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# ON TRANSFORMATIONS OF SETS IN $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ 

Harry I. Miller ${ }^{1}$ ), Sarajevo

(Received November 26, 1979)

## 0. INTRODUCTION

In the first part of this work certain transformations of sets in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ will be studied. We extend the results of various authors. An article by Neubrunn and Šalát [10] was the initial work in this area. Latter contributions were made in [12], [14] and [15].

The second part of this paper deals with analogues of theorems that appeared in [1], [4] and [5]. In particular, sets of the second category having the Baire property are studied here. Such sets and their duality with sets of positive measure have been studied extensively ([3], [6], [7], [8], [10], [11], [13] and [16]).

## 1. FAMILIES OF TRANSFORMATIONS IN $\mathbb{R}^{n}$

Let $\mathscr{L}^{n}$ denote the collection of Lebesgue measurable subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ ( $n$-dimensional Euclidean space). If $A \in \mathscr{L}^{n}$ then $|A|$ stands for the Lebesgue measure of the set $A$. Suppose that with each $\omega$ belonging to a metric space $\Omega$ a certain transformation of the family $\mathscr{L}^{1}$ into $\mathscr{L}^{1}$ is associated, this transformation being denoted by $T_{\omega}$. Neubrunn and Šalát [10] considered families of transformations $\left\{T_{\omega}\right\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$ satisfying the following assumptions.
(i) There exists $\omega_{0} \in \Omega$ such that for every closed interval $\langle a, b\rangle$ and every sequence $\left\{\omega_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of elements belonging to $\Omega$ and converging to $\omega_{0}$,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\inf T_{\omega_{n}}(\langle a, b\rangle)\right)=a, \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\sup T_{\omega_{n}}(\langle a, b\rangle)\right)=b
$$

holds;
(ii) if $E, F \in \mathscr{L}^{1}$ and $E \subset F$ then for every $\omega \in \Omega, T_{\omega}(E) \subset T_{\omega}(F)$;
(iii) if $E \in \mathscr{L}^{1}$ and $\omega_{n} \rightarrow \omega_{0}$ (in $\Omega$ ), then

[^0]$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|T_{\omega_{n}}(E)\right|=\left|T_{\omega_{0}}(E)\right|=|E|
$$

Consider the following examples.
Example 1.1. Set $\Omega=\mathbb{R}^{1}$. If $E \in \mathscr{L}^{1}$, then let $T_{\omega}(E)=E+\omega$ (i.e. the set of all numbers of the form $x+\omega, x \in E$ ). Taking 0 as $\omega_{0}$ one can easily check that properties (i)-(iii) are satisfied.

Example 1.2. Set $\Omega=(0,1\rangle$. If $E \in \mathscr{L}^{1}$, then let $T_{\omega}(E)=\omega E$ (i.e. the set of all numbers of the form $\omega x, x \in E$ ). If we put $\omega_{0}=1$ then properties (i)-(iii) are satisfied. These examples appear in the work of Neubrunn and Salát [10].
M. Pal [12] considered an extension of the families of transformations of Neubrunn and Šalát, namely, with each $\omega$ belonging to a metric space $\Omega$ he associated a transformation $T_{\omega}$, mapping $\mathscr{L}^{n}$ into $\mathscr{L}^{n}$ in such a way that the family of transformations $\left\{T_{\omega}\right\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$ satisfied the following three conditions.
(I) There exists $\omega_{0} \in \Omega$ such that for every closed sphere $K=S[a, r] \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and every sequence $\left\{\omega_{n}\right\}\left(\omega_{n} \in \Omega\right)$ converging to $\omega_{0}$,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sup \left|a-T_{\omega_{n}}(K)\right|\right]=r \quad \text { holds } .
$$

(II) If $E, F \in \mathscr{L}^{n}$ and $F \subset E$, then for every $\omega \in \Omega, T_{\omega}(F) \subset T_{\omega}(E)$.
(III) If $E \in \mathscr{L}^{n}$ and $\omega_{n} \rightarrow \omega_{0}$, then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|T_{\omega_{n}}(E)\right|=\left|T_{\omega_{0}}(E)\right|=|E| .
$$

Here $|a-B|$ denotes the set $\{|a-b| ; b \in B\}$ where $|a-b|$ is the ordinary Euclidean distance between $a$ and $b$.

Clearly, Example 1.1 can be modified in the obvious way to Example 1.1' by setting $\Omega=\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and taking $x+\omega$ to be the ordinary vector sum of $x$ and $\omega$. It is easy to see that Example 1.1' satisfies properties (I)-(III).

Example 1.2 can be modified to Example $1.2^{\prime}$. In this case $\Omega$ remains unchanged, that is $\Omega=(0,1\rangle$, and $\omega x=\left(\omega x_{1}, \ldots, \omega x_{n}\right)$ where $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$. Then it is easy to check that Example $1.2^{\prime}$,satisfies properties (I)-(III). We now consider the following example.

Example $1.3^{\prime}$. Set $\Omega=(0,1\rangle$. If $E \in \mathscr{L}^{n}$, then let $T_{\omega}(E)=\omega E$. If we put $\omega_{0}=k$ for some $k$ in the open interval ( 0,1 ), then properties (I) and (III) fail to hold.

We will now list three properties, for a family $\left\{T_{\omega}\right\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$ of transformations on $\mathscr{L}^{n}$ into $\mathscr{L}^{n}$, satisfied by all three of the above examples ( $1.1^{\prime}, 1.2^{\prime}$ and $1.3^{\prime}$ ) and show several consequences of the three properties.

We consider families of transformations $\left\{T_{\omega}\right\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$ on $\mathscr{L}^{n}$ into $\mathscr{L}^{n}$ ( $\Omega$ a metric space) which satisfy the following conditions.
(a) If $E, F \in \mathscr{L}^{n}$ and $E \subset F$ then for every $\omega \in \Omega, T_{\omega}(E) \subset T_{\omega}(F)$.
(b) There exists $\omega_{0} \in \Omega, a \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $k(0<k \leqq 1)$ such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left|T_{\omega_{n}}(S[a, r]) \cap S[a, k r]\right|}{|S[a, k r]|}=1
$$

for every $\dot{r}>0$ and for every sequence $\left\{\omega_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of elements belonging to $\Omega$ and converging to $\omega_{0}$.
(c) There exists $j(0<j \leqq 1)$ such that if $A, B \in \mathscr{L}^{n}$ and $A \subset B$, then lim sup. $\cdot\left|T_{\omega_{n}}(B) \backslash T_{\omega_{n}}(A)\right| \leqq j .|B \backslash A|$ provided $\omega_{n} \rightarrow \omega_{0}($ in $\Omega)$.

Theorem 1.1. If $\left\{T_{\omega}\right\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$ is a family of transformations on $\mathscr{L}^{n}$ into $\mathscr{L}^{n}$ satisfying properties (I), (II) and (III) then $\left\{T_{\omega}\right\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$ satisfies properties (a), (b) and (c).

Proof. Property (II) implies property (a). Properties (I) and (III) imply property (b) (with $k=1$ and $a$ any point in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ ). Properties (II) and (III) imply property (c) with $j=1$.

It is easy to see that the family of transformations given in Example $1.3^{\prime}$ satisfies properties (a), (b) and (c), where $a=0, \omega_{0}=k(0<k \leqq 1)$ and $j=k^{n}$ (to see the last equality consult [2], page 153). Therefore by Theorem 1.1 and our earlier remarks (i.e. that Example 1.3' does not satisfy properties (I) and (III)) it follows that properties (a), (b) and (c) are strictly weaker than properties (I), (II) and (III).
M. Pal [12] proved the following theorem which extends Theorem 1.1 of Neubrunn and Šalát [10].

Theorem. Let $\left\{T_{\omega}\right\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$ be a family of transformations satisfying conditions (I), (II) and (III) and let $\left\{\omega_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence converging to $\omega_{0}$ (in $\Omega$ ). Let $A$ be a set of positive measure in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Then there exists a natural number $N_{0}$ such that for $n \geqq N_{0}, A \cap T_{\omega_{n}}(A)$ is a set of positive measure.

We now show that this result remains true for families of transformations satisfying the (weaker) conditions (a), (b) and (c).

Theorem 1.2. Suppose $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ has positive Lebesgue measure. If $\left\{T_{\omega}\right\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$ is a family of transformations on $\mathscr{L}^{n}$ into $\mathscr{L}^{n}$ satisfying conditions (a), (b) and (c) and $a$ (in condition $(\mathrm{b})$ ) is a density point of $A$, then if $\left\{\omega_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence converging to $\omega_{0}$, there exists a natural number $N_{0}$ such that for $n \geqq N_{0}, A \cap T_{\omega_{n}}(A)$ is a set of positive measure.

Proof. Let $0<\varepsilon<1$ and let $\left\{\omega_{m}\right\}$ be a sequence converging to $\omega_{0}$. Since $a$ is a density point of $A$, there exists $r_{\varepsilon}>0$ such that $0<r \leqq r_{\varepsilon}$ implies

1) $\frac{|S[a, r] \cap A|}{|S[a, r]|}>1-\varepsilon$ or $|S[a, r]|-|A \cap S[a, r]|<\varepsilon \cdot|S[a, r]|$.

By (c) there exists a natural number $N_{\varepsilon}$ such that $m \geqq N_{\varepsilon}$ implies
2) $\left|T_{\omega_{m}}\left(S\left[a, r_{\varepsilon}\right]\right) \backslash T_{\omega_{m}}\left(S\left[a, r_{\varepsilon}\right] \cap A\right)\right| \leqq j \cdot\left|S\left[a, r_{\varepsilon}\right] \backslash\left(S\left[a, r_{\varepsilon}\right] \cap A\right)\right|+\varepsilon .\left|S\left[a, r_{\varepsilon}\right]\right|$.

This in turn implies, in virtue of (a), that if $m \geqq N_{\varepsilon}$ then
3) $\left|T_{\omega_{m}}\left(S\left[a, r_{\varepsilon}\right]\right)\right|-\left|T_{\omega_{m}}\left(S\left[a, r_{\varepsilon}\right] \cap A\right)\right| \leqq j .\left|S\left[a, r_{\varepsilon}\right] \backslash\left(S\left[a, r_{\varepsilon}\right] \cap A\right)\right|+$ $+\varepsilon .\left|S\left[a, r_{\varepsilon}\right]\right|$.
Using 1) we see that for $m \geqq N_{\varepsilon}$ we have
4) $\left|T_{\omega_{m}}\left(S\left[a, r_{\varepsilon}\right]\right)\right|-\left|T_{\omega_{m}}\left(S\left[a, r_{\varepsilon}\right] \cap A\right)\right| \leqq j . \varepsilon .\left|S\left[a, r_{\varepsilon}\right]\right|+\varepsilon .\left|S\left[a, r_{\varepsilon}\right]\right|$.

By (b) there exists a natural number $N_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}>N_{\varepsilon}$ such that
5) $\left|T_{\omega_{m}}\left(S\left[a, r_{\varepsilon}\right]\right) \cap S\left[a, k r_{\varepsilon}\right]\right|>(1-\varepsilon) .\left|S\left[a, k r_{\varepsilon}\right]\right|$ if $m \geqq N_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}$.

From 4) and 5) it follows that if $m \geqq N_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}$ then
6) $\left|T_{\omega_{m}}\left(S\left[a, r_{\varepsilon}\right] \cap A\right) \cap S\left[a, k r_{\varepsilon}\right]\right|>\left|S\left[a, k r_{\varepsilon}\right]\right|-\varepsilon .\left|S\left[a, k r_{\varepsilon}\right]\right|-$
$-(j+1) \cdot \varepsilon \cdot\left|S\left[a, r_{\varepsilon}\right]\right|$,
or if $m \geqq N_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}$ we have
7) $\left|T_{\omega_{m}}\left(S\left[a, r_{\varepsilon}\right] \cap A\right) \cap S\left[a, k r_{\varepsilon}\right]\right|>\left[k^{n}-(j+2) \varepsilon\right] \cdot\left|S\left[a, r_{\varepsilon}\right]\right|$.

Let $\varepsilon$ be a fixed real number, $0<\varepsilon<1$, such that $\left[k^{n}(1-\varepsilon)-(j+2) \varepsilon\right]>0$.
Then this $\varepsilon$ satisfies the inequality $\left[k^{n}-(j+2) \varepsilon\right] \cdot\left|S\left[a, r_{\varepsilon}\right]\right|>\varepsilon . k^{n} \cdot\left|S\left[a, r_{\varepsilon}\right]\right|$. For the same $\varepsilon, 1$ ) yields
8) $\left|S\left[a, k r_{\varepsilon}\right]\right|-\left|A \cap S\left[a, k r_{\varepsilon}\right]\right|<\varepsilon \cdot k^{n} \cdot\left|S\left[a, r_{\varepsilon}\right]\right|$.

Therefore, because of 7) and 8), we have for our fixed $\varepsilon$
9) $\left|T_{\omega_{m}}\left(S\left[a, r_{\varepsilon}\right] \cap A\right) \cap A\right|>0$ for each $m \geqq N_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}>N_{\varepsilon}$,
completing the proof.
Saha and Ray [15] considered families $\left\{T_{\omega}\right\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$ of transformations of $\mathscr{L}^{n}$ into $\mathscr{L}^{n}$, which are more general than those satisfying properties (I), (II) and (III) of Pal [12]. In [9], the current author corrected several basic mistakes in the paper of Saha and Ray [15]. We now generalize the three conditions on families of transformations $\left\{T_{\omega}\right\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$ given in [9] and consider some of their consequences.

We will consider families of transformations $\left\{T_{\omega}\right\}_{\omega} \in_{\Omega}$, where $\Omega$ is a metric space and $T_{\omega}: \mathscr{L}^{n} \rightarrow \mathscr{L}^{n}$ for each $\omega \in \Omega$ satisfy conditions (a), (b') and (c), where (b') denotes the following condition:
(b') There exist $\omega_{0} \in \Omega, a, b \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, and $k(0<k \leqq 1)$ such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left|T_{\omega_{n}}(S[b, r]) \cap S[a, k r]\right|}{|S[a, k r]|}=1
$$

for every $r>0$ and for every sequence $\left\{\omega_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of elements belonging to $\Omega$ and converging to $\omega_{0}$.

We now prove the following theorem, related to Theorem $2^{\prime}$ in [9] and Theorem 2 in [15].

Theorem 1.3. Suppose $A$ and $B$ are two sets of positive measure in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $a$ is a point of density one in $A, b$ is a point of density one in $B$ and $\omega_{0}$ is a point of $\Omega$. Suppose $\left\{T_{\omega}\right\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$ is a family of transformations of $\mathscr{L}^{n}$ into $\mathscr{L}^{n}$ satisfying properties
(a), $/ b^{\prime}$ ) and (c) with respect to the points $a, b \omega_{0}$ mentioned above. Then, if $\left\{\omega_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence in $\Omega$ converging to $\omega_{0}$ and $p$ is a positive integer, there exists $p$ strictly increasing integers $n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{p}$ such that

$$
A \cap T_{\omega_{n_{1}}}(B) \cap T_{\omega_{n_{2}}}(B) \cap \ldots \cap T_{\omega_{n_{p}}}(B)
$$

is a set of positive measure.
Proof. Let $0<\varepsilon<1$ and let $\left\{\omega_{m}\right\}$ be a sequence converging to $\omega_{0}$. Since $a$ is a density point of $A$ and $b$ is a density point of $B$ there exists $r_{\varepsilon}>0$ such that $0<r<$ $<r_{\varepsilon}$ implies

1) $|S[a, r]|-|A \cap S[a, r]|<\varepsilon \cdot|S[a, r]|$ and
2) $|S[b, r]|-|B \cap S[b, r]|<\varepsilon .|S[b, r]|$.

Imitating the proof of Theorem 1.2 we can find a positive integer $N_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}$ such that
3) $\left|T_{\omega_{m}}\left(S\left[b, r_{\varepsilon}\right] \cap B\right) \cap S\left[a, k r_{\varepsilon}\right]\right|>(1-\varepsilon)\left|S\left[a, k r_{\varepsilon}\right]\right|-(j+1) \varepsilon \cdot|S[a, r \varepsilon]|$ if $0<\varepsilon<1$ and $m \geqq N^{\prime}$.
For each $i=1,2, \ldots, p$, there exists $\varepsilon_{i}, 0<\varepsilon_{i}<1$, such that if $0<\varepsilon<\varepsilon_{i}$ we have
4) $\left|T_{\omega_{m}}\left(S\left[b, r_{\varepsilon}\right] \cap B\right) \cap S\left[a, k r_{\varepsilon}\right]\right|>\left(1-1 /\left(2.2^{i}\right)\right)\left|S\left[a, k r_{\varepsilon}\right]\right|$ if $m \geqq N_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}$ and
5) $\left.\left|S\left[a, k r_{\varepsilon}\right]\right|-\left|A \cap S\left[a, k r_{z}\right]\right|<1 / 2.2^{i}\right) \mid S\left[a, k r_{z}\right]$ if $0<\varepsilon<\varepsilon_{i}$.

Equations 4) and 5) imply that
6) $\left|T_{\omega_{n}}\left(S\left[b, r_{z}\right] \cap B\right) \cap\left(A \cap S\left[a, k r_{z}\right]\right)\right|>\left(1-1 / 2^{i}\right)\left|S\left[a, k r_{z}\right]\right|$ if $0<\varepsilon<\varepsilon_{i}$ and $m \geqq N_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}$.
Let $\varepsilon$ be a fixed real number satisfying

$$
0<\varepsilon<\min \left(\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{p}\right)
$$

and suppose that $n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{p}$ are $p$ distinct positive integers each greater than or equal to $N_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}$.

Then it follows that
7) $\left|T_{\omega_{n_{i}}}\left(S\left[b, r_{\varepsilon}\right] \cap B\right) \cap\left(A \cap S\left[a, k r_{\varepsilon}\right]\right)\right|>\left(1-1 / 2^{i}\right)\left|S\left[a, k r_{\varepsilon}\right]\right|$ if $i=1,2, \ldots, p$.

This yields
8) $\left|\left[\bigcap_{i=1}^{p} T_{\omega_{n_{i}}}\left(S\left[b, r_{\varepsilon}\right] \cap B\right)\right] \cap\left[A \cap S\left[a, k r_{\varepsilon}\right]\right]\right|>0$,
which completes the proof.
We conclude this section by presenting the following theorem which is related to Theorem $3^{\prime}$ in [9] and Theorem 3 in [15].

Theorem 1.4. Suppose $A, B_{1}, B_{2}, \ldots, B_{m}$ are sets of positive measures in $\mathbb{R}^{n}, a$ is a point of density one in $A, b_{i}$ is a point of density one in $B_{i}$ for each $i=1,2, \ldots, m$ and $\omega_{0}^{i}$ is a point of $\Omega$ for each $i=1,2, \ldots, m$. Suppose $\left\{T_{\omega}\right\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$ is a family of transformations on $\mathscr{L}^{n}$ into $\mathscr{L}^{n}$ satisfying properties $(\mathrm{a}),\left(\mathrm{b}^{\prime}\right)$ and (c) with respect to the
triple $\left(a, b_{i}, \omega_{0}^{i}\right)$ for each $i=1,2, \ldots, m$. If the sequence $\left\{\omega_{n}^{i}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges to $\omega_{0}^{i}$ for each $i=1,2, \ldots, m$, then there exists a positive integer $N$ such that for $n \geqq N$,

$$
A \cap T_{\omega_{n}{ }^{1}}\left(B_{1}\right) \cap T_{\omega_{n}{ }^{2}}\left(B_{2}\right) \cap \ldots \cap T_{\omega_{n} m}\left(B_{m}\right)
$$

is a set of positive measure.
Proof. The proof of Theorem 1.4 is similar to that of Theorem 1.3 and will therefore be omitted.

## 2. BAIRE SETS IN $\mathbb{R}^{n}$

$A$ set $A$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is said to have the Baire property if it can be written in the from $A=(G \backslash P) \cup Q$, where $G$ is an open set and $P$ and $Q$ are sets of the first category (i.e. countable unions of nowhere dense sets).

In this section we present two theorems. They are the Baire property analogues of results of Khan and Pal [4] and Mazumdar [5], respectively.

Theorem 2.1. Let $A$ and $B$ be two Baire sets (i.e. sets possessing the Baire property) of the second category in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and let $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{p}\left(\alpha_{k} \neq 0\right.$ for each $\left.k\right)$ be real numbers. Then exist two spheres $K_{1}$ (with center at the origin) and $K_{2}$, such that for any system of $p$ vectors $z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{p}$ if $K_{2}$ and for any vector $x \in K_{1}$, there are vectors

$$
a\left(x ; z_{1}, \ldots, z_{p}\right) \in A
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
b_{k}\left(x ; z_{1}, \ldots, z_{p}\right) \in B \\
(k=1,2, \ldots, p)
\end{gathered}
$$

such that

$$
x=\frac{b_{k}\left(x ; z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{p}\right)-a\left(x ; z_{1}, \ldots, z_{p}\right)-z_{k}}{\alpha_{k}}
$$

for $k=1,2, \ldots, p$.
Proof. $A$ and $B$ can be written in the form $A=\left(G_{1} \backslash P_{1}\right) \cup Q_{1}$ and $B=$ $=\left(G_{2} \backslash P_{2}\right) \cup Q_{2}$, where $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are open sets and $P_{1}, P_{2}, Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$ are sets of the first category in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Let $a \in G_{1} \backslash P_{1}$ and $b \in G_{2} \backslash P_{2}$ be two fixed points.

1) Let $c$ denote $b-a$ and let $\alpha=\max \left(\left|\alpha_{1}\right|, \ldots,\left|\alpha_{p}\right|\right)$.

There exist positive real numbers $r$ and $s$ such that $r>s>2(r-s) / 3$ and such that
2) $K_{A}=S[a, r] \subset G_{1}$ and $K_{B}=S[b, s] \subset G_{2}$.

Define $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ as follows:
3) $K_{1}=S[0,(r-s) / 3 \alpha]$ and $K_{2}=S[c,(r-s) / 3]$.

Suppose $x \in K_{1}$ and $z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{p} \in K_{2}$.
4) Set $C=K_{A} \cap A$ and $C_{k}=\left(K_{B} \cap B\right)-\alpha_{k} x-z_{k}$ for $k=1, \ldots, p$.
5) Let $X\left(x ; z_{1}^{-}, \ldots, z_{p}\right)$ denote the set $C \cap C_{1} \cap C_{2} \cap \ldots \cap C_{p}$.

We proceed to show that $X\left(x ; z_{1}, \ldots, z_{p}\right)$ is a set of the second Baire category.
The set $K_{B}-\alpha_{k} x-z_{k}$ has as its center a point whose distance from $a$ is less than or equal to $2(r-s) / 3$ since $(|w|$ denotes the length of $w)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|a-b+\alpha_{k} x+z_{k}\right|=\left|a-b+\alpha_{k} x+(c+[(r-s) / 3] \varepsilon)\right|= \\
& =\left|\alpha_{\imath} x+[(r-s) / 3] \varepsilon\right| \leqq(r-s) / 3+(r-s) / 3=2((r-s) / 3)
\end{aligned}
$$

(where $|\varepsilon| \leqq 1$ ).
Furthermore, the radius of $K_{B}-\alpha_{k} x-z_{k}$ is $s$ and $s>2((r-s) / 3)$. Therefore, for each $k=1, \ldots, p$, the sphere $K_{B}-\alpha_{k} x-z_{k}$ contains a neighborhood of the point $a$. This in turn implies that each set $C_{k}(k=1, \ldots, p)$ contains a neighborhood of $a$ with the exception of a set of the first category, i.e.
6) $C_{k} \supset S\left[a, t_{k}\right] \backslash N_{k}$ for each $k=1, \ldots, p$, where $N_{k}$ is a set of the first Baire category and $t_{k}>0$.
Therefore it follows that $X\left(x ; z_{1}, \ldots, z_{p}\right)$ is a set of the second Baire category in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and hence it is not empty. So there exist vectors

$$
a\left(x ; z_{1}, \ldots, z_{p}\right) \in A \quad \text { and } \quad b_{k}\left(x ; z_{1}, \ldots, z_{p}\right) \in B \quad(k=1, \ldots, p)
$$

such that
7) $a\left(x ; z_{1}, \ldots, z_{p}\right)=b_{1}\left(x ; z_{1}, \ldots, z_{p}\right)-z_{1}-\alpha_{1} x=\ldots=b_{p}\left(x ; z_{1}, \ldots, z_{p}\right)-$ $-z_{p}-\alpha_{p} x$.
Therefore
8) $x=\frac{b_{k}\left(x ; z_{1}, \ldots, z_{p}\right)-a\left(x ; z_{1}, \ldots, z_{p}\right)-z_{k}}{\alpha_{k}}$
for each $k=1,2, \ldots, p$, which completes the proof.
We now prove the Baire property analogue of a result of Mazumdar [5] (which in turn is a generalization of a result of Das Gupta [1]).

Theorem 2.2. Suppose that $A$ and $B$, subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{+}$(the set of all positive real numbers), are two Baire sets of the second category, i.e. $A=\left(G_{1} \backslash P_{1}\right) \cup Q_{1}$ and $B=\left(G_{2} \backslash P_{2}\right) \cup Q_{2}$ where $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are non-empty open sets and $P_{1}, Q_{1} P_{2}, Q_{2}$ are sets of the category. Suppose further that $p \in G_{2} \backslash P_{2}$ and $q \in G_{1} \backslash P_{1}$. If $\left(\alpha_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is any sequence of positive real numbers converging to $q / p$ (which will be denoted by $\alpha$ ), then the set
$X=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{+} ; x \in A\right.$ and $x / \alpha_{n} \in B$ for infinitely many $\left.n\right\}$ is a set of the second Baire category in $\mathbb{R}$.

Proof. There exist $r>0$ and a positive integer $N_{1}$ such that

$$
S(p, r)=(y ;|y-p|<r) \subset G_{2} \quad \text { and } \quad \alpha_{n} S(p, r) \subset G_{1} \quad \text { if } \quad n \geqq N_{1}
$$

Notice that if $n \geqq N_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[\alpha_{n} S(p, r) \backslash P_{1}\right] \cap \alpha_{n}\left[S(p, r) \backslash P_{2}\right] \subset A \cap \alpha_{n} B \text { or }} \\
\alpha_{n} S(p, r) \backslash P_{1} \backslash \alpha_{n} P_{2} \subset A \cap \alpha_{n} B \text { for } n=N_{1}, N_{1}+1, \ldots
\end{gathered}
$$

Furthermore, there exists a positive integer $N_{2}$ such that

$$
\alpha_{n} S(p, r) \supset S(q,(r q / 2 p)) \text { if } n \geqq N_{2} \quad\left(\text { since } \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n}=\alpha=q / p\right)
$$

Therefore if $n \geqq N=\max \left(N_{1}, N_{2}\right)$ we have
(*) $A \cap \alpha_{n} B \supset S(q,(r q / 2 p)) \backslash P_{1} \backslash \alpha_{n} P_{2}$.
$P_{1}$ and $\alpha_{n} P_{2}$ are sets of the first Baire category.
Inclusion (*) implies that

$$
A \cap \alpha_{n} B \supset S(q,(r q / 2 p)) \backslash\left[P_{1} \cup \bigcup_{k=N}^{\infty} \alpha_{k} P_{2}\right] \text { if } n \geqq N,
$$

or we obtain that

$$
X \supset S(q,(r q / 2 p)) \backslash\left[P_{1} \cup \bigcup_{k=N}^{\infty} \alpha_{k} P_{2}\right]
$$

or $X$ is a set of the second Baire category, which completes the proof.
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