Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae

Loredana Caso; Maria Transirico Some remarks on a class of weight functions

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 37 (1996), No. 3, 469--477

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/118853

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1996

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ*: *The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

Some remarks on a class of weight functions

Loredana Caso, Maria Transirico

Abstract. In this paper we obtain some results about a class of functions $\varrho:\Omega\to R_+$, where Ω is an open set of R^n , which are related to the distance function from a fixed subset $S_\varrho\subset\partial\Omega$. We deduce some imbedding theorems in weighted Sobolev spaces, where the weight function is a power of a function ϱ .

Keywords: weight functions, weighted Sobolev spaces

Classification: 46E35

Introduction

Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n .

In [T₄] M. Troisi has studied the class $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ of functions $\varrho:\Omega\to R_+$ such that

(1)
$$\sup_{\substack{x,y \in \Omega \\ |x-y| < \varrho(y)}} \left| \log \frac{\varrho(x)}{\varrho(y)} \right| < +\infty.$$

Typical examples of functions $\varrho \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ are the function

$$x \in \mathbb{R}^n \to 1 + a|x|, \qquad a \in]0,1[,$$

and, if $\Omega \neq \mathbb{R}^n$ and S is a nonempty subset of $\partial\Omega$, the function

$$x \in \Omega \to a \operatorname{dist}(x, S)$$
, $a \in]0, 1[$.

For any $\rho \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ we put

(2)
$$S_{\varrho} = \{ z \in \partial\Omega : \lim_{x \to z} \varrho(x) = 0 \}.$$

We remark (see, e.g., [T₄], [CCD₁]) that if $\varrho \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ and $S_{\varrho} \neq \emptyset$, then ϱ is related to the distance function from S_{ϱ} .

For examples and properties of functions $\varrho \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ we refer to $[T_4]$ and also to $[CCD_1]$, [TT], [DT].

For a treatment of weight functions as the distance function from a nonempty subset of the boundary of a bounded open set of \mathbb{R}^n or weight functions related to such distance function, and for related problems see, e.g., [K], [KJF].

In some papers (see, e.g., [F₁], [S₁], [MT₂], [T₁], [CCD₁]) some classes of weighted Sobolev spaces have been studied, where the weight function is a power of a function $\varrho \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$.

In various papers (see, e.g., [MT₁], [IMT], [IT], [T₂], [S₂], [T₃], [F₂], [Sg], [ST], [GTT], [DT], [CCD₂]) many applications of such spaces to the study of boundary value problems for elliptic and quasielliptic differential equations have been studied, also in unbounded open sets.

In particular in [CCD₁] the authors, for fixed $\varrho \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$, have studied the operator

$$(3) u \to gu,$$

where g is singular near S_{ϱ} , as an operator defined in a weighted Sobolev space, denoted by $W_q^{r,p}(\Omega)$ (see n. 1 for such definition), and which takes values in $L^p(\Omega)$, where the weight function is a power of ϱ . They have given conditions on ϱ (e.g. S_{ϱ} closed), g and Ω , so that the operator defined by (3) is bounded and other conditions in order that it is compact.

As an application (see [CCD₂]) the authors have studied the Dirichlet problem in an open set, not necessarily bounded, for variational second order elliptic equations with coefficients singular near S_{ϱ} . They have obtained an existence and uniqueness theorem for the solution in the closure of $C_{\varrho}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in $W_{\varrho}^{1,2}(\Omega)$.

In this paper our purpose is to give a contribution to the study of functions of $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$.

We state some suitable characterizations of S_{ϱ} , from which, in particular, we deduce that S_{ϱ} is a closed subset of $\partial\Omega$ (see n. 1).

Because of these results, we can give (see n. 2) a contribute to the study of some functions which are singular near S_{ϱ} , as the function g in (3). Furthermore we obtain (see n. 3) a remarkable improvement of the imbedding results of [CCD₁].

1. Some properties of functions of $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$

For all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and for all $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$ we set

$$B(x,r) = \{ y \in R^n : |y - x| < r \}.$$

If A is a Lebesgue measurable subset of R^n , $1 \le p \le +\infty$, and $f \in L^p(A)$ we put

$$||f||_{L^p(A)} = |f|_{p,A}$$
.

Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 2$. We put

$$\Omega(x,r) = \Omega \cap B(x,r) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

We denote by $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ the class of functions $\varrho: \Omega \to R_+$ verifying (1).

Obviously ϱ verifies (1) if and only if there exists $\gamma \in R_+$ such that

(1.1)
$$\gamma^{-1}\varrho(y) \le \varrho(x) \le \gamma\varrho(y) \quad \forall y \in \Omega \text{ and } \forall x \in \Omega \cap B(y,\varrho(y)).$$

We remark that for any $\varrho \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ there exist $a \in R_+$ and $b \in]0,1]$ such that

$$(1.2) \varrho(x) \le a + b|x| \forall x \in \Omega$$

(see, e.g., (19) and (20) of [TT]).

We denote by $\mathcal{A}_{o}(\Omega)$ the class of measurable functions $\rho \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$.

From (1.1) and (1.2) follows that for all $\varrho \in \mathcal{A}_o(\Omega)$ we have

(1.3)
$$\varrho \in L^{\infty}_{loc}(\bar{\Omega}), \qquad \varrho^{-1} \in L^{\infty}_{loc}(\Omega).$$

As we will see in (1.5) the second relation of (1.3) can be improved.

For all $\varrho \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ we denote by S_{ϱ} the set defined by (2).

It is well-known (see, e.g., [T₄]) that, if $\varrho \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ and $S_{\varrho} \neq \emptyset$, then

(1.4)
$$\varrho(x) \le \operatorname{dist}(x, S_{\varrho}) \qquad \forall x \in \Omega.$$

We prove the following

Lemma 1.1. For all $\varrho \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ and for all $z \in \partial \Omega$, the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) $z \in S_{\rho}$,
- (2) $\varrho(x) \le |x z| \quad \forall x \in \Omega,$
- (3) $\inf_{\Omega(z,r)} \varrho = 0 \quad \forall r \in R_+.$

PROOF: (1) \Rightarrow (2) is a consequence of (1.4). (2) \Rightarrow (1) and (2) \Rightarrow (3) are evident. To prove (3) \Rightarrow (2), we observe that if there exists $x_1 \in \Omega$ such that $\varrho(x_1) > |x_1 - z|$ and if we put $\tau = \varrho(x_1) - |x_1 - z|$, we have

$$|x - x_1| < \varrho(x_1)$$
 $\forall x \in \Omega(z, \tau)$,

from which, by (1.1), follows

$$\gamma^{-1}\varrho(x_1) \le \varrho(x) \le \gamma\varrho(x_1) \qquad \forall x \in \Omega(z,\tau),$$

and so we have $\inf_{\Omega(z,\tau)} \varrho > 0$.

Theorem 1.1. If $\varrho \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$, then S_{ϱ} is a closed subset in $\partial \Omega$.

PROOF: Let $z \in \partial \Omega \setminus S_{\varrho}$. As a consequence of (3) of Lemma 1.1 there exists $\tau \in R_+$ such that $\inf_{\Omega(z,\tau)} \varrho > 0$. From this we have

$$\inf_{\Omega(y,\tau-|y-z|)}\varrho>0 \qquad \qquad \forall\, y\in B(z,\tau)\cap\partial\Omega\,,$$

and then, again from (3) of Lemma 1.1, $B(z,\tau) \cap \partial\Omega \subset \partial\Omega \setminus S_{\varrho}$. Thus we obtain our statement.

Remark 1.1. If $\varrho \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$, for any compact set $\Omega_o \subset \overline{\Omega} \setminus S_{\varrho}$, from (1.1) and (3) of Lemma 1.1 we deduce easily that $\inf_{\Omega_o} \varrho > 0$. It follows that if $\varrho \in \mathcal{A}_o(\Omega)$ then

(1.5)
$$\varrho^{-1} \in L^{\infty}_{loc}(\overline{\Omega} \setminus S_{\varrho}).$$

If $r \in N$, $1 \le p \le +\infty$, $q \in R$ and $\varrho \in \mathcal{A}_o(\Omega)$, we denote by $W_q^{r,p}(\Omega)$ the space of distributions u on Ω such that $\varrho^{q+|\alpha|-r} \partial^{\alpha} u \in L^p(\Omega)$ for $|\alpha| \le r$ with the norm

(1.6)
$$||u||_{W_q^{r,p}(\Omega)} = \sum_{|\alpha| \le r} |\varrho^{q+|\alpha|-r} \, \partial^{\alpha} u|_{p,\Omega} \, .$$

We put

$$W_q^{0,p}(\Omega) = L_q^p(\Omega)$$
.

2. The spaces $K_q^p(\Omega)$

Let us fix $\rho \in \mathcal{A}_{\rho}(\Omega)$.

We consider the spaces $K_q^p(\Omega)$, $\tilde{K}_q^p(\Omega)$, $K_q^p(\Omega)$, $1 \le p < +\infty$, $q \in R$, defined in [CCD₁] in correspondence with the family of open sets $\Omega(x, \varrho(x))$, $x \in \Omega$.

Let us recall that:

 $K_q^p(\Omega)$ is the space of functions $g \in L_{loc}^p(\bar{\Omega} \setminus S_{\varrho})$ such that

(2.1)
$$||g||_{K_q^p(\Omega)} = \sup_{x \in \Omega} \left(\varrho^{q-n/p}(x) |g|_{p,\Omega(x,\varrho(x))} \right) < +\infty,$$

with the norm defined by (2.1),

 $\tilde{K}_q^p(\Omega)$ is the closure of $L_q^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in $K_q^p(\Omega)$,

 $\overset{\circ}{K_q^p}(\Omega)$ is the closure of $C_o^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in $K_q^p(\Omega)$.

For some properties of the spaces $K_q^p(\Omega)$, $\tilde{K}_q^p(\Omega)$ and $\tilde{K}_q^p(\Omega)$ we refer to [CCD₁], [CCD₂].

In order to recall a result of $[CCD_1]$ which we will use later, we introduce the following notations (see, e.g., n. 1 of $[CCD_1]$).

We denote by α a function of $C^{(0,1)}(\bar{\Omega}) \cap C^{\infty}(\Omega)$ such that $\alpha(x) \sim \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)$ and we put

$$\Omega_k = \{ x \in \Omega : |x| < k, \ \alpha(x) > 1/k \}, \quad \forall k \in N.$$

We denote, furthermore, by $(\psi_k)_{k\in \mathbb{N}}$ a sequence of functions in $C_o^\infty(\Omega)$ such that

$$0 \leq \psi_k \leq 1 \,, \qquad \psi_k|_{\Omega_k} = 1 \,, \qquad \operatorname{supp} \psi_k \subset \Omega_{2k} \,.$$

The following result holds (see Lemma 2 of [CCD₁]): a function $g \in K_q^p(\Omega)$ if and only if $g \in K_q^p(\Omega)$ and

(2.2)
$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \| (1 - \psi_k) g \|_{K_q^p(\Omega)} = 0.$$

Because of this result, of Theorem 1.1 and of Remark 1.1, we can prove the following condition so that a function in $K_q^p(\Omega)$ is in $K_q^p(\Omega)$.

Lemma 2.1. If $g \in K_q^p(\Omega)$, $1 \le p < +\infty$, $q \in R$, and if moreover

(2.3)
$$\lim_{|x| \to +\infty} \varrho^q(x) g(x) = 0,$$

(2.4)
$$\lim_{x \to x_0} \varrho^q(x) g(x) = 0 \qquad \forall x_0 \in S_{\varrho},$$

then $g \in \overset{\circ}{K_q^p}(\Omega)$.

PROOF: Let us fix $\epsilon > 0$.

From (2.3) it follows that there exists $r_{\epsilon} > 0$ such that

(2.5)
$$|\varrho^{q}(y) g(y)| < \epsilon \qquad \forall y \in \Omega, \quad |y| > r_{\epsilon}.$$

If we put

$$A_{\epsilon} = \{ x \in \Omega : \operatorname{dist}(x, B_{r_{\epsilon}} \cap \Omega) < \varrho(x) \},$$

from Theorem 1.3 of $[T_4]$ it follows that A_{ϵ} is bounded.

Let $r_{\epsilon}^* > r_{\epsilon}$ such that $A_{\epsilon} \subset B_{r_{\epsilon}^*} \cap \Omega$.

We remark that if $x \in \Omega$, $|x| \ge r_{\epsilon}^*$ and $y \in \Omega(x, \varrho(x))$, then $|y| > r_{\epsilon}$.

Thus, because of (2.5), for any $k \in N$ we have

(2.6)
$$\sup_{\substack{x \in \Omega \\ |x| \ge r_{\epsilon}^{*}}} \varrho^{qp-n}(x) \int_{\Omega(x,\varrho(x))} |1 - \psi_{k}|^{p} |g|^{p} dy \\ \leq c_{1} \sup_{\substack{x \in \Omega \\ |x| \ge r_{\epsilon}^{*}}} \varrho^{-n}(x) \int_{\Omega(x,\varrho(x))} |1 - \psi_{k}|^{p} |\varrho^{q} g|^{p} dy \leq c_{2} \epsilon^{p},$$

where the constants $c_1, c_2 \in R_+$ are independent of x and k.

Clearly, if $x \in \Omega$, $|x| < r^*_{\epsilon}$ and $y \in \Omega(x, \varrho(x))$, then $|y| < r^*_{\epsilon} + \sup_{\Omega \cap B_{r^*}} \varrho = \tilde{r}_{\epsilon}$.

From (2.4) and from Theorem 1.1 it follows that $S_{\varrho} \cap \overline{B}_{\tilde{r}_{\epsilon}}$ can be covering by a finite number of open balls, with center on S_{ϱ} , $I_{\epsilon,i}$, $i=1,\ldots,m$, such that, letting $K_{\epsilon} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} I_{\epsilon,i}$, we have

(2.7)
$$|\varrho^{q}(y) g(y)| < \epsilon \qquad \forall y \in \Omega \cap K_{\epsilon}.$$

From (2.7), for any $k \in N$ we have

(2.8)
$$\sup_{\substack{x \in \Omega \\ |x| < r_{\epsilon}^{*}}} \varrho^{qp-n}(x) \int_{\Omega(x,\varrho(x)) \cap K_{\epsilon}} |1 - \psi_{k}|^{p} |g|^{p} dy$$

$$\leq c_{3} \sup_{\substack{x \in \Omega \\ |x| < r_{\epsilon}^{*}}} \varrho^{-n}(x) \int_{\Omega(x,\varrho(x)) \cap K_{\epsilon}} |1 - \psi_{k}|^{p} |\varrho^{q} g|^{p} dy \leq c_{4} \epsilon^{p},$$

where the constants $c_3, c_4 \in R_+$ are independent of x and k. Moreover, from (1.5), we get

(2.9)
$$\sup_{\substack{x \in \Omega \\ |x| < r_{\epsilon}^{*}}} \varrho^{qp-n}(x) \int_{\Omega(x,\varrho(x))\backslash K_{\epsilon}} |1 - \psi_{k}|^{p} |g|^{p} dy$$

$$\leq c_{5} \sup_{\substack{x \in \Omega \\ |x| < r_{\epsilon}^{*}}} \int_{\Omega(x,\varrho(x))\backslash K_{\epsilon}} \varrho^{qp-n}(y) |1 - \psi_{k}|^{p} |g|^{p} dy$$

$$\leq c_{6} \int_{(\Omega \cap B_{\tilde{r}_{\epsilon}})\backslash K_{\epsilon}} |1 - \psi_{k}|^{p} |g|^{p} dy,$$

where the constants $c_5, c_6 \in R_+$ are independent of x and k.

From (2.6), (2.8) and (2.9) it follows that

(2.10)
$$\sup_{x \in \Omega} \varrho^{qp-n}(x) \int_{\Omega(x,\varrho(x))} |1 - \psi_k|^p |g|^p dy \\ \leq c_7 \left(\epsilon^p + \int_{(\Omega \cap B_{\tilde{r}_{\epsilon}}) \setminus K_{\epsilon}} |1 - \psi_k|^p |g|^p dy\right),$$

where the constant $c_7 \in R_+$ is independent of x and k.

From (2.10) we obtain (2.2) and thus our statement.

3. Imbedding results

For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and for any $\theta \in]0, \frac{\pi}{2}[$ we denote by $C_{\theta}(x)$ an open indefinite cone with vertex in x and opening θ .

For a fixed $C_{\theta}(x)$, we put

$$C_{\theta}(x,r) = C_{\theta}(x) \cap B(x,r) \qquad \forall r \in R_{+}.$$

We denote by $\Gamma(\Omega, \theta, r)$ the family of open cones C of opening θ , height r and such that $\overline{C} \subset \Omega$.

We suppose that the following condition holds:

 (h_0) there exist $b \in]0,1]$ and $\theta \in]0,\frac{\pi}{2}[$ such that

$$(3.1) \forall x \in \Omega \exists C_{\theta}(x) : \overline{C_{\theta}(x, b\varrho(x))} \subset \Omega.$$

Remark 3.1. We remark that if, for example, $\varrho \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and Ω verifies the condition

 (h_1) there exists an open subset Ω^* of \mathbb{R}^n with the cone property such that

$$\Omega \subset \Omega^*$$
, $\partial \Omega \setminus S_{\rho} \subset \partial \Omega^*$,

then the condition (h_0) holds.

In fact, we consider $\theta \in]0, \frac{\pi}{2}[$ and $r \in R_+$ such that for all $x \in \Omega$ there exists $C_{\theta}(x)$ such that $\overline{C_{\theta}(x,r)} \subset \Omega^*$.

Let us fix $b \in]0,1]$ such that $b \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\Omega} \varrho < r$. Then (see n. 2 of [CCD₁]) we have that for any $x \in \Omega$ it results $C_{\theta}(x, b\rho(x)) \subset \Omega$.

Let us fix $\gamma \in R_+$ such that (1.1) is verified.

For all $x \in \Omega$ and for all $\lambda \in]0,1]$ we denote by $G_{\lambda,b}(x)$ the subset of \mathbb{R}^n union of the family of open cones $C \in \Gamma(\Omega, \theta, \lambda \gamma^{-1} b \rho(x))$ such that $x \in C$.

We fix $\Omega_h(x)$, $x \in \Omega$, with the condition that there exists $\lambda \in]0,1]$ such that

(3.2)
$$G_{\lambda,b}(x) \subset \Omega_b(x) \subset \Omega(x,b\varrho(x)) \quad \forall x \in \Omega.$$

We put, in the case b=1,

$$G_{\lambda}(x) = G_{\lambda,1}(x), \qquad \Omega(x) = \Omega_1(x) \qquad \forall x \in \Omega.$$

Remark 3.2. In n. 5 of [CCD₁], fixed $\varrho \in \mathcal{A}_o(\Omega)$, the authors assumed that the following hypotheses are satisfied:

- (i₁) S_{ϱ} is closed, $\varrho^{-1} \in L^{\infty}_{loc}(\overline{\Omega} \setminus S_{\varrho})$, (i₂) $\exists \theta \in]0, \frac{\pi}{2}[$ such that

$$\forall x \in \Omega \quad \exists C_{\theta}(x) : \overline{C_{\theta}(x, \varrho(x))} \subset \Omega$$

- (i₃) $\Omega(x)$ has the cone property with a cone $C \in \Gamma(\Omega, \theta_o, \lambda_o \varrho(x))$, where θ_o and λ_o are constants independent of x,
- (i_4) r, q, p, s are numbers such that

(3.3)
$$r \in N$$
, $q \in R$, $1 \le p \le s < +\infty$, $s \ge \frac{n}{r}$, $s > \frac{n}{r}$ if $\frac{n}{r} = p > 1$.

Condition (i₃) is not really contained in n. 5 of [CCD₁], but in the note ⁽¹⁾ of page 115 of [CCD₂] it is explained that hypothesis (i₃) must be added in order to prove the results of n. 5 of $[CCD_1]$.

In n. 5 of [CCD₁], under the hypotheses (i₁), (i₂), (i₃) and (i₄) the authors have proved (see Theorem 1) that for all $g \in L^s_{loc}(\overline{\Omega} \setminus S_{\varrho})$ such that $\sup_{x\in\Omega}\left(\varrho^{-q+r-\frac{n}{s}}(x)|g|_{s,\Omega(x)}\right)<+\infty \text{ and for all }u\in W_q^{r,p}(\Omega), \text{ it results }gu\in$ $L^p(\Omega)$ and

$$(3.4) |gu|_{p,\Omega} \le c \sup_{x \in \Omega} \left(\varrho^{-q+r-\frac{n}{s}}(x)|g|_{s,\Omega(x)} \right) ||u||_{W_q^{r,p}(\Omega)},$$

where the constant $c \in R_+$ is independent of g and u.

Moreover, they have proved some consequences of the above Theorem 1.

We remark (see Theorem 1.1 and Remark 1.1) that the hypothesis (i_1) can be dropped.

Moreover we remark that the hypothesis (i₃) is not necessary in order to obtain Theorem 1 of [CCD₁]. In fact, this theorem holds with $\Omega(x) = G_{\lambda}(x)$, because $G_{\lambda}(x)$ verifies the (i₃). Then from this we deduce that the above theorem holds also without (i₃), because for any $q \in R$ and $p \in [1, +\infty[$ we have

$$\sup_{x\in\Omega}\varrho^{q-\frac{n}{p}}(x)|g|_{p,G_{\lambda}(x)}\leq \sup_{x\in\Omega}\varrho^{q-\frac{n}{p}}(x)|g|_{p,\Omega(x)}\,.$$

From Remark 3.2 it follows that, the hypotheses (h_0) and (3.3) are enough to prove Theorem 1 of [CCD₁] in the case $\Omega_b(x)$ and thus also in the case $\Omega(x, \varrho(x))$. So we have

Theorem 3.1. If the conditions (h_0) and (3.3) hold, then for all $g \in K^s_{-q+r}(\Omega)$ and for all $u \in W^{r,p}_q(\Omega)$ we have $gu \in L^p(\Omega)$ and

$$(3.5) |gu|_{p,\Omega} \le c ||g||_{K^s_{-a+r}(\Omega)} ||u||_{W^{r,p}_q(\Omega)},$$

where the constant $c \in R_+$ is independent of g and u.

Using arguments similar to those in n.5 of [CCD₁], from Theorem 3.1 we deduce that:

(a) if the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 hold and therefore $g \in \tilde{K}^s_{-q+r}(\Omega)$, then for any $\epsilon \in R_+$ there exists $c(\epsilon) \in R_+$ such that

$$(3.6) |gu|_{p,\Omega} \le \epsilon ||u||_{W_q^{r,p}(\Omega)} + c(\epsilon)||u||_{L_{q-r}^p(\Omega)} \forall u \in W_q^{r,p}(\Omega);$$

(b) if, moreover, $g \in \mathring{K}_{-q+r}^s(\Omega)$, then for any $\epsilon \in R_+$ there exist $c(\epsilon) \in R_+$ and a bounded open set Ω_{ϵ} , with the cone property and with $\overline{\Omega}_{\epsilon} \subset \Omega$, such that

$$(3.7) |gu|_{p,\Omega} \le \epsilon ||u||_{W_{q}^{r,p}(\Omega)} + c(\epsilon)|u|_{p,\Omega_{\epsilon}} \forall u \in W_{q}^{r,p}(\Omega)$$

and we have that the operator

$$(3.8) u \in W_q^{r,p}(\Omega) \to gu \in L^p(\Omega)$$

is compact.

 \neg

References

- [CCD₁] Canale A., Caso L., Di Gironimo P., Weighted norm inequalities on irregular domains, Rend. Accad. Naz. Sci. XL Mem. Mat. (11) 16 (1992), 193–209.
- [CCD₂] Canale A., Caso L., Di Gironimo P., Variational second order elliptic equations with singular coefficients, Rend. Accad. Naz. Sci. XL Mem. Mat. (1) 17 (1993), 113–128.
- [DT] Di Gironimo P., Transirico M., Second order elliptic equations in weighted Sobolev spaces on unbounded domains, Rend. Accad. Naz. Sci. XL Mem. Mat. (10) 15 (1991), 163-176.
- [F₁] Fortunato D., Spazi di Sobolev con peso ed applicazioni ai problemi ellittici, Rend. Accad. Sc. Fis. Mat. di Napoli (4) 41 (1974), 245–289.
- [F₂] Fortunato D., Una limitazione a priori in spazi di Sobolev con peso per i problemi ellittici nel semispazio, Ric. di Mat. 25 (1976), 137–161.
- [GTT] Glushak A.V., Transirico M., Troisi M., Teoremi di immersione ed equazioni ellittiche in aperti non limitati, Rend. Mat. - s. VII, (4) 9 (1989), 113–130.
- [IMT] Infantino R., Matarasso S., Troisi M., Proprietá spettrali per una classe di operatori ellittici, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) 121 (1979), 109–129.
- [IT] Infantino R., Troisi M., Operatori ellittici degeneri in domini di Rⁿ, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. (5) 17-B (1980), 186–203.
- [K] Kufner A., Weighted Sobolev Spaces, Teubner Texte zur Math., Band 31, 1980.
- [KJF] Kufner A., John O., Fučík S., Function Spaces, Nordhoff International Publishing, Leyden, 1977.
- [MT₁] Matarasso S., Troisi M., Operatori differenziali ellittici in spazi di Sobolev con peso, Ric. di Mat. 27 (1978), 83–108.
- [MT2] Matarasso S., Troisi M., Teoremi di compattezza in domini non limitati, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. (5) 18-B (1981), 517-537.
- [S₁] Schianchi R., Spazi di Sobolev dissimmetrici e con peso, Rend. Accad. Sc. Fis. Mat. di Napoli (4) 42 (1975), 349–388.
- [S₂] Schianchi R., Problemi quasi ellittici nel semispazio, Rend. Circ. Mat. di Palermo (2) 29 (1980), 103–138.
- [Sg] Sgambati L., Limitazioni a priori per le equazioni lineari ellittiche del second'ordine in due variabili in domini non limitati, Ric. di Mat. 32 (1983), 25–39.
- [ST] Sgambati L., Troisi M., Limitazioni a priori per una classe di problemi ellittici in domini non limitati, Note di Mat. 1 (1981), 225–259.
- [TT] Transirico M., Troisi M., Limitazioni a priori per una classe di operatori differenziali lineari ellittici del secondo ordine in aperti non limitati, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. (7) 5-B (1991), 757-771.
- [T₁] Troisi M., Teoremi di inclusione negli spazi di Sobolev con peso, Ric. di Mat. 18 (1969), 49-74.
- [T₂] Troisi M., Problemi ellittici con dati singolari, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) 83 (1969), 363–408.
- [T₃] Troisi M., Problemi al contorno con condizioni omogenee per le equazioni quasi-ellittiche, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) 90 (1971), 331–412.
- [T₄] Troisi M., Su una classe di funzioni peso, Rend. Accad. Naz. Sci. XL Mem. Mat. (11) 10 (1986), 141–152.

DIPARTIMENTO DI INGEGNERIA DELL'INFORMAZIONE E MATEMATICA APPLICATA, FACOLTÁ DI SCIENZE, UNIVERSITÁ DI SALERNO, 84081 BARONISSI (SA), ITALY

(Received July 13, 1995)