equations with that of delay differential equations.
Theorem 2.7. Let $g \in C^{1}([\sigma, \infty), R)$ such that $g^{\prime}(t)>0$. If the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{n} x+\frac{p\left(g^{-1}(t)\right) r_{n}\left(g^{-1}(t)\right)}{r_{n}(t) g^{\prime}\left(g^{-1}(t)\right)} x=0 \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

has property (A), then Eq. (1.3) has property (A).
Proof. Let $y(t)$ be a nonoscillatory solution of (1.3). In order to complete the proof of the theorem it is enough to show, in view of Lemma 1.1, that $\ell=0$. If possible, suppose that $\ell \neq 0$. Without any loss of generality, we may assume that $y(t)>0$ and $y(g(t))>0$ for $t \geq t_{0}>\sigma$. Hence $L_{0} y(t)>0$ and $L_{n} y(t)<0$ for $t \geq t_{1}>t_{0}$ by Lemma 1.1. Integrating (1.3) from $t$ to $\infty, t>t_{1}$, we obtain

$$
L_{n-1} y(t)>\int_{t}^{\infty} r_{n}\left(s_{1}\right) p\left(s_{1}\right) y\left(g\left(s_{1}\right)\right) d s_{1}
$$

Further integration from $t$ to $\infty$ yields

$$
-L_{n-2} y(t)>\int_{t}^{\infty} r_{n-1}\left(s_{2}\right)\left(\int_{s_{2}}^{\infty} r_{n}\left(s_{1}\right) p\left(s_{1}\right) y\left(g\left(s_{1}\right)\right) d s_{1}\right) d s_{2}
$$

Repeating the process we get

$$
L_{\ell} y(t)>\int_{t}^{\infty} r_{\ell+1}\left(s_{n-\ell}\right) \cdots \int_{s_{3}}^{\infty} r_{n-1}\left(s_{2}\right) \int_{s_{2}}^{\infty} r_{n}\left(s_{1}\right) p\left(s_{1}\right) y\left(g\left(s_{1}\right)\right) d s_{1} d s_{2} \ldots d s_{n-\ell}
$$

Integrating the above inequality from $t_{1}$ to $t$, one may obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{\ell-1} y(t)> & \int_{t_{1}}^{t} r_{\ell}\left(s_{n-\ell+1}\right) \int_{s_{n-\ell+1}}^{\infty} r_{\ell+1}\left(s_{n-\ell}\right) \\
& \cdots \int_{s_{2}}^{\infty} r_{n}\left(s_{1}\right) p\left(s_{1}\right) y\left(g\left(s_{1}\right)\right) d s_{1} \ldots d s_{n-\ell+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Repeated integration yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{0} y(t)> & K+\int_{t_{1}}^{t} r_{1}\left(s_{n}\right) \cdots \int_{t_{1}}^{s_{n-\ell+2}} r_{\ell}\left(s_{n-\ell+1}\right) \int_{s_{n-\ell+1}}^{\infty} r_{\ell+1}\left(s_{n-\ell}\right) \\
& \cdots \int_{s_{2}}^{\infty} r_{n}\left(s_{1}\right) p\left(s_{1}\right) y\left(g\left(s_{1}\right)\right) d s_{1} \ldots d s_{n} \\
= & K+\int_{t_{1}}^{t} r_{1}\left(s_{n}\right) \cdots \int_{t_{1}}^{s_{n-\ell+2}} r_{\ell}\left(s_{n-\ell+1}\right) \int_{s_{n-\ell+1}}^{\infty} r_{\ell+1}\left(s_{n-\ell}\right) \\
& \cdots \int_{g\left(s_{2}\right)}^{\infty} \frac{r_{n}\left(g^{-1}\left(s_{1}\right)\right) p\left(g^{-1}\left(s_{1}\right)\right) y\left(s_{1}\right)}{g^{\prime}\left(g^{-1}\left(s_{1}\right)\right)} d s_{1} \ldots d s_{n} \\
> & K+\int_{t_{1}}^{t} r_{1}\left(s_{n}\right) \cdots \int_{t_{1}}^{s_{n-\ell+2}} r_{\ell}\left(s_{n-\ell+1}\right) \int_{s_{n-\ell+1}}^{\infty} r_{\ell+1}\left(s_{n-\ell}\right) \\
& \cdots \int_{s_{2}}^{\infty} \frac{r_{n}\left(g^{-1}\left(s_{1}\right)\right) p\left(g^{-1}\left(s_{1}\right)\right) y\left(s_{1}\right)}{g^{\prime}\left(g^{-1}\left(s_{1}\right)\right)} d s_{1} \ldots d s_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $K=L_{0} y\left(t_{1}\right)>0$ and we have used the facts that $g$ exists, $g$ is increasing and $g(t)<t$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{0} y(t)> & K+\int_{t_{1}}^{t} r_{1}\left(s_{n}\right) \cdots \int_{t_{1}}^{s_{n-\ell+2}} r_{\ell}\left(s_{n-\ell+1}\right) \int_{s_{n-\ell+1}}^{\infty} r_{\ell+1}\left(s_{n-\ell}\right) \\
& \cdots \int_{s_{2}}^{\infty} \frac{r_{n}\left(g^{-1}\left(s_{1}\right)\right) p\left(g^{-1}\left(s_{1}\right)\right) r_{0}\left(s_{1}\right) L_{0} y\left(s_{1}\right)}{g^{\prime}\left(g^{-1}\left(s_{1}\right)\right)} d s_{1} \ldots d s_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

From Lemma 5 due to Kusano and Naito [6] it follows that the integral equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
v(t)> & K+\int_{t_{1}}^{t} r_{1}\left(s_{n}\right) \cdots \int_{t_{1}}^{s_{n-\ell+2}} r_{\ell}\left(s_{n-\ell+1}\right) \int_{s_{n-\ell+1}}^{\infty} r_{\ell+1}\left(s_{n-\ell}\right) \\
& \cdots \int_{s_{2}}^{\infty} \frac{r_{n}\left(g^{-1}\left(s_{1}\right)\right) p\left(g^{-1}\left(s_{1}\right)\right) r_{0}\left(s_{1}\right) v\left(s_{1}\right)}{g^{\prime}\left(g^{-1}\left(s_{1}\right)\right)} d s_{1} \ldots d s_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

admits a solution $v(t), t \geq t_{1}$, satisfying

$$
K \leq v(t) \leq L_{0} y(t), \quad t \geq t_{1}
$$

Hence $v(t)>0$ for $t \geq t_{1}$. Setting $x(t)=r_{0}(t) v(t)$, we obtain $x(t)>0$ for $t \geq t_{1}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{0} x(t)= & K+\int_{t_{1}}^{t} r_{1}\left(s_{n}\right) \cdots \int_{t_{1}}^{s_{n-\ell+2}} r_{\ell}\left(s_{n-\ell+1}\right) \int_{s_{n-\ell+1}}^{\infty} r_{\ell+1}\left(s_{n-\ell}\right) \\
& \cdots \int_{s_{2}}^{\infty} \frac{r_{n}\left(g^{-1}\left(s_{1}\right)\right) p\left(g^{-1}\left(s_{1}\right)\right) x\left(s_{1}\right)}{g^{\prime}\left(g^{-1}\left(s_{1}\right)\right)} d s_{1} \ldots d s_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Repeated differentiation yields that $x(t)$ is a solution of (2.10) satisfying (1.6) with $\ell \neq 0$. This contradicts the fact that (2.10) has property (A) and hence the theorem is proved.
3.

This section deals with property (B) of Eq. (1.4). We have the following theorem.
Theorem 3.1. If the delay-differential inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{\prime}(t)+F_{\ell}(t) z(g(t)) \leq 0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\ell \in\{1, \ldots, n-2\}$ such that $n+\ell$ is even, does not admit eventually positive solutions, then Eq.(1.4) has property (B), where

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{n-2}(t) & =r_{n}(t) p(t) r_{0}(g(t))\left[R_{n-1}(g(t))-R_{n-1}(g(g(t)))\right] \\
& \times I_{n-2}\left(g(g(t)), g(g(g(t))) ; r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n-2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and, for $1 \leq \ell \leq n-4$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{\ell}(t)= & r_{\ell+2}(t)\left[R_{\ell+1}(g(t))-R_{\ell+1}(g(g(t)))\right] I_{\ell}\left(g(g(t)), g(g(g(t))) ; r_{1}, \ldots, r_{\ell}\right) \\
& \times \int_{t}^{\infty} r_{\ell+3}\left(s_{n-\ell-2}\right) \int_{s_{n-\ell-2}}^{\infty} r_{\ell+4}\left(s_{n-\ell-3}\right) \\
& \cdots \int_{s_{2}}^{\infty} r_{n}\left(s_{1}\right) p\left(s_{1}\right) r_{0}\left(g\left(s_{1}\right)\right) d s_{1} \ldots d s_{n-\ell-3} d s_{n-\ell-2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $R_{i}(t)=\int_{\sigma}^{t} r_{i}(s) d s, 1 \leq i \leq n-1$.
Proof. Suppose that Eq. (1.4) does not have property (B). Hence there exists a solution $y(t)$ of (1.4) such that $y \in \bar{N}_{\ell}$, where $\ell \in\{1, \ldots, n-2\}$. Without any loss of generality, we may assume that $y(t)>0$ and $y(g(t))>0$ for $t \geq t_{1}>t_{0}$. From Lemma 1.2 it follows that $n+\ell$ is even and

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{i} y(t)>0,0 \leq i \leq \ell \quad \text { and } \quad(-1)^{i-\ell} L_{i} y(t)>0, \ell \leq i \leq n \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t \geq t_{1}$. We may choose $t_{2}>t_{1}$ such that $g(t)>t_{1}$ for $t \geq t_{2}$. Then putting $i=0, k=\ell-1$ and $t>s=g(t)$ for $t \geq t_{2}$ in (1.9) and using (1.8) (i) and (3.2) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{0} y(t)= & \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1}(-1)^{j} L_{j} y(g(t)) I_{j}\left(g(t), t ; r_{j}, \ldots, r_{1}\right) \\
& +(-1)^{\ell} \int_{t}^{g(t)} I_{\ell-1}\left(x, t ; r_{\ell-1}, \ldots, r_{1}\right) r_{\ell}(x) L_{\ell} y(x) d x \\
\geq & (-1)^{\ell} \int_{t}^{g(t)} I_{\ell-1}\left(x, t ; r_{\ell-1}, \ldots, r_{1}\right) r_{\ell}(x) L_{\ell} y(x) d x \\
\geq & (-1)^{2 \ell} \int_{g(t)}^{t} I_{\ell-1}\left(t, x ; r_{1}, \ldots, r_{\ell-1}\right) r_{\ell}(x) L_{\ell} y(x) d x \\
\geq & L_{\ell} y(t) \int_{g(t)}^{t} I_{\ell-1}\left(t, x ; r_{1}, \ldots, r_{\ell-1}\right) r_{\ell}(x) d x \\
\geq & (-1)^{\ell} L_{\ell} y(t) \int_{t}^{g(t)} I_{\ell-1}\left(x, t ; r_{\ell-1}, \ldots, r_{1}\right) r_{\ell}(x) d x \\
= & (-1)^{\ell} L_{\ell} y(t) I_{\ell}\left(g(t), t ; r_{\ell}, \ldots, r_{1}\right)=L_{\ell} y(t) I_{\ell}\left(t, g(t), r_{1}, \ldots, r_{\ell}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $t \geq t_{3} \geq t_{2}, g(t) \geq t_{2}$ and hence

$$
L_{0} y(g(t)) \geq L_{\ell} y(g(t)) I_{\ell}\left(g(t), g(g(t)) ; r_{1}, \ldots, r_{\ell}\right)
$$

Since $L_{0} y(t)$ is monotonic increasing, we get, for $t \geq t_{3}$,

$$
L_{0} y(t) \geq L_{\ell} y(g(t)) I_{\ell}\left(g(t), g(g(t)) ; r_{1}, \ldots, r_{\ell}\right)
$$

Further, for $t \geq t_{4} \geq t_{3}, g(t) \geq t_{3}$ and we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{0} y(g(t)) \geq L_{\ell} y(g(g(t))) I_{\ell}\left(g(g(t)), g(g(g(t))) ; r_{1}, \ldots, r_{\ell}\right) . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $g(t)$ is increasing, then $g^{-1}(t)$ exists and increasing. Further, $g(t)<t$ implies that $t<g^{-1}(t)$. Integrating $\left(L_{\ell} y(t)\right)^{\prime}=r_{\ell+1}(t) L_{\ell+1} y(t)$ we obtain, for $t \geq t_{4}$,

$$
L_{\ell} y\left(g^{-1}(t)\right)-L_{\ell} y(t)=\int_{t}^{g^{-1}(t)} r_{\ell+1}(s) L_{\ell+1} y(s) d s
$$

that is, for $t \geq t_{4}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
-L_{\ell} y(t) & \leq L_{\ell+1} y\left(g^{-1}(t)\right) \int_{t}^{g^{-1}(t)} r_{\ell+1}(s) d s \\
& =L_{\ell+1} y\left(g^{-1}(t)\right)\left[R_{\ell+1}\left(g^{-1}(t)\right)-R_{\ell+1}(t)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

For $t \geq t_{5}>t_{4}$, we have $g(t)>t_{4}$ and hence

$$
L_{\ell} y(g(t)) \geq-L_{\ell+1} y(t)\left[R_{\ell+1}(t)-R_{\ell+1}(g(t))\right]
$$

Thus, for $t \geq t_{6}$,

$$
L_{\ell} y(g(g(t))) \geq-L_{\ell+1} y(g(t))\left[R_{\ell+1}(g(t))-R_{\ell+1}(g(g(t)))\right]
$$

Hence, using (3.3), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{0} y(g(t)) \geq & -L_{\ell+1} y(g(t))\left[R_{\ell+1}(g(t))-R_{\ell+1}(g(g(t)))\right]  \tag{3.4}\\
& \times I_{\ell}\left(g(g(t)), g(g(g(t))) ; r_{1}, \ldots, r_{\ell}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for $t \geq t_{6}$. From (1.4) we obtain, due to (3.4) with $\ell=n-2$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(L_{n-1} y(t)\right)^{\prime}= & r_{n}(t) p(t) y(g(t)) \\
= & r_{n}(t) p(t) r_{0}(g(t)) L_{0} y(g(t)) \\
\geq & -r_{n}(t) p(t) r_{0}(g(t)) L_{n-1} y(g(t))\left[R_{n-1}(g(t))-R_{n-1}(g(g(t)))\right] \\
& \times I_{n-2}\left(g(g(t)), g(g(g(t))) ; r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n-2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

that is,

$$
-\left(L_{n-1} y(t)\right)^{\prime}-F_{n-2}(t) L_{n-1} y(g(t)) \leq 0
$$

Hence $z(t)=-L_{n-1} y(t)$ is a positive solution of

$$
z^{\prime}(t)+F_{n-2}(t) z(g(t)) \leq 0
$$

for $t \geq t_{6}$, a contradiction. Next suppose that $\ell \in\{1, \ldots, n-4\}$. Integrating (1.4) and using (3.2) we get

$$
-L_{n-1} y(t)=\int_{t}^{\infty} r_{n}\left(s_{1}\right) p\left(s_{1}\right) y\left(g\left(s_{1}\right)\right) d s_{1}
$$

Repeated integration and use of (3.2) yield, for $t \geq t_{6}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(L_{\ell+1} y(t)\right)^{\prime} \geq & r_{\ell+2}(t) \int_{t}^{\infty} r_{\ell+3}\left(s_{n-\ell-2}\right) \int_{s_{n-\ell-2}}^{\infty} r_{\ell+4}\left(s_{n-\ell-3}\right) \\
& \ldots \int_{s_{2}}^{\infty} r_{n}\left(s_{1}\right) p\left(s_{1}\right) y\left(g\left(s_{1}\right)\right) d s_{1} \ldots d s_{n-\ell-3} d s_{n-\ell-2} \\
= & r_{\ell+2}(t) \int_{t}^{\infty} r_{\ell+3}\left(s_{n-\ell-2}\right) \int_{s_{n-\ell-2}}^{\infty} r_{\ell+4}\left(s_{n-\ell-3}\right) \\
& \ldots \int_{s_{2}}^{\infty} r_{n}\left(s_{1}\right) p\left(s_{1}\right) r_{0}\left(g\left(s_{1}\right)\right) L_{0} y\left(g\left(s_{1}\right)\right) d s_{1} \ldots d s_{n-\ell-2} \\
\geq & r_{\ell+2}(t) L_{0} y(g(t)) \int_{t}^{\infty} r_{\ell+3}\left(s_{n-\ell-2}\right) \int_{s_{n-\ell-2}}^{\infty} r_{\ell+4}\left(s_{n-\ell-3}\right) \\
& \ldots \int_{s_{2}}^{\infty} r_{n}\left(s_{1}\right) p\left(s_{1}\right) r_{0}\left(g\left(s_{1}\right)\right) d s_{1} \ldots d s_{n-\ell-3} d s_{n-\ell-2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence using (3.4) we obtain, for $t \geq t_{6}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(L_{\ell+1} y(t)\right)^{\prime} \geq & -r_{\ell+2}(t) L_{\ell+1} y(g(t))\left[R_{\ell+1}(g(t))-R_{\ell+1}(g(g(t)))\right] \\
& \times I_{\ell}\left(g(g(t)), g(g(g(t))) ; r_{1}, \ldots, r_{\ell}\right) \\
& \times \int_{t}^{\infty} r_{\ell+3}\left(s_{n-\ell-2}\right) \cdots \int_{s_{2}}^{\infty} r_{n}\left(s_{1}\right) p\left(s_{1}\right) r_{0}\left(g\left(s_{1}\right)\right) d s_{1} \ldots d s_{n-\ell-2},
\end{aligned}
$$

that is, for $t \geq t_{6}$,

$$
-\left(L_{\ell+1} y(t)\right)^{\prime}-F_{\ell}(t) L_{\ell+1} y(g(t)) \leq 0
$$

Thus $z(t)=-L_{\ell+1} y(t)$ is a positive solution of

$$
z^{\prime}(t)+F_{\ell}(t) z(g(t)) \leq 0
$$

for $t \geq t_{6}$, a contradiction which completes the proof of the theorem.

Corollary 3.2. If, for $\ell \in\{1, \ldots, n-2\}$ such that $n+\ell$ is even,

$$
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{g(t)}^{t} F_{\ell}(s) d s>\frac{1}{e}
$$

or

$$
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{g(t)}^{t} F_{\ell}(s) d s>1
$$

then Eq. (1.4) has property (B), where $F_{\ell}$ is same as in (3.1).
This follows from Lemma 2.2 and Theorem 3.1.
In a recent paper (see [2, pp. 152]), Dzurina has obtained the following result.
Theorem 3.3. If, for $\ell \in\{1, \ldots, n-2\}$ such that $n+\ell$ even,

$$
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{w(t)}^{t} Q_{\ell}(s) d s>\frac{1}{e}
$$

or

$$
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{w(t)}^{t} Q_{\ell}(s) d s>1
$$

then Eq. (1.4) has property (B), where

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{\ell}(t)= & r_{\ell+1}(t) \int_{t}^{\tau(t)} r_{n}(s) r_{0}(g(s)) p(s) I_{n-\ell-2}\left(s, t ; r_{n-1}, \ldots, r_{\ell+2}\right) \\
& \times I_{\ell}\left(g(s), t_{1} ; r_{1}, \ldots, r_{\ell}\right) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

for sufficiently large $t_{1}$ with $g(t)>t_{1}$.
In the following we give some examples to which Corollary 3.2 can be employed but Theorem 3.3 cannot be applied.
Example 3. Consider
(3.5) $\log \frac{t}{2 t_{1}}\left(t\left(t\left(\frac{y(t)}{\log \left(\frac{t}{t_{1}}\right)}\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}-\frac{1}{\log \left(\frac{t}{2 t_{1}}\right)} y\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)=0, \quad t>t_{1}>1$,
where $r_{0}(t)=\log \frac{t}{t_{1}}, r_{1}(t)=r_{2}(t)=\frac{1}{t}, r_{3}(t)=\frac{1}{\log \left(\frac{t}{2 t_{1}}\right)}$,

$$
p(t)=\frac{1}{\log \left(\frac{t}{2 t_{1}}\right)} \quad \text { and } \quad g(t)=\frac{t}{2}
$$

Hence

$$
R_{2}(g(t))-R_{2}(g(g(t)))=\int_{t / 4}^{t / 2} \frac{1}{s} d s=\log 2
$$

and

$$
I_{1}\left(g(g(t)), g(g(g(t))) ; r_{1}\right)=\int_{t / 8}^{t / 4} \frac{1}{s} d s=\log 2
$$

Then

$$
F_{1}(t)=\frac{1}{\log \left(\frac{t}{2 t_{1}}\right)} \cdot \frac{1}{\log \left(\frac{t}{2 t_{1}}\right)} \cdot \log \left(\frac{t}{2 t_{1}}\right) \log 2 \cdot \log 2=\frac{(\log 2)^{2}}{\log \left(\frac{t}{2 t_{1}}\right)}
$$

implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{g(t)}^{t} F_{1}(s) d s & =\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}(\log 2)^{2} \int_{t / 2}^{t} \frac{1}{\log \left(\frac{s}{2 t_{1}}\right)} d s>(\log 2)^{2} \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2 \log \left(\frac{t}{2 t_{1}}\right)} \\
& =\frac{(\log 2)^{2}}{2} \cdot \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t}{\log \left(\frac{t}{2 t_{1}}\right)}=\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, by Corollary 3.2, Eq. (3.5) has property (B). On the other hand, Theorem 3.3 cannot be applied to Eq. (3.5) because, setting $\tau(t)=t+1$, we obtain $\tau(t)>t$ and $w(t)=g(\tau(t))=\frac{t+1}{2}$ and

$$
Q_{1}(t)=\frac{1}{t} \int_{t}^{t+1} \frac{1}{\log \left(\frac{s}{2 t_{1}}\right)} \cdot \log \left(\frac{s}{2 t_{1}}\right) \frac{1}{\log \left(\frac{s}{2 t_{1}}\right)} \cdot \log \left(\frac{s}{2 t_{1}}\right) d s=\frac{1}{t}
$$

Hence

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{w(t)}^{t} Q_{1}(s) d s=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\frac{t+1}{2}}^{t} \frac{1}{s} d s=\log 2=0.3010<\frac{1}{3}<\frac{1}{e}<1
$$

## Example 4. Consider

$$
\begin{gather*}
\log \left(\frac{t}{2 t_{1}}\right)\left(t\left(t\left(t\left(\left(\log \left(\frac{t}{t_{1}}\right)\right)^{2} y(t)\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}-\log \left(\frac{t}{2 t_{1}}\right) y\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)=0  \tag{3.6}\\
t>t_{1}>1
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{0}(t) & =\frac{1}{\left(\log \left(\frac{t}{t_{1}}\right)\right)^{2}}, r_{1}(t)=r_{2}(t)=r_{3}(t)=\frac{1}{t}, r_{4}(t)=\frac{1}{\log \left(\frac{t}{2 t_{1}}\right)} \\
p(t) & =\log \left(\frac{t}{2 t_{1}}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad g(t)=\frac{t}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
R_{3}(g(t))-R_{3}(g(g(t)))=\int_{t / 4}^{t / 2} \frac{1}{s} d s=\log 2
$$

and

$$
I_{2}\left(g(g(t)), g(g(g(t))) ; r_{1}, r_{2}\right)=\int_{t / 8}^{t / 4} \frac{1}{s_{1}}\left(\int_{t / 8}^{s_{1}} \frac{1}{s_{2}} d s_{2}\right) d s_{1}=\frac{1}{2}(\log 2)^{2}
$$

Then
$F_{2}(t)=\frac{1}{\log \left(\frac{t}{2 t_{1}}\right)} \cdot \log \left(\frac{t}{2 t_{1}}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{\left(\log \left(\frac{t}{2 t_{1}}\right)\right)^{2}} \cdot \log 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2}(\log 2)^{2}=\frac{(\log 2)^{3}}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{\left(\log \left(\frac{t}{2 t_{1}}\right)\right)^{2}}$
implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{g(t)}^{t} F_{2}(s) d s & =\frac{(\log 2)^{3}}{2} \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t / 2}^{t} \frac{1}{\left(\log \left(\frac{s}{2 t_{1}}\right)\right)^{2}} d s \\
& \geq \frac{(\log 2)^{3}}{4} \cdot \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\left(\log \left(\frac{t}{2 t_{1}}\right)\right)^{2}}=\frac{(\log 2)^{3}}{8} \cdot \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} t>\frac{1}{e}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, from Corollary 3.2, it follows that (3.6) has property (B). However, Theorem 3.3 cannot be employed to (3.6). Indeed, setting $\tau(t)=t+1>t$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
w(t)=g(\tau(t))= & \frac{t+1}{2} \\
I_{2}\left(g(t), t_{1} ; r_{1}, r_{2}\right) & =\int_{t_{1}}^{t / 2} \frac{1}{s_{1}}\left(\int_{t_{1}}^{s_{1}} \frac{1}{s_{2}} d s_{2}\right) d s_{1} \leq\left(\int_{t_{1}}^{t / 2} \frac{1}{s} d s\right)^{2} \\
& =\left(\log \left(\frac{t}{2 t_{1}}\right)\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
Q_{2}(t) \leq \frac{1}{t} \int_{t}^{t+1} \frac{1}{\log \left(\frac{s}{2 t_{1}}\right)} \cdot \frac{1}{\left(\log \left(\frac{s}{2 t_{1}}\right)\right)^{2}} \cdot \log \left(\frac{s}{2 t_{1}}\right) \cdot\left(\log \left(\frac{s}{2 t_{1}}\right)\right)^{2} d s=\frac{1}{t}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{w(t)}^{t} Q_{2}(s) d s & =\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\frac{t+1}{2}}^{t} \frac{1}{s} d s=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \log \left(\frac{2}{1+\frac{1}{t}}\right) \\
& =\log 2=0.3010<\frac{1}{3}<\frac{1}{e}<1
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark. Existence of a solution of Eq. (3.5) or Eq. (3.6) is obvious. However, we could not find explicit solutions to these equations. In the following we give an example of an equation which has property (B). Here an explicit solution of the equation is given.

Example 5. Consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(i v)}(t)-\frac{1944}{t^{4}} y\left(\frac{t}{3}\right)=0, \quad t \geq 1 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $r_{i}(t)=1,0 \leq i \leq 4$, and $g(t)=t / 3$, then $g(g(t))=t / 9$ and $g(g(g(t)))=t / 27$ and $R_{3}(g(t))-R_{3}(g(g(t)))=2 t / 9$. Further,

$$
I_{2}\left(g(g(t)), g(g(g(t))) ; r_{1}, r_{2}\right)=\int_{t / 27}^{t / 9}\left(\int_{s}^{t / 9} d \theta\right) d s=\frac{2 t^{2}}{27^{2}}
$$

and hence

$$
F_{2}(t)=\frac{1944}{t^{4}} \times \frac{2 t}{9} \times \frac{2 t^{2}}{27^{2}}=\frac{32}{27} \cdot \frac{1}{t}
$$

Thus

$$
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{g(t)}^{t} F_{2}(s) d s=\frac{32}{27} \log 3>\frac{1}{e}
$$

On the other hand, for $\tau(t)=t+1>t$, we have $w(t)=g(\tau(t))=\frac{(t+1)}{3}<t$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{2}(t) & =1944 \int_{t}^{t+1} \frac{1}{s^{4}} I_{2}\left(g(s), t_{1} ; r_{1}, r_{2}\right) d s \\
& =1944 \int_{t}^{t+1} \frac{1}{s^{4}}\left(\frac{s^{2}}{18}-\frac{t_{1} s}{3}+\frac{1}{2} t_{1}^{2}\right) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

for sufficiently large $t$ such that $g(t)>t_{1}>1$. Clearly,

$$
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{w(t)}^{t} Q_{2}(s) d s=0<\frac{1}{e}
$$

Hence Corollary 3.2 can be employed to Eq. (3.7) to conclude that it has property (B), where as Theorem 3.3 cannot be applied to Eq. (3.7). In particular, $y(t)=t^{4}$ is a nonoscillatory solution of (3.7) with $y(t)>0, y^{\prime}(t)>0, y^{\prime \prime}(t)>0, y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)>0$ and $y^{(i v)}(t)>0$.

In the following we obtain a result which ensures the existence of a nonoscillatory solution of (1.4) whether $n$ is even or odd.
Theorem 3.4. Eq.(1.4) admits a nonoscillatory solution satisfying

$$
y(t) L_{i} y(t)>0, \quad 0 \leq i \leq n
$$

Proof. From a result due to Kusano et all. (Lemma 2, [7]) it follows that the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{n} x-p^{*}(t) x=0 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p^{*}(t)=\left(r_{0}(t)\right)^{-1} p(t) r_{0}(g(t))$, admits a nonoscillatory solution $x(t)$ satisfying

$$
x(t) L_{i} x(t)>0, \quad 0 \leq i \leq n
$$

for large $t$. We may assume, without any loss of generality, that $x(t)>0$ for $t \geq t_{0}>\sigma$. Hence $L_{i} x(t)>0$ for $t \geq t_{0}$ and $x(g(t))>0$ for $t \geq t_{1}>t_{0}$ and $0 \leq i \leq n$. Successive integration of (3.8) from $t_{1}$ to $t$ yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{0} x(t) \geq & K+\int_{t_{1}}^{t} r_{1}\left(s_{1}\right) \int_{t_{1}}^{s_{1}} r_{2}\left(s_{2}\right) \cdots \int_{t_{1}}^{s_{n-1}} r_{n}\left(s_{n}\right) p^{*}\left(s_{n}\right) x\left(s_{n}\right) d s_{n} \ldots d s_{2} d s_{1} \\
\geq & K+\int_{t_{1}}^{t} r_{1}\left(s_{1}\right) \int_{t_{1}}^{s_{1}} r_{2}\left(s_{2}\right) \\
& \cdots \int_{t_{1}}^{s_{n-1}} r_{n}\left(s_{n}\right) p^{*}\left(s_{n}\right) r_{0}\left(s_{n}\right) L_{0} x\left(s_{n}\right) d s_{n} \ldots d s_{2} d s_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $K=L_{0} x\left(t_{1}\right)>0$. Since $L_{1} x(t)>0$ for $t \geq t_{1}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{0} x(t) \geq & K+\int_{t_{1}}^{t} r_{1}\left(s_{1}\right) \int_{t_{1}}^{s_{1}} r_{2}\left(s_{2}\right) \\
& \cdots \int_{t_{1}}^{s_{n-1}} r_{n}\left(s_{n}\right) p^{*}\left(s_{n}\right) r_{0}\left(s_{n}\right) L_{0} x\left(g\left(s_{n}\right)\right) d s_{n} \ldots d s_{2} d s_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

From Lemma 5 due to Kusano and Naito [6] if follows that the integral equation $v(t)=K+\int_{t_{1}}^{t} r_{1}\left(s_{1}\right) \int_{t_{1}}^{s_{1}} r_{2}\left(s_{2}\right) \ldots \int_{t_{1}}^{s_{n-1}} r_{n}\left(s_{n}\right) p^{*}\left(s_{n}\right) r_{0}\left(s_{n}\right) v\left(g\left(s_{n}\right)\right) d s_{n} \ldots d s_{2} d s_{1}$ admits a solution $v(t), t \geq t_{1}$, satisfying

$$
K \leq v(t) \leq L_{0} x(t), \quad t \geq t_{1}
$$

Hence $v(t)>0$ for $t \geq t_{1}$. Setting $y(t)=r_{0}(t) v(t)$ we obtain $y(t)>0$ for $t \geq t_{1}$ and
$L_{0} y(t)=K+\int_{t_{1}}^{t} r_{1}\left(s_{1}\right) \int_{t_{1}}^{s_{1}} r_{2}\left(s_{2}\right) \cdots \int_{t_{1}}^{s_{n-1}} r_{n}\left(s_{n}\right) p\left(s_{n}\right) y\left(g\left(s_{n}\right)\right) d s_{n} \ldots d s_{2} d s_{1}$.
Successive differentiation shows that $y(t)$ is a positive solution of (1.4) satisfying $L_{i} y(t)>0$ for $t \geq t_{1}, 0 \leq i \leq n$. Hence the theorem is proved.
Theorem 3.5. Suppose that $g \in C^{1}([\sigma, \infty), R)$ such that $g^{\prime}(t)>0$. If the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{n} x-\frac{p\left(g^{-1}(t)\right) r_{n}\left(g^{-1}(t)\right)}{r_{n}(t) g^{\prime}\left(g^{-1}(t)\right)} x=0 \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

has property (B), then Eq. (1.4) has property (B).
Proof. Let $y(t)$ be a nonoscillatory solution of (1.4). It is sufficient to show, in view of Lemma 1.2, that $\ell=0$ or $n$ for $n$ even and $\ell=n$ for $n$ odd. If possible, let $\ell \in\{1,2, \ldots, n-2\}$. Then proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 2.7 one may show that (3.9) admits a solution $x \in \bar{N}_{\ell}$, which contradicts the assumption that (3.9) has property (B). Thus the theorem is proved.
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