Giovanni Emmanuele On complemented copies of c_0 in spaces of operators, II

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 35 (1994), No. 2, 259--261

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/118664

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1994

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ*: *The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

On complemented copies of c_0 in spaces of operators, II

GIOVANNI EMMANUELE*

Abstract. We show that as soon as c_0 embeds complementably into the space of all weakly compact operators from X to Y, then it must live either in X^* or in Y.

Keywords: spaces of weakly compact operators, complemented copies of c_0 Classification: 46B25, 46A32

Let X and Y be two infinite dimensional Banach spaces. It is well known (see for instance [E1], [E3], [E4], [EJ], [F], [H], [K]) that c_0 can embed into K(X, Y), the space of all compact operators from X to Y equipped with the operator norm, even if it does not embed into X^* and Y; furthermore, such a copy of c_0 can be complemented in K(X, Y) (see [E4], [E6]).

Recently ([E2], [E5]), we obtained some results proving that if c_0 embeds into either X^* or Y then it embeds complementably into some spaces of operators larger than K(X,Y), for instance W(X,Y), the space of all weakly compact operators from X to Y. The technique we used in order to construct the complemented copy of c_0 requires the presence of a copy of c_0 in either X^* or Y, because otherwise it does not work.

All the above facts lead us to the following natural question: Is it possible to have a complemented copy of c_0 inside W(X,Y) even when it does not embed into X^* and Y?

In this short note (in which we continue the research started in [E5]) we want to show that the answer to this question is negative; indeed, we prove that as soon as c_0 embeds complementably into W(X, Y), then it must live inside either X^* or Y. Actually, we shall prove a slightly more general result about the space $L_{w^*}(X^*, Y)$, i.e. the space of all weak*-weak continuous operators from X^* to Y equipped with the operator norm.

The announced result is the following

Theorem 1. Let H be a complemented copy of c_0 in $L_{w^*}(X^*, Y)$. If T_n is a basis for H, then there is either a $x_0^* \in B_{X^*}$ or a $y_0^* \in B_{Y^*}$ and a subsequence (T_{n_k}) of (T_n) such that either the sequence $(T_{n_k}(x_0^*))$ spans a copy of c_0 in Y or the sequence $(T_{n_k}^*(y_0^*))$ spans a copy of c_0 in X.

PROOF: It is clear that for each $x^* \in B_{X^*}$ (resp. $y^* \in B_{Y^*}$) the series $\sum T_{n_k}(x^*)$ (resp. $\sum T_{n_k}^*(y^*)$) is weakly unconditionally converging in Y (resp. in X). It will

^{*} Work performed under the auspices of GNAFA of CNR and partially supported by MURST of Italy (40%-1990).

G. Emmanuele

be enough to show that there is either a $x_0^* \in B_{X^*}$ or a $y_0^* \in B_{Y^*}$ and a subsequence (T_{n_h}) of (T_n) such that either the series $\sum T_{n_h}(x_0^*)$ is not unconditionally converging in Y or the series $\sum T_{n_h}^*(y_0^*)$ is not unconditionally converging in X, because we can thus use a well known result due to Bessaga and Pelczynski ([BP]) to conclude our proof. By contradiction we assume that for each $x^* \in B_{X^*}$ and $y^* \in B_{Y^*}$ the series $\sum T_n(x^*)$ and $\sum T_n^*(y^*)$ are unconditionally converging in Y and X, respectively. So for any $\xi = (\xi_n) \in l_\infty$ and $x^* \in B_{X^*}$ the series $\sum \xi_n T_n(x^*)$ is unconditionally converging in Y. Define $T_{\xi}(x^*) = \sum \xi_n T_n(x^*)$ for all $x^* \in B_{X^*}$. We now show that T_{ξ} belongs to $L_{w^*}(X^*, Y)$. To this aim it will be enough to consider a w^{*}-null net (x_{α}^*) in B_{X^*} and a y^* in B_{Y^*} and to prove that

(1)
$$\lim_{\alpha} |T_{\xi}(x^*_{\alpha})(y^*)| = 0.$$

Since $\sum \xi_n T_n^*(y^*)$ is unconditionally converging in X by our assumption, we have

(2)
$$\lim_{p} \sup_{x^* \in B_{X^*}} |\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \xi_n T_n^*(y^*)(x^*)| = 0.$$

Thanks to (2), given $\gamma > 0$ we can find a $\overline{p} \in N$ such that

(3)
$$\sup_{\alpha} |\sum_{n=\overline{p}+1}^{\infty} \xi_n T_n^*(y^*)(x_{\alpha}^*)| < \frac{\gamma}{2}.$$

On the other hand,

(4)
$$\lim_{\alpha} \sum_{n=1}^{\overline{p}} \xi_n T_n(x_{\alpha}^*)(y^*) = 0$$

since $T_n \in L_{w^*}(X^*, Y)$, for all $n \in N$. (3) and (4) together give (1).

Furthermore, using the Closed Graph Theorem we can prove easily that the linear map $\Psi : l_{\infty} \to L_{w^*}(X^*, Y)$ defined by $\Psi(\xi) = T_{\xi}$ is bounded. It is clear that $K = \Psi(l_{\infty})$ contains H. If $P : L_{w^*}(X^*, Y) \longrightarrow H$ is the existing projection, the operator $P_{|K}\Psi : l_{\infty} \longrightarrow H$ is a quotient map of l_{∞} onto c_0 . This is a well known contradiction ([D]) that concludes our proof.

Corollary 2. Let c_0 embed complementably into W(X, Y). Then c_0 embeds into either X^* or Y.

PROOF: It is enough to observe that W(X, Y) is isomorphic with $L_{w^*}(X^{**}, Y)$.

With a proof similar to that of Theorem 1 we can prove the same result for the space L(X, Y) of all bounded operators from X to Y. One could also consider the space UC(X, Y) of all unconditionally converging operators from X to Y; in such

a case we have been able to get just a slightly less precise result than Theorem 1; indeed, the same technique used for proving Theorem 1 shows that as soon as c_0 embeds complementably in UC(X, Y), then either Y contains a copy of c_0 or there are a $y_0^* \in B_{Y^*}$ and a subsequence (T_{n_k}) of (T_n) so that the sequence $(T_{n_k}^*(y_0^*))$ spans a copy of c_0 in X^* , but in such a case we do not know how the copy of c_0 contained in Y is spanned.

At the end, we observe that in the paper [E5] we also considered other spaces of operators, such as spaces of Dunford-Pettis operators; we do not know if Theorem 1 can be extended to cover this case.

References

- [BP] Bessaga C., Pelczynski A, On bases and unconditional convergence of series in Banach spaces, Studia Math. 17 (1958), 151–164.
- [D] Diestel J., Sequences and Series in Banach Spaces, Graduate Text in Mathematics 97, Springer Verlag 1984.
- [E1] Emmanuele G., Dominated operators on C[0,1] and the (CRP), Collect. Math. 41 (1990), 21–25.
- [E2] _____, Remarks on the uncomplemented subspace W(E, F), J. Funct. Analysis **99** (1991), 125–130.
- [E3] _____, On the containment of c_0 by spaces of compact operators, Bull. Sci. Math. 115 (1991), 177–184.
- [E4] _____, A remark on the containment of c₀ in spaces of compact operators, Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. **111** (1992), 331–335.
- [E5] _____, On complemented copies of c_0 in spaces of operators, Comm. Math. **32** (1992), 29-32.
- [E6] _____, About the position of $K_{w^*}(X^*, Y)$ in $L_{w^*}(X^*, Y)$, Atti Sem. Mat. Fisico Univ. Modena, to appear.
- [EJ] Emmanuele G., John K., Uncomplementability of spaces of compact operators in larger spaces of operators, to appear.
- [F] Feder M., On subspaces of spaces with an unconditional basis and spaces of operators, Illinois J. Math. 34 (1980), 196–205.
- [H] Holub J.R., Tensor product bases and tensor diagonals, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 151 (1970), 563–579.
- [K] Kalton N.J., Spaces of compact operators, Math. Annalen 208 (1974), 267-278.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CATANIA VIALE A. DORIA 6, 95125 CATANIA, ITALY

E-mail: emmanuele@mathct.cineca.it

(Received April 27, 1993)