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# Whitney blocks in the hyperspace of a finite graph 

Alejandro Illanes*


#### Abstract

Let $X$ be a finite graph. Let $C(X)$ be the hyperspace of all nonempty subcontinua of $X$ and let $\mu: C(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Whitney map. We prove that there exist numbers $0<T_{0}<T_{1}<T_{2}<\cdots<T_{M}=\mu(X)$ such that if $T \in\left(T_{i-1}, T_{i}\right)$, then the Whitney block $\mu^{-1}\left(T_{i-1}, T_{i}\right)$ is homeomorphic to the product $\mu^{-1}(T) \times\left(T_{i-1}, T_{i}\right)$. We also show that there exists only a finite number of topologically different Whitney levels for $C(X)$.
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## Introduction

Throughout this paper $X$ denotes a finite graph, i.e. a compact connected metric space which is the union of finitely many segments joined by their end points. A segment of $X$ is one of those segments. A subgraph of $X$ is a graph contained in $X$ formed by some of those segments. Let $S G(X)=\{A \subset X: A$ is a subgraph of $X\}$.

The hyperspace of subcontinua of $X$ is $C(X)=\{A \subset X: A$ is a nonempty, closed, connected subset of $X\}$ metrized with the Hausdorff metric. Let $F_{1}(X)=$ $\{\{x\} \in C(X): x \in X\}$. A map is a continuous function. A Whitney map for $C(X)$ (see $[8,0.50])$ is a map $\mu: C(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mu(\{x\})=0$ for every $x \in X, \mu(A)<\mu(B)$ if $A \subset B \neq A$ and $\mu(X)=1$. A Whitney level is a set of the form $\mu^{-1}(t)$, where $t \in[0,1]$. A Whitney block is a set of the form $\mu^{-1}(t, s)$, where $0 \leq t<s \leq 1$. From now on, $\mu$ will denote a Whitney map for $C(X)$.

In [1], R. Duda made a detailed study of the polyhedral structure of $C(X)$ by giving a good decomposition of $C(X)$ into balls. In [2], he gave a characterization of those polyhedra which are hyperspaces of acyclic finite graphs.

Whitney levels of finite graph have been studied by H. Kato. In [4] he showed that they are always polyhedra and that if $t_{0}=\min \{\mu(A): A$ is a simple closed curve contained in $X\}$ and $0 \leq t<t_{0}$, then $\mu^{-1}(t)$ is homotopically equivalent to $X$. In [4] and [6] he gave bounds for the fundamental dimension of Whitney levels of finite graphs and, in [5] he proved that Whitney levels of finite graphs admit all homotopy types of compact connected ANRs.

This paper was motivated by the following result of I. Puga ([10, Theorem 2.5]): "There exists $t \in[0,1)$ and there exists a homeomorphism $\varphi$ : (Cone over $\mu^{-1}(t)$ )

[^0]$\rightarrow \mu^{-1}([t, 1)$ such that $\varphi(A, 0)=A, \varphi(A, 1)=X$ and $s<t$ implies that $\varphi(A, s) \subset$ $\varphi(A, t)$ for each $A \in \mu^{-1}(t)$ ". She expressed this property by saying that the hyperspace of subcontinua of a finite graph is conical pointed.

In this paper, we prove:
Theorem 1. Suppose that $\mu(S G(X)) \cup\{0\}=\left\{T_{0}, T_{1}, \ldots, T_{M}\right\}$, where $0=T_{0}<$ $T_{1}<\cdots<T_{M}=1$. If $1 \leq i \leq M$ and $T \in\left(T_{i-1}, T_{i}\right)$, then there exists a homeomorphism $\varphi: \mu^{-1}(T) \times\left(T_{i-1}, T_{i}\right) \rightarrow \mu^{-1}\left(T_{i-1}, T_{i}\right)$ such that $\varphi(A, T)=A$ and $\varphi(A, s) \subset \varphi(A, t)$ if $s<t$ for every $A \in \mu^{-1}(T)$ and, for each $t \in\left(T_{i-1}, T_{i}\right)$, $\varphi \mid \mu^{-1}(T) \times\{t\}$ is a homeomorphism from $\mu^{-1}(T) \times\{t\}$ onto $\mu^{-1}(t)$.
Theorem 2. There is only a finite number of topologically different Whitney levels for $C(X)$.

## 1. Preliminaries

The vertices of $X$ are the end points of the segments of $X$. Notice that the set $S G(X)$ of subgraphs of $X$ depends on the choice of the segments. We are interested in having as less subgraphs as possible, so we will suppose that $X$ is not a simple closed curve and each vertex of $X$ is either an end point of $X$ or a ramification point of $X$. With this restriction two extremes of a segment of $X$ may coincide and then such a "segment" would be a simple closed curve. The set of segments of $X$ is denoted by $\mathcal{S}$. For each $J \in \mathcal{S}$, we fix an orientation and then we identify $J$ with a closed interval $\left[(-1)_{J},(1)_{J}\right]$. Notice that it is possible that $(-1)_{J}=(1)_{J}$. We write -1 (resp. 1) instead of $(-1)_{J}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.(1)_{J}\right)$ if no confusion arrives.

In order to define the map $\varphi$ in Theorem 1, we will describe its action in each $J \in \mathcal{S}$. For each $A \in \mu^{-1}(T)$, we consider $A \cap J$ and we enlarge or shrink this set. To illustrate how this shrinking of $A \cap J$ has to be done, let us consider the following diagram:



Here, $L$ and $M$ are segments of $X$ and $J$ is a segment in $X$ such that the end points of $J$ coincide (that is, $J$ is a simple closed curve). The subcontinua $A_{1}$, $A_{2}$ and $A_{3}$ have been outlined in thicker lines. The subcontinuum $A_{2}$ contains $J$ and $M$ and one half of $L, A_{1} \cap L$ and $A_{3} \cap L$ are a little bit larger that $A_{2} \cap L$ while $A_{1} \cap J$ and $A_{3} \cap J$ are a little bit smaller than $A_{2} \cap J$. In this example, $T_{i-1}=\mu(J \cup M)$.

If we shrink $A_{2} \cap J$, then we have to cut it at some place of the circle $J$. Since $A_{1}$ is very close to $A_{2}$, the continuity of the shrinking implies that we have to cut $A_{1} \cap J$ at a similar position as $A_{2} \cap J$. Then, the connectedness of the shrinking of $A_{1} \cap J$ implies that $A_{2} \cap J$ has to be cut only on the upper part of $J$. But, since $A_{3}$ is very close to $A_{2}$, in the same way as above, $A_{2} \cap J$ has to be cut only on the lower part of $J$. This contradiction shows that it is not possible to shrink $A_{2} \cap J$.

However, we have to shrink the continuum $A_{2}$ and the shrinkings have to take all the sizes in the interval $\left(T_{i-1}, \mu\left(A_{2}\right)\right]$. Then, the shrinking of $A_{2}$ will be carried out by making the arc $A_{2} \cap L$ shorter and shorter. Since $A_{1}$ and $A_{3}$ are very close to $A_{2}$, then the shrinking of $A_{1} \cap J$ and $A_{3} \cap J$ have to be almost imperceptible compared with the shrinking of $A_{1} \cap L$ and $A_{3} \cap L$, respectively.

The map $\varphi$ in Theorem 1 will be an appropriate reparametrization and restriction of the following map $F$, so the behaviour of $F$ will be similar to the behaviour of $\varphi$ and the discussion concerning the shrinking of the subcontinua of $X$ is applicable to $F$.

Observe that to get the effect of shrinking some intervals very slowly compared with others, we strongly use the asymptoteness of the graph of the map $g$ to the lines $y= \pm 1$ in the Euclidean plane.

## 2. Auxiliary maps

Consider the map $f:(-1,1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $f(t)=t g(t \pi / 2)$ and let $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow$ $(-1,1)$ be the inverse map of $f$. Then $f(-t)=-f(t)$ for every $t \in(-1,1)$, $g(-s)=-g(s)$ for every $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $-g$ is the inverse map of $-f$. Define $C^{\vee}(X)=$ $C(X)-\left(S G(X) \cup F_{1}(X)\right)$.

Define $F: C^{\vee}(X) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow C^{\vee}(X)$ by $F(A, t)=\bigcup\left\{F_{J}(A, t): J \in \mathcal{S}\right\}$, where $F_{J}: C^{\vee}(X) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow\{E: E$ is a closed subset of $J\}$ is defined as follows:

$$
F_{J}(A, t)= \begin{cases}\text { (a) } & A \cap J \quad \text { if } A \cap J=\emptyset,\{-1\},\{1\},\{-1,1\} \text { or } J, \\ (\mathrm{~b}) & {[-1, g(f(b)+t)] \quad \text { if } A \cap J=[-1, b] \text { and }-1<b<1,} \\ (\mathrm{c}) & {[g(f(a)-t), 1] \quad \text { if } A \cap J=[a, 1] \text { and }-1<a<1,} \\ (\mathrm{~d}) & {[a+e(m-a), b+e(m-b)], \quad \text { where } m=\frac{a+b}{2+a-b} \text { and }} \\ & e=1+\frac{1+g(f(b-a-1)+t)}{a-b} \text { if } A \cap J=[a, b] \text { and } \\ & -1<a<b<1 \text { and }, \\ (\mathrm{e}) \quad & {[-1, a+e(m-a)] \cup[b+e(m-b), 1],} \\ & \text { where } m=\frac{a+b}{2+a-b} \text { and } \\ & e=1+\frac{1+g(f(b-a-1)-t)}{a-b} \text { if } A \cap J=[-1, a] \cup[b, 1] \\ & -1 \leq a<b \leq 1 \text { and }-1<a \text { or } b<1 .\end{cases}
$$

In case $(\mathrm{e}), a(1+a) \leq b(1+a)$ and $a(1-b) \leq b(1-b)$, then $2 a+a^{2}-a b \leq$ $a+b \leq 2 b+a b-b^{2}$, so $a \leq m \leq b$, where $a<m$ or $b<m$. Notice that $e$ is a strictly increasing function of $t$. If $t \rightarrow \infty, e \rightarrow 1, a+e(m-a) \rightarrow m$ and $b+e(m-b) \rightarrow m$. If $t \rightarrow-\infty, e \rightarrow 1+\frac{2}{a-b} a+e(m-a) \rightarrow-1$ and $b+e(m-b) \rightarrow 1$. Thus $F_{J}(A, t)$ is a proper subset of $J,\{-1,1\} \subset F_{J}(A, t) \neq\{-1,1\}$; if $t<s$, then $F_{J}(A, t) \subset F_{J}(A, s) \neq F_{J}(A, t), F_{J}(A, t) \rightarrow J$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ and $F_{J}(A, t) \rightarrow\{-1,1\}$ as $t \rightarrow-\infty$.

Similarly, in case (d), $F_{J}(A, t)$ is a proper subset of $J,-1,1 \notin F_{J}(A, t), m \in$ $F_{J}(A, t)$; if $t<s$, then $F_{J}(A, t) \subset F_{J}(A, s) \neq F_{J}(A, t), F_{J}(A, t) \rightarrow J$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ and $F_{J}(A, t) \rightarrow\{m\}$ as $t \rightarrow-\infty$.

In all the cases, if $A \cap J$ is a nonempty proper subset of $J$, then $F_{J}(A, t)$ is a nonempty proper subset of $J$. Moreover, -1 (resp. 1) belongs to $A$ if and only if -1 (resp. 1) belongs to $F_{J}(A, t)$. It follows that, for each $t$, a vertex $p$ of $X$ belongs to $A$ if and only if $p$ belongs to $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{t})$ and $F(A, t) \in C^{\vee}(X)$. Therefore $F$ is well defined.

We will need the following properties of function $F$ :
I. If $t<s$, then $F(A, t) \subset F(A, s) \neq F(A, t)$.

It follows from the fact that in cases (b), (c), (d) and (e), if $t<s$, then $F_{J}(A, t) \subset$ $F_{J}(A, s) \neq F_{J}(A, t)$.
II. For a fixed $A \in C^{\vee}(X)$, if $t \rightarrow-\infty, F(A, t)$ tends to a one-point set or to a subgraph of $X$ which is contained in $A$ and, if $t \rightarrow \infty$, then $F(A, t)$ tends to a subgraph of $X$ which contains $A$.
III. $F$ is continuous.

Let $\left(\left(A_{n}, t_{n}\right)\right) n$ be a sequence in $C^{\vee}(X) \times \mathbb{R}$ which converges to an element $(A, t)$ in $C^{\vee}(X) \times \mathbb{R}$. We may suppose that if $J \in \mathcal{S}$ and $A \cap J=\emptyset$, then $A_{n} \cap J=\emptyset$ for every $n$. Let $\mathcal{S}^{*}=\{J \in \mathcal{S}: A \cap J \neq \emptyset\}$. Since $F(A, t)$ has no isolated points, if we can find a finite set $E$ such that $F\left(A_{n}, t_{n}\right) \cup E \rightarrow F(A, t)$, then we will have that $F\left(A_{n}, t_{n}\right) \rightarrow F(A, t)$. In order to find such a set $E$, it is enough to show that, for each $J \in \mathcal{S}^{*}$, there exists a finite set $E_{J}$ such that $F_{J}\left(A_{n}, t_{n}\right) \cup E_{J} \rightarrow F_{J}(A, t)$. Then take $J \in \mathcal{S}^{*}$. Here it is necessary to consider the following cases:

1. $A \cap J=J$,
2. $A \cap J=[-1, b]$ with $-1<b<1$,
3. $A \cap J=[a, 1]$ with $-1<a<1$,
4. $A \cap J=[a, b]$ with $-1<a<b<1$,
5. $A \cap J=[-1, a] \cup[b, 1]$ with $-1<a<b<1$,
6. $A \cap J=[-1, a] \cup\{1\}$ with $-1<a<1$,
7. $A \cap J=\{-1\} \cup[a, 1]$ with $-1<a<1$,
8. $A \cap J=\{-1\}$,
9. $A \cap J=\{1\}$ and,
10. $A \cap J=\{-1,1\}$.

We only check cases 1 and 6 ; the others are similar. For case 1 , the sequence $\left(A_{n}\right) n$ can be partitioned into subsequences $\left(B_{k}\right) k$ where each $B_{k}$ lies in one of the following subcases:
(a) $B_{k} \cap J=J$. Then $F_{J}\left(B_{k}, t_{n_{k}}\right)=J \rightarrow F_{J}(A, t)$.
(b) $B_{k} \cap J=\left[-1, b_{k}\right]$ with $-1<b_{k}<1$. Since $B_{k} \rightarrow A, b_{k} \rightarrow 1$, then $F_{J}\left(B_{k}, t_{n_{k}}\right)=\left[-1, g\left(f\left(b_{k}\right)+t_{n_{k}}\right)\right] \rightarrow[-1,1]=F_{J}(A, t)$.
(c) $B_{k} \cap J=\left[a_{k}, 1\right]$ with $-1<a_{k}<1$. It is similar to (b).
(d) $B_{k} \cap J=\left[a_{k}, b_{k}\right]$ with $-1<a_{k}<b_{k}<1$. Then $a_{k} \rightarrow-1$ and $b_{k} \rightarrow 1$, so $e_{k}=1+\left[1+g\left(f\left(b_{k}-a_{k}-1\right)+t_{n_{k}}\right)\right] /\left(a_{k}-b_{k}\right) \rightarrow 0$. Thus $b_{k}+$ $e_{k}\left(m_{k}-b_{k}\right)-\left(a_{k}+e_{k}\left(m_{k}-a_{k}\right)\right)=\left(b_{k}-a_{k}\right)\left(1-e_{k}\right) \rightarrow 2$. Therefore $F_{J}\left(B_{k}, t_{n_{k}}\right)=\left[a_{k}+e_{k}\left(m_{k}-a_{k}\right), b_{k}+e_{k}\left(m_{k}-b_{k}\right)\right] \rightarrow[-1,1]=F_{J}(A, t)$.
(e) $B_{k} \cap J=\left[-1, a_{k}\right] \cup\left[b_{k}, 1\right]$, with $-1<a_{k}<b_{k}<1$ and $-1<a_{k}$ or $b_{k}<1$. Then $b_{k}-a_{k} \rightarrow 0$. Thus $b_{k}+e_{k}\left(m_{k}-b_{k}\right)-\left(a_{k}+e_{k}\left(m_{k}-a_{k}\right)\right)=$ $\left(b_{k}-a_{k}\right)\left(1-e_{k}\right)=\left(b_{k}-a_{k}\right)\left(\left[1+g\left(f\left(b_{k}-a_{k}-1\right)+t_{n_{k}}\right)\right] /\left(a_{k}-b_{k}\right)\right) \rightarrow 0$. Thus $F_{J}\left(B_{k}, t_{n_{k}}\right) \rightarrow J=F_{J}(A, t)$.
Therefore $F_{J}\left(A_{n}, t_{n}\right) \rightarrow F_{J}(A, t)$.
In case 6 , define $E_{J}=\{1\}$. Note that $F_{J}(A, t)=[-1, g(f(a)+t)] \cup\{1\}$. We must consider the following subcases:
(a) $B_{k} \cap J=\left[-1, b_{k}\right]$ with $-1<b_{k}<1$. Since $B_{k} \rightarrow A, b_{k} \rightarrow a$, then
$F_{J}\left(B_{k}, t_{n_{k}}\right) \cup E_{J}=\left[-1, g\left(f\left(b_{k}\right)+t_{n_{k}}\right)\right] \cup\{1\} \rightarrow[-1, g(f(a)+t)] \cup\{1\}=$ $F_{J}(A, t)$.
(b) $B_{k} \cap J=\left[a_{k}, b_{k}\right]$ with $-1<a_{k}<b_{k}<1$. Then $a_{k} \rightarrow-1$ and $b_{k} \rightarrow a$. This implies that $m_{k}=\left(a_{k}+b_{k}\right) /\left(2+a_{k}-b_{k}\right) \rightarrow-1$ and $e_{k} \rightarrow 1+[1+$ $g(f(a)+t)] /(-1-a)$. Thus $F_{J}\left(B_{k}, t_{n_{k}}\right) \cup E_{J}=\left[a_{k}+e_{k}\left(m_{k}-a_{k}\right), b_{k}+\right.$ $\left.e_{k}\left(m_{k}-b_{k}\right)\right] \cup E_{J} \rightarrow[-1, g(f(a)+t)] \cup\{1\}=F_{J}(A, t)$.
(c) $B_{k} \cap J=\left[-1, a_{k}\right] \cup\left[b_{k}, 1\right]$, with $-1 \leq a_{k}<b_{k} \leq 1$ and $-1<a_{k}$ or $b_{k}<1$. Then $a_{k} \rightarrow a, b_{k} \rightarrow 1, m_{k} \rightarrow 1$ and $e_{k} \rightarrow(a-g(f(a)+t)) /(a-1)$. Thus, $F_{J}\left(B_{k}, t_{n_{k}}\right) \cup E_{J}=\left[-1, a_{k}+e_{k}\left(m_{k}-a_{k}\right)\right] \cup\left[b_{k}+e_{k}\left(m_{k}-b_{k}\right), 1\right] \rightarrow$ $[-1, g(f(a)+t)] \cup\{1\}=F_{J}(A, t)$.
Hence, $F_{J}\left(A_{n}, t_{n}\right) \cup E_{J} \rightarrow F_{J}(A, t)$.
Therefore, $F$ is continuous.
IV. If $(A, t),(B, s) \in C^{\vee}(X) \times \mathbb{R}$ are such that $A-B \neq \emptyset$ and $F(A, t)=$ $F(B, s)$, then $t<s$.

To prove this, choose a point $p \in A-B$, let $J \in \mathcal{S}$ be such that $p \in J$. If $p$ is a vertex of $X$, then $p \in F(A, t)=F(B, s)$, so $p \in B$. This contradiction proves that $p$ is not a vertex of $X$. Then $J$ is the unique segment of $X$ which contains $p$. We consider some cases:
(a) $\quad A \cap J=J$. Then $J \subset F(B, s)$. This implies that $B \cap J=J$ and $p \in B$. This contradiction shows that this case is not possible.
(b) $A \cap J=[-1, b]$ with $-1<b<1$. Since $F(A, t)=F(B, s)$, then $B \cap J$ is of the form $B \cap J=\left[-1, b_{1}\right]$ with $-1<b_{1}<b$ and $[-1, g(f(b)+t)]=\left[-1, g\left(f\left(b_{1}\right)+\right.\right.$ $s)]$. Then $f(b)+t=f\left(b_{1}\right)+s$. Thus $t<s$.
(c) $A \cap J=[a, 1]$ with $-1<a<1$. This case is similar to case (b).
(d) $A \cap J=[-1, a] \cup[b, 1]$ with $-1 \leq a<b \leq 1$ and $-1<a$ or $b<1$. Since $F(A, t)=F(B, s)$, then $B \cap J$ is of the form $B \cap J=\left[-1, a_{1}\right] \cup\left[b_{1}, 1\right]$, with $-1 \leq a_{1}<b_{1} \leq 1$ and $-1<a_{1}$ or $b_{1}<1$. Moreover, $a+e(m-a)=a_{1}+e_{1}\left(m_{1}-\right.$ $\left.a_{1}\right) \ldots(1)$ and $b+e(m-b)=b_{1}+e_{1}\left(m_{1}-b_{1}\right) \ldots(2)$, where $m=(a+b) /(2+a-b)$, $m_{1}=\left(a_{1}+b_{1}\right) /\left(2+a_{1}-b_{1}\right), e-1=(1+g(f(b-a-1)-t)) /(a-b)$ and $e_{1}-1=\left(1+g\left(f\left(b_{1}-a_{1}-1\right)-s\right)\right) /\left(a_{1}-b_{1}\right) \ldots(3)$.

From (1) and (2), $(1-e) a-\left(1-e_{1}\right) a_{1}=(1-e) b-\left(1-e_{1}\right) b_{1}$, then $(1-e)(a-b)=$ $\left(1-e_{1}\right)\left(a_{1}-b_{1}\right) \ldots(4)$. Using (3) we have $s+f(b-a-1)=t+f\left(b_{1}-a_{1}-1\right) \ldots$ (5).

Let $r=1+g(f(b-a-1)-t)=1+g\left(f\left(b_{1}-a_{1}-1\right)-s\right)>0$. Then $e=1+r /(a-b)$ and $e_{1}=1+r /\left(a_{1}-b_{1}\right)$. So, (1) and (2) imply: $m+r(m-a) /(a-b)=$ $m_{1}+r\left(m_{1}-a_{1}\right) /\left(a_{1}-b_{1}\right)$ and $m+r(m-b) /(a-b)=m_{1}+r\left(m_{1}-b_{1}\right) /\left(a_{1}-b_{1}\right)$. Using definitions of $m$ and $m_{1}, m-r(1+a) /(2+a-b)=m_{1}-r\left(1+a_{1}\right) /\left(2+a_{1}-b_{1}\right)$ and $m+r(1-b) /(2+a-b)=m_{1}+r\left(1-b_{1}\right) /\left(2+a_{1}-b_{1}\right) \ldots(6)$. Then $m-m_{1}=r\left[(1+a) /(2+a-b)-\left(1+a_{1}\right) /\left(2+a_{1}-b_{1}\right)\right]$. Hence $m-m_{1}=$ $r\left(a-a_{1}+b-b_{1}-a b_{1}+b a_{1}\right) /(2+a-b)\left(2+a_{1}-b_{1}\right)$. While, from definitions of $m$ and $m_{1}, m-m_{1}=2\left(a-a_{1}+b-b_{1}-a b_{1}+b a_{1}\right) /(2+a-b)\left(2+a_{1}-b_{1}\right)$. Since $r<2,\left(a-a_{1}+b-b_{1}-a b_{1}+b a_{1}\right) /(2+a-b)\left(2+a_{1}-b_{1}\right)=0$. Therefore $m=m_{1}$.

From (6) we have $(1+a) /(2+a-b)=\left(1+a_{1}\right) /\left(2+a_{1}-b_{1}\right)$ and $(1-b) /(2+a-b)=$ $\left(1-b_{1}\right) /\left(2+a_{1}-b_{1}\right)$. Since $p \in(A \cap J)-(B \cap J)$, then $a_{1}<a$ or $b<b_{1}$. In the first case, $1+a_{1}<1+a$, so $2+a-b>2+a_{1}-b_{1}$ and $f(b-a-1)<f\left(b_{1}-a_{1}-1\right)$, then (5) implies $t<s$. Analogously, in the second case, $t<s$.
(e) $A \cap J=[a, b]$ with $-1<a<b<1$. This case is similar to case (d). Then $t<s$.

This completes the proof of Property IV.
Define $G: C^{\vee}(X) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow C^{\vee}(X)$ by $G(B, t)=\bigcup\left\{G_{J}(B, t): J \in \mathcal{S}\right\}$, where $G_{J}: C^{\vee}(X) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow\{E: E$ is a closed subset of $J\}$ is defined as follows:

$$
G_{J}(B, t)= \begin{cases}\text { (a) } & B \cap J \quad \text { if } B \cap J=\emptyset,\{-1\},\{1\},\{-1,1\} \text { or } J, \\ \text { (b) } & {[-1, g(f(b)-t)] \quad \text { if } B \cap J=[-1, b] \text { and }-1<b<1,} \\ (\mathrm{c}) & {[g(f(a)+t), 1] \quad \text { if } B \cap J=[a, 1] \text { and }-1<a<1,} \\ (\mathrm{~d}) & {\left[\left(a-e^{\prime} m\right) /\left(1-e^{\prime}\right),\left(b-e^{\prime} m\right) /\left(1-e^{\prime}\right)\right], \text { where } m=\frac{a+b}{2+a-b}} \\ & \text { and } e^{\prime}=1+\frac{b-a}{-1+g(t-f(b-a-1))} \text { if } B \cap J=[a, b] \text { and } \\ & -1<a<b<1 \text { and, } \\ (\mathrm{e}) \quad & {\left[-1,\left(a-e^{\prime} m\right) /\left(1-e^{\prime}\right)\right] \cup\left[\left(b-e^{\prime} m\right) /\left(1-e^{\prime}\right), 1\right], \text { where }} \\ & m=\frac{a+b}{2+a-b} \text { and } e^{\prime}=1+\frac{b-a}{-1+g(-t-f(b-a-1))} \text { if } B \cap J= \\ & {[-1, a] \cup[b, 1],-1 \leq a<b \leq 1 \text { and }-1<a \text { or } b<1 .}\end{cases}
$$

In case $(\mathrm{e})$, let $a_{1}=\left(a-e^{\prime} m\right) /\left(1-e^{\prime}\right)$ and $b_{1}=\left(b-e^{\prime} m\right) /\left(1-e^{\prime}\right)$, then $a_{1}<b_{1}$. Note that $e^{\prime}$ is an increasing continuous function of $t$. If $t \rightarrow \infty, e^{\prime} \rightarrow(2+a-b) / 2$, if $t \rightarrow-\infty, e^{\prime} \rightarrow-\infty$. Then $e^{\prime}<(2+a-b) / 2$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus $e^{\prime}(1+m)=$ $e^{\prime} 2(1+a) /(2+a-b) \leq 1+a$ and $e^{\prime}(1-m)=e^{\prime} 2(1-b) /(2+a-b) \leq 1-b$. This implies that $-1 \leq\left(a-e^{\prime} m\right) /\left(1-e^{\prime}\right)=a_{1}$ (equality holds if and only if $-1=a)$ and $b_{1}=\left(b-e^{\prime} m\right) /\left(1-e^{\prime}\right) \leq 1$ (equality holds if and only if $b=1$ ). If $t \rightarrow \infty, a_{1} \rightarrow-1$ and $b_{1} \rightarrow 1$. If $t \rightarrow-\infty, a_{1} \rightarrow m$ and $b_{1} \rightarrow m$. Since $a+b-2 e^{\prime} m=m\left(2+a-b-2 e^{\prime}\right), m=\left(a-e^{\prime} m+b-e^{\prime} m\right) /\left(2\left(1-e^{\prime}\right)+a-b\right)=$ $\left(a_{1}+b_{1}\right) /\left(2+a_{1}-b_{1}\right)$. Therefore $m=\frac{a_{1}+b_{1}}{2+a_{1}-b_{1}}$. Define $e=1+\frac{1+g\left(f\left(b_{1}-a_{1}-1\right)+t\right)}{a_{1}-b_{1}}$. Note that $b_{1}-a_{1}-1=\left(b-a-\left(1-e^{\prime}\right)\right) /\left(1-e^{\prime}\right)=-g(-t-f(b-a-1))$. This implies that $e=e^{\prime}$. Thus $a_{1}+e\left(m-a_{1}\right)=a$ and $b_{1}+e\left(m-b_{1}\right)=b$.

Therefore, $G_{J}(B, t)$ is a continuous function of $t, G_{J}(B, t) \rightarrow J$ as $t \rightarrow-\infty$, $G_{J}(B, t) \rightarrow\{-1,1\}$ as $t \rightarrow \infty, G_{J}(B, 0)=B \cap J$ and supposing that $G(B, t) \in$ $C^{\vee}(X)$, we have that $F_{J}(G(B, t), t)=[-1, a] \cup[b, 1]=B \cap J$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

The analysis of cases (a), (b), (c) and (d) is similar and we conclude that $G(B, t) \in C^{\vee}(X)$ for each $t \in \mathbb{R}, F_{J}(G(B, t), t)=B \cap J$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then $F(G(B, t), t)=B$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}, G(B, t)$ depends continuously on $t, G(B, t)$ tends to one-point set or to a subgraph of $X$ which is contained in $B$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ and $G(B, t)$ tends to a subgraph of $X$ which contains $B$ as $t \rightarrow-\infty$.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1

Define $\mathcal{A}=\mu^{-1}(T) \subset C^{\vee}(X)$ and $\mathcal{B}=\mu^{-1}\left(T_{i-1}, T_{i}\right)$. For each $A \in \mathcal{A}$, let $r(A)=\inf \{t \in \mathbb{R}: F(A, t) \in \mathcal{B}\}$ and $R(A)=\sup \{t \in \mathbb{R}: F(A, t) \in \mathcal{B}\}$. Since $F_{J}(A, 0)=A \cap J$ for every $J \in \mathcal{S}$, we have that $F(A, 0)=A \in \mathcal{B}$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Then $r(A)$ and $R(A)$ are defined and $-\infty \leq r(A)<0<R(A) \leq \infty$. Let $\mathcal{C}=\{(A, t) \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathbb{R}: r(A)<t<R(A)\}$. We will prove that the function $F_{0}=F \mid C$ is a homeomorphism from $\mathcal{C}$ onto $\mathcal{B}$.

Property I implies that $F_{0}(A, t) \in \mathcal{B}$ for ever $(A, t) \in \mathcal{C}$. In order to prove that $F_{0}$ is injective, suppose that $F_{0}(A, t)=F_{0}(B, s)$. If $A \neq B$, since $\mu(A)=\mu(B)$, then $A-B \neq \emptyset$ and $B-A \neq \emptyset$. Property IV implies that $t<s$ and $s<t$. This contradiction implies that $A=B$. Thus, by Property I, $(A, t)=(B, s)$. Therefore $F_{0}$ is injective. To prove that $F_{0}$ is onto, let $B \in \mathcal{B} \subset C^{\vee}(X)$. Since $G(B, t)$ tends to one-point set or to a subgraph of $X$ which is contained in $B$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ and $G(B, t)$ tends to a subgraph of $X$ which contains $B$ as $t \rightarrow-\infty$. Then $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \mu(G(B, t)) \leq T_{i-1}$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow-\infty} \mu(G(B, t)) \geq T_{i}$. Thus there exists $t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $A=G(B, t) \in \mathcal{A}$. The continuity of $F$ implies that $r(A)<t<R(A)$. Then $F_{0}(A, t)=B$. Therefore $F_{0}$ is surjective.

Let $K: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be the inverse function of $F_{0}$. We will show that $K$ is continuous. It is enough to prove that if $\left(B_{n}\right) n$ is a sequence in $\mathcal{B}$ which is convergent to an element $B \in \mathcal{B}$ and the sequence $\left(K\left(B_{n}\right)\right) n$ converges to an element $\left(A_{0}, t_{0}\right) \in$ $\mathcal{A} \times[-\infty, \infty]$, then $\left(A_{0}, t_{0}\right)=K(B)$.

Let $(A, t)=K(B)$ and, for each $n$, let $\left(A_{n}, t_{n}\right)=K\left(B_{n}\right)$. Then $\left(A_{n}, t_{n}\right) \rightarrow$ $\left(A_{0}, t_{0}\right)$. If $r\left(A_{0}\right)<t_{0}<R\left(A_{0}\right)$, then $F_{0}(A, t)=B=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} B_{n}=$ $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{0}\left(A_{n}, t_{n}\right)=F_{0}\left(A_{0}, t_{0}\right)$, so $\left(A_{0}, t_{0}\right)=K(B)$. If $t_{0} \leq r\left(A_{0}\right)$, take a number $t^{*}>r\left(A_{0}\right)$. Then there exists $N$ such that $t_{n}<t^{*}$ for each $n \geq N$. Then $B_{n} \subset F\left(A_{n}, t_{n}\right) \subset F\left(A_{n}, t^{*}\right)$ for each $n \geq N$. Thus $B \subset F\left(A_{0}, t^{*}\right)$ for every $t^{*}>r\left(A_{0}\right)$. If $r\left(A_{0}\right)>-\infty$, then $B \subset F\left(A_{0}, r\left(A_{0}\right)\right) \subset F\left(A_{0}, 0\right)=A_{0}$. Thus $T_{i-1}<\mu(B) \leq \mu\left(F\left(A_{0}, r\left(A_{0}\right)\right)\right) \leq \mu\left(A_{0}\right)<T_{i}$. Then there exists $r<r\left(A_{0}\right)$ such that $T_{i-1}<\mu\left(F\left(A_{0}, r\right)\right)<T_{i}$ which is a contradiction with the definition of $r\left(A_{0}\right)$. If $r\left(A_{0}\right)=-\infty$, then $B \subset \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} F\left(A_{0},-n\right)$ which is a subgraph of $X$ or a one-point set contained in $A_{0}$. Thus $\mu(B) \leq T_{i-1}$ which is a contradiction. Similar contradictions are obtained supposing that $t_{0} \geq R\left(A_{0}\right)$. This completes the proof that $\left(A_{0}, t_{0}\right)=K(B)$. Therefore $K$ is continuous.

Hence $F$ is a homeomorphism.
In order to define $\varphi$, let $\varrho_{1}: \mathcal{A} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ and $\varrho_{2}: \mathcal{A} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the respective projection maps. Define $\psi: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \times\left(T_{i-1}, T_{i}\right)$ by $\psi(B)=\left(\varrho_{1}(K(B)), \mu(B)\right)$. Then $\psi$ is continuous.

Let $(A, t) \in \mathcal{A} \times\left(T_{i-1}, T_{i}\right)$. Since $F(A, n)$ converges to a subgraph of $X$ which contains $A$, then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(F(A, n)) \geq T_{i}$. Thus there exists $n_{1}>1$ such that $\mu\left(F\left(A, n_{1}\right)\right)>t$. Similarly, there exists $n_{2}>1$ such that $\mu\left(F\left(A,-n_{2}\right)\right)<t$. Hence there exists a unique $s \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mu(F(A, s))=t$. Define $\varphi(A, t)=$ $F(A, s)$.

Property I implies that if $t_{1}<t_{2}$, then $\varphi\left(A, t_{1}\right) \subset \varphi\left(A, t_{2}\right)$. Note that
$\psi(\varphi(A, t))=\psi(F(A, s))=(A, t)$. Since $\mu\left(F\left(\varrho_{1}(K(B)), \varrho_{2}(K(B))\right)\right)=\mu(B)$, then $\varphi(\psi(B))=\varphi\left(\left(\varrho_{1}(K(B)), \varrho_{2}(K(B))\right)\right)=F(K(B))=B$. Then $\psi$ is the inverse map of $\varphi$. Since $\mu(F(A, 0))=\mu(A)=T$, then $\varphi(A, T)=A$ for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

To prove that $\varphi$ is continuous, it is enough to prove that if $\left(\left(A_{n}, t_{n}\right)\right) n$ is a sequence in $\mathcal{A} \times\left(T_{i-1}, T_{i}\right)$ which converges to an element $(A, t)$ in $\mathcal{A} \times\left(T_{i-1}, T_{i}\right)$ and $\varphi\left(A_{n}, t_{n}\right)$ converges to an element $B \in C(X)$, then $B=\varphi(A, t)$. Set $\varphi\left(A_{n}, t_{n}\right)=F\left(A_{n}, s_{n}\right)$, where $\mu\left(F\left(A_{n}, s_{n}\right)\right)=t_{n}$ and set $\varphi(A, t)=F(A, s)$ where $\mu(F(A, s))=t$. Then $t_{n}=\mu\left(\varphi\left(A_{n}, t_{n}\right)\right) \rightarrow \mu(B)$, so $\mu(B)=t \in\left(T_{i-1}, T_{i}\right)$. Thus $B \in \mathcal{B}$. Set $K(B)=\left(A^{*}, r\right)$. Then $\left(A^{*}, r\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} K\left(\varphi\left(A_{n}, t_{n}\right)\right)=$ $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} K\left(F\left(A_{n}, s_{n}\right)\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(A_{n}, s_{n}\right)$. Thus $A_{n} \rightarrow A^{*}$ and $s_{n} \rightarrow r$. Hence $A^{*}=A$. Since $t_{n}=\mu\left(F\left(A_{n}, s_{n}\right)\right) \rightarrow \mu(F(A, r))$, then $t=\mu(F(A, r))$. Hence $B=\varphi(A, t)$.

This completes the proof that $\varphi$ is a homeomorphism and the proof of Theorem 1.

Corollary ([10, Theorem 2.5]). $C(X)$ is conical pointed. That is, for each Whitney map $\mu: C(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ there exists $T \in(0,1)$ such that $\mu^{-1}([T, 1])$ is homeomorphic to the topological cone of $\mu^{-1}(T)$.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 2

Definition. Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be two Whitney levels for $C(X)$ and let $C \in C(X)$. We say that $C$ is placed between $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ if there exists $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $A \subset C \subset B \neq A$ or $B \subset C \subset A \neq B$.

Theorem. Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be two Whitney levels. Suppose that no element in $S G(X) \cup F_{1}(X)$ is placed between $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are homeomorphic.
Proof: Set $\mathcal{A}=\mu^{-1}(t)$ and $\mathcal{B}=\nu^{-1}(s)$ where $\mu, \nu: C(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are Whitney maps and $t, s \in[0,1]$. Let $A \in \mathcal{A}-\mathcal{B}$, we will prove that there exists a unique $r \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\nu(F(A, r))=s$. If $\nu(A)<s$, taking an order arc from $A$ to $X$ (see [8, Theorem 1.8]), there exists $B_{0} \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $A \subset B_{0} \neq A$, then $A \notin S G(X) \cup F_{1}(X)$. Therefore $A \in C^{\vee}(X)$. Let $D=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} F(A, n)$. Then $D$ is a subgraph of $X$ which contains $A$. If $\nu(D) \leq s$, there exists $B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $D \subset B$. Then $\nu(A)<\nu(B)$ and $A \subset D \subset B \neq A$ which contradicts our assumption. Thus $\nu(D)>s$. Then $\nu(F(A, 0))=\nu(A)<s=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu(F(A, n))$. This proves the existence of $r$ in this case. The case $\nu(A)>s$ is similar. In both cases $r$ is unique by Property I.

Analogously, for each $B \in \mathcal{B}-\mathcal{A}, B \in C^{\vee}(X)$ and there exists a $z \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mu(G(B, z))=t$.

Define $\gamma: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ by $\gamma(A)=A$ if $A \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$ and $\gamma(A)=F(A, r) \in \mathcal{B}$ if $A \in \mathcal{A}-\mathcal{B}$.

Note that $A \subset \gamma(A)$ or $\gamma(A) \subset A$. To prove that $\gamma$ is surjective, let $B \in \mathcal{B}$. If $B \in \mathcal{A}$, then $B=\gamma(B)$. If $B \in \mathcal{B}-\mathcal{A}$, let $z \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $\mu(G(B, z))=t$.

Then $F(G(B, z), z)=B$ and $G(B, z) \in \mathcal{A}$. Thus $\gamma(G(B, z))=B$. Hence $\gamma$ is surjective. To prove that $\gamma$ is injective, let $A_{1}, A_{2} \in \mathcal{A}$ with $A_{1} \neq A_{2}$. If $A_{1}, A_{2} \in \mathcal{B}$, then $\gamma\left(A_{1}\right)=A_{1} \neq A_{2}=\gamma\left(A_{2}\right)$. If $A_{1} \in \mathcal{B}$ and $A_{2} \notin \mathcal{B}$, then $A_{2} \subset$ $\gamma\left(A_{2}\right) \neq A_{2}$ or $\gamma\left(A_{2}\right) \subset A_{2} \neq \gamma\left(A_{2}\right)$, so $\gamma\left(A_{2}\right) \notin \mathcal{A}$, and $\gamma\left(A_{2}\right) \neq A_{1}=\gamma\left(A_{1}\right)$. If $A_{1}, A_{2} \notin \mathcal{B}$, since $A_{1}-A_{2} \neq \emptyset$ and $A_{2}-A_{1} \neq \emptyset$, Property IV implies that $F\left(A_{1}, r_{1}\right) \neq F\left(A_{2}, r_{2}\right)$ for every $r_{1}, r_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence $\gamma\left(A_{1}\right) \neq \gamma\left(A_{2}\right)$. Therefore $\gamma$ is injective.

Finally, we will prove that $\gamma$ is continuous. It is enough to prove that if $\left(A_{n}\right) n$ is a sequence in $\mathcal{A}$ which converges to an element $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\gamma\left(A_{n}\right) \rightarrow B \in \mathcal{B}$, then $\varphi(A)=B$. We may suppose that $A_{n} \in \mathcal{B}$ for each $n$ or $A_{n} \notin \mathcal{B}$ for each $n$. The first case is immediate. In the second case, set $\gamma\left(A_{n}\right)=F\left(A_{n}, r_{n}\right)$. We consider two subcases:
(a) $A \in \mathcal{A}-\mathcal{B}$, set $\gamma(A)=F(A, r)$. We suppose, for example, that $r \leq$ $r_{n}$ for each $n$. Then $F\left(A_{n}, r\right) \subset F\left(A_{n}, r_{n}\right)=\gamma\left(A_{n}\right)$, then $\gamma(A)=F(A, r)=$ $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} F\left(A_{n}, r\right) \subset \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma\left(A_{n}\right)=B$. Since $\gamma(A), B \in \mathcal{B}$, we have that $\gamma(A)=B$.
(b) $A \in \mathcal{B}$. Since $A_{n} \subset \gamma\left(A_{n}\right)$ or $\gamma\left(A_{n}\right) \subset A_{n}$ for every $n$, then $A \subset B$ or $B \subset A$ and $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$. Thus $A=B$. This completes the proof that $\gamma$ is continuous.

Therefore $\gamma$ is a homeomorphism.
Proof of Theorem 2: Let $\mathfrak{A}=\{\mathcal{A} \subset C(X): \mathcal{A}$ is a Whitney level for $C(X)$, $\mathcal{A} \neq F_{1}(X)$ and $\left.\mathcal{A} \neq\{X\}\right\}$. Let $\mathfrak{P}=\{E: E \subset S G(X)\}$. Then $\mathfrak{P}$ is finite.

Define $\sigma: \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{P} \times \mathfrak{P} \times \mathfrak{P}$ by:
$\sigma(\mathcal{A})=(\{E \in S G(X):$ there exists $A \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $E \subset A \neq E\}$,
$S G(X) \cap \mathcal{A},\{E \in S G(X):$ there exists $A \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $A \subset E \neq A\})$.
In order to prove Theorem 2, it is enough to show that if $\sigma(\mathcal{A})=\sigma(\mathcal{B})$, then $\mathcal{A}$ is homeomorphic to $\mathcal{B}$.

Suppose then that $\sigma(\mathcal{A})=\sigma(\mathcal{B})$. By the previous theorem, it is enough to prove that no element in $S G(X)$ is placed between $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$. Suppose, for example, that there exists $A \in \mathcal{A}, B \in \mathcal{B}$ and $E_{0} \in S G(X)$ such that $A \subset E_{0} \subset B \neq A$. If $A=E_{0}$, then $E_{0} \in S G(X) \cap \mathcal{A}=S G(X) \cap \mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{B}$, so $E_{0}, B \in \mathcal{B}$ and $E_{0} \subset B \neq E_{0}$ which is a contradiction. If $A \neq E_{0}, F(\mathcal{A})=F(\mathcal{B})$ implies that there exists $B_{1} \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $B_{1} \subset E_{0} \neq B_{1}$. Thus $B_{1} \subset B \neq B_{1}$ which is also a contradiction.

Therefore $\mathcal{A}$ is homeomorphic to $\mathcal{B}$.
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