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# An existence theorem of positive solutions to a singular nonlinear boundary value problem 

Gabriele Bonanno


#### Abstract

In this note we consider the boundary value problem $y^{\prime \prime}=f\left(x, y, y^{\prime}\right)(x \in$ $[0, X] ; X>0), y(0)=0, y(X)=a>0$; where $f$ is a real function which may be singular at $y=0$. We prove an existence theorem of positive solutions to the previous problem, under different hypotheses of Theorem 2 of L.E. Bobisud [J. Math. Anal. Appl. 173 (1993), 69-83], that extends and improves Theorem 3.2 of D. O'Regan [J. Differential Equations 84 (1990), 228-251].
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Let $f$ be a real function defined on $[0, X] \times(0, \infty) \times(-\infty, \infty) ; L^{1}([0, X])$ the space of all (equivalence classes of) measurable functions $\psi:[0, X] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\|\psi\|_{L^{1}([0, X])}=\int_{0}^{X}|\psi(x)| d x<\infty ; W^{2,1}([0, X])$ the space of all $u \in C^{1}([0, X])$ such that $u^{\prime}$ is absolutely continuous in $[0, X]$ and $u^{\prime \prime} \in L^{1}([0, X])$.

Consider the problem
(P)

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y^{\prime \prime}=f\left(x, y, y^{\prime}\right) \\
y(0)=0 \\
y(X)=a>0
\end{array}\right.
$$

A function $u:[0, X] \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is said to be a generalized solution to (P) if $u \in W^{2,1}([0, X]), u(0)=0, u(X)=a$ and, for almost every $x \in[0, X]$, one has $u^{\prime \prime}(x)=f\left(x, u(x), u^{\prime}(x)\right)$. When the function $f$ is continuous in $[0, X] \times$ $(0, \infty) \times(-\infty, \infty)$, any generalized solution to problem $(\mathrm{P})$ is a classical one, that is $u \in C^{1}([0, X]) \cap C^{2}((0, X])$ and $u^{\prime \prime}(x)=f\left(x, u(x), u^{\prime}(x)\right)$ for every $x \in(0, X]$.

Positive solutions to singular nonlinear boundary value problems appear in a variety of applications. Consequently, they have been studied by many authors (see, for instance, [2], [4] and the references given there). In particular, among the latest contributions, there are the following two theorems.
Theorem A ([2, Theorem 2]). Let $X \geq 1$ be fixed. Assume the following hypotheses.
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right) f \in C([0, X] \times(0, \infty) \times(-\infty, \infty))$ and $f(x, y, z)$ is locally Lipschitz in $y$ and $z$ on $[0, X] \times(0, \infty) \times(-\infty, \infty)$.
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) z f(x, y, z) \leq 0$ on $[0, X] \times(0, \infty) \times(-\infty, \infty)$.
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$ There exist a nonnegative function $f_{1}$ continuous on $[0,1]$, a nonnegative, nonincreasing function $g_{1}$ continuous on ( $\left.0, a\right]$, and a function $h_{1}$ positive and continuous on $(a, \infty]$ such that
(i) $f(x, y, z) \geq-f_{1}(x) g_{1}(y) h_{1}(z) z$ on $[0, X] \times(0, a] \times[a, \infty)$,
(ii) $f_{1}(s) g_{1}\left(\frac{a}{X} s\right) \in L^{1}([0,1])$,
(iii) $\int_{a}^{\infty} d v / v h_{1}(v)>\int_{0}^{1} f_{1}(s) g_{1}\left(\frac{a}{X} s\right) d s$
hold.
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ Put

$$
H(z)=\int_{a}^{z} \frac{1}{h_{1}(v)} d v ; \quad \text { and } \quad M_{1}=H^{-1}\left(\int_{0}^{a} g_{1}(u) d u\right)
$$

there exist a constant $k>M_{1}$ and a measurable function $F$ on $[0, X]$ satisfying
(i) $|f(x, y, z)| \leq F(x)$ for $0 \leq x \leq X, \frac{a}{X} x \leq y \leq k$, and $|z| \leq k$,
(ii) $\int_{0}^{X} F(x) d x<\infty$.

Then, the problem (P) has at least one solution $u \in C^{1}([0, X]) \cap$ $C^{2}((0, X])$ such that $u(x)>0$ for every $x \in(0, X]$.

Theorem B ([4, Theorem 3.2 and subsequent remark $]$ ). Consider the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y^{\prime \prime}+\Psi(x) h(x, y)=0 \quad 0<x<1  \tag{0}\\
y(0)=0 \\
y(1)=a>0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $h$ and $\Psi$ satisfy
$\left(\mathrm{K}_{1}\right)$
(i) $h$ is continuous on $[0,1] \times(0, \infty)$;
(ii) $\lim _{y \rightarrow 0^{+}} h(x, y)=\infty$ for each $x \in[0,1]$;
(iii) $0<h(x, y) \leq g(y)$ on $[0,1]$, where $g$ is continuous and nonincreasing on $(0, \infty)$.
(iv) In addition $1 / \Psi \in C([0,1])$ with $\Psi>0$ on $(0,1)$.
$\left(\mathrm{K}_{2}\right)$ There exist $p>1, q>1$ with $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$ together with $\int_{0}^{1} \Psi^{p}(z) d z<\infty$ and $\int_{0}^{1} g^{q}(u) d u<\infty$.
$\left(\mathrm{K}_{3}\right)$ For each constant $M>0$ there exists $\eta(x)$ continuous and positive on $[0,1]$ such that $h(x, y) \geq \eta(x)$ on $[0,1] \times(0, M]$.
Then, the problem $\left(\mathrm{P}_{0}\right)$ has at least one solution $u \in C([0,1]) \cap C^{2}((0,1))$ such that $u(x)>0$ for every $x \in(0,1]$.

The purpose of this note is to establish Theorem 1 below. We remark that our result extends and improve Theorem B (see Remark 3) and is independent of Theorem A. In particular, contrary to $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$, we assume that $f$ is continuous in $y$ and $z$. Moreover, the condition $f(x, y, 0) \equiv 0$, which is implied by $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$, does not follow from our assumptions.

Let $r>0, X>0$ and $x \in[0, X]$. Here and in the sequel, $W(r, x)$ stands for the set $\left\{(y, z) \in(0, \infty) \times(-\infty, \infty): \frac{a}{X} x \leq y \leq a+X r ;|z| \leq \frac{a}{X}+2 r\right\}$. Let now $f$ be a real function defined on $[0, X] \times(0, \infty) \times(-\infty, \infty)$. For every $x \in[0, X]$, we put

$$
M_{r}(x)=\sup _{(y, z) \in W(r, x)}|f(x, y, z)| \text { and } m_{r}(x)=\sup _{(y, z) \in W(r, x)} f(x, y, z)
$$

Theorem 1. Let $f$ be a real function defined in $[0, X] \times(0, \infty) \times(-\infty, \infty)$. Assume that
(a) the function $(y, z) \rightarrow f(x, y, z)$ is continuous for almost every $x \in[0, X]$;
(b) the function $x \rightarrow f(x, y, z)$ is measurable for every $(y, z) \in(0, \infty) \times$ $(-\infty, \infty)$
(c) there exists $r>0$ such that the function $M_{r}$ belongs to $L^{1}([0, X])$ and one has

$$
\left\|M_{r}\right\|_{L^{1}([0, X])} \leq r
$$

(d) for almost every $x \in[0, X]$, one has

$$
m_{r}(x)<0 .
$$

Then, the problem (P) has at least one generalized solution $u \in W^{2,1}([0, X])$ such that $u(x)>0$ for every $x \in(0, X]$.
Proof: Consider the set

$$
K=\left\{v \in L^{1}([0, X]):-m_{r}(x) \leq v(x) \leq M_{r}(x) \text { a.e. in }[0, X]\right\}
$$

Of course, $K$ is nonempty and convex. By the Dunford-Pettis theorem (see, for instance, [3, Theorem 1, p.101]), it is also weakly compact. For every $v \in$ $L^{1}([0, X])$ and every $x \in[0, X]$, we put

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi_{1}(v)(x)=\frac{a}{X} x+\frac{X-x}{X} \int_{0}^{x} s v(s) d s+\frac{x}{X} \int_{x}^{X}(X-s) v(s) d s  \tag{1}\\
& \phi_{2}(v)(x)=\frac{a}{X}-\frac{1}{X} \int_{0}^{X} s v(s) d s+\int_{x}^{X} v(s) d s
\end{align*}
$$

Obviously, one has $\phi_{1}(v)(0)=0, \phi_{1}(v)(X)=a$, $\left[\phi_{1}(v)\right]^{\prime}=\phi_{2}(v) ;\left[\phi_{1}(v)\right]^{\prime \prime}=$ $\left[\phi_{2}(v)\right]^{\prime}=-v ; \phi_{1}(v) \in W^{2,1}([0, X])$, moreover, if $v(x)>0$ for almost $x \in[0, X]$, therefore $\phi_{1}(x)>0$ for every $x \in(0, X]$. We now put

$$
G(v)(x)=-f\left(x, \phi_{1}(v)(x), \phi_{2}(v)(x)\right)
$$

for every $v \in L^{1}([0, X])$ and for every $x \in(0, X]$.
Let us prove that $G(K) \subseteq K$. To this end, fix $v \in K$ and observe that, by (1) and (c), one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{a}{X} x \leq \phi_{1}(v)(x) & \leq a+\int_{0}^{x} X v(s) d s+\int_{x}^{X} X v(s) d s \\
& \leq a+X\left\|M_{r}\right\|_{L^{1}([0, X])} \leq a+X r \\
\left|\phi_{2}(v)(x)\right| & \leq \frac{a}{X}+\frac{1}{X} \int_{0}^{X} X v(s) d s+\int_{0}^{X} v(s) d s \\
& \leq \frac{a}{X}+2\left\|M_{r}\right\|_{L^{1}([0, X])} \leq \frac{a}{X}+2 r
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $\left(\phi_{1}(v)(x), \phi_{2}(v)(x)\right) \in W(r, x)$ for every $x \in(0, X]$. Hence, for almost every $x \in[0, X]$, one has:

$$
-m_{r}(x) \leq-f\left(x, \phi_{1}(v)(x), \phi_{2}(v)(x)\right) \leq M_{r}(x)
$$

This implies that $G(v) \in K$.
Now, let us prove that the operator $G$ is weakly sequentially continuous. Let $v \in K$ and let $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence in $K$ weakly converging to $v$ in $L^{1}([0, X])$. From (1) it follows that, for every $x \in[0, X], \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_{1}\left(v_{n}\right)(x)=\phi_{1}(v)(x)$; $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_{2}\left(v_{n}\right)(x)=\phi_{2}(v)(x)$. Therefore, by (a), the sequence $\left\{G\left(v_{n}\right)\right\}$ converges almost everywhere in $[0, X]$ to $G(v)$. Bearing in mind that for almost every $x \in[0, X]$ and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ one has

$$
\left|G\left(v_{n}\right)(x)\right| \leq M_{r}(x),
$$

the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence theorem yields $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} G\left(v_{n}\right)=G(v)$ in $L^{1}([0, X])$. So, $\left\{G\left(v_{n}\right)\right\}$ converges weakly to $G(v)$ in $L^{1}([0, X])$.

We now have proved that the function $G: K \rightarrow K$ verifies all that assumptions of Theorem 1 of [1]. Then, there is $v \in K$ such that $v=G(v)$. The function $u(x)=\phi_{1}(v)(x), x \in[0, X]$, satisfies our conclusion.

Remark 1. This theorem ensures the existence of positive solutions even if $f(x, y, z)$ is not locally Lipschitz in $y$ and $z$. For example, the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y^{\prime \prime}=-(\operatorname{sen} y)^{1 / 3}\left|y^{\prime}\right|^{1 / 3}-x y^{-1 / 2}\left|y^{\prime}\right|^{1 / 2}-x^{3}  \tag{1}\\
y(0)=0 \\
y(1)=a>0
\end{array}\right.
$$

owing to Theorem 1 , has at least one positive solution $u \in C^{1}([0, X]) \cap C^{2}((0, X])$. Indeed, taking into account that

$$
\int_{0}^{X} \sup _{(y, z) \in W(r, x)}|f(x, y, z)| d x \leq\left(\frac{a}{X}+2 r\right)^{1 / 3} X+\frac{2}{3} \frac{X^{2}}{\sqrt{a}}\left(\frac{a}{X}+2 r\right)^{1 / 2}+\frac{X^{4}}{4}
$$

and

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r-\frac{X^{4}}{4}}{\left(\frac{a}{X}+2 r\right)^{1 / 3} X+\frac{2}{3} \frac{X^{2}}{\sqrt{a}}\left(\frac{a}{X}+2 r\right)^{1 / 2}}=\infty
$$

there exists $r>0$ such that $\left\|M_{r}\right\|_{L^{1}([0, X])}<r$. Hence, it is easily seen that all the assumptions of Theorem 1 hold.

We cannot apply Theorem A to the problem $\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}\right)$, even because $f(x, y, 0)=$ $x^{3} \not \equiv 0$.

We also observe that assumption $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ of Theorem A and assumption (c) of Theorem 1 are mutually independent.

Remark 2. We explicitly observe that in Theorem $1 f$ may be singular at some set $\Omega \subseteq[0, X]$, with $|\Omega|=0(|\Omega|$ denotes the Lebesgue measure of $\Omega)$. Particularly, if $f \in C((0, X) \times(0, \infty) \times(-\infty, \infty))$ and the assumptions (c) and (d) of Theorem 1 hold, then there exists at least one function $u \in C^{1}([0, X]) \cap C^{2}((0, X))$ such that $u(0)=0, \mathrm{u}(\mathrm{X})=\mathrm{a}$ and, for every $x \in(0, X), u^{\prime \prime}(x)=f\left(x, u(x), u^{\prime}(x)\right)$ and $u(x)>0$.

Remark 3. Theorem 1 extends and improves Theorem B. Indeed, the assumptions of Theorem B, even without the condition $\lim _{y \rightarrow 0^{+}} h(x, y)=\infty$, imply the ones of Theorem 1. Let us prove this. Of course, from (i) and (iv) of ( $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ ), (a) and (b) follow; (c) is verified by choosing $r=\|\Psi\|_{L^{p}([0,1])}\left(\frac{1}{a}\right)^{1 / q}\|g\|_{L^{q}([0, a])}$, since, by (iii) of $\left(\mathrm{K}_{1}\right),\left(\mathrm{K}_{2}\right)$ and Hölder inequality, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1} \sup _{\frac{a}{X} x \leq y \leq a+X r} & |\Psi(x) h(x, y)| d x \leq \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{1} \Psi(x) g\left(\frac{a}{X} x\right) d x \leq\|\Psi\|_{L^{p}([0,1])}\left(\frac{1}{a}\right)^{1 / q}\|g\|_{L^{q}([0, a])}
\end{aligned}
$$

(d) follows from (iv) of $\left(\mathrm{K}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{K}_{3}\right)$, since in $(0, a+X r]$ one has $\Psi(x) h(x, y) \geq$ $\Psi(x) \eta(x)>0$, therefore

$$
-m_{r}(x)=\inf _{\frac{a}{X} x \leq y \leq a+X r} \Psi(x) h(x, y) \geq \Psi(x) \eta(x)>0
$$

for every $x \in(0,1)$. Hence, our claim is proved.
Now, consider the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y^{\prime \prime}+x\left[\left|\operatorname{sen} \frac{1}{y}\right|^{1 / 2}+y^{1 / 2}+x\right]=0  \tag{2}\\
y(0)=0 \\
y(1)=a>0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Owing to Theorem 1, the problem $\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}\right)$ has at least one positive solution $u \in$ $C^{1}([0, X]) \cap C^{2}((0, X])$. Indeed, taking into account that

$$
\int_{0}^{X} \sup _{\frac{a}{X} x \leq y \leq a+X r}|f(x, y)| d x \leq \frac{X^{2}}{2}+\frac{X^{2}}{2}(a+X r)^{1 / 2}+\frac{X^{3}}{3}
$$

and

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r-\left(\frac{X^{2}}{2}+\frac{X^{3}}{3}\right)}{\frac{X^{2}}{2}(a+X r)^{1 / 2}}=\infty
$$

there exists $r>0$ such that $\left\|M_{r}\right\|_{L^{1}([0, X])}<r$. Hence, it is easily seen that all hypotheses of Theorem 1 hold and our claim is proved.

In the previous example the condition $\lim _{y \rightarrow 0^{+}} h(x, y)=\infty$ is not satisfied and moreover there is no function $g(y)$, nonincreasing in $(0, \infty)$, such that $h(x, y) \leq$ $g(y)$, as it is required by Theorem B.
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