William Ullery A note on group algebras of *p*-primary abelian groups

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 36 (1995), No. 1, 11--14

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/118726

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1995

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

A note on group algebras of *p*-primary abelian groups

WILLIAM ULLERY

Abstract. Suppose p is a prime number and R is a commutative ring with unity of characteristic 0 in which p is not a unit. Assume that G and H are p-primary abelian groups such that the respective group algebras RG and RH are R-isomorphic. Under certain restrictions on the ideal structure of R, it is shown that G and H are isomorphic.

Keywords: commutative group algebras, isomorphism Classification: 20C07

Suppose R is a commutative ring with unity of characteristic 0. If p is a prime number, and if G and H are p-primary abelian groups, the question arises of whether an R-isomorphism of the group algebras RG and RH implies that G and H are isomorphic. It is known that if $1/p \in R$, then one cannot expect $RG \cong RH$ to imply $G \cong H$. For example, in [U] it is shown that if R is an integral domain with sufficiently many p^k -th roots of unity for various integers $k \ge 1$, then $1/p \in R$ implies that the isomorphism class of RG is completely determined by |G|. In this brief note, we investigate conditions on R which guarantee that $G \cong H$ whenever $RG \cong RH$. Therefore, we assume throughout that $1/p \notin R$.

Let inv(R) be the set of prime numbers that are units in R, and let zd(R) be the set of prime numbers that are zero divisors in R. The characteristic of R is denoted by char(R). Throughout the remainder of this paper, our standing hypotheses are that R is a commutative ring with unity, char(R) = 0, p is a prime number such that $p \notin inv(R)$, and G and H are p-primary abelian groups.

Our first result appears in [U], but for the sake of completeness we include its short proof below. Its proof requires a special case of the main result of [M]; that is, if R is an integral domain and $RG \cong RH$, then $G \cong H$.

Proposition 1 ([U]). If the additive group of R is torsion-free, then $RG \cong RH$ implies that $G \cong H$.

PROOF: Since $p \notin \operatorname{inv}(R)$, there exists a minimal prime ideal P of R such that $p \notin \operatorname{inv}(R/P)$. Moreover, R torsion-free means that $\operatorname{zd}(R) = \phi$. We conclude that R/P is an integral domain with $\operatorname{char}(R/P) = 0$ and $(R/P)G \cong (R/P)H$. It follows from the result of [M] mentioned above that $G \cong H$.

The following consequence of Proposition 1 provides a necessary ingredient for the proofs of the subsequent results. **Proposition 2.** If $p \notin \operatorname{zd}(R)$, then $RG \cong RH$ implies $G \cong H$.

PROOF: Let T be the torsion subgroup of the additive group of R. Note that T is a proper ideal of R. We first claim that $p \notin \operatorname{inv}(R/T)$. Indeed, if $p \in \operatorname{inv}(R/T)$, then n(pr-1) = 0 for some $r \in R$ and integer n > 0. Since $p \notin \operatorname{inv}(R) \cup \operatorname{zd}(R)$, we may assume that p and n are relatively prime. Select integers s and t such that sn + tp = 1. Then, 0 = sn(pr-1) = (1-tp)(pr-1) = p(r-trp+t) - 1, contradicting $p \notin \operatorname{inv}(R)$. Thus, $p \notin \operatorname{inv}(R/T)$ as claimed.

If $c \ge 0$ is the characteristic of R/T, then $c \in T$ and there exists an integer m > 0 such that mc = 0. Therefore, c = 0. Consequently, R/T is a torsion-free ring of characteristic 0 and $p \notin inv(R/T)$. Since $(R/T)G \cong (R/T)H$, an application of Proposition 1 completes the proof.

As usual, J(R) denote the Jacobson radical of R.

Proposition 3. Suppose $p \in J(R)$. Then $RG \cong RH$ implies that $G \cong H$.

PROOF: In view of Proposition 2, it suffices to show that R has a homomorphic image S of characteristic 0 with $p \notin inv(S) \cup zd(S)$.

First note that if p were contained in every minimal prime ideal of R, we would have $p^k = 0$ for some $k \ge 1$, contradicting char(R) = 0. Set

 $I = \bigcap \{P : P \text{ is a minimal prime ideal of } R \text{ with } p \notin P \}$

and let T_p denote the *p*-torsion of the additive group of *R*. Observe that $I + T_p$ is a proper ideal of *R* since $p \notin I$. We claim that $S = R/(I + T_p)$ has the desired properties.

Select a maximal ideal M containing $I + T_p$ and note that $p \in J(R)$ implies $p \in M$. Consequently, $p \notin inv(S)$ since R/M is a homomorphic image of S and $p \notin inv(R/M)$. Set c = char(S). If $c \neq 0$, there exist integers c' and m, with c' relatively prime to p and $m \geq 0$, such that $c = c'p^m \in I + T_p$. Thus, $c'p^{m+k} \in I$ for some $k \geq 1$. We conclude that $c' \in I \subseteq M$, which is absurd since $p \in M$ and M is proper. Therefore, char(S) = c = 0. Finally, if $pr \in I + T_p$ for some $r \in R$, it follows that $r \in I$ and $p \notin zd(S)$.

If R is quasi-local with unique maximal ideal M, then $p \in M = J(R)$. Therefore, from Proposition 3 we obtain

Corollary 4. If R is quasi-local, then $RG \cong RH$ implies $G \cong H$.

As an application of Corollary 4, we obtain the following

Proposition 5. Suppose the ideal Rp of R generated by p contains no nonzero idempotents. Then $RG \cong RH$ implies $G \cong H$.

PROOF: Let T_p denote the *p*-torsion subgroup of the additive group R. We claim that $I = T_p + Rp$ is a proper ideal of R. If not, r + sp = 1 for some $r \in T_p$ and $s \in R$. Therefore, $sp^{k+1} = p^k$ for some integer $k \ge 1$ and it follows by

induction that $s^n p^{k+n} = p^k$ for every integer $n \ge 1$. In particular, $s^k p^{2k} = p^k$ and $(s^k p^k)^2 = s^{2k} p^{2k} = s^k p^k$. Since $s^k p^k \in Rp$ is idempotent, $s^k p^k = 0$. Consequently, $0 = s^k p^k p^k = s^k p^{2k} = p^k$, contradicting char(R) = 0. Therefore, I is proper as claimed.

Select a maximal ideal M containing I and consider the localization R_M . Clearly $p \notin \operatorname{inv}(R_M)$ since $p \in M$. Moreover, if $c = \operatorname{char}(R_M)$, then dc = 0 for some $d \in R \setminus M$. Thus $c \in M$. Since $p \in M$, we have $c = p^m$ for some $m \ge 1$ or c = 0. If $c = p^m$, then $dp^m = 0$ implies that $d \in T_p \subseteq M$, a contradiction. Therefore, $\operatorname{char}(R_M) = 0$. An application of Corollary 4 now yields the result, since $R_M G \cong R_M \otimes_R RG \cong R_M \otimes_R RH \cong R_M H$.

We summarize what we have proved in our final result.

Theorem 6. Suppose R is a commutative ring with unity such that char(R) = 0and assume p is a prime number such that $p \notin inv(R)$. If G and H are abelian p-groups such that $RG \cong RH$ as R-algebras, then $G \cong H$ in each of the following cases.

- (1) Rp contains no nonzero idempotents (in particular, if R is indecomposable).
- (2) $p \in J(R)$ (in particular, if R is quasi-local).
- (3) $p \notin \operatorname{zd}(R)$ (in particular, if R is torsion-free).

In closing we make a few remarks which may shed some light on the possible importance of results such as Theorem 6. First of all, one would ideally like to dispense with all conditions on R except for char(R) = 0 and (the necessary hypothesis) $p \notin inv(R)$. We formulate this as

Conjecture I. Suppose char(R) = 0, $p \notin inv(R)$, and G and H are abelian p-groups with $RG \cong RH$. Then $G \cong H$.

Also, we mention the long-standing conjecture in the modular case. As a reference, the reader is directed to G. Karpilovsky's excellent book [K], which is a fundamental source for any investigator in this area. We formulate Conjecture B on page 174 of [K] as

Conjecture II. Suppose *F* is a field of characteristic $p \neq 0$ and *G* and *H* are abelian *p*-groups with $FG \cong FH$. Then $G \cong H$.

It is easily proven that Conjectures I and II are equivalent (see, for example, [U]). That is, either both are true or both are false (or perhaps, undecidable in ZFC).

References

[K] Karpilovsky G., Commutative Group Algebras, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1983.

W. Ullery

- [M] May W., Isomorphism of group algebras, J. Algebra 40 (1976), 10-18.
- [U] Ullery W., On isomorphism of group algebras of torsion abelian groups, Rocky Mtn. J. Math. 22 (1992), 1111-1122.

Department of Mathematics, Auburn University, Auburn, Alabama 36849–5310, USA

E-mail: ullery@mail.auburn.edu

(Received April 6, 1994)