# Muhammet Tamer Koşan au-supplemented modules and au-weakly supplemented modules

Archivum Mathematicum, Vol. 43 (2007), No. 4, 251--257

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/108069

# Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 2007

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

## ARCHIVUM MATHEMATICUM (BRNO) Tomus 43 (2007), 251 – 257

# $\tau$ -SUPPLEMENTED MODULES AND $\tau$ -WEAKLY SUPPLEMENTED MODULES

Muhammet Tamer Koşan

ABSTRACT. Given a hereditary torsion theory  $\tau = (\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{F})$  in Mod-R, a module M is called  $\tau$ -supplemented if every submodule A of M contains a direct summand C of M with A/C  $\tau$ -torsion. A submodule V of M is called  $\tau$ -supplement of U in M if U + V = M and  $U \cap V \leq \tau(V)$  and M is  $\tau$ -weakly supplemented if every submodule of M has a  $\tau$ -supplement in M. Let M be a  $\tau$ -weakly supplemented module. Then M has a decomposition  $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$  where  $M_1$  is a semisimple module and  $M_2$  is a module with  $\tau(M_2) \leq_e M_2$ . Also, it is shown that; any finite sum of  $\tau$ -weakly supplemented module.

#### INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, we assume that R is an associative ring with unity, M is a unital right R-module. The symbols, " $\leq$ " will denote a submodule, " $\leq_d$ " a module direct summand, " $\leq_e$ " an essential submodule, " $\ll$ " small submodule and "Rad (M)" the Jacobson radical of M.

Let  $\tau = (\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{F})$  be a torsion theory. Then  $\tau$  is uniquely determined by its associated class  $\mathbb{T}$  of  $\tau$ -torsion modules  $\mathbb{T} = \{M \in \text{Mod} - R \mid \tau(M) = M\}$  where for a module  $M, \tau(M) = \sum \{N \mid N \leq M, N \in \mathbb{T}\}$  and  $\mathbb{F}$  is referred as  $\tau$ -torsion free class and  $\mathbb{F} = \{M \in \text{Mod} - R \mid \tau(M) = 0\}$ . A module in  $\mathbb{T}$  (or  $\mathbb{F}$ ) is called a  $\tau$ -torsion module (or  $\tau$ -torsionfree module). Every torsion class  $\mathbb{T}$  determines in every module M a unique maximal  $\mathbb{T}$ -submodule  $\tau(M)$ , the  $\tau$ -torsion submodule of M, and  $\tau(M/\tau(M)) = 0$ . In what follows  $\tau$  will represent a hereditary torsion theory, that is, if  $\tau = (\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{F})$  then the class  $\mathbb{T}$  is closed under taking submodules, direct sums, homomorphic images and extensions by short exact sequences, equivalently the class  $\mathbb{F}$  is closed under submodules, direct products, injective hulls and isomorphic copies.

Let N and K be submodules of M. N is said to be a supplement submodule of K in M if M = N + K and  $N \cap K \ll N$ . M is called a weakly supplemented module

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 16D50, 16L60.

Key words and phrases: torsion theory,  $\tau$ -supplement submodule.

Received March 5, 2006, revised February 2007.

if every submodule of M has a supplement in M. The module M is called a  $\oplus$ supplemented module if every submodule of M has a supplement that is a direct summand of M. Supplemented modules and its variations have been discussed by several authors in the literature and these modules are useful in characterizing semiperfect modules and rings.

Given a hereditary torsion theory  $\tau = (\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{F})$  in Mod-R,  $\tau$ -complemented modules are studied in [8]. Dually, a module M is said to be a  $\tau$ -supplemented module if every submodule A of M contains a direct summand C of M with  $A/C \tau$ -torsion [4]. Some further properties of  $\tau$ -supplemented were studied in [4] and [5].

In this note, we define  $\tau$ -supplement and  $\tau$ -weakly supplemented modules. In Section 2, we will show that

**Theorem.** Let M be a  $\tau$ -weakly supplemented module. Then

- (1) If M is  $\tau$ -torsionfree, then M is  $\tau$ -weakly supplemented if and only if M is semisimple.
- (2) Every homomorphic image of M is again a  $\tau$ -weakly supplemented module.
- (3)  $M/\tau(M)$  is semisimple

and

**Theorem.** Any finite sum of  $\tau$ -weakly supplemented modules is a  $\tau$ -weakly supplemented module.

In [6], the authors defined and characterized perfect module and ring relative to a torsion theory. In this note, we define semiperfect module relative to a torsion theory and we will prove that

**Theorem.** M is a  $\tau$ -semiperfect module if and only if M is a  $\tau$ -weakly supplemented module and each  $\tau$ -supplement submodule of M is a  $\tau$ -projective cover.

We refer the reader to [3] and [9] as torsion theoretic sources sufficient for our purposes and [1] and [10] for the other notations in this paper.

1.  $\tau$ -suplemented modules and  $\tau$ -weakly suplemented modules

Let  $\tau = (\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{F})$  be a hereditary torsion theory in Mod-*R* and *M* be a right *R*-module. Following [4], *M* is said to be a  $\tau$ -supplemented module if every submodule *A* of *M* contains a direct summand *C* of *M* with  $A/C \tau$ -torsion.

Firstly, we give some properties of  $\tau$ -supplemented modules:

### Theorem 1.1.

- (1) Let M be a module. Then the following are equivalent
  - (a) M is a  $\tau$ -supplemented module.
  - (b) Every submodule A of M can be written as  $A = B \oplus C$  with B a direct summand of M and  $\tau(C) = C$ .
  - (c) For every submodule A of M, there exist a decomposition  $M = X \oplus X'$ with  $X \leq A$  and  $X' \cap A \leq \tau(X')$ .
  - (d) For every submodule A of M, there is an idempotent  $e \in \text{End}(M_R)$  such that  $e(M) \subseteq A$  and  $(1-e)(A) \leq \tau((1-e)A)$ .

- (2) Let M be a  $\tau$ -supplemented module. Then
  - (a) Every submodule of M is a  $\tau$ -supplemented module.
  - (b) Every  $\tau$ -torsionfree submodule of M is a direct summand of M.
  - (c) Every submodule N of M with N ∩ τ(M) = 0 is a direct summand of M. In particular, if M is τ-torsionfree, then M is τ-supplemented if and only if M is semisimple.
  - (d)  $M/\tau(M)$  is semisimple.
  - (e) For any submodules K, N of M such that M = N + K, there exist a submodule X of N with M = K + X and  $K \cap X \subseteq \tau(X)$ .
  - (f) Rad  $(M) \leq \tau(M)$ .
  - (g) If  $\tau(M) \neq \text{Rad}(M)$ , then M has a nonzero direct summand with  $\tau$ -torsion.
  - (h)  $\tau(M) = \text{Rad}(M)$  or M has a nonzero  $\tau$ -torsion submodule that is a direct summand of M.

**Proof.** (1)(a) $\Leftrightarrow$ (b) and (2)(a) are [4, Lemma 2.1].

 $(1)(a) \Leftrightarrow (c) \text{ and } (a) \Leftrightarrow (d) \text{ are obvious.}$ 

- (2)(b) Is [4, Lemma 2.5].
- (2)(c) Is [4, Corollary 2.6].
- (2)(d) By [5, Theorem 4.8].

(2)(e) Let M be a  $\tau$ -supplemented and K, N be submodules of M with M = N+K. By (2)(a), N is a  $\tau$ -supplemented module. Then there exist a submodule X of N such that  $N = N \cap K + X$  and  $N \cap K \cap X$  is  $\tau$ -torsion and so  $N \cap K \cap X \leq \tau(X)$ . Note that M = X + K. It is clear that  $K \cap X = N \cap K \cap X \leq \tau(X)$ .

(2)(f) By (2)(d),  $M/\tau(M)$  is semisimple and so Rad  $(M) \leq \tau(M)$ .

(2)(g) Assume that  $\tau(M) \neq \text{Rad}(M)$ . Then there exist a maximal submodule P of M such that  $\tau(M)$  is not contained in P. Since M is  $\tau$ -supplemented, there exists a submodule X of K such that  $M = X \oplus X'$  and  $P \cap X' \leq \tau(X')$  by (1)(c). Note that  $P \cap X'$  is also maximal submodule of X'. We may assume that  $\tau(X') = X'$ . Thus  $M = X \oplus X'$ , where  $X' = \tau(X')$ .

(2)(h) Clear from (2)(d) and (g). Also, it follows from [5, Theorem 4.9].  $\Box$ 

As we mentioned in introduction, a submodule V of M is called *supplement* of U in M if V is a minimal element in the set of submodules L of M with U + L = M. So V is a supplement of U if and only if U + V = M and  $U \cap V$  is small in V. An *R*-module M is *weakly supplemented* if every submodule of M has a supplement in M.

After considering several possible definitions for a supplement module in a torsion theory, by Theorem 2.1, we propose as; a submodule V of M is called  $\tau$ supplement of U in M if U + V = M and  $U \cap V \leq \tau(V)$  and M is said to be a  $\tau$ -weakly supplemented module if every submodule of M has a  $\tau$ -supplement in M. Clearly, every  $\tau$ -supplemented is a  $\tau$ -weakly supplemented. **Lemma 1.2.** Let M be a module and  $V \leq M$ .

- If V is a τ-torsionfree τ-supplement submodule, then V is a direct summand of M.
- (2) If  $\tau(M) = 0$ , then every  $\tau$ -supplement submodule of M is a direct summand.
- (3) If V is a  $\tau$ -supplement submodule of M and V'  $\subseteq$  V, then V/V' is also  $\tau$ -supplement submodule of M/M'.

**Proof.** Trivial.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let M be a  $\tau$ -weakly supplemented module. Then

- (a) If M is  $\tau$ -torsionfree, then M is  $\tau$ -weakly supplemented if and only if M is semisimple.
- (b) Every homomorphic image of M is again a  $\tau$ -weakly supplemented module.
- (c)  $M/\tau(M)$  is semisimple.

**Proof.** They are consequences of Lemma 2.2.

The class of  $\tau$ -supplemented module is not closed under direct sums. Therefore, there are some decompositions theorems for  $\tau$ - supplemented modules, for example: A  $\tau$ -supplemented module M has a decomposition  $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$  where  $M_1$  is a semisimple module and  $M_2$  is a  $\tau$ -supplemented module with  $\tau(M_2) \leq_e M_2$  (see [4, Lemma 2.7]).

### Lemma 1.4.

- (1) Let M be a  $\tau$ -weakly supplemented module. Then M has a decomposition  $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$  where  $M_1$  is a semisimple module and  $M_2$  is a module with  $\tau(M_2) \leq_e M_2$ .
- (2) For submodules N, K of M, if N is a τ-weakly supplemented module and N + K has a τ-supplement in M then K has a τ-supplement in M.

**Proof.** (1) For the proof, we completely follow the proof of [4, Lemma 2.7]. If  $\tau(M) \leq_e M$ , then proof is clear. Assume not. Let  $N \leq M$  be a complement of  $\tau(M)$ . Therefore  $N \oplus \tau(M) \leq_e M$ . By Theorem 2.3, N is a semisimple module. Since M is  $\tau$ -supplemented module, there exists a submodule X of M such that M = N + X and  $N \cap X \leq \tau(X)$ . Note that  $N \cap X = N \cap (N \cap X) \leq N \cap \tau(X) \leq N \cap \tau(M) = 0$ . This implies  $M = N \oplus X$  and  $\tau(M) = \tau(N) \oplus \tau(X) = \tau(X)$  because  $\tau(N) = 0$ . Therefore, we have  $\tau(X) \leq_e X$ .

(2) Because N + K has a  $\tau$ -supplement in M, let A be a submodule of M with M = (N + K) + A and  $(N + K) \cap A \leq \tau(A)$ . Since N is  $\tau$ -weakly supplemented module, there exists a submodule B of N such that  $[(K + A) \cap N] + B = N$  and  $[(K + A) \cap N] \cap B \leq \tau(B)$ . Hence M = K + A + B and B is a  $\tau$ -supplement of K + A in M. We claim that A + B is a  $\tau$ -supplement of K in M. Since  $B + K \leq N + K$ , we have  $A \cap (B + K) \leq \tau(A)$ . Now,  $(A + B) \cap K \leq \tau(A) + \tau(B) \leq \tau(A + B)$ .

The following theorem generalizes a part of [2, 17.13].

**Theorem 1.5.** Any finite sum of  $\tau$ -weakly supplemented modules is  $\tau$ -weakly supplemented module.

**Proof.** Let  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  be  $\tau$ -weakly supplemented modules and  $M = M_1 + M_2$ . Let N be a submodule of M. Clearly,  $M_1 + M_2 + N$  has a  $\tau$ -supplement 0 in M. By Lemma 2.4,  $M_2 + N$  has a  $\tau$ -supplement in M. Again by Lemma 2.4, N has a  $\tau$ -supplement in M. This implies that  $M = M_1 + M_2$  is  $\tau$ -weakly supplemented module.

We recall that a module M is  $\tau$ -projective if and only if it is projective with respect to every R-epimorphism having a  $\tau$ -torsion kernel [3].

**Lemma 1.6.** Let M be a module and L a direct summand of M and K a submodule of M such that M/K is  $\tau$ -projective and M = L + K and  $L \cap K$  is  $\tau$ -torsion. Then  $L \cap K$  is direct summand of M.

**Proof.** Let  $M = L \oplus L'$  and  $\alpha \colon M/L' \to L$  be the isomorphism and  $\beta \colon L \to M/K \cong L/(L \cap K)$  the epimorphism that having  $L \cap K$  as kernel. Then we have epimorphism  $\beta \alpha \colon M/L' \to M/K$  having kernel  $((L \cap K) \oplus L')/L' \cong L \cap K$  which is  $\tau$ -torsion. Since M/K is  $\tau$ -projective, there exists  $g \colon M/K \to M/L'$  such that  $1 = \beta \alpha g$ . Hence  $L \cap K$  is direct summand.

An epimorphism  $f: P \to M$  is called a  $\tau$ -projective cover of M if P is  $\tau$ -projective and Ker(f) is small  $\tau$ -torsion submodule of P (see [3, Page 117]).

#### Lemma 1.7.

- (1) If  $f: P \to N$  is a  $\tau$ -projective cover and  $g: N \to M$  is a  $\tau$ -projective cover, then  $gf: P \to M$  is a  $\tau$ -projective cover.
- (2) The following are equivalent for a module M and  $N \leq M$ .
  - (a) If M/N has a  $\tau$ -projective cover.
  - (b) N has a  $\tau$ -supplement K in M which has a  $\tau$  projective cover.
  - (c) If N' is a submodule of M with M = N + N', then N has a  $\tau$ -supplement X such that  $X \leq N'$  and X has a  $\tau$ -projective cover.

**Proof.** (1) For the proof, we claim that Ker (gf) is small  $\tau$ -torsion. By [7, Lemma 4.2], Ker (gf) is small. Let  $x \in \text{Ker}(gf)$ . Then  $f(x) \in \text{Ker}(g) \leq \tau(N) = f(\tau(P))$ . For any  $p \in \tau(P)$ , we have f(x) = f(p), and so  $x - p \in (f)\tau(P)$ , that is  $x \in \tau(P)$ . (2)(a) $\Rightarrow$ (c) is [6, Lemma 3.1].

- $(2)(a) \Rightarrow (b) \text{ is } [6, \text{ Lemma 3.3}].$
- $(2)(c) \Rightarrow (b)$  is clear.

 $(2)(b) \Rightarrow (a)$  assume N has a  $\tau$ -supplement K in M which has a  $\tau$ -projective cover, that is  $f: P \to K$  with Ker(f) is small  $\tau$ -torsion. Let  $g: K \to K/(N \cap K)$ . It is easy to see that, Ker(g) small  $\tau$ -torsion. Since  $N/N \cap K = M/N$ , we have  $gf: P \to M/N$  is  $\tau$ -projective cover of M/N by (1).

Following [6], a module M is said to be a  $\tau - \oplus$ -supplemented when for every submodule N of M there exists a direct summand K of M such that M = N + Kand  $N \cap K$  is  $\tau$ -torsion, and M is called a completely  $\tau - \oplus$ -supplemented if every direct summand of M is  $\tau - \oplus$ -supplemented and the module M is called strongly  $\tau - \oplus$ -supplemented if for any submodule N of M there exists a direct summand K of M with M = N + K and  $N \cap K$  is small  $\tau$ -torsion in K by [6]. **Theorem 1.8.** Let P be a projective R-module. Then the following are equivalent:

(1) P is  $\tau$ -supplemented.

(2) P is  $\tau - \oplus$ -supplemented.

**Proof.**  $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$  Clear from definitions.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$  Let N be submodule of P. By (2), there exists a direct summand K of P such that  $P = N + K = K' \oplus K$  and  $N \cap K$  is  $\tau$ - torsion. By [7, Lemma 4.47], there exists a direct summand L of P such that  $P = L \oplus K$  and  $L \leq N$ . Since N/L is isomorphic to  $N \cap K$ , N/L is  $\tau$ -torsion. (2) follows.

In [6], a ring R is called a right  $\tau$ -perfect ring if every right R-module has a  $\tau$ -projective cover (compare with [11, Remark 4.5]). Every right  $\tau$ -perfect ring is right perfect, and any strongly  $\tau - \oplus$ -supplemented module is  $\tau - \oplus$ -supplemented.

**Theorem 1.9.** Let R be a ring. Then the following are equivalent.

(1) R is a right  $\tau$ -perfect ring.

(2) Every projective R-module is a strongly  $\tau - \oplus$ -supplemented module.

**Proof.**  $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$  Let N be submodule of the projective module M. By (1), M/N has  $\tau$ -projective cover. By Lemma 2.7, there exists a submodule L of M such that M = N + L with  $N \cap L$  is small and  $\tau$ -torsion in L. Again by Lemma 2.3, N contains a submodule K such that M = K + L with  $K \cap L$  is small and  $\tau$ -torsion in K. By [6, Lemma 3.2],  $K \cap L = 0$ . Hence  $M = N + L = K \oplus L$  and  $N \cap L$  is small and  $\tau$ -torsion in L. It follows that M is strongly  $\tau - \oplus$ -supplemented. (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Let M be any R-module, P a projective module and f an epimorphism  $f : P \longrightarrow M$ . By (2), P has direct summands K and K' so that  $P = \text{Ker}(f) + K = K' \oplus K$  with Ker  $(f) \cap K$  small and  $\tau$ - torsion in K. Hence K is the required  $\tau$ -projective cover of M.

Similar to  $\tau$ -perfect module, we call a module  $M \tau$ -semiperfect if every homomorphic image of M has a  $\tau$ -projective cover.

**Theorem 1.10.** The following are equivalent for a module M

- (1) M is a  $\tau$ -semiperfect module;
- (2) M is a τ-weakly supplemented module and each τ-supplement submodule of M has τ-projective cover.
- (3) For any submodules K, N of M such that M = N + K, there exist a  $\tau$ -supplement submodule X of N that X has a  $\tau$ -projective cover.

**Proof.** Clear from Lemma 2.7 and Theorem 2.1.

Acknowledgments. The author thanks to the editor Prof. Jan Trlifaj and referee for his/her valuable suggestions. Special thanks to Prof. Abdullah Harmanci for his encouragement and direction.

#### References

- Anderson, F. W., Fuller, K. R., Rings and Categories of Modules, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992.
- [2] Clark, J., Lomp, C., Vanaja, N., Wisbauer, R., Lifting Modules, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2006.
- [3] Golan, J. S., Torsion Theories, Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics 29, New York, John Wiley & Sons, 1986.
- Koşan, T., Harmanci, A., Modules supplemented with respect to a torsion theory, Turkish J. Math. 28 (2), (2004), 177–184.
- [5] Koşan, M. T., Harmanci, A., Decompositions of Modules supplemented with respect to a torsion theory, Internat. J. Math. 16 (1), (2005), 43–52.
- [6] Koşan, M. T., Harmanci, A., ⊕-supplemented modules relative to a torsion theory, New-Zealand J. Math. 35 (2006), 63–75.
- [7] Mohamed, S. H., Müller, B. J., Continuous and discrete modules, London Math. Soc. LNS 147, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (1990).
- [8] Smith, P. F., Viola-Prioli, A. M., and Viola-Prioli, J., Modules complemented with respect to a torsion theory, Comm. Algebra 25 (1997), 1307–1326.
- [9] Stenström, B., Rings of quotients, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1975.
- [10] Wisbauer, R., Foundations of module and ring theory, Gordon and Breach, Reading, 1991.
- [11] Zhou, Y., Generalizations of perfect, semiperfect, and semiregular rings, Algebra Colloquium 7 (3), (2000), 305–318.

FACULTY OF SCIENCE, GEBZE INSTITUTE OF TECHONOLOGY ÇAYIROVA CAMPUS, 41400 GEBZE- KOCAELI, TÜRKIYE *E-mail*: mtkosan@gyte.edu.tr