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Let $\mathscr{I}$ be the $\sigma$-ideal of sets of the first category on the real linc. For a real function $f: R \rightarrow R$ let us define the qualitative upper limit at the point $x$

$$
q-\lim _{t \rightarrow x} \sup f(t)=\inf \{y \in R:\{t \in R: f(t)<y\} \text { is residual at } x\} .
$$

Similarly let us define the qualitative lower limit of $f$ at $x$

$$
\underset{t \rightarrow x}{q-\lim \inf } f(t)=\sup \{y \in R:\{t \in R: f(t)>y\} \text { is residual at } x\} .
$$

We use the notation introduced in [1]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q(f)=\left\{r \in R: q-\underset{t \rightarrow r}{q-\lim \sup } f(t)=f(r)=q-\lim _{t \rightarrow r} \inf f(t)\right\}, \\
& S_{q}(f)=\left\{r \in R: q-\lim _{t \rightarrow r} \sup f(t) \leqq f(r)\right\}, \\
& T_{q}(f)=\{r \in R: q-\underset{t \rightarrow r}{-\lim \sup } f(t)<f(r)\}, \\
& S_{q}^{1}(f)=\{r \in R: \underset{t \rightarrow r}{q-\lim \inf } f(t) \geqq f(r)\} \\
& T_{q}^{1}(f)=\left\{r \in R: q-\lim _{t \rightarrow r} \inf f(t)>f(r)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

The following facts are proved in [1].
Fact 0. There exist sets $B$ and $C$ such that $B$ is $a G_{\delta}$ set, $C \in \mathscr{I}$ and $Q(f)=B-C$.
Fact 1. The sets $T_{q}(f)$ and $T_{q}^{1}(f)$ are of the first category.
Fact 2. The sets $S_{q}(f)-Q(f)$ and $S_{q}^{1}(f)-Q(f)$ do not contain sets of the second category having the Baire property.
Z. Grande in [1] showed Theorem 3 and stated the following Problem 1.

## Theorem 3. Let $A, B, C \subseteq R$ satisfy

(i) $C \in \mathscr{I}, B \subseteq A, C \subseteq A-B$ and the set $A-B$ do not contain sets of second category having the Baire property
and
(ii) $B=D-C$, where $D$ is a $G_{\boldsymbol{\delta}}$ set. Then there exists a function $g: R \rightarrow R$ such that $Q(g)=B, S_{q}(g)=A$ and $T_{q}(g)=C$.

Problem 1. Accepting the assumption (i) of Theorem 3 let us suppose furthermore that $B=D-D_{1}$, where $D$ is a $G_{\delta}$ set and $D_{1} \in \mathscr{I}$.

Is there a function $g: R \rightarrow R$ such that $Q(g)=B, S_{q}(g)=A$ and $T_{q}(f)=C$ ?
The answer to this question is negative. It follows from the following fact.
Fact 3. Let $D_{q}(f)=\left\{r \in R: \underset{t \rightarrow r}{ }-\lim _{t \rightarrow r} \inf f(t)=q-\lim _{: \rightarrow r} \sup f(t)\right\}$. Then $D_{q}(f)$ is a $G_{\delta}$ set for every function $f: R \rightarrow R$.

Proof. It is easy to show that for every $a \in R$ the sets $A=\{x \in R: q-\lim \inf f(t) \leqq a\}$ and $B=\{x \in R: q-\lim \sup f(t) \geqq a\}$ are closed. Indeed, if $q-\liminf _{t \rightarrow x}^{\operatorname{infx}} f(t)>a$ then there exist: $\varepsilon>0$ and a neighbourhood $U$ of $x$ such that $U \cap\{y \in R: f(y)<a+\varepsilon\} \in$ $\in \mathscr{I}$. So for every $y \in U$ we we have $q-\lim \inf f(t) \geqq a+\varepsilon$ and $x \notin \mathrm{Cl} A$. Then for all rational numbers $p, q \in Q$ the sets $A(f, p, q)=\left\{x \in R: q-\liminf _{t \rightarrow x}^{t+x} f(t) \leqq p<q \leqq\right.$ $\left.\leqq q-\lim _{t \rightarrow x} \sup f(t)\right\}$ are closed. Since $R-D_{q}(f)=\bigcup\{A(f, p, q): p, q \in Q\}, D_{q}(f)$ is a $G_{\delta}$ set.
It is clear that $Q(f) \subseteq D_{q}(f)$ and $D_{q}(f)-Q(f) \subseteq T_{q}(f) \cup T_{q}^{1}(f)$, hence $Q(f)=$ $=D_{q}(f)-\left[T_{q}(f) \cup T_{q}^{1}(f)\right]$.
Assume that $A=R, C=\emptyset, R-B \in \mathscr{I}$ and $B$ is not a $G_{\delta}$ set. Suppose that there exists a function $f: R \rightarrow R$ such that $Q(f)=B, S_{q}(f)=R$ and $T_{q}(f)=C$. Then $T_{q}^{1}(f)=\emptyset$. If $D$ is a $G_{\delta}$ set and $B \subseteq D$ then $D-B$ is non empty and $D-B \nsubseteq$ $\neq T_{q}(f) \cup T_{q}^{1}(f)$. This is impossible since the Fact 3 holds.

In the next part we assume that every set $A \subseteq R$ of cardinality less than continuum is of the first category. Notice that if CH (Continuum Hypothesis) or MA (Martin's Axiom) are assumed then this condition holds. [3]
The following theorem is generalization of Theorem 3 [1].
Theorem. (MA) For every sets $A, A_{1}, B, C, C_{1} \subseteq R$ the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $A \cap A_{1}=B$,
$C \cup C_{1} \in \mathscr{I}$, $C \subseteq A-B, C_{1} \subseteq A_{1}-B$,
the sets $A-B$ and $A_{1}-B$ do not contain sets of the second category having the Baire property,
there exists a $G_{\delta}$ set $D$ such that $B=D-\left(C \cap C_{1}\right)$,
(ii) there exists a function $f: R \rightarrow R$ such that $A=S_{q}(f), A_{1}=S_{q}^{1}(f), B=Q(f)$, $\left.C=T_{q}^{( } f\right)$ and $C_{1}=T_{q}^{1}(f)$.

Proof. The implication (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) follows from the facts $0-3$.
(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Let $E=\mathrm{Cl} B$. Since $E-D \subseteq E-B$, we have $E-D \in \mathscr{I}$. Notice that $E-D$ is a $F_{o}$ set and $E-D=\bigcup_{n \in N} F_{n}$, where $F_{n}$ are closed, nowhere dense and $F_{i} \cap F_{j}=\emptyset$ for $i \neq j[4]$.

Let $\left(a_{n}\right)_{n \in N}$ be a sequence of positive real numbers such that $\sum_{n \in N} a_{n}=1$.
For every $n \in N$ we define the function $h_{n}: R \rightarrow\left\langle-a_{n}, a_{n}\right\rangle$,

$$
h_{n}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
a_{n} \sin \frac{1}{\operatorname{dist}\left(x, F_{n}\right)} & \text { for } & x \notin F_{n} \\
0 & \text { for } & x \in F_{n}
\end{array}\right.
$$

For $n \in N$ the function $h_{n}$ is continuous on the set $R-F_{n}$ and for $x \in F_{n}$, $q-\lim \sup h_{n}(t)=a_{n} \geqq h_{n}(x) \geqq-a_{n}=q-\lim \inf h_{n}(t)$.

In the first step we define a function $h: \stackrel{t \rightarrow x}{\rightarrow} R$ such that $Q(h)=S_{q}^{1}(h)=S_{q}(h)=$ $=R-(E-D)=R-\bigcup_{n \in N} F_{n}$ and $T_{q}(h)=T_{q}^{1}(h)=\emptyset$. Let $h(x)=\sum_{n \in N} h_{n}(x)$. This function satisfies the above conditions.

Indeed:
a) Assume that $x \notin \bigcup_{n \in N} F_{n}$. Since $h$ is a sum of a uniformly convergent series, $h$ is continuous at the point $x$.
b) If $x \in F_{n}$ then

$$
q-\limsup _{t \rightarrow x} h(t)=a_{n}+\sum_{m \neq n} a_{m} \sin \frac{1}{\operatorname{dist}\left(x, F_{m}\right)}=
$$

$$
=h(x)+a_{n}>h(x)>h(x)-a_{n}=-a_{n}+\sum_{m \neq n} a_{m} \sin \frac{1}{\operatorname{dist}\left(x, F_{m}\right)}=q-\lim _{t \rightarrow x} \inf h(t) .
$$

Hence $x \notin S_{q}(h) \cup S_{q}^{1}(h)$.
Assume that $E=R$. Then the following function $f: R \rightarrow R$ satisfies the conditions of the theorem

$$
f(x)= \begin{cases}2 & \text { for } x \in C, \\ -2 & \text { for } x \in C_{1}, \\ q-\operatorname{lim\operatorname {sup}} h_{( }^{\prime}(t) & \text { for } x \in A-C, \\ \underset{t-\liminf _{t \rightarrow x}^{t \rightarrow x} h(t)}{ } & \text { for } x \in A_{1}-C_{1}, \\ h(x)^{2} & \text { elsewhere } .\end{cases}
$$

Since $\{x \in R: f(x) \neq h(x)\} \in \mathscr{I}$, so for every $x \in R, q-\lim _{t \rightarrow x} \sup f(t)=q-\lim _{t \rightarrow x} \sup h(t)$ and $q-\liminf _{t \rightarrow x} f(t)=q-\liminf _{t \rightarrow x} h(t)$. Hence $B \subseteq Q(f), C \subseteq T_{q}(f)$ and $C_{1} \subseteq T_{q}^{1}(f)$.

If $x \in A-(B \cup C)$ then $x \in E-D$. Hence $f(x)=q$-lim sup $h(t)=$
$=q-\limsup _{t \rightarrow x} f(t)>q-\lim _{t \rightarrow x} \inf h(t)=q-\liminf _{t \rightarrow x} f(t)$ and $A-(B \cup C) \subseteq S_{q}(f)-$
$-\left[Q(f) \cup T_{q}(f)\right]$. Similarly, $A_{1}-\left(B \cup C_{1}\right) \subseteq S_{q}^{1}(f)-\left[Q(f) \cup T_{q}^{1}(f)\right]$ and $R-$ $-\left(A \cup A_{1}\right) \subseteq R-\left[S_{q}(f) \cup S_{q}^{1}(f)\right]$. Consequently, $Q(f)=B, S_{q}(f)=A, S_{q}^{1}(f)=$ $=A_{I}, T_{q}(f)=C$ and $T_{q}^{1}(f)=C_{1}$.

Now assume that $R-E \neq \emptyset$. We prove the following lemma.
Lemma. If $\dot{A}$ is an open, non empty subset of $R$ and $B \subseteq A$ then there exists a partition $\left(K_{n}\right)_{n \in N}$ of $A$ such that sets $K_{n}$ are of the second category at every point $x \in A$ and if $B$ is of the second category at $x$ then $K_{n} \cap B(n=1,2, \ldots)$ is of the second category at $x$.

Proof of lemma. The construction of the sets $K_{n}$ is very similar to the construction of Bernstein's set [2].

Let:
$\left(r_{\xi}\right)$ be an enumeration of the set $A$,
$\left(I_{n}\right)_{n \in N}$ be a countable basis of $A$,
$\left(H_{n, \eta}\right)_{\eta<2 \omega_{0}}$ be an enumeration of the family of the residual and $G_{\delta}$ subsets of $I_{n}$,
$H_{n, \eta}^{1}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}H_{n, \eta} & \text { if } & H_{n, \eta} \cap B \in \mathscr{I}, \\ H_{n, \eta} \cap B & \text { if } & H_{n, \eta} \cap B \notin \mathscr{I},\end{array}\right.$
$\left(H_{\xi}\right)_{\xi<2 \omega_{0}}$ be an enumeration of the family $\left\{H_{n, \eta}^{1}: n \in N, \eta<2^{\omega_{0}}\right\}$.
Since MA holds, so for every $\xi$ the cardinality of $H_{\xi}$ is continuum. We shall construct inductively a sequence $\left(x_{\xi, n}\right)$ of the type $2^{\omega_{0}} \times \omega_{0}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{\eta, 0}=\min _{\xi}\left\{r_{\xi}: r_{\xi} \in H_{\eta}-\left\{x_{\gamma, k}: \gamma<\eta\right\}\right\}, \\
& x_{\eta, n}=\min _{\xi}\left\{r_{\xi}: r_{\xi} \in H_{\eta}-\left\{x_{\gamma, k}:(\gamma<\eta \vee(\gamma=\eta \& k<n))\right\}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us define sets $K_{n}$ as follows:

$$
K_{n}= \begin{cases}\left\{x_{\eta, n}: \eta<2^{\omega_{0}}\right\} & \text { for } \\ A>0, \\ A-\bigcup_{m>0} K_{m} & \text { for } n=0 .\end{cases}
$$

It is easy to show that sets $K_{n}(n=1,2, \ldots)$ are of the second category at every point $x \in A$. Suppose that the set $B$ is of the second category at $x$ and there exists a number $n \in N$ such that $K_{n} \cap B$ is of the first category at $x$. Then there exist $I_{n}$ and $H_{n, \eta}$ such that $H_{n, \eta} \subseteq A-K_{n} \cap B$. This is impossible since the set $H_{n, \eta} \cap B \cap K_{n}$ is non empty.

In this step we shall construct a function $g: R \rightarrow R$ such that $Q(g)=B, T_{q}(g)=C$ $T_{q}^{1}(g)=C_{1}, S_{q}(g)=B \cup C$ and $S_{q}^{1}(g)=C_{1} \cup B$. Let $\left(b_{n}\right)_{n \in N}$ be an enumeration of the set of all rational numbers from the interval $(-1,1)$.

Let $\left(K_{n}\right)_{n \in N}$ be a partition of $R-E$ such that for every $x \in R-E$ the sets $K_{n}$ $(n=1,2, \ldots)$ are of the second category at $x$ and if the set $R-\left(A \cup A_{1}\right)$ is of the second category at $x$ then $K_{n}-\left(A \cup A_{1}\right)$ is of the second category at $x$.

The function $g$ is defined as follows:

$$
g(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
2 & \text { for } x \in C \\
-2 & \text { for } x \in C_{1}, \\
h(x) & \text { for } x \in E-\left(C \cup C_{1}\right), \\
h(x)+\frac{\operatorname{dist}(x, E)}{1+\operatorname{dist}(x, E)} \cdot b_{n} \text { for } x \in K_{n}-\left(C \cup C_{1}\right) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

a) It is clear that $C \subseteq T_{q}(g)$ and $C_{1} \subseteq T_{q}^{1}(g)$.
b) If $x \in E-B$ then $g(x)=h(x)$ and $g \mid R-\left(C \cup C_{1}\right)$ is continuous at $x$. Since $C \cup C_{1} \in \mathscr{I}, g$ is qualitive continuous at $x$.
c) If $x \in(E-D)-\left(C \cup C_{1}\right)$ then $g(x)=h(x), q-\liminf g(t)=q-\lim \inf h(t)$ and $q-\lim _{t \rightarrow x} \sup h(t)=q-\limsup _{t \rightarrow x} g(t)$. Since $x \in R-\left(S_{q}(h) \stackrel{t \rightarrow x}{\cup} S_{q}{ }^{1}(h)\right), x \in R-\stackrel{t \rightarrow x}{-\left(S_{q}(g) \cup\right.}$ $\left.\cup S_{q}^{1}(g)\right)$.
In the next step we define a function $f: R \rightarrow R$ such that $Q(f)=B, S_{q}(f)=A$, $S_{q}^{1}(f)=A_{1}, T_{q}(f)=C$ and $T_{q}^{1}(f)=C_{1}$. Let us define the function $f$ as follows:

$$
f(x)= \begin{cases}\left.q-\lim _{t \rightarrow x} \sup g_{( }^{\prime} t\right) & \text { for } \quad x \in A-(B \cup C) \\ q-\lim _{t \rightarrow x} \inf g(t) & \text { for } \quad x \in A_{1}-\left(B \cup C_{1}\right), \\ g_{(x)}^{\prime} x & \text { elsewhere }\end{cases}
$$

a) If $x \in C$ then $f(x)=g(x)>q-\lim _{t \rightarrow x} \sup g(t) \geqq q-\limsup _{t \rightarrow x} f(t)$ and $x \in T_{q}(f)$. Similarly, $C_{1} \subseteq T_{q}^{1}(f)$.
b) Notice that for $x \in R-E$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
q-\lim _{t \rightarrow x} \sup g(t) & =q-\lim _{t \rightarrow x} \sup h(t)+\frac{\operatorname{dist}(x, E)}{1+\operatorname{dist}(x, E)}= \\
& =h^{\prime}(x)+\frac{\operatorname{dist}(x, E)}{1+\operatorname{dist}(x, E)}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
q-\lim _{t \rightarrow x} \inf g(t) & =q-\lim _{t \rightarrow x} \inf h(t)-\frac{\operatorname{dist}(x, E)}{1+\operatorname{dist}(x, E)}= \\
& =h(x)-\frac{\operatorname{dist}(x, E)}{1+\operatorname{dist}(x, E)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since for $x \in E$ the set

$$
\left\{t \in R:|f(t)-h(t)|<\frac{\operatorname{dist}(t, E)}{1+\operatorname{dist}(t, E)}\right\}
$$

is residual at the point $x$, we have $q-\lim \sup f(t)=q-\lim \sup h(t)$ and $q-\lim \inf f(t)=$ $=q-\lim \inf h(t)$. Hence $C_{q}(f) \cap\left[E^{t \rightarrow x}-\left(C \cup C_{1}\right)\right]=\stackrel{t \rightarrow x}{B}, S_{q}(f) \cap\left[E-\left({ }^{t \rightarrow x} \cup C_{1}\right)\right]=$ $=A^{t \rightarrow x}-C$ and $S_{q}^{I}(f) \cap\left[E-\left(C \cup C_{1}\right)\right]=A_{1}-C_{1}$.
c) Assume that $x \in R-\left(E \cup C \cup C_{1}\right)$. The following cases may occur: The set $R-\left(A \cup A_{1}\right)$ is of the second category at $x$. Then for every $n \in N$ the set $K_{n}$--$-\left(A \cup A_{1}\right)$ is of the second category at $x$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
q-\lim _{t \rightarrow x} \sup f(t)=h(x)+ & \frac{\operatorname{dist}(x, E)}{1+\operatorname{dist}(x, E)} \text { and } \quad q-\lim \inf f(t)=h(x)- \\
& -\frac{\operatorname{dist}(x, E)}{1+\operatorname{dist}(x, E)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

There exists a neighbourhood $U \subseteq R-E$ of $x$ such that $U-\left(A \cup A_{1}\right) \in \mathscr{I}$. Since the sets $A-B$ and $A_{1}-B$ do not contain sets of the second category having the Baire property, hence the sets $A-B$ and $A_{1}-B$ are of the second category at $x$. Then

$$
q-\lim _{t \rightarrow x} \sup f(t)=\lim _{t \rightarrow x}\left(h(t)+\frac{\operatorname{dist}(t, E)}{1+\operatorname{dist}(t, E)}\right)=h(x)+\frac{\operatorname{dist}(x, E)}{1+\operatorname{dist}(x, E)}
$$

and

$$
q-\lim _{t \rightarrow x} \inf f(t)=h(x)-\frac{\operatorname{dist}(x, E)}{1+\operatorname{dist}(x, E)} .
$$

Thus, if $x \in A-C$ then $x \in S_{q}(f)-\left[Q(f) \cup T_{q}(f)\right]$, if $x \in A_{1}-C_{1}$ then $x \in S_{q}^{1}(f)-$ $-\left[Q(f) \cup T_{q}^{1}(f)\right]$ and if $x \notin A \cup A_{1}$ then $x \notin S_{q}(f) \cup S_{q}^{1}(f)$.

Therefore $f$ satisfies the condition (ii).
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