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# COMPACT IMBEDDING OF WEIGHTED SOBOLEV SPACE DEFINED ON AN UNBOUNDED DOMAIN I 
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Summary. The paper deals with compact imbedding of the weighted Sobolev space $W_{0}^{k, p}(\Omega, S)$ ( $S$ is a collection of weight functions) defined on an unbounded domain in the space of functions $L^{p}(\Omega, \varrho)$ ( $\varrho$ is a weight function). This imbedding is investigated as the limit case of the compact imbeddings of Sobolev spaces defined on bounded domains.
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## 1. INTRODUCTORY REMARKS

Let $\Omega$ be a domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. By the symbol $\mathscr{W}(\Omega)$ we denote the set of all measurable, a.e. in $\Omega$ positive and finite functions $\varrho=\varrho(x), x \in \Omega$. The elements of $\mathscr{W}(\Omega)$ will be called the weight functions.

Let $p \in\langle 1, \infty), \varrho \in \mathscr{W}(\Omega)$. We define the space $L^{p}(\Omega, \varrho)$ as the set of all measurable functions $u=u(x), x \in \Omega$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{p, \Omega, \varrho}=\left(\int_{\Omega}|u(x)|^{p} \varrho(x) \mathrm{d} x\right)^{1 / p}<\infty . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\varrho(x) \equiv 1$ we obtain the usual Lebesgue space $L^{p}(\Omega)$; in this case we write $\|u\|_{p, \Omega}$ instead of $\|u\|_{p, \Omega, \varrho}$. Obviously the space $L^{p}(\Omega, \varrho)$ with the norm (1.1) is a Banach space.

Let $k \in N$ and let a collection of weight functions

$$
S=\left\{w_{\alpha} \in \mathscr{W}(\Omega) ;|\alpha| \leqq k\right\}
$$

be given (here $\alpha$ is a multiindex). By the symbol $W^{k, p}(\Omega, S)$ we denote the set of all measurable functions $u$ defined a.e. in $\Omega$ which have on $\Omega$ distributional derivatives $D^{\alpha} u,|\alpha| \leqq k$, such that

$$
\left\|D^{\alpha} u\right\|_{p, \Omega, w_{\alpha}}<\infty
$$

If

$$
\left.w_{\alpha}^{-1 / p} \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{p^{*}}(\Omega), \quad|\alpha| \leqq k, *\right)
$$

we can easily verify that the space $W^{k, p}(\Omega, S)$ with the norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{k, p, \Omega, s}=\left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leqq k}\left\|D^{\alpha} u\right\|_{p, \Omega, w_{\alpha}}^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a Banach space.
Now, let us assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{\alpha} \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}(\Omega), \quad|\alpha| \leqq k \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the inclusion

$$
C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega) \subset W^{k, p}(\Omega, S)
$$

holds so we can introduce the so called "nulled space" $W_{0}^{k, p}(\Omega, S)$ as the closure of the set $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm (1.2). The norm in this space is again given by (1.2).

If $M$ is a subspace of a linear space $X$, we write $M \subset \subset X$.
Let $X$ and $Y$ be normed linear spaces. The symbol $[X, Y]$ will denote the space of all bounded linear operators mapping $X$ into $Y$. For $A \in[X, Y]$ we define

$$
\|A\|=\sup _{\|x\| \leqq 1}\|A x\|
$$

Further, let $Y$ be a Banach space. The operator $A \in[X, Y]$ is called compact if $A(\{x \in X ;\|x\| \leqq 1\})$ is totally bounded in $Y($ i.e. if $\operatorname{cl}(A(\{x \in X ;\|x\| \leqq 1\}))$ is compact in $Y$ ).

If $X \subset Y$ and the natural injection of $X$ into $Y$ is compact we write $X$ GG $Y$. The symbol $X \rightleftarrows Y$ denotes the fact that $X$ and $Y$ are isomorphic.

The aim of this paper is to derive conditions on the collection $S$ of weight functions and on the weight $\varrho$, which guarantee that the natural injection of $W_{0}^{k, p}(\Omega, S)$ into $L^{p}(\Omega, \varrho)$ is compact if the domain $\Omega$ is unbounded. The method which was used for a special weight function in [1] is generalized to suit our purpose.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In the subsequent sections we shall use these assertions:
2.1. Lemma. Let $X$ be a normed linear space and let $Y$ be a Banach space. Let $\left\{A_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of compact operators in $[X, Y]$ such that

$$
A_{n} \rightarrow A \text { in }[X, Y] \quad \text { (i.e. }\left\|A-A_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0 \text { for } n \rightarrow \infty \text { ). }
$$

Then $A$ is compact.
2.2. Lemma. Let $Z$ be a normed linear space, $X \subset \subset Z, \bar{X}=Z$. Let $Y$ be a Banach
$\left.{ }^{*}\right) p^{*}$ denotes the number $p /(p-1)$ with the convention $s / 0=\infty$ for $s \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$.
space and $A \in[X, Y]$ a compact operator. Then there exists a unique operator $\tilde{A} \in[Z, Y]$ such that
a) $\tilde{A}$ is compact,
b) $\left.\left.\tilde{A}\right|_{X}=A .^{*}\right)$
2.3. Remark. If the operator $A$ in Lemma 2.2 is the identical map from $X$ into $Y$, then $\tilde{A}$ is the identical map from $Z$ into $Y$.
2.4. Lemma. Let $a \in \mathbb{R}, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let us further suppose that $f \in C^{(m)}((a, \infty))$ and let supp $f$ be a compact set. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t)=\frac{(-1)^{m}}{(m-1)!} \int_{t}^{\infty}(s-t)^{m-1} f^{(m)}(s) \mathrm{d} s \quad \text { for } \quad t \in(a, \infty) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

2.5. Remark. Lemma 2.1 is an easy modification of Lemma III.1.5 in [2]. The proofs of the other assertions in this section are left to the reader.

## 3. COMPACT IMBEDDING OF WEIGHTED SOBOLEV SPACES

### 3.1. Using the Cartesian coordinates

The points $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ will sometimes be written in the form $x=$ $=\left(x^{\prime}, x_{N}\right)$, where $x^{\prime}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N-1}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$. If $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$, then we denote by $P_{N}(Q)$ the projection of the set $Q$ into the hyperplane $x_{N}=0$.

Let us suppose the following two conditions:
C1. $\Omega$ is an unbounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}, \Omega \subset(-a, a)^{N-1} \times(-a, \infty)$ where $a>0$.
C2. $W^{k, p}\left(\Omega_{n}, S\right)$ GG $\left.L^{p}\left(\Omega_{n}, \varrho\right) \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, * *\right)$ where $\Omega_{n}=\left\{x \in \Omega ; x_{N}<n\right\}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We shall investigate under what additional assumptions

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{0}^{k, p}(\Omega, S) \text { GG } L^{p}(\Omega, \varrho) \tag{3.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds.
Let us define the operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{n}: W_{0}^{k, p}(\Omega, S) \rightarrow L^{p}(\Omega, \varrho), \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \tag{3.1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

by
$\left.{ }^{*}\right)\left.\tilde{A}\right|_{X}$ denotes the restriction of the operator $\tilde{A}$ to $X$.
${ }^{* *}$ ) It is sufficient to assume
where

$$
M_{n} G G L^{P}\left(\Omega_{n}, \varrho\right) \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},
$$

$$
M_{n}=\left\{u ; u=\left.\nu\right|_{\Omega_{n}}, v \in W_{0}^{k, p}(\Omega, S)\right\}
$$

$$
\left(I_{n} u\right)(x)= \begin{cases}u(x), & x \in \Omega_{n}  \tag{3.1.3}\\ 0, & x \in \Omega \backslash \Omega_{n}\end{cases}
$$

3.1.1. Lemma. The operators $I_{n}, n \in N$, defined by means of (3.1.2) and (3.1.3) are compact.

Proof. As the space $L^{p}(\Omega, \varrho)$ is complete, it is sufficient to prove that the set

$$
M_{n}=\left\{I_{n} u ; u \in W_{0}^{k, p}(\Omega, S),\|u\|_{k, p, \Omega, S} \leqq 1\right\}
$$

is totally bounded in $L^{p}(\Omega, \varrho)$, i.e. that for each $\varepsilon>0$ the set $M_{n}$ has a finite $\varepsilon$-net in $L^{p}(\Omega, \varrho)$.

The condition $\mathbf{C 2}$ implies that the set

$$
\tilde{M}_{n}=\left\{v ; v \in W^{k, p}\left(\Omega_{n}, S\right),\|v\|_{k, p, \Omega_{n}, S} \leqq 1\right\}
$$

is totally bounded in $L^{p}\left(\Omega_{n}, \varrho\right)$ and therefore this set has a finite $\varepsilon$-net in $L^{p}\left(\Omega_{n}, \varrho\right)$ for each $\varepsilon>0$.

Let $\varepsilon>0$ and let

$$
\left\{v_{1}^{n}, \ldots, v_{i}^{n}\right\}
$$

be a finite $\varepsilon$-net of the set $\tilde{M}_{n}$. Then the set

$$
\left\{w_{1}^{n}, \ldots, w_{i}^{n}\right\}
$$

where

$$
w_{j}^{n}(x)=\left\langle\begin{array}{ll}
v_{j}^{n}(x), & x \in \Omega_{n}, \quad j=1, \ldots, i \\
0, & x \in \Omega \backslash \Omega_{n}
\end{array}\right.
$$

is a finite $\varepsilon$-net of $M_{n}$ because for $u \in W_{0}^{k, p}(\Omega, S),\|u\|_{k, p, \Omega, s} \leqq 1$, we have

$$
\min _{1 \leqq j \leqq i}\left\|I_{n} u-w_{j}^{n}\right\|_{p, \Omega, e}=\min _{1 \leqq j \leqq i}\left\|u-v_{j}^{n}\right\|_{p, \Omega_{n}, e}<\varepsilon
$$

as $\left.u\right|_{\Omega_{n}} \in \tilde{M}_{n}$.
Further, by the symbol $X$ let us denote the set $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{x}=$ $=\|\cdot\|_{k, p, \Omega, s}$ and let us consider the operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
I: X \rightarrow L^{p}(\Omega, \varrho) \tag{3.1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
I u=u, \quad u \in X \tag{3.1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In virtue of Lemma 2.2 and Remark 2.3 one can show that (3.1.1) holds if and only if the operator $I$ is compact. To investigate the compactness of $I$ we shall use Lemma 2.1. Therefore we shall try to approximate the operator $I$ by the compact operators $J_{n}=\left.I_{n}\right|_{X}\left(I_{n}\right.$ are the operators defined by means of (3.1.2) and (3.1.3)).

Let us investigate when

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{n} \rightarrow I \text { in }[X, Y] \tag{3.1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds, where we take for the sake of simplicity $Y=L^{p}(\Omega, \varrho)$.
We easily get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|I-J_{n}\right\| & =\sup _{\|u\|_{x \leq 1}}\left\|I u-J_{n} u\right\|_{Y}=\sup _{\|u\|_{x} \leq 1}\left\|u-I_{n} u\right\|_{Y}= \\
& =\sup _{\|u\|_{x} \leq 1}\|u\|_{p, \Omega \backslash \Omega_{n}, e}=\sup _{\|u\|_{x} \leqq 1}\|u\|_{p, \Omega^{n}, e},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Omega^{n}=\left\{x \in \Omega ; x_{N}>n\right\}$. This yields: (3.1.6) holds if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\|u\|_{x} \leqq 1}\|u\|_{p, \Omega^{n}, e} \rightarrow 0 \text { for } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition to $\mathbf{C 1}, \mathbf{C 2}$ we shall suppose that the following condition is fulfilled:
C3. There exist numbers $C>0, m, n_{0} \in N, 1 \leqq m \leqq k$, and nonnegative measurable functions $\mu:\left(n_{0}, \infty\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, v:\left(n_{0}, \infty\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \xi: P_{N}\left(\Omega^{n_{0}}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\varrho(x) \leqq C \mu\left(x_{N}\right) \xi\left(x^{\prime}\right) \text { for } \text { a.e. } x \in \Omega^{n_{0}} ;  \tag{3.1.8}\\
v\left(x_{N}\right) \xi\left(x^{\prime}\right) \leqq C w_{(0, \ldots, 0, m)}(x) \text { for } \text { a.e. } x \in \Omega^{n_{0}} ;  \tag{3.1.9}\\
h(n)=h(n ; \mu, v, p, m)=  \tag{3.1.10}\\
=\int_{n}^{\infty} \mu(t)\left\|(s-t)^{m-1} v^{-1 / p}(s)\right\|_{p^{*},(t, \infty)}^{p} \mathrm{~d} t \rightarrow 0 \text { for } n \rightarrow \infty .
\end{gather*}
$$

We shall investigate the validity of (3.1.7). Let $u \in X$ and $n \geqq n_{0}$, where $n_{0}$ is the number from the condition C3. We extend the function $u$ outside $\Omega$ by zero (then, clearly, $u \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ ) and put $\xi\left(x^{\prime}\right)=1$ for $x^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1} \backslash P_{N}\left(\Omega^{n_{0}}\right)$. Using the Fubini theorem, in view of (3.1.8), we get for $n \geqq n_{0}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\|u\|_{p, \Omega^{n}, \varrho}^{p}=\int_{\Omega^{n}}|u(x)|^{p} \varrho(x) \mathrm{d} x \leqq  \tag{3.1.11}\\
\leqq C \int_{R^{N-1}}\left[\int_{n}^{\infty}\left|u\left(x^{\prime}, x_{N}\right)\right|^{p} \mu\left(x_{N}\right) \mathrm{d} x_{N}\right] \xi\left(x^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{d} x^{\prime} .
\end{gather*}
$$

For a fixed $x^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$ we denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t)=u\left(x^{\prime}, t\right), \quad t \in \mathbb{R} \tag{3.1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Evidently $f \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. Let the number $m$ be from the condition C3. Applying Lemma 2.4 we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(t)| \leqq \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \int_{t}^{\infty}(s-t)^{m-1}\left|f^{(m)}(s)\right| \mathrm{d} s, \quad t \in \mathbb{R} . \tag{3.1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

First, let $p \in(1, \infty)$. Then using the Hölder inequality we get for $t \in(n ; \infty)$

$$
\begin{gathered}
|f(t)| \leqq \frac{1}{(m-1)!}\left(\int_{t}^{\infty}\left|f^{(m)}(s)\right|^{p} v(s) \mathrm{d} s\right)^{1 / p} \cdot \\
\cdot\left(\int_{t}^{\infty}(s-t)^{((m-1) /(p-1)) p}[v(s)]^{-1 /(p-1)} \mathrm{d} s\right)^{(p-1) / p} \leqq \\
\leqq \frac{1}{(m-1)!}\left(\int_{n}^{\infty}\left|f^{(m)}(s)\right|^{p} v(s) \mathrm{d} s\right)^{1 / p} . \\
\cdot\left(\int_{t}^{\infty}(s-t)^{((m-1) /(p-1)) p}[v(s)]^{-1 /(p-1)} \mathrm{d} s\right)^{(p-1) / p} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Raising this inequality to the $p$-th power, multiplying by the function $\mu(t)$ and integrating by $t$ from $n$ to $\infty$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{n}^{\infty}|f(t)|^{p} \mu(t) \mathrm{d} t \leqq\left[\frac{1}{(m-1)!}\right]^{p} h(n) \int_{n}^{\infty}\left|f^{(m)}(s)\right|^{p} v(s) \mathrm{d} s, \tag{3.1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the function $h$ is defined in (3.1.10). The relations (3.1.11), (3.1.12), (3.1.14) and (3.1.9) imply

$$
\begin{gather*}
\|u\|_{p, \Omega^{n}, e}^{p} \leqq  \tag{3.1.15}\\
\leqq C\left[\frac{1}{(m-1)!}\right]^{p} h(n) \int_{R^{N-1}}\left[\int_{n}^{\infty}\left|\frac{\partial^{m}}{\partial x_{N}^{m}} u\left(x^{\prime}, s\right)\right|^{p} v(s) \mathrm{d} s\right] \xi\left(x^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{d} x^{\prime} \leqq \\
\leqq C^{2}\left[\frac{1}{(m-1)!}\right]^{p} h(n) \int_{\Omega^{n}}\left|D^{\alpha} u(x)\right|^{p} w_{a}(x) \mathrm{d} x,
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\alpha=(0, \ldots, 0, m)$. From (3.1.15) we conclude

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\|u\|_{x} \leqq 1}\|u\|_{p, \Omega^{n}, Q} \leqq C^{2 / p} \frac{1}{(m-1)!} h^{1 / p}(n) . \tag{3.1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let $p=1$. Then from (3.1.13) for $t \in(n, \infty)$ we have

$$
|f(t)| \leqq \frac{1}{(m-1)!}\left[\underset{s>t}{\operatorname{ess} \sup }(s-t)^{m-1} v^{-1}(s)\right] \int_{n}^{\infty}\left|f^{(m)}(s)\right| v(s) \mathrm{d} s
$$

Multiplying this inequality by the function $\mu(t)$ and integrating by $t$ from $n$ to $\infty$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{n}^{\infty}|f(t)| \mu(t) \mathrm{d} t \leqq \frac{1}{(m-1)!} h(n) \int_{n}^{\infty}\left|f^{(m)}(s)\right| v(s) \mathrm{d} s, \tag{3.1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
h(n)=h(n ; \mu, v, 1, m)=  \tag{3.1.18}\\
=\int_{n}^{\infty} \mu(t)\left[\underset{s>t}{\operatorname{ess} \sup }(s-t)^{m-1} v^{-1}(s)\right] \mathrm{d} t= \\
=\int_{n}^{\infty} \mu(t)\left\|(s-t)^{m-1} v^{-1 / p}(s)\right\|_{p^{*},(t, \infty)}^{p} \mathrm{~d} t .
\end{gather*}
$$

From (3.1.17) we again get (3.1.16).
We have just proved:
3.1.2. Theorem. Let the conditions $\mathbf{C 1}-\mathbf{C} 3$ be fulfilled. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{o}^{k, p}(\Omega, S) \mathrm{GG} L^{p}(\Omega, \varrho) . \tag{3.1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

3.1.3. Remark. If the condition (3.1.10) in $\mathbf{C} 3$ is replaced by the assumption

$$
\begin{gather*}
g(n)=g(n ; \mu, v, p, m)=  \tag{*}\\
=\int_{n}^{\infty}\left\|(s-t)^{m-1}\left[\frac{\mu(s)}{v(s)}\right]^{1 / p}\right\|_{p^{*},(t, \infty)}^{p} \mathrm{~d} t \rightarrow 0 \text { for } n \rightarrow \infty
\end{gather*}
$$

and if we suppose that, in addition to all the assumptions of Theorem 3.1.2,
the function $\mu$ is nondecreasing on $\left(n_{0}, \infty\right)$,
then (3.1.19) holds again.*)
3.1.4. Example. Let $\Omega$ satisfy the condition C1. Let further $p \in\langle 1, \infty), k=1$, $\beta>0, \alpha<\beta$. For $x \in \Omega$ we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{\gamma}(x) \equiv 1 \quad \text { for } \quad|\gamma| \leqq 1, \quad \gamma \neq(0, \ldots, 0,1) \tag{3.1.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $S=\left\{w_{\gamma} ;|\gamma| \leqq 1\right\}$. Because

$$
W^{1, p}\left(\Omega_{n}, S\right) \nLeftarrow W^{1, p}\left(\Omega_{n}\right), \quad L^{p}\left(\Omega_{n}, \varrho\right) \rightleftarrows L^{p}\left(\Omega_{n}\right), \quad n \in N
$$

we obtain from the well-known (unweighted) imbedding theorem

$$
\left.W^{1, p}\left(\Omega_{n}, S\right) \text { GG } L^{p}\left(\Omega_{n}, \varrho\right), \quad n \in N,{ }^{* *}\right)
$$

and so the condition $\mathbf{C} 2$ is satisfied. If we choose $m=1, C=1, n_{0} \in N, \xi\left(x^{\prime}\right)=1$ for $x^{\prime} \in P_{N}\left(\Omega^{n_{0}}\right)$,

$$
\mu(s)=e^{\alpha s}, \quad v(s)=e^{\beta s}, \quad s \in\left(n_{0}, \infty\right)
$$

we can see that (3.1.8) and (3.1.9) from C3 are satisfied, too.
Let us investigate the validity of (3.1.10). We easily get that

$$
h(n)=\left(\frac{p-1}{\beta}\right)^{p-1} \frac{1}{\beta-\alpha} e^{(\alpha-\beta) n}, \quad p \in\langle 1, \infty), \quad n \geqq n_{0},
$$

and therefore $h(n) \rightarrow 0$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then Theorem 3.1.2 implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega, S) \text { GG } L^{p}(\Omega, \varrho) \tag{3.1.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]3.1.5. Remark. In Example 3.1.4 we do not have to choose the weight functions $w_{\gamma}$ for $|\gamma| \leqq 1, \gamma \neq(0, \ldots, 0,1)$ by (3.1.21). It is sufficient that
$$
W^{1, p}\left(\Omega_{n}, S\right) \rightleftarrows W^{1, p}\left(\Omega_{n}\right), \quad n \in N
$$

From this relation we see that (3.1.21) can be replaced by

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{\gamma}(x)=e^{\delta_{\gamma} x_{N}}, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad|\gamma| \leqq 1, \quad \gamma \neq(0, \ldots, 0,1), \tag{3.1.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta_{\gamma}$ are some real numbers.
3.1.6. Example. Let $\Omega$ satisfy the condition $\mathbf{C 1}, p \in\langle 1, \infty), k, m \in N, k \geqq 1$, $1 \leqq m \leqq k, \beta>0, \alpha<\beta$. For $x \in \Omega$ we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{\gamma}(x)=e^{\delta_{\gamma} x_{N}}, \quad|\gamma| \leqq k, \quad \gamma \neq(0, \ldots, 0, m), \tag{3.1.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta_{\gamma}$ are some real numbers,

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{(0, \ldots, 0, m)}(x)=e^{\beta x_{N}}, \quad \varrho(x)=e^{\alpha x_{N}} . \tag{3.1.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $S=\left\{w_{\gamma} ;|\gamma| \leqq k\right\}$. Analogously as in Example 3.1.4 we can verify that the conditions C2 and (3.1.8), (3.1.9) are satisfied (we choose $\mu(s)=e^{\alpha s}, v(s)=e^{\beta s}$ and $\xi\left(x^{\prime}\right)=1$ ).

We shall investigate the validity of (3.1.10) from $\mathbf{C 3}$. We choose $\varepsilon$ in such a way that $0<\varepsilon<\min (\beta, \beta-\alpha)$. Evidently, there exists a number $n_{1} \in N$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.s^{(m-1) p} \leqq e^{\varepsilon s} \text { for } s>n_{1},^{*}\right) \tag{3.1.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that for $n \geqq \max \left(n_{0}, n_{1}\right)$ (the number $n_{0}$ is from the condition C3) we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
h(n)=\int_{n}^{\infty} \mu(t)\left\|(s-t)^{m-1} v^{-1 / p}(s)\right\|_{p^{*},(t, \infty)}^{p} \mathrm{~d} t \leqq \\
\leqq \int_{n}^{\infty} e^{\alpha s}\left\|e^{\varepsilon s / p} e^{-\beta_{s} / p}\right\|_{p^{*},(t, \infty)}^{p} \mathrm{~d} t= \\
=\left(\frac{p-1}{\beta-\varepsilon}\right)^{p-1} \cdot \frac{1}{\beta-\alpha-\varepsilon} e^{(\alpha+\varepsilon-\beta) n} \rightarrow 0 \text { for } n \rightarrow \infty .
\end{gathered}
$$

From Theorem 3.1.2 we obtain (3.1.19).
For $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ let us define

$$
z_{\varepsilon}(x)= \begin{cases}x_{N}^{\varepsilon}, & x_{N}>1 \\ 1, & x_{N} \leqq 1\end{cases}
$$

3.1.7. Example. Let $\Omega$ satisfy the condition $\mathbf{C 1}, p \in\langle 1, \infty), k, m \in N, k \geqq 1$,
*) Example 3.1.6 generalizes Example 3.1.4. If $m=1$, then it is possible to choose $\varepsilon=0$.
$1 \leqq m \leqq k, \beta>m p-1, \alpha<\beta-m p$. For $x \in \Omega$ we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{\gamma}(x)=z_{\delta_{\gamma}}(x), \quad|\gamma| \leqq k, \quad \gamma \neq(0, \ldots, 0, m), \tag{3.1.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta_{\gamma} \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{(0, \ldots, 0, m)}(x)=z_{\beta}(x), \quad \varrho(x)=z_{\alpha}(x) \tag{3.1.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $S=\left\{w_{\gamma} ;|\gamma| \leqq k\right\}$. Analogously as in Example 3.1 .4 we can verify that the condition $\mathbf{C 2}$ is satisfied. If we choose $C=1, n_{0} \in N, \xi\left(x^{\prime}\right)=1$ for $x^{\prime} \in P_{N}\left(\Omega^{n_{0}}\right)$,

$$
\mu(s)=s^{\alpha}, \quad v(s)=s^{\beta}, \quad s \in\left(n_{0}, \infty\right),
$$

we can see that (3.1.8) and (3.1.9) from C3 are satisfied, too.
Let us investigate the validity of (3.1.10). We easily obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
h(n)=\int_{n}^{\infty} \mu(t)\left\|(s-t)^{m-1} v^{-1 / p}(s)\right\|_{p^{*},(t, \infty)}^{p} \mathrm{~d} t \leqq \\
\leqq \\
=\int_{n}^{\infty} \mu(t)\left\|s^{m-1} v^{-1 / p}(s)\right\|_{p^{*},(t, \infty)}^{p} \mathrm{~d} t= \\
=\left(\frac{p-1}{\beta-m p+1}\right)^{p-1} \cdot \frac{1}{\beta-\alpha-m p} t^{\alpha}\left\|s^{m-1} s^{-\beta / p}\right\|_{p^{*},(t, \infty)}^{p} \mathrm{~d} t= \\
n^{\alpha-\beta+m p} \rightarrow 0 \text { for } n \rightarrow \infty .
\end{gathered}
$$

From Theorem 3.1.2 the imbeding (3.1.19) follows.
3.1.8. Remark. (i) If $\Omega$ is an unbounded domain, $\Omega \subset(-a, a)^{N-1} \times(-\infty, a)$, where $a>0$, then it is possible to reduce this case to that investigated in Theorem 3.1.2 by a transformation of variables

$$
y^{\prime}=x^{\prime}, \quad y_{N}=-x_{N} .
$$

(ii) The case when $\Omega$ is an unbounded domain,
$\Omega \subset(-a, a)^{N-1} \times \mathbb{R}(a>0)$ and $\inf \left\{x_{N} ; x \in \Omega\right\}=-\infty, \sup \left\{x_{N} ; x \in \Omega\right\}=+\infty$, can be investigated analogously as in Theorem 3.1.2 with the only difference of cutting the domain $\Omega$ at both eṇds, i.e. for $n \in N$ we define

$$
\Omega_{n}=\left\{x \in \Omega ;\left|x_{N}\right|<n\right\}, \quad \Omega^{n}=\left\{x \in \Omega ;\left|x_{N}\right|>n\right\} .
$$

(iii) Theorem 3.1.2 describes the situation when the weight function $\varrho$ or $w_{(0, \ldots, 0, m)}$ can be bounded from above or from below, respectively, by the product of a positive constant and two nonnegative measurable functions one of which depends on the variable $x_{N}$ only while the other depends only on $x^{\prime}$ and the domain is unbounded in the direction of the $x_{N}$ axis. Let us remark that any of the variables $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{N}$
can play the role of the variable $x_{N}$. It is even possible to study the case when some curvilinear coordinate takes the role of the variable $x_{N}$. In Section 3.2 we shall discuss the case of spherical coordinates.

### 3.2. USING THE SPHERICAL COORDINATES

We shall consider spherical coordinates $(r, \Theta)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, where $r=|x|$ is the distance from the point $x$ to the origin and $\Theta=x \| x \mid$ is a point on the unit sphere $E=$ $=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{\tilde{N}} ;|x|=1\right\}$. If $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$, then $P_{E}(Q)$ will denote the projection of the set $Q$ into the unit sphere $E$, i.e.

$$
P_{E}(Q)=\{\Theta \in E ; \exists r>0,(r, \Theta) \in Q\} .
$$

Let $W^{k, p}(\Omega, S), L^{p}(\Omega, \varrho)$ and $X$ be as in Section 3.1. Throughout this section we consider the following two conditions:

C1*. $\Omega$ is an unbounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$.

C2*. $W^{k, p}\left(\Omega_{n}, S\right)$ GQ $L^{p}\left(\Omega_{n}, \varrho\right) \forall n \in N$, where $\Omega_{n}=\{x \in \Omega ;|x|<n\}$ for $n \in N$. Again, we shall look for additional assumptions implying

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{o}^{k, p}(\Omega, S) \operatorname{GG} L^{p}(\Omega, \varrho) \tag{3.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote $\Omega^{n}=\{x \in \Omega ;|x|>n\}$ for $n \in N$. Analogously as in Section 3.1 we can prove: If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\|u\|_{x} \leq 1}\|u\|_{p, \Omega^{n}, e} \rightarrow 0 \text { for } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then (3.2.1) holds.
Moreover, suppose that the following condition is fulfilled:
C3*. There exist numbers $C>0, m, n_{0} \in N, 1 \leqq m \leqq k$ and nonnegative measurable functions $\mu:\left(n_{0}, \infty\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\nu:\left(n_{0}, \infty\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \xi: P_{E}\left(\Omega^{n_{0}}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\varrho(x) \leqq C \mu(|x|) \quad \xi\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right) \text { for a.e. } x \in \Omega^{n_{0}} ;  \tag{3.2.3}\\
v(|x|) \xi\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right) \leqq C \min _{|\alpha|=m} w_{\alpha}(x) \text { for a.e. } x \in \Omega^{n_{0}} ;  \tag{3.2.4}\\
h(n)=h(n ; \mu, v, p, m)=  \tag{3.2.5}\\
=\int_{n}^{\infty} \mu(r)\left\|(s-r)^{m-1} v^{-1 / p}(s)\right\|_{p^{*},(r, \infty)}^{p} \mathrm{~d} r \rightarrow 0 \text { for } n \rightarrow \infty .
\end{gather*}
$$

Now we shall investigate the validity of (3.2.2). Let $u \in X$ and $n \geqq n_{0}$, where $n_{0}$ is the number from the condition C3*. We extend the function $u$ outside $\Omega$ by zero (then, clearly, $u \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ ) and take $\xi(\Theta)=1$ for $\Theta \in E \backslash P_{E}\left(\Omega^{n_{0}}\right)$. In view of (3.2.3),

$$
\begin{gather*}
\|u\|_{p, \Omega^{n}, \ell}^{p}=\int_{\Omega^{n}}|u(x)|^{p} \varrho(x) \mathrm{d} x \leqq  \tag{3.2.6}\\
\leqq C \int_{E}\left[\int_{n}^{\infty}|u(r, \Theta)|^{p} \mu(r) r^{N-1} \mathrm{~d} r\right] \xi(\Theta) \mathrm{d} \Theta \text { for } n \geqq n_{0} .
\end{gather*}
$$

For a fixed $\Theta \in E$ we denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(r)=u(r, \Theta), \quad r>0 \tag{3.2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Evidently $f \in C^{\infty}((0, \infty))$, and $\operatorname{supp} f$ is a compact set. Let the number $m$ be from the condition C3*. Applying Lemma 2.4 we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(r)=\frac{(-1)^{m}}{(m-1)!} \int_{r}^{\infty}(s-r)^{m-1} f^{(m)}(s) \mathrm{d} s, \quad r>0 \tag{3.2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies
(3.2.9) $|f(r)| \mu^{1 / p}(r) r^{(N-1) / p} \leqq \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \mu^{1 / p}(r) \int_{r}^{\infty}(s-r)^{m-1}\left|f^{(m)}(s)\right| s^{(N-1) / p} \mathrm{~d} s$.

Analogously as in Section 3.1 we obtain from (3.2.9)

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{n}^{\infty}|f(r)|^{p} \mu(r) r^{N-1} \mathrm{~d} r \leqq  \tag{3.2.10}\\
\leqq\left[\frac{1}{(m-1)!}\right]^{p} h(n) \int_{n}^{\infty}\left|f^{(m)}(s)\right|^{p} v(s) s^{N-1} \mathrm{~d} s,
\end{gather*}
$$

where the function $h$ is defined in (3.2.5). The relations (3.2.4), (3.2.6), (3.2.7) and (3.2.10) imply

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|u\|_{p, \Omega^{n}, e}^{p} \leqq \\
\leqq C\left[\frac{1}{(m-1)!}\right]^{p} h(n) \int_{E}\left[\int_{n}^{\infty}\left|\frac{\partial^{m}}{\partial r^{m}} u(s, \Theta)\right|^{p} v(s) s^{N-1} \mathrm{~d} s\right] \xi(\Theta) \mathrm{d} \Theta \leqq \\
\leqq C^{2}\left[\frac{1}{(m-1)!}\right]^{p} h(n) \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \int_{\Omega^{n}}\left|D^{\alpha} u(x)\right|^{p} w_{\alpha}(x) \mathrm{d} x \leqq \\
\leqq C^{2}\left[\frac{1}{(m-1)!}\right]^{p} h(n)\|u\|_{X}^{p},
\end{gathered}
$$

hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\|u\|_{x} \leqq 1}\|u\|_{p, \Omega^{n}, e} \leqq C^{2 / p} \frac{1}{(m-1)!} h^{1 / p}(n), \quad n \geqq n_{0} . \tag{3.2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

This and (3.2.5) yield (3.2.2).
From the above consideration we have
3.2.1. Theorem. Let the conditions $\mathbf{C 1}{ }^{*}-\mathbf{C} 3^{*}$ be fulfilled. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{0}^{k, p}(\Omega, S) G Q L^{p}(\Omega, \varrho) . \tag{3.1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

3.2.2. Remark. (i) The function $h$ from (3.2.5) coincides with the function $h$ from (3.1.10). Therefore we get analogous results here as in Section 3.1. Especially, if $m=1, \mu(r)=r^{\alpha}, v(r)=r^{\beta}$ for $r \in\left(n_{0}, \infty\right)$, then $h(n) \rightarrow 0$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$ if $\beta>p-1$, $\alpha<\beta-p$ (cf. Example 3.1.7). Consequently, the number $\beta$ is always positive (because $p \in\langle 1, \infty)$ ). If we assume in addition that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { the function } \mu(r) r^{N-1} \text { is nondecreasing on }\left(n_{0}, \infty\right) \tag{3.2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

we get a larger interval for $\beta$ - see Example 3.2.3.
(ii) If the condition (3.2.5) in $\mathbf{C} 3^{*}$ is replaced by the assumption

$$
\begin{gather*}
g(n)=g(n ; \mu, v, p, m)=  \tag{*}\\
=\int_{n}^{\infty}\left\|(s-r)^{m-1}\left[\frac{\mu(s)}{v(s)}\right]^{1 / p}\right\|_{p^{*},(r, \infty)}^{p} \mathrm{~d} r \rightarrow 0 \text { for } n \rightarrow \infty
\end{gather*}
$$

and if suppose that, in addition to all the assumptions of Theorem 3.2.1, (3.2.13) is fulfilled, then (3.2.12) holds again.

Really, let us suppose (3.2.13). Then from (3.2.8) we obtain

$$
|f(r)| \mu^{1 / p}(r) r^{(N-1) / p} \leqq \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \int_{r}^{\infty}(s-r)^{m-1}\left|f^{(m)}(s)\right| \mu^{1 / p}(s) s^{(N-1) / p} \mathrm{~d} s
$$

and further we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{n}^{\infty}|f(r)|^{p} \mu(r) r^{N-1} \mathrm{~d} r \leqq  \tag{3.2.14}\\
\leqq\left[\frac{1}{(m-1)!}\right]^{p} g(n) \int_{n}^{\infty}\left|f^{(m)}(s)\right|^{p} v(s) s^{N-1} \mathrm{~d} s
\end{gather*}
$$

The relations (3.2.4), (3.2.6), (3.2.7) and (3.2.14) imply

$$
\|u\|_{p, \Omega^{n}, \varrho}^{p} \leqq C^{2}\left[\frac{1}{(m-1)!}\right]^{p} g(n)\|u\|_{X}^{p}
$$

hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\|u\|_{x \leqq 1}\|u\|_{p, \Omega^{n}, e} \leqq} C^{2 / p} \frac{1}{(m-1)!} g^{1 / p}(n) \tag{3.2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

This and (3.2.5*) yield the desired assertion.
(iii) Let us remark that while the functions $h$ and $g$ in Section 3.1 (see (3.1.10)
and (3.1.10*)) satisfied

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(n) \leqq g(n) \quad \text { for } \quad n \geqq n_{0}, \tag{3.2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

the functions $h$ and $g$ given by (3.2.5) and (3.2.5*) need not generally satisfy the inequality (3.2.16) (here, the function $\mu(r) r^{N-1}$ is nondecreasing in contradiction to Section 3.1, where $\mu(r)$ was nondecreasing).

For $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ let us take

$$
\omega_{\varepsilon}(x)= \begin{cases}|x|^{\varepsilon}, & |x|>1  \tag{3.2.17}\\ 1, & |x| \leqq 1\end{cases}
$$

3.2.3. Example. Let $\Omega$ be an unbounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}, p \in\langle 1, \infty), k=1, \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta>1-N+p, \quad \alpha \in\langle 1-N, \beta-p) \tag{3.2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $x \in \Omega$ we define

$$
\begin{gathered}
\varrho(x)=\omega_{\alpha}(x), \quad w_{(0, \ldots, 0)}(x)=\omega_{\varepsilon}(x), \\
w_{\gamma}(x)=\omega_{\beta}(x) \text { for }|\gamma|=1 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $S=\left\{w_{\gamma} ;|\gamma| \leqq 1\right\}$.
We can easily verify that the conditions $\mathbf{C 1}{ }^{*}, \mathbf{C} \mathbf{2}^{*}$, and (3.2.3), (3.2.4) from the condition C3* are satisfied (in the condition C3* we take $m=1, C=1, n_{0} \in N$, $\xi(\Theta)=1$ for $\Theta \in P_{E}\left(\Omega^{n_{0}}\right), \mu(r)=r^{\alpha}, v(r)=r^{\beta}$ for $r \in\left(n_{0}, \infty\right)$ ).

Let us now investigate the validity of (3.2.5*). For $n \in N, n \geqq n_{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g(n)=\int_{n}^{\infty}\left\|(s-r)^{m-1}\left[\frac{\mu(s)}{v(s)}\right]^{1 / p}\right\|_{p^{*},(r, \infty)}^{p} \mathrm{~d} r= \\
& =\left(\frac{p-1}{\beta-\alpha-p+1}\right)^{p-1} \cdot \frac{1}{\beta-\alpha-p} n^{\alpha-\beta+p}
\end{aligned}
$$

hence $g(n) \rightarrow 0$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$. One can easily verify that (3.2.13) is satisfied as well. Therefore, from Remark 3.2.2 (ii) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{o}^{1, p}(\Omega, S) \text { GQ } L^{p}(\Omega, \varrho) \tag{3.2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we use Theorem 3.2.1, we obtain (3.2.19) for

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta>p-1, \quad \alpha<\beta-p \tag{3.2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us compare (3.2.18) with (3.2.20). In contrast to (3.2.18) where the interval for $\beta$ is larger for $N>2$, the interval for $\alpha$ in (3.2.18) is smaller. The interval for $\alpha$ can, of course, be extended by means of the following remark.
3.2.4. Remark. Let $\Omega$ be an unbounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha_{2} \geqq \alpha_{1}$. For $x \in \Omega$ let us take

$$
\varrho_{i}(x)=\omega_{a_{i}}(x), \quad i=1,2 .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{p}\left(\Omega, \varrho_{2}\right) G L^{p}\left(\Omega, \varrho_{1}\right) \tag{3.2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof is easy: For $|x| \geqq 1$ we have $|x|^{\alpha_{2}} \geqq|x|^{\alpha_{1}}$ and hence $\varrho_{2}(x) \geqq \varrho_{1}(x)$ for $x \in \Omega^{1}$. Consequently, for $u \in L^{p}\left(\Omega, \varrho_{2}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|u\|_{p, \Omega_{, \varrho_{1}}}^{p}=\|u\|_{p, \Omega_{1}, \varrho_{1}}^{p}+\|u\|_{p, \Omega^{1}, \varrho_{1}}^{p}=\|u\|_{p, \Omega_{1}, \varrho_{2}}^{p}+\|u\|_{p, \Omega^{1}, \varrho_{1}}^{p} \leqq \\
& \leqq\|u\|_{p, \Omega_{1}, \varrho_{2}}^{p}+\|u\|_{p, \Omega^{1}, \varrho_{2}}^{p}=\|u\|_{p, \Omega, \varrho_{2}}^{p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This yields (3.2.21).
3.2.5. Remarks. From Example 3.2 .3 and Remark 3.2 .4 we get:
(3.2.19) holds if

$$
\beta>\min (1-N+p, p-1), \quad \alpha<\beta-p .
$$
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Souhrn

## KOMPAKTNOST VNOŘENÍ VÁHOVÉHO SOBOLEVOVA PROSTORU DEFINOVANÉHO NA NEOMEZENÉ OBLASTI I

## Bончмír Opic

Článek se zabývá kompaktním vnořením váhového Sobolevova prostoru $W_{0}^{k, p}(\Omega, S)$ ( $S$ je systém váhových funkeí) definovaného na neomezené oblasti do prostoru funkci $L^{p}(\Omega, \varrho)$ ( $\varrho$ je váhová funkce). Dané vnoření je vyšetř̌ováno jako limitní případ kompaktních vnoření Sobolevových prostorů definovaných na omezených oblastech.

Резюме

## КОМПАКТНОЕ ВЛОЖЕНИЕ ВЕСОВОГО ПРОСТРАНСТВА СОБОЛЕВА,

 ОПРЕДЕЛЕННОГО В НЕОГРАНИЧЕННОЙ ОБЛАСТИBohumír Opic
В работе исследуется компактность вложения весового пространства Соболева $W_{0}^{k, p}(\Omega, S)$ ( $S$ - система весовых функций), определенного в неограниченной области, в пространство функций $L^{P}(\Omega, \varrho)$ ( $\varrho$-весовая функция). Это вложение рассматривается как предельный случай компактных вложений пространств Соболева, определенных в ограниченных областях.
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[^0]:    *) Let us remark that $0 \leqq h(n) \leqq g(n) \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty$.
    ${ }^{* *}$ ) As we work with the , nulled space" $W_{0}^{k, p}(\Omega, S)$, we can assume without loss of generality that $\Omega_{n} \in C^{0,1}$ for each $n \in N$.

