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# Extremal solutions of a general marginal problem 

Petra Linhartová


#### Abstract

The characterization of extremal points of the set of probability measures with given marginals is given in the general context of a marginal system. The sets of marginal uniqueness are studied and an example is added to illustrate the theory.


Keywords: marginal problem, marginal system, simplicial measure, set of marginal uniqueness

Classification: Primary 60B05; Secondary 52A05

## 1. Introduction.

We shall say that $\mathcal{L}=\left\{X \xrightarrow{q_{j}} X_{j} \mid j \in J\right\}$ is a marginal system if $X, X_{j}$ are Polish spaces, $q_{j}: X \rightarrow X_{j}$ Borel measurable maps for $j \in J$ (called projections here) and where $J$ is a nonempty index set. Denote by $M(X)\left(M_{1}(X)\right)$ a set of bounded Borel signed (probability) measures defined on $X$ and define a map $\operatorname{MARG}(P)$ : $M(X) \rightarrow \bigotimes_{j \in J} M\left(X_{j}\right)$ by $\operatorname{MARG}(P)=\left(P_{j} \mid j \in J\right)$, where $P_{j}=q_{j} \circ P$ are the image measures that will be called marginals (or projections) of $P$. HoffmannJørgensen [7] considers a marginal system of probability measures, i.e. the system

$$
\left\{X \xrightarrow{q_{j}}\left(X_{j}, Q_{j}\right) \mid j \in J\right\}, \quad \text { where } Q_{j} \in M_{1}\left(X_{j}\right) \text { are fixed, }
$$

and presents necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a $P \in M_{1}(X)$, such that $\operatorname{MARG}(P)=\left(Q_{j}, \mid j \in J\right)$. (See also [6].) Our problem is to characterize extremal solutions of the above equation.

We shall say, that $P \in M_{1}(X)$ is a simplicial measure w.r.t. a marginal system $\mathcal{L}$ if it is an extremal point of the (nonempty) set

$$
\mathcal{L}(P)=\left\{Q \in M_{1}(X) \mid \operatorname{MARG}(Q)=\operatorname{MARG}(P)\right\} .
$$

We shall say, that a Borel set $B \subset X$ is a set of marginal uniqueness (w.r.t. a marginal system $\mathcal{L}$ ) (or shortly a MU-set) if

$$
Q(B)=R(B)=1, \operatorname{MARG}(Q)=\operatorname{MARG}(R) \Rightarrow R \equiv Q
$$

holds for every $R, Q \in M_{1}(X)$.

[^0]It is easy to see that each set $\mathcal{L}(P)\left(P \in M_{1}(X)\right)$ is a nonempty convex set and contains a simplicial measure only if the projections $q_{j}$ are continuous mappings, as in this case the set $\mathcal{L}(P)$ is weakly closed. In addition, the boundary of the set, ex $\mathcal{L}(P)$, is rich enough to make valid the Choquet theorem for any $P \in M_{1}(X)$. The same conclusion is true in the case when $q_{j}$ are continuous for $j \in J \backslash S$, where $S$ is at most countable subset of $J$. The argument for this is as follows:

For $i \in S$ there is a uniformity of $X_{i}$ which makes the set $U\left(X_{i}\right)$ of bounded uniformly continuous functions on $X_{i}$ separable. Denote $U_{i}$ a countable dense subset of $U\left(X_{i}\right)$, put $D=\bigcup_{i \in S}\left\{f \circ g \mid f \in U_{i}\right\}$ and observe that each $\mathcal{L}(P)$ is a nonempty convex set closed w.r.t. the coarsest topology of $M_{1}(X)$ for which the maps $Q \rightarrow$ $\int_{X} h d Q$ are continuous for any $h \in C(X) \cup D$. Using [14] or [12], we get the desired conclusion.

The problem of characterization of simplicial measures has a remarkable history (see [3]). In the case of

$$
\mathcal{L}=\left\{X=X_{1} \times X_{2} \xrightarrow{q_{j}} X_{j}, j=1,2\right\},
$$

where $q_{j}$ are continuous projections, Štěpán [13] has proved that $P \in M_{1}(X)$ is a simplicial measure if and only if ess inf $\frac{d P^{\prime}}{d|n|}=0$ for any $n \in M(X), \operatorname{MARG}(n)=0$, $n \neq 0$, where $P^{\prime}$ is the absolutely continuous part of $P$ w.r.t. $|n|$.

Our aim is to extend this result to general marginal systems $\mathcal{L}$. For this purpose we specify the Douglas density theorem [4] to our situation. Fix a marginal system $\mathcal{L}$ and denote

$$
\begin{gather*}
D=\left\{f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f(x)=\sum_{j \in \alpha} f_{j}\left(q_{j}(x)\right), \alpha \subset J \text { a finite set },\right.  \tag{1}\\
\left.f_{j} \in C\left(X_{j}\right) \text { for } j \in \alpha\right\}
\end{gather*}
$$

Observe that $D$ is a linear set of bounded Borel measurable functions defined on $X$, containing all constant functions, with the property

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{MARG}(P)=\operatorname{MARG}(Q) \operatorname{iff} \int_{X} f d P=\int_{X} f d Q  \tag{2}\\
& \text { for any } f \in D, P, Q \in M(X)
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, according to Douglas (1964), we have
Lemma. $P$ is a simplicial measure if and only if $D$ is dense in $L_{1}(P)$.
In connection with Lemma, let us observe that Hahn-Banach Theorem and Riesz Representation Theorem yield the following characterization of compact MU-sets.

Theorem 1. Consider a marginal system $\mathcal{L}$ with all the projections $q_{j}$ continuous and $K \subset X$ a compact set. Then $K$ is a $M U$-set if and only if $D \upharpoonright_{K}$ is a dense set in $C(K)$ (w.r.t. the supremum norm).

In 1957, Arnol'd and Kolmogorov proved that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a set $S \subset \mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}$ homeomorphic to $<0,1>^{n}$, such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
C(S)= & \left\{f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{2 n+1}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{2 n+1} f_{j}\left(x_{j}\right)\right. \\
& \text { for some } \left.f_{j} \in C(\mathbb{R}), 1 \leq j \leq 2 n+1\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and provided thus very nontrivial examples of sets of marginal uniqueness. Indeed, according to Theorem 1 the set $S$ is a MU-set when considering the marginal system $\left\{\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1} \xrightarrow{\pi_{j}} \mathbb{R}, j=1,2, \ldots, 2 n+1\right\}$ with the canonical projections $\pi_{j}$. From Theorem 1 we can also see that $<0,1\rangle^{n}$ is a MU-set w.r.t. the marginal system $\left\{<0,1>^{n} \xrightarrow{q_{j}} \mathbb{R}, j=1,2, \ldots, 2 n+1\right\}$, where $q_{j}=\pi_{j}(h)$ and $h$ is a homeomorphism of $<0,1>^{n}$ and $S$.

## 2. A characterization of simplicial measures.

Consider a marginal system $\mathcal{L}=\left\{X \xrightarrow{q_{j}} X_{j} \mid j \in J\right\}$, a $P \in M_{1}(X)$ and a Borel set $B \subset X$. Denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{0}(B) & =\{n \in M(X)|\operatorname{MARG}(n)=0,|n|(\complement B)=0\}, \\
M(P, B) & =\left\{n \in M(X)| | n \mid \upharpoonright_{B} \leq b \cdot P \text { for a } b \in \mathbb{R}^{+}\right\}, \\
M_{1}(P, B) & =M_{1}(X) \cap M(P, B), \\
\mathcal{K}_{0} & =\left\{K \subset X \text { a compact set } \mid n=0 \text { for every } n \in M_{0}(X) \cap M(P, \complement K)\right\}, \\
\mathcal{K}_{1} & =\left\{K \subset X \text { a compact set } \mid n \upharpoonright_{K}=0 \text { for any } n \in M_{0}(X) \cap M(P, \complement K)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we are prepared to generalize Theorem 1 of Štěpán [13].
Theorem 2. Let $\mathcal{L}=\left\{X \xrightarrow{q_{j}} X_{j} \mid j \in J\right\}$ be a marginal system. The following statements are equivalent:
(a) $P$ is a simplicial probability measure on $X$,
(b) $\sup \left\{P(K) \mid K \in \mathcal{K}_{0}\right\}=1$,
(c) $\sup \left\{P(K) \mid K \in \mathcal{K}_{1}\right\}=1$,
(d) $\operatorname{ess} \inf \left(\frac{d P^{\prime}}{d|n|}\right)=0$ for any $n \in M_{0}(X), n \neq 0$,
(e) $\operatorname{ess} \inf \left(\frac{d P^{\prime}}{d|n|}\right)=0$ for any $n \in M_{0}(X), 0 \neq n \ll P$,
(f) ess sup $\left|\frac{d n}{d P}\right|=+\infty$ for any $n \in M_{0}(X), 0 \neq n \ll P$,
(g) $g \in L_{\infty}(P), E_{P}\left[g \mid q_{j}\right]=0, j \in J$ implies that $g=0$ a.s. $[P]$,
where the essential infima and suprema are defined w.r.t. the dominating measures and $P^{\prime}$ denotes an absolutely continuous part of $P$ w.r.t. the $|n|$. In (g) by $E_{P}\left[g \mid q_{j}\right]$ we have denoted the conditional expectation of $g$ w.r.t. $P$ relative to the $\sigma$-algebra

$$
\sigma\left(q_{j}\right)=\left\{\left[q_{j} \in B_{j}\right], B_{j} \text { Borel set in } X_{j}\right\}
$$

Corollary. If $P$ is a simplicial measure then

$$
\sup \{P(K), K \text { is a compact } M U-\text { set }\}=1
$$

The assertion follows easily from (c) as each $K \in \mathcal{K}_{1}$ is easily seen to be a compact MU-set. Let us also observe that any of the conditions (a)-(g) implies that

$$
P \text { is completely determined by its restriction to the }
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma \text {-algebra } \sigma\left(q_{j}, j \in J\right)=\sigma\left(\bigcup_{j \in J} \sigma\left(q_{j}\right)\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: $(\mathrm{a}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{b}) X$ is a separable metric space, so there exists an equivalent metric $d$, such that the space $U(X)$ of bounded functions on $X$ uniformly continuous w.r.t. $d$ is separable w.r.t. the usual supremum norm. Denote $\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ a countable dense subset of $U(X)$.

According to Lemma there exist functions $a_{n}^{i} \in D$ (the set defined by (1)) for $i, n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{n}^{i} \rightarrow f_{i} \text {, as } n \rightarrow \infty \text { a.s. w.r.t. } P \\
& \text { and in } L_{1}(P) \text { for } i \in \mathbb{N} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Take $\varepsilon>0$. The Jegoroff's theorem implies the existence of compact sets $K_{i} \subset X$, such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(K_{i}\right)>1-\varepsilon 2^{-i} \\
& a_{n}^{i} \rightarrow f_{i}, \text { uniformly on } K_{i}, n \rightarrow \infty, i \in \mathbb{N} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Denote $K=\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} K_{i}$. Then $P(K)>1-\varepsilon$ and $a_{n}^{i} \rightarrow f_{i}$ uniformly on $K$, for $n \rightarrow \infty, i \in \mathbb{N}$. Now we only need to show that the compact set $K$, we have just constructed, is an element of $\mathcal{K}_{0}$. So, let $n \in M(P, \complement K) \cap M_{0}(X)$, it follows from (2) that $n(a)=0$ for $a \in D$. We may write that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|n\left(f_{i}\right)\right| & =\left|n\left(f_{i}\right)-n\left(a_{k}^{i}\right)\right| \leq|n|\left(\mathbf{1}_{K}\left|a_{k}^{i}-f\right|\right)+|n|\left(\mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{C} K}\left|a_{k}^{i}-f_{i}\right|\right) \leq \\
& \leq|n|\left(\mathbf{1}_{K}\left|a_{k}^{i}-f_{i}\right|\right)+b \cdot P\left(\left|a_{k}^{i}-f_{i}\right|\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

holds for $i, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and some $b \in \mathbb{R}$. The limit of the first term as $k \rightarrow \infty$ is zero, because $a_{k}^{i}$ converge to $f$ uniformly on $K$, the limit of the second one is zero too, as $a_{k}^{i}$ converge to $f$ in $L_{1}(P)$. Thus we have proved that $n\left(f_{i}\right)=0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, hence $n=0$.
(b) $\Rightarrow$ (c) Obvious.
(c) $\Rightarrow$ (d) Suppose that (c) holds for a $P \in M_{1}$, assume that there are $n \in$ $M_{0}(X), n \neq 0$, and $\delta>0$, such that ess $\inf h_{n} \geq \delta$, where $h_{n} \in\left[\frac{d P^{\prime}}{d|n|}\right]$. Take $K \in \mathcal{K}_{1}$ an arbitrary set. It is easy to see that

$$
|n| \upharpoonright_{C K} \leq \delta^{-1} P^{\prime} \leq \delta^{-1} P,
$$

hence $|n|$ is dominated by $P$ on $\complement K$, which means that $n \in M(P, \complement K)$. As $K \in \mathcal{K}_{1}$, we have $n \upharpoonright_{K}=0$ and therefore $P^{\prime}(K)=0$. But it is in contradiction with (c).
(d) $\Rightarrow$ (e) Obvious.
(e) $\Rightarrow$ (f) Consider $n \in M_{0}(X), 0 \neq n \ll P$ and observe that

$$
\left|\frac{d n}{d P}\right|=\frac{d|n|}{d P}=\frac{d|n|}{d P^{\prime}} \text { a.s. }[P]
$$

holds as $|n|$ and $\left(P-P^{\prime}\right)$ are singular measures. Hence, $\left|\frac{d n}{d P}\right| \cdot \frac{d P^{\prime}}{d|n|}=1$ holds almost everywhere w.r.t. both $P^{\prime}$ and $|n|$ and thus it follows from (e) that ess sup $\left|\frac{d n}{d P}\right|=$ $+\infty$, when the essential supremum is defined w.r.t. $P^{\prime}$. This, of course, implies (f).
$(\mathrm{f}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{g})$ Consider $g \in L_{\infty}(P)$ such that $E\left[g \mid q_{j}\right]=0$ for each $j \in J$. Define $n \in M(X)$ by $d n=g \cdot d P$. It is easy to see that the signed measure $n$ vanishes at each set in $\bigcup_{j \in J} \sigma\left(q_{j}\right)$, hence $n \in M_{0}(X)$. According to (f) we get $n=0$ and the validity of implication (g).
$(\mathrm{g}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{a})$ Assume that $P$ is not a simplicial measure. By Hahn-Banach Theorem and Lemma above there is $g \in L_{\infty}, P[g \neq 0]>0$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{X} g \cdot f d P=0 \text { holds for any } f \in D \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $C\left(X_{j}\right)$ is a dense set in $L_{1}\left(q_{j} \circ P\right)$ for any $j \in J$, we may see that (4) is equivalent to $E\left[g \mid q_{j}\right]=0$ for $j \in J$ which contradicts the implication (g).

To illustrate the theory, we have presented, let us consider a marginal system $\mathcal{L}=\{X \xrightarrow{p} Y, X \xrightarrow{q} Z\}$ and a measure $P \in M_{1}(X)$, such that

$$
P[(p, q) \in S]=1 \text { and } P[p=y, q=z]>0 \text { for }(y, z) \in S
$$

holds for a finite set $S \subset Y \times Z$. Using (g) we are able to prove that $P$ is a simplicial measure if and only if (see [9])

$$
\begin{align*}
& P=\sum_{j=1}^{h} \alpha_{j} \varepsilon_{x_{j}} \text { for some } x_{j} \in X  \tag{5}\\
& \text { and } \alpha_{j}>0 \text { with } h=\operatorname{card} S
\end{align*}
$$

and
there is no finite sequence $\left(y_{1}, z_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(y_{2 n}, z_{2 n}\right)$ of distinct points in $S$ such that $y_{1}=y_{2}, z_{2}=z_{3}, \ldots, y_{2 n-1}=y_{2 n}, z_{2 n}=z_{1}-$ a cycle.

Indeed, if $P$ is a simplicial measure then according to (3) $P$ is completely determined by its values in the sets $[p=y, q=z],(y, z) \in S$. Hence, these sets are atoms of $P$, which implies that $P$ has a form of (5). Now, assume that there
is a cycle $\left(y_{1}, z_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(y_{2 n}, z_{2 n}\right)$ in $S$. Without loss of generality, assume that $\operatorname{card}\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{2 n}\right\}=\operatorname{card}\left\{z_{1}, \ldots, z_{2 n}\right\}=n$. Define $g \in L_{\infty}(P)$ by

$$
g=\sum_{i=1}^{2 n}(-1)^{i+1} P\left[p=y_{i}, q=z_{i}\right] \cdot I_{\left[p=y_{i}, q=z_{i}\right]}
$$

and observe that $E[g \mid p]=E[g \mid q]=0$. Indeed, if, for example, $1 \leq i \leq 2 n$ is odd, then $P\left[p=y_{i}\right]=P\left[p=y_{i}, q=z_{i}\right]+P\left[p=y_{i}, q=z_{2 i+1}\right]$ implies that $E\left[g \mid p=y_{i}\right]=0$. Using (g) we arrive to contradiction.

To finish our reasoning, assume that a measure $P$ defined by (5) is not simplicial. According to $(\mathrm{g})$ there is a $g \in L_{\infty}, P[g \neq 0]>0$ such that $E[g \mid p]=E[g \mid q]=0$. Now, it is easy to construct a cycle in $S$ by induction:

We start with a $\left(y_{1}, z_{1}\right) \in S$, such that $E\left[y \mid p=y_{1}, q=z_{1}\right]>0$. As $E[g \mid p]=0$, we may find $\left(y_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in S$, such that $E\left[g \mid p=y_{1}, q=z_{2}\right]<0$. Now, $E[g \mid q]=0$ implies the existence of $\left(y_{3}, z_{2}\right) \in S$ with $E\left[g \mid p=y_{3}, q=z_{2}\right]>0 \ldots$ etc. Continuing this procedure we construct a sequence $\left(y_{i}, z_{i}\right) \in S$ which necessarily contains a cycle segment $\left(y_{j}, z_{j}\right),\left(y_{j+1}, z_{j+1}\right), \cdots,\left(y_{j+l}, z_{j+l}\right)$.
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