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# SOME REMARKS ON DOMATIC NUMBERS OF GRAPHS 

Bohdan Zelinka, Liberec

(Received July 26, 1979)
E. J. Cockayne and S. T. Hedetniemi in the papers [1] and [2] define the domatic number of an undirected graph. Here we shall present some results concerning this concept. We shall investigate finite undirected graphs without loops and multiple edges.

A dominating set in a graph $G$ is a subset $D$ of the vertex set $V(G)$ of $G$ with the property that each vertex of $V(G)-D$ is adjacent to at least one vertex of $D$. A partition of $V(G)$ into dominating sets is called a domatic partition of $G$. The maximal number of classes of a domatic partition of a graph $G$ is called the domatic number of $G$ and is denoted by $d(G)$.

In [2] it is suggested to relate the domatic number of a graph $G$ to the connectivity of this graph. In this paper we shall prove some results concerning this topic.

The vertex (or edge) connectivity degree of a graph $G$ is the minimal cardinality of a subset of the vertex set (or the edge set, respectively) of $G$ with the property that by deleting this set from $G$ a disconnected graph is obtained. (To delete a subset of the vertex set of $G$ means to delete all vertices of this set and all edges which are incident to these vertices. To delete a subset of the edge set of $G$ means to delete only all edges of this set.) The vertex connectivity degree of $G$ will be denoted by $\omega(G)$, its edge connectivity degree by $\sigma(G)$.

Theorem 1. Let $p$ and $q$ be non-negative integers, $p<q$. Then there exists a graph $G$ such that $\omega(G)=p, d(G)=q$.

Proof. Take two copies $G^{\prime}, G^{\prime \prime}$ of the complete graph $K_{q}$ with $q$ vertices. If $p=0$, then $G$ is the graph whose connected components are $G^{\prime}$ and $G^{\prime \prime}$. If $p \neq 0$, we choose pairwise distinct vertices $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{p}$ in $G^{\prime}$ and $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{p}$ in $G^{\prime \prime}$ and identify $u_{i}$ with $v_{i}$ for each $i=1, \ldots, p$. In the following we shall denote the vertex obtained by identifying $u_{i}$ with $v_{i}$ by $w_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, p$. The remaining vertices of $G^{\prime}$ (or $G^{\prime \prime}$ ) will be denoted by $u_{p+1}, \ldots, u_{q}$ (or $v_{p+1}, \ldots, v_{q}$, respectively). In the case $p=0$ we denote the vertices of $G^{\prime}$ by $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{q}$ and the vertices of $G^{\prime \prime}$ by $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{q}$. If we delete the set $\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{p}\right\}$ from $G$, we obtain a disconnected graph. As each of the vertices $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{p}$ is adjacent to all the other vertices of $G$, after deleting less than $p$ vertices
the graph $G$ remains connected; therefore $\omega(G)=p$. Let $D_{i}=\left\{w_{i}\right\}$ for $i=1, \ldots, p$ and $D_{i}=\left\{u_{i}, v_{i}\right\}$ for $i=p+1, \ldots, q$. Evidently $\left\{D_{1}, \ldots, D_{q}\right\}$ is a domatic partition of $G$ and $d(G) \geqq q$. In [1] it was proved that $d(G) \leqq \delta(G)+1$, where $\delta(G)$ is the minimal degree of a vertex of $G$. Here evidently $\delta(G)=q-1$, hence $d(G)=q$.

Theorem 2. Let $p$ and $q$ be non-negative integers, $p<q$. Then there exists a graph $G$ such that $\sigma(G)=p, d(G)=q$.

Proof. We take again two copies $G^{\prime}$ and $G^{\prime \prime}$ of $K_{q}$. Let the vertices of $G^{\prime}$ (or $G^{\prime \prime}$ ) be $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{q}$ (or $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{q}$, respectively). If $p=0$, the graph $G$ is the same as in the proof of Theorem 1. If $p \neq 0$, we join $u_{i}$ with $v_{i}$ by an edge for each $i=1, \ldots, p$. Evidently $\sigma(G)=p$, where $G$ is the graph thus obtained. Taking $D_{i}=\left\{u_{i}, v_{i}\right\}$ for $i=1, \ldots, q$ we obtain a domatic partition $\left\{D_{1}, \ldots, D_{q}\right\}$ and, as $\delta(G)=q-1$, we have $d(G)=q$.

Theorem 3. Let h be a positive integer. Then there exists a graph $G$ such that

$$
\omega(G)-d(G)=\sigma(G)-d(G)=h
$$

Proof. Let $n=2 h+4$ and consider the complete graph $K_{n}$. As $n$ is even, there exists a linear factor $F$ of $K_{n}$. Let the edges of $F$ be $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{h+2}$, let $u_{i}, v_{i}$ be the end vertices of the edge $e_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, h+2$. Let $G$ be the graph obtained from $K_{n}$ by deleting all edges of $F$. Evidently each subset of $V(G)$ which induces a disconnected subgraph of $G$ is of the form $\left\{u_{i}, v_{i}\right\}$ for some $i$. Therefore $\omega(G)=n-2=2 h+2$. It is easy to prove that also $\sigma(G)=n-2=2 h+2$. No vertex of $G$ is adjacent to all the other vertices, therefore each dominating set of $G$ has at least two vertices. This implies $d(G) \leqq n / 2$. Putting $D_{i}=\left\{u_{i}, v_{i}\right\}$ for $i=1, \ldots, h+2$ we obtain a domatic partition of $G$ and hence $d(G)=n / 2=h+2$. We have

$$
\omega(G)-d(G)=\sigma(G)-d(G)=h
$$

The graph from the proof of Theorem 3 also has the property that $d(G)=$ $=\frac{1}{2} \delta(G)+1$. We express a conjecture.

Conjecture. For each graph $G$ we have

$$
d(G) \geqq \frac{1}{2} \delta(G)+1
$$

At the end we turn to another problem suggested in [2] - to characterize the uniquely domatic graphs.

A graph $\boldsymbol{G}$ is called uniquely domatic, if there exists exactly one domatic partition of $G$ with $d(G)$ classes.

We shall characterize the uniquely domatic graphs whose domatic number is 2 . First we prove a lemma.

Lemma. Each uniquely domatic graph with a domatic number at least 2 is connected.

Proof. Let $G$ be a disconnected graph with $d(G) \geqq 2$. Then each connected component of $G$ has at least two vertices; otherwise the domatic number of $G$ would be 1 . Let $d(G)=d$, let $\left\{D_{1}, \ldots, D_{d}\right\}$ be a domatic partition of $G$. Let $C$ be a connected component of $G$, let $V(C)$ be its vertex set. As each vertex of $C$ can be adjacent only to vertices of $C$, we have $D_{i} \cap V(C) \neq \emptyset$ for each $i=1, \ldots, d$ and $\left\{D_{1} \cap V(C), \ldots\right.$ $\left.\ldots, D_{d} \cap V(C)\right\}$ is a domatic partion of C. Put $D_{1}^{\prime}=\left(D_{1}-V(C)\right) \cup\left(D_{2} \cap V(C)\right)$, $D_{2}^{\prime}=\left(D_{2}-V(C)\right) \cup\left(D_{1} \cap V(C)\right), D_{i}^{\prime}=D_{i}$ for $i=3, \ldots, d$. It is easy to prove that $\left\{D_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, D_{d}^{\prime}\right)$ is a domatic partition of $G$ different from $\left\{D_{1}, \ldots, D_{d}\right\}$ and hence $G$ is not uniquely domatic.

Theorem 4. A graph with the domatic number 2 is uniquely domatic, if and only if it is a star or a complete graph $K_{2}$.

Proof. Let $G$ be a uniquely domatic graph with the domatic number 2. By Lemma the graph $G$ must be connected. If $G$ is neither a star nor $K_{2}$, then there exists a spanning tree $T$ of $G$ which is neither a star nor $K_{2}$. Therefore there exists an edge $e$ of $T$ which joins two non-terminal vertices of $T$. Let $T^{\prime}$ and $T^{\prime \prime}$ be the connected components of the forest obtained from $T$ by deleting $e$. None of the graphs $T^{\prime}, T^{\prime \prime}$ is an isolated vertex, therefore $d\left(T^{\prime}\right)=d\left(T^{\prime \prime}\right)=2$. Let $\left\{D_{1}^{\prime}, D_{2}^{\prime}\right\}$ (or $\left\{D_{1}^{\prime \prime}, D_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ ) be a domatic partition of $T^{\prime}$ (or $T^{\prime \prime}$, respectively). It is easy to see that $\left\{D_{1}^{\prime} \cup D_{1}^{\prime \prime}, D_{2}^{\prime} \cup D_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ and $\left\{D_{1}^{\prime} \cup D_{2}^{\prime \prime}, D_{2}^{\prime} \cup D_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ are domatic partitions of $T$ and also of $G$. These partitions are evidently different, which is a contradiction with the assumption that $G$ is uniquely domatic. Therefore $G$ must be either a star or $K_{2}$. On the other hand, the unique domatic partition of a star into two classes is such that one class consists only of the center and the other consists of all other vertices, because if a terminal vertex of a star belonged to the same class as the center, it would not be adjacent to a vertex of the other class. An analogous situation occurs in the case of $K_{2}$.
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