

Paolamaria Pietramala

Convergence of approximating fixed points sets for multivalued nonexpansive mappings

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 32 (1991), No. 4, 697--701

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/118449>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1991

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

Convergence of approximating fixed points sets for multivalued nonexpansive mappings

PAOLAMARIA PIETRAMALA

Abstract. Let K be a closed convex subset of a Hilbert space H and $T : K \multimap K$ a non-expansive multivalued map with a unique fixed point z such that $\{z\} = T(z)$. It is shown that we can construct a sequence of approximating fixed points sets converging in the sense of Mosco to z .

Keywords: multivalued nonexpansive map, fixed points set, Mosco convergence

Classification: 47H09, 47H10

Let H be a Hilbert space, K a closed convex subset of H , T a multivalued nonexpansive map from K in the family of non empty compact subsets of K . It is our object in this paper to show that in a specific case it is possible to construct a sequence of approximant sets converging in the sense of Mosco to a fixed point of T .

Our investigation is prompted by the papers of Browder [1], Reich [2], Singh and Watson [3], in which analogous problems are treated for singlevalued mappings. In particular, in [1] it is shown that: if K is a closed convex bounded subset of a Hilbert space and $T : K \rightarrow K$ is a nonexpansive map, then, for any $x_0 \in K$, the sequence $\{x_\lambda\}_{0 \leq \lambda < 1}$ of the fixed points of the contraction maps T_{λ, x_0} defined by $T_{\lambda, x_0}(x) = \lambda T(x) + (1 - \lambda)x_0$ converges, as x approaches 1, strongly in K to the fixed point of T in K closest to x_0 . The paper [3] extends this result to the case of not self-mappings (but $T(\partial K) \subseteq K$) and K not necessarily bounded (but $T(K)$ bounded).

The following example of multivalued self-map defined on a closed convex bounded subset of a finite-dimensional Hilbert space shows that the recalled results cannot be extended to genuine multivalued case.

Let $H = R^2$, $K = [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ and T the nonexpansive map defined by:

$$T(a, b) = \text{triangle whose vertices are } (0, 0), (a, 0), (0, b), \forall (a, b) \in K.$$

Thus, for $(x_0, y_0) \in K$ the point $((1 - \lambda)x_0, (1 - \lambda)y_0)$ is a fixed point of the map $T_{\lambda, (x_0, y_0)}$ for all $\lambda \in [0, 1)$ and we have $((1 - \lambda)x_0, (1 - \lambda)y_0) \rightarrow (0, 0)$ as λ approaches 1. If $x_0 > y_0$ ($x_0 < y_0$), then the fixed point of T closest to (x_0, y_0) is $(x_0, 0)$ ($(0, y_0)$), but the net of the fixed points sets of $T_{\lambda, (x_0, y_0)}$ does not converge to $(x_0, 0)$ ($(0, y_0)$) even in the weaker convergence of sets, that is, the Kuratowski convergence.

In the setting of Hilbert spaces, our result is formulated for nonexpansive maps T that have a unique fixed point z and this point satisfies $\{z\} = T(z)$. The precise generality of the class of functions satisfying this condition is not known but it has been studied, for example, in [4], [5], [6]. More recently the interest in optimization theory for such type of maps has prompted a corresponding interest in fixed point theory, since in [7] it has been shown that the maximization of a multivalued map T with respect to a cone, which subsumes ordinary and Pareto optimization, is equivalent to a fixed point problem of determining y such that $\{y\} = T(y)$.

Now we introduce some necessary notations and definitions. Let K be a closed convex subset of a Hilbert space H . We denote by $\mathcal{CB}(H)$ the family of non empty closed bounded subsets of H and by $\mathcal{K}(K)$ the family of non empty compact subsets of K .

For $A \in \mathcal{CB}(H)$ we define

$$d(x, A) = \inf\{\|x - y\| : y \in A\}.$$

For any $A, B \in \mathcal{CB}(H)$ we note with $D(A, B)$ the Hausdorff distance induced by the norm of H , i.e.

$$D(A, B) = \max\{\sup_{a \in A} d(a, B), \sup_{b \in B} d(b, A)\}.$$

Remark. If $B = \{b\}$ and $A \in \mathcal{CB}(H)$, we have that for all $a \in A$

$$\|a - b\| \leq D(A, B).$$

We denote by \rightarrow and \rightharpoonup the strong and weak convergence, respectively.

Let $\{A_n\}$ be a sequence of closed subsets of H . We define the *inner limit* ($\liminf A_n$) by

$$\liminf A_n = \{x \in H : \exists \text{ a sequence } \{x_n\}, x_n \in A_n \text{ such that } x_n \rightarrow x\}$$

and the *weak-outer limit* ($w - \limsup A_n$) by

$$w - \limsup A_n = \{x \in H : \exists \text{ a subsequence } \{A_{n_k}\} \text{ of } \{A_n\} \text{ and} \\ \text{a sequence } \{x_{n_k}\}, x_{n_k} \in A_{n_k} \text{ such that } x_{n_k} \rightharpoonup x\}.$$

We will say that $\{A_n\}$ converges to A in the sense of Mosco ($A_n \xrightarrow{(M)} A$) if $\liminf A_n = w - \limsup A_n = A$.

A net $\{A_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in [0,1]}$ of closed subsets of H converges to A in the sense defined before if every sequence $\{A_{\lambda_n}\}$, $\lambda_n \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, converges in such sense to A .

A multivalued map $T : K \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(K)$ is said to be *lipschitzian* if

$$D(T(x), T(y)) \leq L\|x - y\|$$

for every $x, y \in K, L \leq 0$. T is said to be a *contraction* if $L < 1$ and *nonexpansive* if $L = 1$. A map $T : K \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(K)$ is said to be *demiclosed* if $x_n \rightarrow x, y_n \rightarrow y$ and $y_n \in T(x_n)$ imply $y \in T(x)$.

Let $T : K \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(K)$ be a nonexpansive map. For $x_0 \in K$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1)$ we denote by T_{λ, x_0} the contraction map defined by

$$T_{\lambda, x_0}(x) = \lambda T(x) + (1 - \lambda)x_0, \forall x \in K.$$

Finally, we denote by

$$F(T) = \{x \in K : x \in T(X)\}$$

and

$$F(T_{\lambda, x_0}) = \{x \in K; x \in T_{\lambda, x_0}(x)\}$$

the sets of fixed points of T and T_{λ, x_0} , respectively.

Theorem 1. *Let K be a closed convex subset of a Hilbert space $H, T : K \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(K)$ a nonexpansive map such that $F(T) = \{z\}$, and let this point z satisfy $T(z) = \{z\}$. Then, for every $x_0 \in K$,*

$$F(T_{\lambda, x_0}) \xrightarrow{(M)} F(T) \text{ as } \lambda \rightarrow 1.$$

PROOF: We have to prove that $F(T_{\lambda_n, x_0}) \xrightarrow{(M)} \{z\}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for every sequence $\lambda_n \rightarrow 1, 0 \leq \lambda_n < 1$.

Since we have always $\liminf \subseteq w - \limsup$, it remains to prove that $w - \limsup F(T_{\lambda_n, x_0}) \subseteq \{z\}$ and $\{z\} \subseteq \liminf F(T_{\lambda_n, x_0})$.

Step 1. $w - \limsup F(T_{\lambda_n, x_0}) \subset \{z\}$.

Let $x \in w - \limsup F(T_{\lambda_n, x_0})$, then there exist a subsequence $\{\lambda_{n_j}\}$ of $\{\lambda_n\}$ and a sequence $\{y_{\lambda_{n_j}}\}, y_{\lambda_{n_j}} \in F(T_{\lambda_{n_j}, x_0})$ such that $y_{\lambda_{n_j}} \rightarrow x$.

Since $y_{\lambda_{n_j}} \in F(T_{\lambda_{n_j}, x_0})$, there exists $w_{\lambda_{n_j}} \in T_{\lambda_{n_j}, x_0}(y_{\lambda_{n_j}})$ such that

$$y_{\lambda_{n_j}} = \lambda_{n_j} w_{\lambda_{n_j}} + (1 - \lambda_{n_j})x_0.$$

Thus

$$\|y_{\lambda_{n_j}} - w_{\lambda_{n_j}}\| = (1 - \lambda_{n_j}) \|w_{\lambda_{n_j}} - x_0\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \lambda_{n_j} \rightarrow 1$$

because $\{w_{\lambda_{n_j}}\}$ is bounded. From the demiclosedness of $I - T$ [8] it follows that $0 \in (I - T)(x)$, hence $x = z$.

Step 2. $\{z\} \subseteq \liminf F(T_{\lambda_n, x_0})$.

Let $x_{\lambda_n} \in F(T_{\lambda_n, x_0})$. We prove that $x_{\lambda_n} \rightarrow z$. On the contrary, suppose that there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and a subsequence $\{\lambda_{n_j}\}$ of $\{\lambda_n\}$ such that

$$(1) \quad \|x_{\lambda_{n_j}} - z\| \geq \varepsilon_0.$$

From $x_{\lambda_{n_j}} = \lambda_{n_j} w_{\lambda_{n_j}} + (1 - \lambda_{n_j})x_0$, $w_{\lambda_{n_j}} \in T(x_{\lambda_{n_j}})$ it follows that

$$\left\| \frac{x_{\lambda_{n_j}} - (1 - \lambda_{n_j})x_0}{\lambda_{n_j}} - z \right\| = \|w_{\lambda_{n_j}} - z\|.$$

Furthermore, from the previous Remark, we have

$$\|w_{\lambda_{n_j}} - z\| \leq D(T(x_{\lambda_{n_j}}), T(z))$$

and the nonexpansivity of T yields

$$\|w_{\lambda_{n_j}} - z\| \leq \|x_{\lambda_{n_j}} - z\|.$$

Hence

$$\left\| \frac{x_{\lambda_{n_j}} - x_0}{\lambda_{n_j}} - (z - x_0) \right\|^2 \leq \|(x_{\lambda_{n_j}} - x_0) + (x_0 - x)\|^2,$$

which implies

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} \|x_{\lambda_{n_j}} - x_0\|^2 &\leq 2 \frac{\lambda_{n_j}}{1 + \lambda_{n_j}} \langle x_{\lambda_{n_j}} - x_0, z - x_0 \rangle \\ &\leq \langle x_{\lambda_{n_j}} - x_0, z - x_0 \rangle \\ &\leq \|x_{\lambda_{n_j}} - x_0\| \|z - x_0\|. \end{aligned}$$

If it were $x_{\lambda_{n_j}} = x_0$ for a certain j , we should have

$$\begin{aligned} x_0 &= \lambda_{n_j} w_{\lambda_{n_j}} + x_0 - \lambda_{n_j} x_0 \\ &= w_{\lambda_{n_j}} \in T(x_{\lambda_{n_j}}) \\ &= T(x_0). \end{aligned}$$

Then $x_0 = z$, contradicting (1).

Thus, from (2) it follows

$$\|x_{\lambda_{n_j}} - x_0\| \leq \|z - x_0\|,$$

which implies that the subsequence $\{x_{\lambda_{n_j}}\}$ is bounded. Hence there exists a subsequence $\{x_{\lambda_{n_{j_k}}}\}$ of $\{x_{\lambda_{n_j}}\}$ such that $x_{\lambda_{n_{j_k}}} \rightarrow x$. Proceeding as in the proof of Step 1, we obtain $x = z$. At this point, the well known relation

$$\|z - x_0\| \leq \liminf \|x_{\lambda_{n_{j_k}}} - x_0\|$$

and (see (2))

$$\limsup \|x_{\lambda_{n_{j_k}}} - x_0\| \leq \|z - x_0\|$$

imply

$$\|x_{\lambda_{n_{j_k}}} - x_0\| \rightarrow \|z - x_0\|.$$

Hence, we have $x_{\lambda_{n_{j_k}}} \rightarrow z$, contradicting (1). \square

Remark. In the following example, our theorem works.

Let $H = R$, $K = [0, \infty)$, $T : K \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(K)$ be the nonexpansive map defined by $T(x) = [0, \frac{x}{2}]$. Thus $F(T) = \{0\}$, $\{0\} = T(0)$ and the net of fixed point sets of T_{λ, x_0} , $F(T_{\lambda, x_0}) = [(1 - \lambda)x_0, 2(1 - \lambda)x_0]$, converges to $F(T)$ in the sense of Mosco.

REFERENCES

- [1] Browder F.E., *Convergence of approximants to fixed points of nonexpansive nonlinear mappings in Banach spaces*, Arch. Rational. Mech. Anal. **24** (1967), 82–90.
- [2] Reich S., *Strong convergence theorems for resolvents of accretive operators in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **75** (1980), 287–292.
- [3] Singh S.P., Watson B., *On approximating fixed points*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **45** (part 2) (1986), 393–395.
- [4] Reich S., *Fixed points of contractive functions*, Boll. UMI **5** (1972), 26–42.
- [5] Ćirić L.B., *Fixed points for generalized multivalued contractions*, Mat. Vesnik, N. Ser. **9** (24) (1972), 265–272.
- [6] Iséki K., *Multivalued contraction mappings in complete metric spaces*, Math. Sem. Notes **2** (1974), 45–49.
- [7] Corley H.W., *Some hybrid fixed point theorems related to optimization*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **120** (1986), 528–532.
- [8] LamiDozo E., *Multivalued nonexpansive mappings and Opial's condition*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **38** (1973), 286–292.

UNIVERSITÀ DELLA CALABRIA, DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, ARCAVACATA DI RENDE,
COSENZA, ITALY

(Received November 5, 1990)