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# ON SOME MAPPINGS GENERATING VECTOR L-MEASURES 

Jikí Brabec, Praha

(Received November 2, 1977)

## 1. NOTATION AND INTRODUCTORY REMARKS

1.1. In this paper the letter $L$ will be reserved for an orthomodular $\sigma$-poset, that is a partially ordered set (with an ordering relation $\leqq$ ) with the greatest element 1 and with a binary relation $\perp$ (the so called orthogonality) satisfying the conditions:
(i) $\perp$ is a symmetric relation;
(ii) $a \perp b, c \leqq a$ implies $c \perp b$;
(iii) for every at most countable family $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n \in I}(I \subset N)$ such that $a_{n} \perp a_{m}$ for $n \neq m$, there exists $\sup a_{n}$.
In this case we write $\sup _{n} a_{n}=\sum_{n} a_{n}$ and we call this supremum the orthosum of $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n}$ and $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n}$ an orthofamily; if $I=\{1,2, \ldots, m\}$ then we also write $a_{1}+\ldots+a_{m}$.
(iv) $a \leqq b+c, a \perp b$ implies $a \leqq c$;
(v) for every pair $a, b$ such that $a \leqq b$, there exists one and only one element $c$ such that $b=a+c$.

We write $c=b-a$ and we call $c$ the (relative) orthocomplement of $a$ in $b$.
1.2. Remarks. a) Since $a \leqq 1$ for every $a \in L$, the element $1-a==_{\mathrm{df}} a^{\perp}$ exists according to $(\mathrm{v})$; we call this element the orthocomplement of $a$. It is easy to show that the function $a \mapsto a^{\perp}$ is involutory and antitone. Further, the least element 0 of $L$ exists and $0=1^{\perp}$.
b) The least upper bound or the greatest lower bound of a family $\left\{a_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ (which need not be orthogonal) will be denoted by $\bigvee\left\{a_{i} \mid i \in I\right\}$ or $\bigwedge\left\{a_{i} \mid i \in I\right\}$, respctively; for $I=N$ we shall also use the notation

$$
\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} \text { or } \bigwedge_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}
$$

respectively.
c) The abbreviation ( $\sigma \mathrm{OP}$ ) will be used for the term "orthomodular $\sigma$-poset". For some properties of ( $\sigma \mathrm{OP}$ ) see e.g. [1]. Boolean $\sigma$-algebra is a special case of $(\sigma \mathrm{OP}) ; a^{\perp}$ is then the Boolean complement of $a$. ( $\sigma \mathrm{OP}$ ) is sometimes called an abstract logic of a physical system. The standard logic of quantum mechanics is the lattice of all closed subspaces of a separable Hilbert space $H$; this lattice is ( $\sigma \mathrm{OP}$ ), where the ordering is given by the set inclusion and the orthogonality is the usual orthogonality of subspaces.
1.3. Definition. A set $M \subset L$ is called compatible in $L$ if for each finite subset $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\} \subset M$ there exists a finite orthogonal family in $L$ such that every element $a_{i}(i=1, \ldots, k)$ is the orthosum of a subfamily of this family.

From Tukey's lemma it follows that for every compatible set $M \subset L$ there exists a maximal compatible set $B$ in $L$ containing $M$.

We call every maximal compatible set in La block of $L$. In the paper [1] the following theorem was proved.

Theorem. Every block B in Lis a Boolean $\sigma$-subalgebra of $L$.
If $L$ is a Boolean $\sigma$-algebra, then every subset of $L$ is compatible; one and only one block of $L$ is $L$ in this case.
1.4. Definition. Let $L, L^{\prime}$ be ( $\sigma \mathrm{OP}$ ). A mapping $h: L \rightarrow L^{\prime}$ is called the $\sigma$-orthohomomorphism if it has the following properties:
(a) $h(0)=0, h(1)=1$,
(b) $a \perp b$ implies $h(a) \perp h(b)$,
(c) if $a_{i} \perp a_{k}$ for $i, k=1,2, \ldots ; i \neq k$, then

$$
h\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h\left(a_{n}\right) .
$$

The class of all $\sigma$-orthohomomorphisms of $L$ into $L^{\prime}$ will be denoted by hom ( $L, L^{\prime}$ ).
Remark. If $M$ is compatible in $L$, then $h(M)$ is compatible in $L^{\prime}$.
1.5. The letter $E$ will be reserved for a separable Banach space. We denote by $\mathscr{G}$ the family of all open sets in $E$, by $\mathscr{B}$ the $\sigma$-algebra of all Borel sets in $E$. A multiplicative base in $E$ is such an open base which is closed under finite intersections and which includes $\emptyset$ and $E$; we denote it by $\mathscr{G}^{\wedge}$. It is clear that every (countable) open base in $E$ can be extended to a (countable) multiplicative open base.

## 2. L-MEASURES AND $L$-SCALES IN $E$

2.1. Definition. Each element of hom $(\mathscr{B}, L)$ is called an L-measure in $E$.

The class of all $L$-measures in $E$ will be denoted by $\mathscr{L}_{E}$.
Remarks. 1) If $x$ is an $L$-measure in $E$, then $R_{x}$ is a $\sigma$-Boolean subalgebra in $L$. (By $R_{x}$ we mean the range of the mapping $x$.)
2) If $\mathscr{S} \subset \mathscr{B}$ generates $\mathscr{B}$ and $x, y$ are $L$-measures in $E$ such that $x|\mathscr{S}=y| \mathscr{S}$, then $x=y$.
2.2. Definition. An $L$-scale in $E$ is a mapping $f: \mathscr{G}^{\wedge} \rightarrow L$, such that
(1) $\mathscr{G}^{\wedge}$ is a multiplicative base in $E$,
(2) $R_{f}$ is a compatible set in $L$,
(3) $f\left(G_{1} \cap G_{2}\right)=f\left(G_{1}\right) \wedge f\left(G_{2}\right)$ for every pair $G_{1}, G_{2} \in \mathscr{G} \wedge$,
(4) for every $r>0$ there exists a countable $r$-cover $\mathscr{P}_{r} \subset \mathscr{G}^{\wedge}$ of $E$ (i.e. $E=$ $=\bigcup\left\{G \mid G \in \mathscr{P}_{r}\right\}$, $\left.\operatorname{diam} G<r\right)$ such that $\bigvee\left\{f(G) \mid G \in \mathscr{P}_{r}\right\}=1$.

If instead of (4) the following stronger condition holds:
(4*) a) $G=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} G_{n}, G_{n} \in \mathscr{G}^{\wedge}, G \in \mathscr{G}^{\wedge}$ implies

$$
f(G)=\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} f\left(G_{n}\right)
$$

b) $f(E)=1$,
then we call $f$ a $\sigma$-additive scale.
The class of all $L$-scales in $E$ will be denoted by $\mathscr{S}_{E}$.
2.3. Remarks. 1) It is clear that for every $L$-measure $x$ in $E$ and for every multiplicative base $\mathscr{G}^{\wedge}$ the restriction $x \mid \mathscr{G}^{\wedge}$ is a $\sigma$-additive $L$-scale in $E$.
2) Real L-scale of Caratheodory (cf. [2]) is a mapping $\tilde{f}: R \rightarrow L$ with the following properties:
$(\alpha) \tilde{f}$ is isotonic, i.e. $p \leqq q$ implies $\tilde{f}(p) \leqq \tilde{f}(q)$,
$(\beta)$ for every real sequence $\left(p_{n}\right), p_{n} \nearrow+\infty$,

$$
\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{f}\left(p_{n}\right)=1
$$

$(\gamma)$ for every real sequence $\left(p_{n}\right), p_{n} \downarrow-\infty$,

$$
\bigwedge_{n=1}^{\infty} f\left(p_{n}\right)=0 .
$$

If we define $f: \mathscr{G}^{\wedge} \rightarrow L$, where $\mathscr{G}^{\wedge}$ is the base of open intervals in $R$,

$$
f((p, q))=\tilde{f}(q)-\tilde{f}(p), \quad p<. q,
$$

then $f$ is an $L$-scale in $R$ from Definition 2.2. On the other hand, every $L$-scale in $R$ defined on the base of open intervals induces a scale of Caratheodory.
3) We shall try to motivate physically the definition of the $L$-scale. Suppose that we have a set $\mathcal{O}_{E}$ of objects, which we shall call observable vectors in $E$ of a physical system. For every observable vector $f \in \mathcal{O}_{E}$ and for every open set $G \in \mathscr{G}^{\wedge}$ we shall interpret the pair $(f, G)$ as the hypothesis that the "value" of $f$ lies in the set G. Let us assume that the set of all pairs $(f, G), f \in \mathcal{O}_{E}, G \in \mathscr{G}^{\wedge}$ is $(\sigma \mathrm{OP})$, where $a \leqq b$ means that the hypothesis $b$ is a consequence of the hypothesis a and $a \perp b$ means that the hypotheses $a, b$ exclude each other. For a fixed observable vector $f \in \mathcal{O}_{E}$ the family $\{(f, G)\}_{G_{\epsilon} G}$ is a family of experimental hypotheses associated with the vector $f$. Now, if we define a mapping $\hat{f}: G \mapsto(f, G)$, then the conditions (1)-(4) from Definition 2.2 seem to be quite natural.

Let us remark that $L$-measure in $R$ are sometimes called observables (see e.g. [4]). In Section 3 we will show that $L$-measures are generated (in a certain sense) by $L$ scales.
2.4. Definition. Let $f_{1}: \mathscr{G} \hat{1} \rightarrow L, f_{2}: \mathscr{G} \hat{2} \rightarrow L$ be two $L$-scales in $E$. We say that $f_{1}$ is equivalent to $f_{2}$ (and we write $f_{1} \sim f_{2}$ ) if

1) $G_{1} \in \mathscr{G}_{1} \wedge, G_{2} \in \mathscr{G}_{2}^{\wedge}, \bar{G}_{1} \subset G_{2}$ implies $f_{1}\left(G_{1}\right) \leqq f_{2}\left(G_{2}\right)$,
2) $G_{1}^{\prime} \in \mathscr{G}_{1}^{\wedge}, G_{2}^{\prime} \in \mathscr{G}_{2}^{\wedge}, \bar{G}_{2}^{\prime} \subset G_{1}^{\prime}$ implies $f_{2}\left(G_{2}^{\prime}\right) \leqq f_{1}\left(G_{1}^{\prime}\right)$.

## 3. A THEOREM ON GENERATING

3.1. Theorem. Let $f: \mathscr{G}^{\wedge} \rightarrow L$ be an L-scale in E. Then there exists one and only one L-measure $x$ in $E$ such that
(i) $x(G) \leqq f(G)$ for all $G \in \mathscr{G}^{\wedge}$,
(ii) $f\left(G_{1}\right) \leqq x(G)$ whenever $\bar{G}_{1} \subset G, G_{1} \in \mathscr{G}^{\wedge}, G \in \mathscr{G}$.

If $f$ is $\sigma$-additive, then $f=x \mid \mathscr{G}^{\wedge}$.
This theorem immediately implies
Corollary. The equivalence: of $L$-scales from. Definition 2.4 is an equivalence relation on $\mathscr{S}_{E}$. Let $\mathscr{V}_{E}$ be the factor set $\mathscr{S}_{E} \mid \sim$. Then there exists one to one function sfrom $\mathscr{V}_{E}$ onto $\mathscr{L}_{E}$ such that $s(X) \mid \mathscr{G}^{\wedge} \in X$ for every $X \in \mathscr{V}_{E}$ and every multiplicative base $\mathscr{G}^{\wedge}$ in $E$.
3.2. Proof of uniqueness of $L$-measure. It suffices to prove that the $L$-measure $\boldsymbol{x}$ from Theorem 3.1 satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(G)=\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} f\left(G_{n}\right), \quad \text { where } \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} G_{n}=G, \quad G_{n} \in \mathscr{G}^{\wedge}, \quad \bar{G}_{n} \subset G, \tag{iii}
\end{equation*}
$$

so it is uniquely defined on $\mathscr{G}$ and since $\mathscr{G}$ generates the $\sigma$-algebra $\mathscr{B}$, the uniqueness follows from Remark 2, Section 2.1.

If

$$
G=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} G_{n}, \quad G_{n} \in \mathscr{G}^{\wedge}, \quad \bar{G}_{n} \subset G
$$

then (i) implies

$$
x\left(G_{n}\right) \leqq f\left(G_{n}\right), \quad \text { so } \quad x(G)=\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} x\left(G_{n}\right) \leqq \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} f\left(G_{n}\right) ;
$$

on the other hand, it follows from (ii) that $f\left(G_{n}\right) \leqq x(G)$, hence

$$
\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} f\left(G_{n}\right) \leqq x(G)
$$

and (iii) holds. If $f$ is $\sigma$-additive, then obviously $f(G)=x(G)$ for all $G \in \mathscr{G}^{\wedge}$.
The existence of $L$-measure $x$ from Theorem 3.1 will be proved for special cases of ( $\sigma \mathrm{OP}$ ) in the following sections 3.3-3.6. We may assume that the base $\mathscr{G}^{\wedge}$ is countable.
3.3. The assertion of Theorem 3.1 holds provided that $L$ is a $\sigma$-field of sets.

Namely, there exists a map $g: 1 \rightarrow E$ such that $g^{-1}: M \mapsto g^{-1}(M), M \subset E$, has properties (i), (ii) and therefore $x=g^{-1} \mid \mathscr{B}$ is the $L$-measure from Theorem 3.1.

Proof. Let $t$ be any element of 1 and let us denote

$$
\mathscr{B}_{t}=\left\{G \in \mathscr{G}^{\wedge} \mid t \in f(G)\right\} ;
$$

obviously $\mathscr{B}_{t} \neq \emptyset$ (see (4) of Definition 2.2). Further, $G_{1}, G_{2} \in \mathscr{B}_{t}$ implies $G_{1} \cap G_{2} \in$ $\in \mathscr{B}_{t}$ (this follows from (3), Definition 2.2). So $\mathscr{B}_{t}$ is a base of a filter $\mathscr{F}_{t}$, which is a Cauchy filter. Indeed, in view of (4), Definition 2.2, for every $r>0$ there exists $G \in \mathscr{G}^{\wedge}, \operatorname{diam} G<r$, such that $G \in \mathscr{B}_{t}$. Since $E$ is a complete space, we have $\mathscr{F}_{t} \rightarrow s$ and this $s$ is unique. We put $g(t)=s$, so a map $g: 1 \rightarrow E$ is defined. If $t \in g^{-1}(G)$, $G \in \mathscr{G}^{\wedge}$, then $g(t)=s \in G$, thus $G$ is a neighbourhood of $s$ and therefore $G \in \mathscr{F}_{t}$. Thus $t \in f(G)$, hence $g^{-1}(G) \subset f(G)$ and (i) holds. Let $\bar{G}_{1} \subset G\left(G_{1} \in \mathscr{G}^{\wedge}, G \in \mathscr{G}\right)$; we will prove that $f\left(G_{1}\right) \subset g^{-1}(G)$. Let $t \in f\left(G_{1}\right)$ and let us assume that $t \notin g^{-1}(G)$, so $g(t)=s \notin G$. Since $\bar{G}_{1} \subset G$, there exists $G_{0} \in \mathscr{G}^{\wedge}$ such that $s \in G_{0}, G_{0} \cap G_{1}=\emptyset$. Hence $f\left(G_{0}\right) \cap f\left(G_{1}\right)=\emptyset$ (according to (3)). Now $s \in G_{0}$ implies $t \in g^{-1}\left(G_{0}\right) \subset$ $\subset f\left(G_{0}\right)$, a contradiction. Therefore (ii) holds.
3.4. The assertion of Theorem 3.1 holds provided that $L$ is a factor $\sigma$-algebra $\mathscr{M} \mid I$, where $\mathscr{M}$ is a $\sigma$-field of sets and $I$ is a $\sigma$-ideal in $\mathscr{M}$ (we denote the greatest element of $\mathscr{M}$ by $M$ ).

Namely, there exists $g: M \rightarrow E$ such that the $L$-measure $x$ from Theorem 3.1 is defined by $x(A)=\left[g^{-1}(A)\right]$ for every $A \in \mathscr{B} .([C]$ is the equivalence class of $\mathscr{M} / I$ such that $C \in[C], C \in \mathscr{M}$; the greatest element in $\mathscr{M} / I$ will be denoted by 1.$)$

Proof. For every $G \in \mathscr{G}^{\wedge}$ let us choose one and only one element $\hat{f}(G) \in f(G)$. We put

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{0}= & \bigcup_{G_{1}, G_{2} \in \mathscr{G} \wedge}\left\{\left(\hat{f}\left(G_{1} \cap G_{2}\right)-\left(\hat{f}\left(G_{1}\right) \cap \hat{f}\left(G_{2}\right)\right) \cup\right.\right. \\
& \left.\cup\left(\left(\hat{f}\left(G_{1}\right) \cap \hat{f}\left(G_{2}\right)\right)-\hat{f}\left(G_{1} \cap G_{2}\right)\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\left[\hat{f}\left(G_{1} \cap G_{2}\right)\right]=\left[\hat{f}\left(G_{1}\right)\right] \wedge\left[\hat{f}\left(G_{2}\right)\right]=\left[\hat{f}\left(G_{1}\right) \cap \hat{f}\left(G_{2}\right)\right]
$$

it is clear that $F_{0} \in I$. We have

$$
\hat{f}\left(G_{1} \cap G_{2}\right)-F_{0}=\left(\hat{f}\left(G_{1}\right) \cap \hat{f}\left(G_{2}\right)\right)-F_{0}
$$

for every $G_{1}, G_{2} \in \mathscr{G}^{\wedge}$, so if we put $\tilde{f}(G)=\hat{f}(G)-F_{0}$, then $\tilde{f}\left(G_{1} \cap G_{2}\right)=\tilde{f}\left(G_{1}\right) \cap$ $\cap \tilde{f}\left(G_{2}\right)$, thus $\tilde{f}$ has the property (3) from Definition 2.2. Moreover, $[\tilde{f}(G)]=$ $=[\hat{f}(G)]=f(G)$. For every rational $r>0$ we denote by $\mathscr{P}_{r}$ such an $r$-cover of $E$, $\mathscr{P}_{r} \subset \mathscr{G}^{\wedge}$, that $\bigvee\left\{f(G) \mid G \in \mathscr{P}_{r}\right\}=1$ (property (4) from Definition 2.2). Let us put

$$
F_{1}=\bigcup_{r \in Q^{+}}\left(M-\bigcup_{G \in \mathcal{G}_{r}} \tilde{f}(G)\right),
$$

where $Q^{+}$is the set of positive rational numbers. For every rational $r>0$

$$
1=[M]=\mathrm{V}\left\{[\tilde{f}(G)] \mid G \in \mathscr{P}_{r}\right\}=\left[U\left\{\tilde{f}(G) \mid G \in \mathscr{P}_{r}\right\}\right]
$$

so $F_{1} \in I$. We put $f^{0}(G)=\tilde{f}(G) \cup F_{1}$, thus

$$
\left[f^{0}(G)\right]=[\tilde{f}(G)]=f(G)
$$

It is clear that

$$
\bigcup\left\{f^{0}(G) \mid G \in \mathscr{P}_{r}\right\}=M
$$

so $f^{0}$ has the property (4) (with respect to the $\sigma$-field $\mathscr{M}$ ). Obviously, $f^{0}$ also preserves intersections, so in view of 3.3 there exists

$$
x^{0} \in \operatorname{hom}(\mathscr{B}, \mathscr{M}) \quad\left(x^{0}=g^{-1} \mid \mathscr{B}, \text { where } g: M \rightarrow E\right)
$$

such that the conditions (i), (ii) from Theorem 3.1 are fulfilled for $x^{0}$ and $f^{0}$. We then define

$$
x ; \mathscr{B} \rightarrow \mathscr{M} \mid I \quad \text { by } x(A)=\left[x^{0}(A)\right],
$$

so $x \in \operatorname{hom}(\mathscr{B}, \mathscr{M} \mid I)$ and the conditions (i), (ii) from Theorem 3.1 are fulfilled for $x$ and $f$.
3.5. The assertion of Theorem 3.1 holds provided that $L$ is an arbitrary $\sigma$-algebra.

Proof. According to Loomis representation theorem of Boolean $\sigma$-algebras (see e.g. [3]) there exists a $\sigma$-field $\mathscr{M}$ of sets, a $\sigma$-ideal $I$ in $\mathscr{M}$ and an isomorphism $h$ from $L$ onto $\mathscr{M} / I$. If $f$ is an $L$-scale in $E$, then $f^{1}=h \circ f$ is an $\mathscr{M} / I$-scale in $E$, thus the preceding section gives that there exists $x^{1} \in \operatorname{hom}(\mathscr{B}, \mathscr{M} \mid I)$ such that (i), (ii) hold for $x^{1}$ and $f^{1}$. If we put $x=h^{-1} \circ x^{1}$, then $x \in \operatorname{hom}(\mathscr{B}, L)$ and (i), (ii) hold for $x$ and $f$.
3.6. Now it is easy to complete the proof of Theorem 3.1. Let $L$ be any ( $\sigma \mathrm{OP}$ ). Since $R_{f}$ is compatible in $L$, there exists a Boolean $\sigma$-sublagebra $B \subset L, B \supset R_{f}$ (see Section 1.3) and $f: \mathscr{G}^{\wedge} \rightarrow B$ is also a $B$-scale in $E$. Therefore, in view of 3.5 there exists $x \in$ hom $(\mathscr{B}, B)$ such that (i), (ii) hold for $x$ and $f$. Simultaneously, of course, $x \in \operatorname{hom}(\mathscr{B}, L)$, so $x$ is an $L$-measure in $E$ and thus Theorem 3.1 is proved.
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