Tomasz Natkaniec On points of qualitative semicontinuity

Časopis pro pěstování matematiky, Vol. 110 (1985), No. 4, 337-342

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/118238

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1985

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

ČASOPIS PRO PĚSTOVÁNÍ MATEMATIKY

Vydává Matematický ústav ČSAV, Praha

SVAZEK 110 \* PRAHA 29. 11. 1985 \* ČÍSLO 4

## ON POINTS OF QUALITATIVE SEMICONTINUITY

TOMASZ NATKANIEC, Bydgoszcz (Received October 5, 1983)

Let  $\mathscr{I}$  be the  $\sigma$ -ideal of sets of the first category on the real line. For a real function  $f: R \to R$  let us define the qualitative upper limit at the point x

 $q - \limsup_{t \to x} f(t) = \inf \{ y \in R \colon \{ t \in R \colon f(t) < y \} \text{ is residual at } x \}.$ 

Similarly let us define the qualitative lower limit of f at x

$$q-\liminf_{t\to x} f(t) = \sup \{ y \in R \colon \{ t \in R \colon f(t) > y \} \text{ is residual at } x \}.$$

We use the notation introduced in [1]:

$$Q(f) = \left\{ r \in R : q - \limsup_{t \to r} f(t) = f(r) = q - \liminf_{t \to r} f(t) \right\},$$

$$S_q(f) = \left\{ r \in R : q - \limsup_{t \to r} f(t) \leq f(r) \right\},$$

$$T_q(f) = \left\{ r \in R : q - \limsup_{t \to r} f(t) < f(r) \right\},$$

$$S_q^1(f) = \left\{ r \in R : q - \liminf_{t \to r} f(t) \geq f(r) \right\},$$

$$T_q^1(f) = \left\{ r \in R : q - \liminf_{t \to r} f(t) > f(r) \right\}.$$

The following facts are proved in [1].

**Fact 0.** There exist sets B and C such that B is a  $G_{\delta}$  set,  $C \in \mathcal{I}$  and Q(f) = B - C.

**Fact 1.** The sets  $T_q(f)$  and  $T_q^1(f)$  are of the first category.

**Fact 2.** The sets  $S_q(f) - Q(f)$  and  $S_q^1(f) - Q(f)$  do not contain sets of the second category having the Baire property.

Z. Grande in [1] showed Theorem 3 and stated the following Problem 1.

**Theorem 3.** Let A, B,  $C \subseteq R$  satisfy

(i)  $C \in \mathcal{I}$ ,  $B \subseteq A$ ,  $C \subseteq A - B$  and the set A - B do not contain sets of second category having the Baire property

and

(ii) B = D - C, where D is a  $G_{\delta}$  set. Then there exists a function  $g: R \to R$  such that Q(g) = B,  $S_q(g) = A$  and  $T_q(g) = C$ .

**Problem 1.** Accepting the assumption (i) of Theorem 3 let us suppose furthermore that  $B = D - D_1$ , where D is a  $G_{\delta}$  set and  $D_1 \in \mathcal{I}$ .

Is there a function  $g: R \to R$  such that Q(g) = B,  $S_q(g) = A$  and  $T_q(f) = C$ ? The answer to this question is negative. It follows from the following fact.

Fact 3. Let 
$$D_q(f) = \{r \in R: q - \liminf_{t \to r} f(t) = q - \limsup_{t \to r} f(t)\}$$
. Then  $D_q(f)$  is a  $G_\delta$ 

set for every function  $f: R \rightarrow R$ .

Proof. It is easy to show that for every  $a \in R$  the sets  $A = \{x \in R: q-\liminf_{t \to x} \inf f(t) \leq a\}$ and  $B = \{x \in R: q-\limsup_{t \to x} f(t) \geq a\}$  are closed. Indeed, if  $q-\liminf_{t \to x} \inf f(t) > a$  then there exist:  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a neighbourhood U of x such that  $U \cap \{y \in R: f(y) < a + \varepsilon\} \in \varepsilon$ . So for every  $y \in U$  we we have  $q-\liminf_{t \to x} f(t) \geq a + \varepsilon$  and  $x \notin ClA$ . Then for all rational numbers  $p, q \in Q$  the sets  $A(f, p, q) = \{x \in R: q - \liminf_{t \to x} \inf f(t) \leq p < q \leq s \leq q-\limsup_{t \to x} f(t)\}$  are closed. Since  $R - D_q(f) = \bigcup \{A(f, p, q): p, q \in Q\}, D_q(f)$  is a  $G_\delta$  set.

It is clear that  $Q(f) \subseteq D_q(f)$  and  $D_q(f) - Q(f) \subseteq T_q(f) \cup T_q^1(f)$ , hence  $Q(f) = D_q(f) - [T_q(f) \cup T_q^1(f)]$ .

Assume that A = R,  $C = \emptyset$ ,  $R - B \in \mathscr{I}$  and B is not a  $G_{\delta}$  set. Suppose that there exists a function  $f: R \to R$  such that Q(f) = B,  $S_q(f) = R$  and  $T_q(f) = C$ . Then  $T_q^1(f) = \emptyset$ . If D is a  $G_{\delta}$  set and  $B \subseteq D$  then D - B is non empty and  $D - B \not\equiv$  $\ddagger T_q(f) \cup T_q^1(f)$ . This is impossible since the Fact 3 holds.

In the next part we assume that every set  $A \subseteq R$  of cardinality less than continuum is of the first category. Notice that if CH (Continuum Hypothesis) or MA (Martin's Axiom) are assumed then this condition holds. [3]

The following theorem is generalization of Theorem 3 [1].

**Theorem.** (MA) For every sets  $A, A_1, B, C, C_1 \subseteq R$  the following conditions are equivalent:

(i)  $A \cap A_1 = B$ ,  $C \cup C_1 \in \mathcal{I}$ ,  $C \subseteq A - B$ ,  $C_1 \subseteq A_1 - B$ , the sets A - B and  $A_1 - B$  do not contain sets of the second category having the Baire property,

there exists a  $G_{\delta}$  set D such that  $B = D - (C \cap C_1)$ ,

(ii) there exists a function  $f: R \to R$  such that  $A = S_q(f)$ ,  $A_1 = S_q^1(f)$ , B = Q(f),  $C = T_q(f)$  and  $C_1 = T_q^1(f)$ .

Proof. The implication (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) follows from the facts 0 - 3.

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Let E = Cl B. Since  $E - D \subseteq E - B$ , we have  $E - D \in \mathscr{I}$ . Notice that E - D is a  $F_o$  set and  $E - D = \bigcup_{n \in N} F_n$ , where  $F_n$  are closed, nowhere dense and  $F_i \cap F_i = \emptyset$  for  $i \neq j$  [4].

Let  $(a_n)_{n \in N}$  be a sequence of positive real numbers such that  $\sum_{n \in N} a_n = 1$ . For every  $n \in N$  we define the function  $h_n: R \to \langle -a_n, a_n \rangle$ ,

$$h_n(x) = \begin{cases} a_n \sin \frac{1}{\operatorname{dist}(x, F_n)} & \text{for } x \notin F_n \\ 0 & \text{for } x \in F_n \end{cases}$$

For  $n \in N$  the function  $h_n$  is continuous on the set  $R - F_n$  and for  $x \in F_n$ ,  $q - \limsup_{t \to x} h_n(t) = a_n \ge h_n(x) \ge -a_n = q - \liminf_{t \to x} h_n(t)$ .

In the first step we define a function  $h: R \to R$  such that  $Q(h) = S_q^1(h) = S_q(h) = R - (E - D) = R - \bigcup_{n \in N} F_n$  and  $T_q(h) = T_q^1(h) = \emptyset$ . Let  $h(x) = \sum_{n \in N} h_n(x)$ . This function satisfies the above conditions.

Indeed:

a) Assume that  $x \notin \bigcup_{n \in N} F_n$ . Since h is a sum of a uniformly convergent series, h is continuous at the point x.

b) If  $x \in F_n$  then

$$q-\limsup_{t \to x} h(t) = a_n + \sum_{m \neq n} a_m \sin \frac{1}{\operatorname{dist}(x, F_m)} =$$
$$= h(x) + a_n > h(x) > h(x) - a_n = -a_n + \sum_{m \neq n} a_m \sin \frac{1}{\operatorname{dist}(x, F_m)} = q-\liminf_{t \to x} h(t) .$$

Hence  $x \notin S_a(h) \cup S_a^1(h)$ .

Assume that E = R. Then the following function  $f: R \to R$  satisfies the conditions of the theorem

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{for } x \in C, \\ -2 & \text{for } x \in C_1, \\ q - \limsup_{t \to x} h(t) & \text{for } x \in A - C, \\ q - \limsup_{t \to x} h(t) & \text{for } x \in A_1 - C_1, \\ h(x) & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

339

Since  $\{x \in R: f(x) \neq h(x)\} \in \mathcal{I}$ , so for every  $x \in R$ ,  $q-\limsup_{t \to x} \sup f(t) = q-\limsup_{t \to x} h(t)$ and  $q-\liminf_{t \to x} f(t) = q-\liminf_{t \to x} h(t)$ . Hence  $B \subseteq Q(f)$ ,  $C \subseteq T_q(f)$  and  $C_1 \subseteq T_q^1(f)$ . If  $x \in A - (B \cup C)$  then  $x \in E - D$ . Hence  $f(x) = q-\limsup_{t \to x} h(t) =$  $= q-\limsup_{t \to x} f(t) > q-\liminf_{t \to x} h(t) = q-\liminf_{t \to x} f(t)$  and  $A - (B \cup C) \subseteq S_q(f) - [Q(f) \cup T_q(f)]$ . Similarly,  $A_1 - (B \cup C_1) \subseteq S_q^1(f) - [Q(f) \cup T_q^1(f)]$  and R - $- (A \cup A_1) \subseteq R - [S_q(f) \cup S_q^1(f)]$ . Consequently, Q(f) = B,  $S_q(f) = A$ ,  $S_q^1(f) =$ 

$$= A_{I}, T_{a}(f) = C$$
 and  $T_{a}^{1}(f) = C_{1}$ .

Now assume that  $R - E \neq \emptyset$ . We prove the following lemma.

**Lemma.** If A is an open, non empty subset of R and  $B \subseteq A$  then there exists a partition  $(K_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of A such that sets  $K_n$  are of the second category at every point  $x \in A$  and if B is of the second category at x then  $K_n \cap B$  (n = 1, 2, ...) is of the second category at x.

Proof of lemma. The construction of the sets  $K_n$  is very similar to the construction of Bernstein's set [2].

Let:

 $(r_{\xi})$  be an enumeration of the set A,

 $(I_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a countable basis of A,

 $(H_{n,\eta})_{\eta < 2\omega_0}$  be an enumeration of the family of the residual and  $G_{\delta}$  subsets of  $I_n$ ,

$$H_{n,\eta}^{1} = \begin{cases} H_{n,\eta} & \text{if } H_{n,\eta} \cap B \in \mathscr{I}, \\ H_{n,\eta} \cap B & \text{if } H_{n,\eta} \cap B \notin \mathscr{I}, \end{cases}$$

 $(H_{\xi})_{\xi < 2^{\omega_0}}$  be an enumeration of the family  $\{H_{n,\eta}^1: n \in N, \eta < 2^{\omega_0}\}$ . Since MA holds, so for every  $\xi$  the cardinality of  $H_{\xi}$  is continuum. We shall construct inductively a sequence  $(x_{\xi,n})$  of the type  $2^{\omega_0} \times \omega_0$ :

$$\begin{aligned} x_{\eta,0} &= \min_{\xi} \{ r_{\xi} \colon r_{\xi} \in H_{\eta} - \{ x_{\gamma,k} \colon \gamma < \eta \} \}, \\ x_{\eta,n} &= \min_{\xi} \{ r_{\xi} \colon r_{\xi} \in H_{\eta} - \{ x_{\gamma,k} \colon (\gamma < \eta \lor (\gamma = \eta \& k < n)) \} \}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us define sets  $K_n$  as follows:

$$K_n = \begin{cases} \{x_{\eta,n} : \eta < 2^{\omega_0}\} & \text{for } n > 0, \\ A - \bigcup_{m > 0} K_m & \text{for } n = 0. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to show that sets  $K_n$  (n = 1, 2, ...) are of the second category at every point  $x \in A$ . Suppose that the set B is of the second category at x and there exists a number  $n \in N$  such that  $K_n \cap B$  is of the first category at x. Then there exist  $I_n$ and  $H_{n,\eta}$  such that  $H_{n,\eta} \subseteq A - K_n \cap B$ . This is impossible since the set  $H_{n,\eta} \cap B \cap K_n$ is non empty. In this step we shall construct a function  $g: R \to R$  such that Q(g) = B,  $T_q(g) = C$  $T_q^1(g) = C_1$ ,  $S_q(g) = B \cup C$  and  $S_q^1(g) = C_1 \cup B$ . Let  $(b_n)_{n \in N}$  be an enumeration of the set of all rational numbers from the interval (-1, 1).

Let  $(K_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a partition of R - E such that for every  $x \in R - E$  the sets  $K_n$  (n = 1, 2, ...) are of the second category at x and if the set  $R - (A \cup A_1)$  is of the second category at x then  $K_n - (A \cup A_1)$  is of the second category at x.

The function g is defined as follows:

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{for } x \in C, \\ -2 & \text{for } x \in C_1, \\ h(x) & \text{for } x \in E - (C \cup C_1), \\ h(x) + \frac{\text{dist}(x, E)}{1 + \text{dist}(x, E)} \cdot b_n & \text{for } x \in K_n - (C \cup C_1) \end{cases}$$

a) It is clear that  $C \subseteq T_q(g)$  and  $C_1 \subseteq T_q^1(g)$ .

b) If  $x \in E - B$  then g(x) = h(x) and  $g \mid R - (C \cup C_1)$  is continuous at x. Since  $C \cup C_1 \in \mathcal{I}$ , g is qualitive continuous at x.

c) If  $x \in (E - D) - (C \cup C_1)$  then g(x) = h(x), q-lim inf g(t) = q-lim inf h(t)and q-lim sup h(t) = q-lim sup g(t). Since  $x \in R - (S_q(h) \cup S_q^{-1}(h))$ ,  $x \in R - (S_q(g) \cup (S_q^{-1}(g)))$ .

In the next step we define a function  $f: R \to R$  such that Q(f) = B,  $S_q(f) = A$ ,  $S_q^1(f) = A_1$ ,  $T_q(f) = C$  and  $T_q^1(f) = C_1$ . Let us define the function f as follows:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} q - \limsup_{t \to x} g(t) & \text{for } x \in A - (B \cup C), \\ q - \liminf_{t \to x} g(t) & \text{for } x \in A_1 - (B \cup C_1), \\ g(x) & \text{elsewhere }. \end{cases}$$

a) If  $x \in C$  then f(x) = g(x) > q-lim sup  $g(t) \ge q$ -lim sup f(t) and  $x \in T_q(f)$ .

Similarly,  $C_1 \subseteq T_q^1(f)$ .

b) Notice that for  $x \in R - E$  we have

$$q-\limsup_{t \to x} g(t) = q-\limsup_{t \to x} h(t) + \frac{\operatorname{dist}(x, E)}{1 + \operatorname{dist}(x, E)} =$$
$$= h(x) + \frac{\operatorname{dist}(x, E)}{1 + \operatorname{dist}(x, E)}$$

and

$$q-\liminf_{t \to x} inf g(t) = q-\liminf_{t \to x} inf h(t) - \frac{\operatorname{dist}(x, E)}{1 + \operatorname{dist}(x, E)} = h(x) - \frac{\operatorname{dist}(x, E)}{1 + \operatorname{dist}(x, E)}.$$

341

Since for  $x \in E$  the set

$$\left\{t \in R: \left|f(t) - h(t)\right| < \frac{\operatorname{dist}\left(t, E\right)}{1 + \operatorname{dist}\left(t, E\right)}\right\}$$

is residual at the point x, we have q-lim sup f(t) = q-lim sup h(t) and q-lim inf f(t) = q-lim inf h(t). Hence  $C_q(f) \cap [E - (C \cup C_1)] = B$ ,  $S_q(f) \cap [E - (C \cup C_1)] = B$ ,  $S_q(f) \cap [E - (C \cup C_1)] = A_1 - C_1$ .

c) Assume that  $x \in R - (E \cup C \cup C_1)$ . The following cases may occur: The set  $R - (A \cup A_1)$  is of the second category at x. Then for every  $n \in N$  the set  $K_n - (A \cup A_1)$  is of the second category at x,

$$q-\limsup_{t \to x} \sup f(t) = h(x) + \frac{\operatorname{dist}(x, E)}{1 + \operatorname{dist}(x, E)} \quad \text{and} \quad q-\liminf_{t \to x} f(t) = h(x) - \frac{\operatorname{dist}(x, E)}{1 + \operatorname{dist}(x, E)}.$$

There exists a neighbourhood  $U \subseteq R - E$  of x such that  $U - (A \cup A_1) \in \mathscr{I}$ . Since the sets A - B and  $A_1 - B$  do not contain sets of the second category having the Baire property, hence the sets A - B and  $A_1 - B$  are of the second category at x. Then

$$q-\lim_{t \to x} \sup f(t) = \lim_{t \to x} \left( h(t) + \frac{\operatorname{dist}(t, E)}{1 + \operatorname{dist}(t, E)} \right) = h(x) + \frac{\operatorname{dist}(x, E)}{1 + \operatorname{dist}(x, E)}$$

and

$$q-\liminf_{t \to x} f(t) = h(x) - \frac{\operatorname{dist}(x, E)}{1 + \operatorname{dist}(x, E)}$$

Thus, if  $x \in A - C$  then  $x \in S_q(f) - [Q(f) \cup T_q(f)]$ , if  $x \in A_1 - C_1$  then  $x \in S_q^1(f) - [Q(f) \cup T_q^1(f)]$  and if  $x \notin A \cup A_1$  then  $x \notin S_q(f) \cup S_q^1(f)$ .

Therefore f satisfies the condition (ii).

## References

- Z. Grande: Quelques remarques sur la semicontinuité supérieure. Fund. Math. CXXV. 1 (1985), 1-13.
- [2] K. Kuratowski: Topologie I. PWN, Waszawa 1958.
- [3] J. Shoenfield: Martin's Axiom, Am. Math. Monthly 82 (1975), 610-617.
- [4] W. Sierpiński: Sur une propriété des ensembles  $F_{\sigma}$  linéaires. Fund. Math. 14 (1929), 216–220.

Author's address: Department of Mathematics WSP Bydgoszcz, ul. Chodkiewicza 30, 85-064 Bydgoszcz, Poland.