Pavol Brunovský; Jozef Komorník Explicit definition of an exact measure for the semiflow of a first order partial differential equation

Časopis pro pěstování matematiky, Vol. 111 (1986), No. 1, 48--53

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/118263

# Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1986

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

## EXPLICIT DEFINITION OF AN EXACT MEASURE FOR THE SEMIFLOW OF A FIRST ORDER PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

PAVOL BRUNOVSKÝ, JOZEF KOMORNÍK, Bratislava

Dedicated to Professor Jaroslav Kurzweil on the occasion of his sixtieth birthday (Received May 25, 1985)

### **1. INTRODUCTION**

This paper deals with the semiflow S on C[0, 1] generated by the first order partial differential equation

- (1)  $u_t + c(x) u_x = f(x, u), \quad 0 \le x \le 1, \quad 0 \le t < \infty,$
- (2) u(0, x) = v

and its conjugate – the left shift semigroup T on  $C[0, \infty)$ . The semiflow S has been introduced in [3] as a model of a selfsustaining cell population. As shown in [1, 2], S is conjugate to T, the latter being a very useful tool for the study of S.

In [2] it has been proved that the left shift on  $C[0, \infty)$  is exact, i.e. admits a nontrivial probabilistic measure *m* such that  $\lim_{t \to \infty} m(T_t(A)) = 1$  for each  $A \subset C[0, \infty)$ with m(A) > 0. Using the conjugacy  $\Phi$  of *S* and *T* this measure could be carried over to certain S-invariant subsets of C[0, 1] to prove exactness of the restriction of *S* to these subsets. In order to do so a preliminary scaling of the real line was needed before  $\Phi$  could be used. The definition of this scaling, however, was not constructive.

The purpose of this paper is to remove this shortcoming. In Section 2 we prove that a suitable scaling can be defined explicitly using the distribution function of the normalized normal distribution. In addition we show that the resulting measure is natural in that the marginal cylinder of functions the values of which at a fixed point lie in a given interval has the measure equal to the Lebesgue measure of the interval.

To define a measure on C[0, 1] via the conjugacy  $\Phi$  using the measure on  $C[0, \infty)$  constructed in Section 2 a refinement of the stability theorem of [3] is needed giving the attraction rate of the stable stationary solution of (1), (2). This is the subject of Section 3.

We conclude this section by a survey of assumptions and results on (1), (2) which are necessary for understanding our paper.

48

Assumptions:

A1. The functions c, f are continuously differentiable.

A2. c(0) = 0, c(x) > 0 for x > 0.

A3. There exist  $u_{-} < 0 < u_{+}$  such that  $f(0, u_{-}) = f(0, 0) = f(0, u_{+}) = 0$ , u f(0, u) > 0 for  $0 \neq u \in (u_{-}, u_{+})$ ,  $f_{u}(0, u_{-}) < 0$ ,  $f_{u}(0, u_{+}) < 0$ .

A4. f(x, u) sign  $u \leq k_1 |u| + k_2$  for some  $k_1, k_2 > 0$ , all  $x \in [0, 1]$  and all u.

Note that the assumptions A1, A2, A4 coincide with those of [2]. Assumption A3 is a restriction of A3 of [2] to one interval between "stable" zeros of f(0, u).

Under these assumptions we have the following

**Proposition 1.** (i) For any  $v \in [0, 1]$ , (1), (2) has a unique solution u in  $C([0, \infty) \times [0, 1])$ . The map  $S: [0, \infty) \times C[0, 1] \rightarrow C[0, 1]$  defined by  $(S_t v)(x) = u(t, x)$ , where u satisfies (1), (2), is continuous and  $S_0 = id$ ,  $S_t \circ S_s = S_{t+s}$  for all  $s, t \ge 0$ .

(ii) There exists a unique solution  $w_+(w_-)$  of the stationary equation

(3) 
$$c(x) w' = f(x, w), \quad 0 \le x \le 1$$

satisfying  $w_{+}(0) = u_{+}(w_{-}(0) = u_{-})$ . For each  $v \in C[0,1]$  such that  $v(0) \in (0, u_{+}]$ or  $v(0) \in [u_{-}, 0)$  one has  $\lim (S_{t}v)(x) = u_{+}$  or  $u_{-}$ , respectively.

(iii) The map  $\Phi: C[0, 1] \xrightarrow{t \to \infty} C[0, \infty)$  defined by  $\Phi(v)(t) = (S_t v)(1)$  is continuous one-to-one and satisfies

$$(4) \Phi \circ S_t = T_t \circ \Phi$$

for  $t \ge 0$ , where T is the left shift semigroup on  $C[0, \infty)$  defined by  $(T_tg)(s) = g(t+s)$  for  $t, s \ge 0$ ,  $g \in C[0, \infty)$ . It can be extended to a map  $\tilde{\Phi}: C(0, 1] \rightarrow C[0, \infty)$  which has a continuous inverse and the following property: There is a continuous strictly decreasing function  $\varphi$  from  $[0, \infty)$  onto (0, 1] such that  $\tilde{\Phi}(v_1)(t) > \tilde{\Phi}(v_2)(t)$  if and only if  $v_1(\varphi(t)) > v_2(\varphi(t))$ .

(iv) The set  $W = \{v \in C[0, 1]: v(0) = 0, w_{-}(x) < v(x) < w_{+}(x)\}$  is invariant under S.

For the proofs cf. [3, Sections 1, 2] and [2, Section 3].

#### 2. A NATURAL EXACT MEASURE ON $C[0, \infty)$ WITH BOUNDED SUPPORT

**Proposition 2.** Let X(t) be the Gaussian stationary process with continuous trajectories and triangular autocovariance function

(5) 
$$\operatorname{cov}(X(t), X(s)) = \max\{1 - |t - s|, 0\}$$

(cf. [2]). Then for every 
$$t_0 \in [0, \infty)$$
 and  $\tau \in [0, 1]$  the process

(6) 
$$Y(\tau) = (X(t_0 + \tau) - X(t_0))/\sqrt{2}$$

coincides with the standard Wiener process on C[0, 1].

**Proof.** The process  $Y(\tau)$  is Gaussian, continuous and has the autocovariance function

(7)  $\operatorname{cov}(Y(\tau), Y(\varrho)) = \min(\tau, \varrho)$  for  $\tau, \varrho \in [0, 1]$ .

**Corollary 1.** Let m be the measure on  $C[0, \infty)$  associated with the process X and let F be the distribution function of the onedimensional normalized normal distribution (usually denoted by  $\Phi$ ). Then, for any  $t \in [0, \infty)$ ,

(8)  $m(\{g \in C[0, \infty) | \sup \{g(t + \tau) - g(t) | \tau \in [0, 1]\} > a\} < 2[1 - F(a/\sqrt{2})].$ For the proof cf. [4, p. 227].

**Corollary 2.** For any  $t \in [0, \infty)$  and  $0 < a \in \mathbb{R}$  we have

(9) 
$$m\{g \in C[0, \infty) \mid \sup\{g(t + \tau) \mid \tau \in [0, 1]\} > a\} < 3[1 - F(a/\sqrt{6})].$$

Proof. We have

(10) 
$$M_{t,a} = \{g \in C[0, \infty) \mid \sup g(t + \tau) \mid \tau \in [0, 1]\} > a\} \subset \\ \subset \{g \in C[0, \infty) \mid g(t) > a/\sqrt{6}\} \cup \\ \cup \{g \in C[0, \infty) \mid \sup \{g(t + \tau) - g(t)\} > a(1 - 6^{-1/2})\}.$$

Hence

$$m(M_{t,a}) \leq \left[1 - F(a/\sqrt{6}) + 2\left[1 - F(a(1 - 6^{-1/2}) 2^{-1/2})\right] \leq \\ \leq 3\left[1 - F(a/\sqrt{6})\right]$$

because of  $(1 - 6^{-1/2}) 2^{-1/2} \ge 6^{-1/2}$ .

Lemma 1. (i) The inequality

(11) 
$$(2\pi)^{-1/2} (a^{-1} - a^{-3}) e^{-a^2/2} \leq [1 - F(a)] \leq a^{-1} e^{-a^2/2} (2\pi)^{-1/2}$$

holds for a > 0

(ii) Let  $a \ge 3$ . Then

(12) 
$$1 - F(a) \ge [1 - F(a/\sqrt{6})]^6$$
.

Proof. (i) The inequality (11) can be obtained simply by integrating by parts the formula

$$1 - F(a) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{a}^{\infty} e^{-x^{2}/2} \, \mathrm{d}x \, .$$

(ii) We have

$$1 - F(a) \ge (2\pi)^{-1/2} a^{-1} (1 - a^{-2}) e^{-a^2/2},$$
  
$$(2\pi)^{-3} 6^3 a^{-6} (e^{-a^2/12})^6 \ge [1 - F(a/\sqrt{6})]^6$$

50

because of

$$a^{-2} + 6^3 a^{-5} \leq 1$$
 for  $a \geq 3$ .

Proposition 3. For  $n \in Z^+ = \{0, 1, ...\}$  let  $a_n = F^{-1}(1 - [10^3(1+n)]^{-7})$ . Then for (13)  $M = \{g \in C[0, \infty) | g(t) | \leq a_n \text{ for } n \leq t < n + 1; n \in Z^+\}$ the inequality

(14) m(M) > 0.985

holds.

Proof. We have  $a_n > 3$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Hence,

$$1 - F(a_n \sqrt{6}) \leq \left[1 - F(a_n)\right]^{1/6} = 10^{-3.5} (1+n)^{-7/6}.$$

Moreover,

$$C[0,\infty) - M \subset \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} [M_{n,a_n} \cup (-M_{n,a_n})]$$

where  $-B = \{-g \mid g \in B\}$  for  $\dot{B} \subset C[0, \infty)$ . Therefore,

$$m(M) \ge 1 - 6 \cdot 10^{-3 \cdot 5} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 + n)^{-7/6} \ge 1 - 14 \cdot 10^{-3} > 0.985$$
.

Let D be the set of those  $g \in C[0, \infty)$  for which  $w_{-}(1) < g(t) < w_{+}(1)$  for all  $0 \leq t < \infty$ . Define  $H: D \to C[0, \infty)$  by

$$H(g) = h(g(t))$$
 for  $g \in [0, \infty)$ ,

where

 $h(\xi) = F^{-1}(\sqrt{2} d^{-1}(\xi - \eta))$  for  $\xi \ge \eta$ ,  $h(\xi) = -F^{-1}(2d^{-1}(\eta - \xi))$  for  $\xi \le \eta$  and

$$d = \frac{1}{2} (w_+(1) - w_-(1)) \, .$$

Then,  $m_0 = m \circ H^{-1}$  is a probabilistic measure on the Borel subsets of D such that  $m_0(\{g(t) \mid w_-(1) + d \, 10^3(1+n)^{-7} \le g(t) \le w_+(1) - d[10^3(1+n)]^{-7}$  for  $t \in [n n + 1]\}) > 0.985$  and the marginal probabilities of cylinders satisfy

$$m_0(g \mid g(t) \in [a, b]) = d^{-1}(b - a)$$

for each  $w_{-}(1) < a \leq b < w_{+}(1)$ , i.e. they are proportional to the standard Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

#### 3. EXPONENTIAL ATTRACTION OF THE STABLE EQUILIBRIA OF S

In this section we prove

**Proposition 4.**  $\Phi(W) \supset H^{-1}(C[0, \infty)).$ 

This proposition expresses the meaning of the results of Section 2 for S, since it allows to define the exact measure  $\mu$  on W by  $\mu = m_0 \circ \Phi$  with  $m_0$  defined in Section 2. In virtue of Proposition 3, in order to prove Proposition 4 it suffices to prove

**Proposition 5.** There exists  $a \gamma > 0$  such that if

$$w_{-}(1) + Ke^{-\gamma t} \leq g(t) \leq w_{+}(1) - Ke^{-\gamma t}$$

for some K > 0 then  $g \in \Phi(W)$ .

For the proof of Proposition 5 we need

**Lemma 2.** Let  $v(0) \in (0, w_+(1))$ . Then for each  $\alpha < -f_u(0, u_+)$  there exists a  $\beta > 0$  such that

$$\Phi(v)(t) \ge w_{+}(1) - \beta e^{-\alpha t}.$$

A similar statement holds for  $v(0) \in (w_{-}(1), 0)$ .

Proof. Denote by  $x = \psi(t, \xi)$  the characteristics of (1) passing through the point  $t = 0, x = \xi$ . That is,  $\psi$  satisfies  $d\psi/dt = c(\psi), \psi(0, \xi) = \xi$ . Note that by Assumption A2,  $\psi(t, 0) = 0, \psi(t, \xi)$  is strictly increasing for  $\xi > 0$ . Choose  $\xi > 0$  and denote  $u_1(t) = u(t, \psi(t, \xi)), u_2(t) = w(\psi(t, \xi))$ , where u solves (1), (2). Both  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  satisfy the differential equation

$$\mathrm{d} u/\mathrm{d} t = f(\psi(t,\,\xi),\,u)\,,$$

so

(15) 
$$\dot{u}_1(t) - \dot{u}_2(t) = \int_0^1 f_u(\psi(t, \xi), u_2(t) + \vartheta(u_1(t) - u_2(t))) d\vartheta(u_1(t) - u_2(t)).$$

There is a  $d \in (0, \min\{1, u_+ - v(0)\})$  such that in  $Q_d = \{(x, u) \mid 0 \le x \le d, w_+(x) - d \le u \le w_+(x)\}$  we have  $f_u(x, u) \le -\alpha$ . Consequently, by (15),

$$d/dt(u_1(t) - u_2(t)) \geq -\alpha(u_1(t) - u_2(t))$$

whenever  $(\psi(t, \xi), u_i(t)) \in Q_d$  for i = 1, 2. Thus, we have

(16) 
$$u_1(t_2) - u_2(t_2) \ge e^{-a(t_2-t_1)}(u_1(t_1) - u_2(t_1))$$

if  $(\psi(t, \xi), u_i(t)) \in Q_d$  for  $t_1 \leq t \leq t_2$ , i = 1, 2. Consider the solution y(t) of the equation

$$\dot{y} = f(0, y)$$

satisfying y(0) = v(0). Since v(0) is in the domain of attraction of the equilibrium  $u_+$  of (17) there is a  $t'_1 > 0$  such that  $y(t'_1) = u_+ - d$ . By the continuous dependence theorem for ordinary differential equations there is a  $0 < d_1 \le d$  such that if  $0 \le \le \xi \le d_1$  then for some  $t_1(\xi) \le t'_1 + 1$  we have  $\psi(t_1(\xi), \xi) \le d$ ,  $u_1(t) = d$ .

Let  $t_2, t_3$  be given by  $\psi(t_2, \xi) = d$ ,  $\psi(t_3, \xi) = 1$ , respectively, and let  $L = \sup \{f_u(x, u) \mid 0 \le x \le 1, \text{ inf } w_-(x) \le u \le \sup w_+(x)\}$ . Note that  $t_3 - t_2 = \tau$ 

is given by  $\psi(\tau, d) = 1$  and, therefore, is independent of  $\xi$ . Thus, from (16) we have

(18) 
$$\Phi(v)(t_3) - w_+(1) = u(t, 1) - w_+(1) = u_1(t_3) - u_2(t_3) \ge e^{L(t_3 - t_2)}(u_1(t_2) - u_2(t_2)) \ge e^{Lt}e^{-\alpha(t_2 - t_1' - 1)}d.$$

For  $\xi \to 0$  we have  $t_3 \to \infty$  (Proposition 1 (iii)), so (18) proves the lemma.

Remark. The proof of Lemma 2 can be used to prove the inequality

$$u(t, x) \geq w(x) - \beta e^{-\alpha t}$$

for a solution u of (1), (2) with v(0) > 0 and  $0 \le x \le 1$ . It can be readily checked that  $\beta$  can be chosen independently of x. This refines slightly [3, Theorem 2] in that it gives an estimate of the rate of convergence of the solutions of (1), (2) to the stable equilibrium of the equation.

Proof of Proposition 5. Let  $\varphi$  be as in Proposition 1. Take  $\alpha \in (\gamma, -f_u(0, u_+))$ . By Lemma 2, for every  $\varepsilon \in (0, w_+(1))$  there exists a  $\beta > 0$  such that  $\Phi(\varepsilon)(t) \ge w_+(1) - -\beta e^{-\alpha t}(\varepsilon)$  is to be understood as the constant  $\varepsilon$ -valued function). Therefore, we have  $g(t) < \Phi(\varepsilon)(t)$  for  $t \ge T$  sufficiently large. Consequently,  $\tilde{\Phi}^{-1}(g)(x) \le \varepsilon$  for  $x \in \varphi^{-1}(T)$ . This proves

(19) 
$$\lim_{x\to 0} \sup \tilde{\Phi}^{-1}(g)(x) \leq 0.$$

Similarly, from the analogue of Lemma 2 for the case  $v(0) \in (u_{-}, 0)$  we obtain

(20) 
$$\liminf_{x \to 0} \tilde{\Phi}^{-1}(x) \ge 0.$$

From (19), (20) we have  $\lim_{x\to 0} \tilde{\Phi}^{-1}(g)(x) = 0$ , so  $\tilde{\Phi}^{-1}(g) \in W$ .

#### References

- P. Brunovský: Notes on chaos in the cell population partial differential equation. Nonlinear Analysis 7 (1983), 167–176.
- [2] P. Brunovský, J. Komorník: Ergodicity and exactness of the shift on C[0, ∞) and the semiflow of a first order partial differential equation. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 104 (1984), 235-245.
- [3] A. Lasota: Stable and chaotic solutions of a first order partial differential equation. Nonlinear Analysis 5 (1981), 1181-1193.
- [4] K. R. Parthasarathy: Probability measures on metric spaces. Academic Press, New York 1967.

Authors' address: 842 15 Bratislava, Mlynská dolina (Matematický pavilón Univerzity Komenského).