Pavel Kostyrko On a local form of Lobachevski's functional equation

Časopis pro pěstování matematiky, Vol. 113 (1988), No. 2, 179--183

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/118341

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1988

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

ON A LOCAL FORM OF LOBACHEVSKI'S FUNCTIONAL EQUATION

PAVEL KOSTYRKO, Bratislava

(Received October 25, 1985)

Summary. A function $f: (A, B) \to R$ $(R - \text{the real line}, (A, B) \subset R)$ is said to be locally Lobachevskian if for each $x \in (A, B)$ there exists $\delta(x) > 0$ such that

$$f(x+h)f(x-h) = f(x)^2$$

holds for each h, $0 < h < \delta(x)$. In the paper a full description of the family of all locally Lobachevskian functions is given.

Keyword: Lobachevski's functional equation.

AMS (1980) subject classification: 39B40.

1. INTRODUCTION, DEFINITIONS AND RESULTS

In the present paper we shall deal with real functions which are defined on a real open interval (A, B), $-\infty \leq A < B \leq +\infty$. In the general theory of functional equations, Lobachevski's functional equation

(L)
$$f(x + h) f(x - h) = f(x)^2$$
,

is well known (see e.g. [A]). Similarly to the paper [K], where Jensen's functional equation in its local form is investigated, we can deal with a local form of Lobachevski's functional equation. Note that the following local property is introduced analogously to [R].

Definition 1. A function $f: (A, B) \to R$ (R – the real line) is said to be locally Lobachevskian (lL) at $x \in (A, B)$ if there exists $\delta(x) > 0$ such that (L) holds for each $h, 0 < h < \delta(x)$. We say that f is locally Lobachevskian if it is lL at x for each $x \in (A, B)$. Let LL stand for the family of all locally Lobachevskian functions.

Obviously, each Lobachevskian function, i.e. a solution of (L), belongs to LL. Recall that each Lobachevskian function f is of the form $f(x) = ce^{a(x)}$, where $a: R \to R$ is an additive function and c is a real constant. There are functions in LL which are not Lobachevskian functions. Such functions are e.g. the functions $g: R \to R$ and $h: R \to R$ defined in the following way: g(x) = -1 for $x \in (-\infty, 0)$, g(x) = 0 for $x \in [0, 1]$ and $g(x) = 2^x$ for $x \in (1, \infty)$; h(x) = -1 for $x \in Z$ (Z – the set of integers) and $h(x) = 3^{-2k} 3^x$ for $x \in (2k - 1, 2k) \cup (2k, 2k + 1)$, $k \in Z$. In what

179

follows a full description of the family *LL* will be given in terms of Lobachevskian functions.

Definition 2. A set $N \subset (A, B)$ is said to be a semi-symmetric (ss –) set if

- (i) N is closed;
- (ii) for each $x \in N$ there exists $\delta_x > 0$ such that for each $h, 0 < h < \delta_x, x + h \in N$ or $x - h \in N$.

Definition 3. ([K]) A set $M \subset (A, B)$ is said to be an s-set if

- (i) M is closed and countable;
- (ii) for each $x \in M$ there exists $\delta_x > 0$ such that for each $h, 0 < h < \delta_x, x + h \in M$ if and only if $x - h \in M$.

Theorem 1. Let $f \in LL$. Then $N_f = \{x \in (A, B): f(x) = 0\}$ is an ss-set and for each interval (a, b) contiguous to N_f there exists an interval $(u, v) \subset (a, b)$ such that the restriction f|(u, v) is a Lobachevskian function.

Theorem 2. Let $f: (A, B) \to R$. Then the following statements are equivalent: (a) $f \in LL$;

(b) there exists an ss-set N such that $N = N_f = \{x \in (A, B): f(x) = 0\}$; for each interval (a, b) contiguous to N there exists a Lobachevskian function $g: (a, b) \rightarrow R$, an s-set $M \subset (a, b)$ with the collection $\{J_n\}$ of contiguous intervals of M in (a, b), and a real sequence $\{a_n\}$ such that $f \mid J_n = a_n g \mid J_n$ holds for each n, and f is lL at each $x \in M$.

2. PROOFS

In the following proofs we shall use modifications of ideas used in [T] and [K].

Lemma. Let $f \in LL$, $f(x) \neq 0$ for each $x \in (U, V)$, $A \leq U < V \leq B$. Then there exists an interval $(u, v) \subset (U, V)$ such that for each subinterval I = [x - 2h, x + 2h] of (u, v) we have $f(x + 2h)f(x - 2h)^{-1} = f(x + h)^2 f(x - h)^{-2}$.

Proof. Let $\delta(x)$ be introduced by Definition 1 and write $E_n = \{x \in (U, V): \delta(x) > n^{-1}\}$, n = 1, 2, ... Then, since the sets E_n cover (U, V), according to the Baire Category Theorem there must exist an interval $(u, v) \subset (U, V)$ and n such that E_n is dense in (u, v). Without loss of generality we may assume that $v - u < n^{-1}$. Let $I \subset (u, v)$. The notation is simplified if we assume that I = [-2h, 2h], E_n is dense in (-2h, 2h) and $4h < n^{-1}$. If so, choose a negative x' in E_n such that $0 < x' - (-h/2) < \delta(h)/2$, and a positive x'' in E_n such that $0 < x'' - h/2 < \delta(-h)/2$. This means that $0 < 2x' + h < \delta(h)$ and $0 < 2x'' - h < \delta(-h)$. Clearly we can also achieve that x'' + 2x' < 0 and 2x'' + x' > 0.

180

Let us define the following intervals:

$$I_{1} = [-2h, 2x' + 2h] = [x' - (x' + 2h), x' + (x' + 2h)],$$

$$I_{2} = [-2x', 2x' + 2h] = [h - (2x' + h), h + (2x' + h)],$$

$$I_{3} = [2x'' + 2x', -2x'] = [x'' + (x'' + 2x'), x'' - (x'' + 2x')],$$

$$I_{4} = [-2x'', 2x' + 2x''] = [x' - (2x'' + x'), x' + (2x'' + x')],$$

$$I_{5} = [-2x'', 2x'' - 2h] = [-h - (2x'' - h), -h + (2x'' - h)], \text{ and}$$

$$I_{6} = [2x'' - 2h, 2h] = [x'' - (2h - x''), x'' + (2h - x'')].$$

For $f \in LL$, $f(x) \neq 0$ for each $x \in (U, V)$ and $J = [r, s] \subset (U, V)$, put $F(J) = f(r)f(s)f((r + s)/2)^{-2}$. It is straightforward to check that each I_i (i = 1, 2, ..., 6) is a subinterval of I, that $F(I_i) = 1$ for each I_i and that for f we have

$$f(-2h)f(2h)^{-1}f(h)^{2}f(-h)^{-2} = \prod_{i=1}^{6}F(I_{i})^{(-1)^{i+1}} = 1.$$

It remains to relabel and the proof is complete.

Proof of Theorem 1. The fact that $N_f = \{x \in (A, B): f(x) = 0\}$ is an ss-set is obvious. Let (a, b) be an interval contiguous to N_f and let $(u, v) \subset (a, b)$ be an interval of the type whose existence is guaranteed by Lemma. Choose $x \in (u, v)$ and h > 0 such that u < x - h < x + h < v. By induction we can verify that

(*)
$$f(x+h)f(x-h)f(x)^{-2} = [f(x+h2^{-n})f(x-h2^{-n})f(x)^{-2}]^{4n}$$

holds for each n = 0, 1, ... Clearly, for n = 0 (*) is fulfilled. Using Lemma we can write

$$f(x + h 2^{-n}) f(x - h 2^{-n}) f(x)^{-2} =$$

$$= f(x + h 2^{-n}) f(x)^{-1} [f(x) f(x - h 2^{-n})^{-1}]^{-1} =$$

$$= f(x + \frac{3}{4}h 2^{-n})^2 f(x + \frac{1}{4}h 2^{-n})^{-2} [f(x - \frac{1}{4}h 2^{-n})^2 f(x - \frac{3}{4}h 2^{-n})^{-2}]^{-1} =$$

$$= f(x + \frac{3}{4}h 2^{-n})^2 f(x - \frac{1}{4}h 2^{-n})^{-2} [f(x + \frac{1}{4}h 2^{-n}) f(x - \frac{3}{4}h 2^{-n})^{-1}]^{-2} =$$

$$= [f(x + \frac{1}{2}h 2^{-n}) f(x)^{-1}]^4 [f(x) f(x - \frac{1}{2}h 2^{-n})^{-1}]^{-4} =$$

$$= [f(x + h 2^{-n-1}) f(x - h 2^{-n-1}) f(x)^{-2}]^4.$$

This proves (*) for each n = 0, 1, ... If we choose m such that $0 < h 2^{-m} < \delta(x)$ then

$$f(x + h)f(x - h)f(x)^{-2} = [f(x + h 2^{-m})f(x - h 2^{-m})f(x)^{-2}]^{4^{m}} = 1.$$

Proof of Theorem 2. (a) implies (b): According to Theorem 1 the set N_f is an *ss*-set, hence it is closed. Let (a, b) be a contiguous interval to N_f . If $M \subset (a, b)$ is an *s*-set, then $(a, b) - M = \bigcup_n J_n$, where $\{J_n\}_n$ is a countable collection of mutually disjoint contiguous open intervals of M. Further, we shall say that the restriction

 $f|(c, d), (c, d) \subset (a, b)$, has an acceptable form if there is a Lobachevskian function $g: (c, d) \to R$, an s-set $M \subset (c, d)$ with the collection of contiguous intervals $\{J_n\}_n$, and a real sequence $\{a_n\}_n$ such that $f|J_n = a_ng|J_n$ holds for each n. Let (u, v) be an interval such that f|(u, v) is a Lobachevskian function (Theorem 1). Choose an arbitrary $z \in (u, v)$ and put

 $y = \sup \{w: f \mid (z, w) \text{ has an acceptable form} \}.$

We will prove that y = b. On the contrary, suppose y < b. Obviously $y \ge v$. Let $\delta(y)$ have the meaning from Definition 1. We can suppose without loss of generality that $z \le y - \delta(y)$. Since $f_1 = f | (y - \delta(y), y)$ has an acceptable form, the same is true for $f_2 = f | (y, y + \delta(y))$. Indeed, it follows from the hypothesis $f \in LL$ that $f_2(y + h) = f(y)^2 f_1(y - h)^{-1}$ holds for each h, $0 < h < \delta(y)$. Hence $f | (z, y + \delta(y))$ has an acceptable form -a contradiction. Analogously it can be verified that f | (a, z) has an acceptable form and consequently, also f | (a, b) has an acceptable form.

The fact that (b) implies (a) is an easy consequence of the structure of the *ss*-set N and of the *s*-set M (= M(a, b)).

References

- [A] Aczél, J.: Lectures on Functional Equations and Their Applications, Academic Press, New York and London 1966.
- [K] Kostyrko, P.: On a local form of Jensen's functional equation, Aequationes Math. 30 (1986), 65-69.
- [R] Ruzsa, I. Z.: Locally symmetric functions, Real Anal. Exchange 4 (1978-79), 84-86.
- [T] Thomson, B. S.: On full covering properties, Real Anal. Exchange 6 (1980-81), 77-93.

Súhrn

O LOKÁLNOM TVARE LOBAČEVSKÉHO FUNKCIONÁLNEJ ROVNICE Pavel Kostyrko

Funkcia $f: (A, B) \rightarrow R$ $(R - \text{realna priamka}, (A, B) \subset R)$ sa nazýva lokálne Lobačevského ak pre každé $x \in (A, B)$ existuje $\delta(x) > 0$ tak, že

$$f(x+h)f(x-h) = f(x)^2$$

platí pre každé h, $0 < h < \delta(x)$. V článku sa podáva úplný opis systému všetkých lokálne Lobačevského funkcií.

Резюме

О ЛОКАЛЬНОМ ВИДЕ ФУНКЦИОНАЛЬНОГО УРАВНЕНИЯ ЛОБАЧЕВСКОГО Pavel Kostyrko

Отображение $f: (A, B) \to R$ (R — вещественная прямая, $(A, B) \subset R$) является локально отображением Лобачевского, если для каждого $x \in (A, B)$ существует $\delta(x) > 0$ так, что

$$f(x+h)f(x-h) = f(x)^2$$

имеет место для всякого h, $0 < h < \delta(x)$. В работе дана полная характеристика всех таких отображений.

Author's address: Katedra algebry a teórie čísel MFF UK, 842 15 Bratislava.