

Claude Laflamme

Partitions of  $k$ -branching trees and the reaping number of Boolean algebras

*Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, Vol. 34 (1993), No. 2, 397--399

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/118593>

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1993

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## Partitions of $k$ -branching trees and the reaping number of Boolean algebras

CLAUDE LAFLAMME

*Abstract.* The reaping number  $\tau_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$  of a Boolean algebra  $\mathbb{B}$  is defined as the minimum size of a subset  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{B} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$  such that for each  $m$ -partition  $\mathcal{P}$  of unity, some member of  $\mathcal{A}$  meets less than  $n$  elements of  $\mathcal{P}$ .

We show that for each  $\mathbb{B}$ ,  $\tau_{m,n}(\mathbb{B}) = \tau_{\lceil \frac{m}{n-1} \rceil, 2}(\mathbb{B})$  as conjectured by Dow, Steprāns and Watson. The proof relies on a partition theorem for finite trees; namely that every  $k$ -branching tree whose maximal nodes are coloured with  $\ell$  colours contains an  $m$ -branching subtree using at most  $n$  colours if and only if  $\lceil \frac{\ell}{n} \rceil < \lceil \frac{k}{m-1} \rceil$ .

*Keywords:* Boolean algebra, reaping number, partition

*Classification:* Primary 06E10; Secondary 05C05, 05C90

### 1. Introduction.

Given a Boolean algebra  $\mathbb{B}$  and an integer  $m$ , an  $m$ -partition of  $\mathbb{B}$  is a set  $\mathcal{P} \in [\mathbb{B}]^m$  such that  $\bigvee \mathcal{P} = \mathbf{1}$  and  $a \wedge b = \mathbf{0}$  for each  $\{a, b\} \in [\mathcal{P}]^2$ .  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{B}$  is said to be  $(m, n)$ -reaped by the  $m$ -partition  $\mathcal{P}$  if

$$(\forall a \in \mathcal{A}) |\{b \in \mathcal{P} : a \wedge b \neq \mathbf{0}\}| \geq n.$$

The cardinal invariant  $\tau_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$  can now be defined as the minimum size of a subset  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{B} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$  which cannot be  $(m, n)$ -reaped.

The more standard reaping numbers  $\tau_{m,2}(\mathbb{B})$  have been studied in [1], [2] and [3] where they are simply denoted by  $\tau_m(\mathbb{B})$ ; we clearly have  $\tau_n(\mathbb{B}) \leq \tau_{n+1}(\mathbb{B})$  for each Boolean algebra  $\mathbb{B}$ .

In [4], the more general reaping numbers  $\tau_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$  are defined where they are used to prove that for each  $n$  there is a Boolean algebra  $\mathbb{B}$  such that  $\tau_n(\mathbb{B}) < \tau_{n+1}(\mathbb{B})$ ; they further prove the surprising inequality  $\tau_n(\mathbb{B}) \leq \tau_2^+(\mathbb{B})$  which holds for every Boolean algebra  $\mathbb{B}$  and integer  $n$ . In this short note, we prove that for each  $\mathbb{B}$ ,  $\tau_{m,n}(\mathbb{B}) = \tau_{\lceil \frac{m}{n-1} \rceil}(\mathbb{B})$  as conjectured by Dow, Steprāns and Watson.

As for terminology, an integer  $n$  will often be identified with its predecessors  $\{0, \dots, n - 1\}$ . A tree will always mean a finite collection of sequences of integers which are closed under initial segments; it is called  $k$ -branching if every one of its non-maximal node has at least  $k$  immediate successors and  $\mu(\mathcal{T})$  will denote the maximal nodes of  $\mathcal{T}$ . In particular,  ${}^n k$  is the full  $k$ -branching tree of height  $n$ , and  $\chi : \mu(\mathcal{T}) \rightarrow n$  is an  $n$ -colouring of the maximal nodes of  $\mathcal{T}$ . Finally,  $\lceil x \rceil$  denotes as usual the least integer greater than or equal to  $x$ .

### 2. Partitions of $k$ -branching trees.

In this section, we shall characterize exactly which tuples  $k, \ell, m, n$  of integers have the property that every  $k$ -branching tree whose maximal nodes are coloured with  $\ell$  colours contains an  $m$ -branching subtree using at most  $n$  colours, a property that will be denoted by  $\mathcal{P}(k, \ell, m, n)$ . The answer, conjectured in [4], is given by the following:

**Theorem 1.**  $\mathcal{P}(k, \ell, m, n)$  holds if and only if  $\lceil \frac{\ell}{n} \rceil < \lceil \frac{k}{m-1} \rceil$ .

PROOF: We first put  $a = \lceil \frac{\ell}{n} \rceil, b = \lceil \frac{k}{m-1} \rceil$  and assume that  $a < b$ ; we shall prove that  $\mathcal{P}(k, \ell, m, n)$  holds.

Since  $\ell \leq an$ , partition  $\ell$  into at most  $a$  sets  $\langle s_i : i < a \rangle$ , each of size at most  $n$ . Given a  $k$ -branching tree  $\mathcal{T}$  and a colouring  $\chi : \mu(\mathcal{T}) \rightarrow \ell$  of its maximal nodes, define a new colouring  $\bar{\chi} : \mu(\mathcal{T}) \rightarrow a$  by  $\bar{\chi}(\sigma) = i$  iff  $\chi(\sigma) \in s_i$ . Since  $k \geq b(m-1) - (m-2)$ , we get  $a \leq b-1 \leq \frac{k-1}{m-1}$ ; but  $\mathcal{P}(k, \frac{k-1}{m-1}, m, 1)$  can easily be verified to hold and therefore  $\mathcal{T}$  contains an  $m$ -branching subtree  $\mathcal{T}'$  using only one  $\bar{\chi}$ -colour, say  $i$ . Thus  $\mathcal{T}'$  is an  $m$ -branching subtree of  $\mathcal{T}$  using at most  $n$   $\chi$ -colours, namely those from  $s_i$ , and we are done.

For the other direction, we shall show that  $\mathcal{P}(k, \ell, m, n)$  fails whenever  $\lceil \frac{\ell}{n} \rceil \geq \lceil \frac{k}{m-1} \rceil$ . This will be done by induction on  $n$ , the case  $n = 1$  being straightforward. Assume now the result true for  $n$  and we prove it for  $n + 1$ . Fix  $k, \ell, m$  such that  $\lceil \frac{\ell}{n+1} \rceil \geq \lceil \frac{k}{m-1} \rceil$  and we must show that  $\mathcal{P}(k, \ell, m, n + 1)$  fails.

Let  $a = \lceil \frac{\ell}{n+1} \rceil, b = \lceil \frac{k}{m-1} \rceil$ , and choose  $\ell'$  as small as possible such that  $a = \lceil \frac{\ell'}{n} \rceil$ , namely  $\ell' = an - (n-1)$ . We know by induction that  $\mathcal{P}(k, \ell', m, n)$  fails and therefore fix for each  $s \in [\ell]^\ell$  a  $k$ -branching tree  $\mathcal{T}_s$  with a colouring  $\chi_s : \mu(\mathcal{T}_s) \rightarrow s$  such that every  $m$ -branching subtree uses at least  $n + 1$  colours from  $s$ . The counterexample  $\mathcal{T}$  to  $\mathcal{P}(k, \ell, m, n + 1)$  will be obtained by tagging a tree  $\mathcal{T}_{s_\sigma}$  to each maximal node  $\sigma$  of the tree  $^{an-2n+1}k$ .

We will now label each node down the tree  $^{an-2n+1}k$  with a “root”  $r_\sigma \subseteq \ell$  of size at most  $\ell'$  such that if an  $m$ -branching subtree of  $\mathcal{T}$  contains  $\sigma$ , then it will either use at least  $n + 2$  colours as desired or else use at least  $n + 1$  colours from  $r_\sigma$ . We let  $r_\sigma = s_\sigma$  if  $\sigma$  is a maximal node, but by shrinking the size of  $r_\sigma$  by one each time we go down the tree, its size will be  $n$  by the time we arrive at the bottom because  $n + (an - 2n + 1) = \ell'$  and therefore the only alternative then is that any  $m$ -branching subtree of  $\mathcal{T}$  will use at least  $n + 2$  colours.

To ensure that the size of the roots can be reduced, let  $\tau$  be a non-maximal node of  $^{an-2n+1}k$  and assume by induction that  $|r_\sigma| = i + 1$  is fixed for each immediate successor  $\sigma$  of  $\tau$  and that any  $m$ -branching subtree containing  $\sigma$  uses at least  $n + 2$  colours or else uses at least  $n + 1$  colours from  $r_\sigma$ . Assume further that at most  $m - 1$  of the  $r_\sigma$ 's are equal and that their pairwise intersections is  $r_\tau$  if different, with  $|r_\tau| = i$ . By a simple calculation, any  $m$ -branching subtree containing  $\tau$  uses at least  $n + 2$  colours or else uses at least  $n + 1$  colours from  $r_\tau$ . That this strategy can be worked out is where the particular values of  $k, \ell', \ell, m$  and  $n$  play a role.

An exact description of the  $r_\sigma$  can be obtained as follows. We construct a 1-1 function  $f_\sigma : \{1, \dots, \ell'\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, \ell\}$  for each maximal node  $\sigma$  of  $^{an-2n+1}k$ . To start

with,  $f_\sigma \upharpoonright \{1, \dots, n\}$  is the identity function. Now having obtained  $f_\sigma \upharpoonright \{1, \dots, n+i\}$ , for  $i \leq an-2n$ , put  $t = \{1, \dots, \ell\} \setminus f''_\sigma \{1, \dots, n+i\}$  and fix  $\pi : t \rightarrow \{1, \dots, \ell-n-i\}$  the unique order preserving bijection. Finally define  $f_\sigma(n+i+1) = \pi^{-1}(\lfloor \frac{\sigma(i)}{m-1} \rfloor + 1)$ . This can be done since  $\ell \geq a(n+1) - n$ ,  $k \leq a(m-1)$  and therefore  $\lfloor \frac{\sigma(i)}{m-1} \rfloor + 1 \leq \ell - n - i$  for any  $i \leq an - 2n$ . Now for  $\tau$  a node of  $a^{n-2n+1}k$  of height  $i$  say, pick any maximal node  $\sigma$  extending  $\tau$  and label  $\tau$  with the root  $f''_\sigma \{1, \dots, n+i\}$ . It can now be verified that the above strategy can be implemented with these roots.  $\square$

### 3. Reaping numbers of Boolean algebras.

In [4], the ordering of the reaping numbers in Boolean algebras has been characterized in terms of the property  $\mathcal{P}(k, \ell, m, n)$  as follows:

**Theorem 2** ([4]).  $\tau_{k,\ell}(\mathbb{B}) \leq \tau_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$  for every Boolean algebra  $\mathbb{B}$  if and only if  $\mathcal{P}(k, m, \ell, n-1)$  fails.

In particular, it follows from this theorem the existence for each  $n$  of a Boolean algebra such that  $\tau_n(\mathbb{B}) < \tau_{n+1}(\mathbb{B})$ .

Further, it follows from Theorem 1 that for each Boolean algebra, each reaping number  $\tau_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$  is equal to the standard  $\tau_{\lfloor \frac{m}{n-1} \rfloor}(\mathbb{B})$ ; thus the ordering of the reaping numbers is completely described.

**Theorem 3.** For each Boolean algebra  $\mathbb{B}$ ,  $\tau_{m,n}(\mathbb{B}) = \tau_{\lfloor \frac{m}{n-1} \rfloor}(\mathbb{B})$ .

PROOF: Since both  $\mathcal{P}(m, \lfloor \frac{m}{n-1} \rfloor, n, 1)$  and  $\mathcal{P}(\lfloor \frac{m}{n-1} \rfloor, m, 2, n-1)$  fail by Theorem 1, we get  $\tau_{m,n}(\mathbb{B}) \leq \tau_{\lfloor \frac{m}{n-1} \rfloor}(\mathbb{B}) \leq \tau_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$  for each Boolean algebra  $\mathbb{B}$  by Theorem 2.  $\square$

### REFERENCES

- [1] Balcar B., Simon P., *On minimal  $\pi$ -character of points in extremally disconnected spaces*, Topology Appl. **41** (1991), 133-145.
- [2] ———, *Reaping number and  $\pi$ -character of Boolean algebras*, preprint, 1991.
- [3] Beslagić A., van Douwen E.K., *Spaces of nonuniform ultrafilters in spaces of uniform ultrafilters*, Topology Appl. **35** (1990), 253-260.
- [4] Dow A., Steprāns, Watson S., *Reaping numbers of Boolean algebras*, preprint, 1992.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALGARY, CALGARY, ALBERTA T2N 1N4, CANADA

(Received October 27, 1992)