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# On positive operator-valued continuous maps 

Ryszard Grza̧śLewicz

> Abstract. In the paper the geometric properties of the positive cone and positive part of the unit ball of the space of operator-valued continuous space are discussed. In particular we show that ext-ray $\mathrm{C}_{+}(K, \mathcal{L}(H))=\left\{\mathbb{R}_{+} \mathbf{1}_{\left.\left\{k_{0}\right\} \mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{x}: \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{S}(H), k_{0} \text { is an isolated point of } K\right\}}^{\text {ext } \mathbf{B}_{+}(\mathrm{C}(K, \mathcal{L}(H)))} \begin{array}{rl} & =s \text {-ext } \mathbf{B}_{+}(C(K, \mathcal{L}(H))) \\ & =\left\{f \in \mathrm{C}\left(K, \mathcal{L}(H): f(K) \subset \text { ext } \mathbf{B}_{+}(\mathcal{L}(H))\right\} .\right. \\ \text { Moreover we describe exposed, strongly exposed and denting points. }\end{array}\right.$.
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## 1. Introduction

The paper is devoted to the geometric properties of the space of continuous functions from a compact Hausdorff space $K$ with values in the space of operators acting on a Hilbert space $H$. Namely, we deal with the positive part of the unit ball and the cone of positive operators in $\mathcal{L}(H)$. We consider such points as strongly extreme, exposed, strongly exposed and denting points.

For a Banach space $E$ we denote by $\mathbf{B}(E)$ and $\mathbf{S}(E)$ respectively the unit ball and the unit sphere of $E$. A subset $P$ of $E$ is called a convex cone (of vertex 0) if $P$ is convex $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in P, \alpha \in[0,1] \Rightarrow \alpha \mathbf{x}+(1-\alpha) \mathbf{y} \in P)$ and invariant under multiplication by positive constant $\left(\mathbf{x} \in P, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \Rightarrow \lambda \mathbf{x} \in P\right)$. A ray $R=\left\{\lambda \mathbf{x}_{o}: \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{+}\right\}=\mathbb{R}_{+} \mathbf{x}_{o}, 0 \neq \mathbf{x}_{o} \in P$, is called an extreme ray $(R \in$ ext-ray $P)$ if $\mathbf{x} \in R, \mathbf{y} \in P$, and $\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y} \in P$ imply $\mathbf{y} \in R$.

A point $\mathbf{q}$ of a convex set $Q \subset E$ is extreme $(\mathbf{q} \in \operatorname{ext} Q)$ if it is not the midpoint of any segment of positive length contained in $Q$; strongly extreme ( $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbf{s}$-ext $Q$ ) if $\left\|\frac{\mathbf{x}_{n}+\mathbf{y}_{n}}{2}-\mathbf{q}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ for $\mathbf{x}_{n}, \mathbf{y}_{n} \in Q$ implies $\left\|\mathbf{x}_{n}-\mathbf{q}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ (or equivalently $\left\|\mathbf{x}_{n}-\mathbf{y}_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$, since $\mathbf{x}_{n}-\mathbf{q}=\frac{\mathbf{x}_{n}-\mathbf{y}_{n}}{2}+\left(\frac{\mathbf{x}_{n}+\mathbf{y}_{n}}{2}-\mathbf{q}\right)$ ); exposed $(\mathbf{q} \in \exp Q)$ if there exists $\xi \in Q^{*}$ such that $\xi(q)=\sup \xi(Q)>\xi(\mathbf{x})$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in Q \backslash\{\mathbf{q}\}$; strongly exposed $(\mathbf{q} \in \mathbf{s}-\exp Q)$ if it is exposed and if $\xi\left(x_{n}\right) \rightarrow \xi(q)$ for $\mathbf{x}_{n} \in Q$ then $\left\|\mathbf{x}_{n}-\mathbf{q}\right\| \rightarrow 0$; and denting $(\mathbf{q} \in$ dent $Q$ ) if for all $\varepsilon>0$ we have $\mathbf{q} \notin$ $\overline{\text { conv }}(Q \backslash\{\mathbf{q}+\varepsilon \mathbf{B}(E)\})$. Note that in general this classes of points do not coincide. We have s-exp $Q \subset$ dent $Q \subset \mathbf{s - e x t} Q \subset \mathbf{e x t} Q$ and $\mathbf{s}-\exp Q \subset \exp Q \subset \mathbf{e x t} Q$.

[^0]Moreover, if $Q$ is compact then dent $Q=\mathbf{s - e x t} Q=\operatorname{ext} Q$ and s-exp $Q=\boldsymbol{\operatorname { e x p }} Q$. Note that if $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbf{e x t} Q$ is a point of continuity for $Q\left(\mathbf{x}_{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{q}\right.$ weakly, $\mathbf{x}_{n} \in Q$, implies $\mathbf{x}_{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{q}$ in norm) then $\mathbf{q} \in \operatorname{dent} Q([14])$. For an operator $T: E \rightarrow E$ we denote by IsDom $T=\{\mathbf{x} \in E:\|T \mathbf{x}\|=\|\mathbf{x}\|\}$ its isometric domain.

Let $H$ be a (real or complex) Hilbert space equipped with the inner product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$. By $\mathcal{L}(H)$ we denote the space of bounded operators acting on $H$. The space $\mathcal{L}(H)$ is equipped with the standard operator norm. Note that IsDom $T$ is a closed linear subspace for all $T \in \mathbf{B}(\mathcal{L}(H))$. Moreover, $T\left(\{\mathbf{x}\}^{\perp}\right) \subset(T \mathbf{x})^{\perp}$ for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{I s D o m} T$ and $T\left((\mathbf{I s D o m} T)^{\perp}\right) \perp T(\mathbf{I s D o m} T), T \in \mathbf{B}(\mathcal{L}(H))$.

For $\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} \in H$ we denote by $\mathbf{y} \otimes \mathbf{z}$ the one dimensional operator defined by $(\mathbf{y} \otimes \mathbf{z})(\mathbf{x})=\mathbf{y}\langle\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}\rangle, \mathbf{x} \in H$.

The operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ is called positive $(T \geq 0)$ if $T$ is self-adjoint $\left(T=T^{*}\right)$ and $\langle T \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}\rangle \geq 0$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in H$. An operator $T$ is a (orthogonal) projection if $T=T^{2}$ and $T=T^{*}$. If $T$ is a projection then $T \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{0}$ for all $\mathbf{x} \perp$ IsDom $T$.

The cone of all positive operators is denoted by $\mathcal{L}_{+}(H)$. The positive part of the unit ball is denoted by $\mathbf{B}_{+}(\mathcal{L}(H))$. Note that $\|T\|=\sup \{\langle T x, x\rangle:\|x\| \leq 1\}$ for $T \geq 0$. Hence $\|T\| \leq\|T+R\|$ for $T, R \in \mathcal{L}_{+}(H)$.

Let $T \in \mathbf{B}_{+}(\mathcal{L}(H))=\{T \in \mathcal{L}(H): 0 \leq T \leq I\}$. Then $T^{2},(I-T) \in \mathbf{B}_{+}(\mathcal{L}(H))$. We have $2 T-T^{2}=T(2 I-T) \geq 0$ and $0 \leq(I-T)^{2}=I-2 T+T^{2}$, so $2 T-T^{2} \leq I$. Thus $2 T-T^{2} \in \mathbf{B}_{+}(\mathcal{L}(H))$, too.

A one dimensional operator $\mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{S}(H)$, is positive if and only if $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{y}$.
Let $\mathbf{C}(K, E)$ denote the Banach space of all continuous functions from a compact Hausdorff space $K$ into a Banach space $E$ equipped with the supremum norm $\|f\|=\sup _{k \in K}\|f(k)\|_{E}$.

Obviously for a convex set $Q \subset E$ if $f(K) \subset \operatorname{ext} Q$ then $f \in \mathbf{e x t}\{f \in \mathbf{C}(K, E)$ : $f(K) \subset Q\}$. There is a natural question for which classes of convex sets $Q$ the inverse implication characterize extreme points. Negative example of continuous function $F: K \rightarrow Q\left(Q\right.$ is closed symmetric subset of $\left.\mathbb{R}^{4}\right)$ was presented in [2]. In fact $f \in$ ext $f \in \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{C}(K, E))$ with $f(k) \notin \mathbf{e x t} \mathbf{B}(E)$ for all $k \in K$.

Using Michael's selection theorem ([16]) we can prove that ext $\{f \in \mathbf{C}(K, E)$ : $f(K) \subset Q\}=\{f \in \mathbf{C}(K, E): f(K) \subset$ ext $Q\}$ for any stable convex subset $Q$ of $E$. Recall that a convex set $Q \subset E$ is said to be stable if the barycenter map $Q \times Q \ni(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \rightarrow \frac{\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}}{2} \in Q$ is open. Point out that in finite dimensional space a set is stable (see [18]) if and only if all $m$-skeletons ( $m=0,1, \ldots, n$ ) of $Q$ are closed (an $m$-skeleton of $Q$ is a set of all $\mathbf{x} \in Q$ such that the face generated by $\mathbf{x}$ in $Q$ has dimension less than or equal to $m$ ).

We say that a compact Hausdorff space $K$ carries a strict positive measure if there exists a strictly positive Radon measure $\mu$ on $K$ (i.e. $\mu(U)>0$ for all nonempty open $U \subset K$ ). The problem of characterization of spaces $K$ which carry a strictly positive measure has been studied by many authors (e.g., see [1], [5], [11], [15], [17]). In particular Kelley ([13]) introduced the notion of intersection numbers of a collection of subsets to give the characterization of spaces which carry a strictly positive measure. It should be pointed out that in the case of a compact

Hausdorff space the problem mentioned above is equivalent to the problem of existence of a finitely additive strictly positive measure. Note that $\mathbf{C}(K, \mathbb{R})$ carries a strictly positive functional if and only if its dual $\mathbf{C}(K, \mathbb{R})$ contains a weakly compact total subset ([19, Theorem 4.5b]). We refer the reader [3, Chapter 6], for summary of those and related results. In fact a strictly positive measure on $K$ can be considered as a functional on $\mathbf{C}(K, \mathbb{R})$ which exposes the function $\mathbf{1}_{K}$. By $\mathbf{1}_{A}$ we denote the characteristic function of a set $A \subset K$.

We have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\text { ext } \mathbf{B}_{+}(\mathcal{L}(H))=\left\{T \in \mathcal{L}(H): T^{2}=T, T^{*}=T\right\}  \tag{12}\\
\text { s-ext } \mathbf{B}_{+}(\mathcal{L}(H))=\text { ext } \mathbf{B}_{+}(\mathcal{L}(H))([9]) . \\
\exp \mathbf{B}_{+}(\mathcal{L}(H))=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\text { ext } \mathbf{B}_{+}(\mathcal{L}(H)) & \text { if } H \text { is separable } \\
\emptyset & \text { if } H \text { is not separable }
\end{array}([7]),\right. \\
\text { s-exp } \mathbf{B}_{+}(\mathcal{L}(H))=\text { dent } \mathbf{B}_{+}(\mathcal{L}(H))= \begin{cases}\text { ext } \mathbf{B}_{+}(\mathcal{L}(H)) & \text { if } \operatorname{dim} H<\infty \\
\emptyset & \text { if } \operatorname{dim} H=\infty\end{cases} \\
\text { ext-ray } \mathcal{L}_{+}(H)=\left\{\mathbb{R}_{+} \mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{x}: \mathbf{0} \neq \mathbf{x} \in H\right\} .
\end{gather*}
$$

The aim of this paper is to continue investigation for the space of operator valued continuous functions with values in $\mathcal{L}_{+}(H)$. We show that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { ext } \mathbf{B}_{+}(\mathbf{C}(K, \mathcal{L}(H)))=\left\{f \in \mathbf{C}(K, \mathcal{L}(H)): f(K) \subset \text { ext } \mathbf{B}_{+}(\mathcal{L}(H))\right\} \\
\text { s-ext } \mathbf{B}_{+}(\mathbf{C}(K, \mathcal{L}(H)))=\mathbf{e x t} \mathbf{B}_{+}(\mathbf{C}(K, \mathcal{L}(H))) \\
\exp \mathbf{B}_{+}(\mathbf{C}(K, \mathcal{L}(H)))=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { ext } \mathbf{B}_{+}(\mathbf{C}(K, \mathcal{L}(H))) \\
\text { if } H \text { is separable and } K \\
\text { carries a strictly positive } \\
\text { measure } \\
\text { if } H \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right. \\
\text { s-exp } \mathbf{B}_{+}(\mathcal{L}(H))=\text { dent } \mathbf{B}_{+}(\mathcal{L}(H))= \begin{cases}\text { ext } \mathbf{B}_{+}(\mathcal{L}(H)) & \text { if } \operatorname{dim} H<\infty \text { and } \\
\emptyset & \text { card } K<\infty \\
\emptyset & \text { if } \operatorname{dim} H=\infty\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

ext-ray $\mathbf{C}_{+}(K, \mathcal{L}(H))=\left\{\mathbb{R}_{+} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{k_{0}\right\}} \mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{x}: \mathbf{0} \neq \mathbf{x} \in H, k_{0}\right.$ is an isolated point of $\left.K\right\}$. The corresponding results for the whole unit ball are presented in [8], [10].

## 2. Extremality

Theorem 1. For any Hilbert space $H$ we have

$$
\text { ext } \mathbf{B}_{+}(\mathbf{C}(K, \mathcal{L}(H)))=\left\{f \in \mathbf{C}(K, \mathcal{L}(H)): f(K) \subset \text { ext } \mathbf{B}_{+}(\mathcal{L}(H))\right\}
$$

Proof: Fix $f \in \mathbf{B}_{+}(\mathbf{C}(K, \mathcal{L}(H)))$ with non extremal value. Let $\mathbf{x}_{o} \in \mathbf{S}(H)$ be such that $f^{2}(k) \mathbf{x}_{o} \neq f(k) \mathbf{x}_{o}$ for some $k \in K$. Put $f_{1}=2 f-f^{2}$ and $f_{2}=f^{2}$. We have $\frac{f_{1}+f_{2}}{2}=f$ and $0 \leq f_{i}(k) \leq I$. Moreover $f_{1}(k) \mathbf{x}_{o}=2 f(k) \mathbf{x}_{o}-f^{2}(k) \mathbf{x}_{o} \neq$ $f^{2}(k) \mathbf{x}_{o}=f_{2}(k) \mathbf{x}_{o}$, so $f_{1} \neq f_{2}$.

Theorem 2. We have s-ext $\mathbf{B}_{+}(\mathbf{C}(K, \mathcal{L}(H)))=$ ext $\mathbf{B}_{+}(\mathbf{C}(K, \mathcal{L}(H)))$.
Proof: Let $f \in$ ext $\mathbf{B}_{+}(\mathbf{C}(K, \mathcal{L}(H)))$. Fix $\varepsilon>0$. We need to show that there exists $\delta>0$ such that $\left\|\frac{g_{n}+h_{n}}{2}-f\right\|<\delta, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{B}(H)$ implies $\left\|g_{n}-h_{n}\right\|<\varepsilon$.

From the uniform convexity of $H$ there exists $\delta(\varepsilon)$ such that $\left\|\frac{\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}}{2}\right\|>1-\delta(\varepsilon)$ implies $\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|<\varepsilon / 2$. Put $\delta=\min \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{8}, \delta(\varepsilon)\right)$. Fix $k \in K$. For $\mathbf{x} \perp$ IsDom $f(k)$ with $\|\mathbf{x}\| \leq 1$ we have $\left\|g_{n}(k) \mathbf{x}-h_{n}(k) \mathbf{x}\right\| \leq 2\left\|g_{n}(k) \mathbf{x}+h_{n}(k) \mathbf{x}\right\| \leq 4 \delta \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$.

For $\mathbf{y} \in \operatorname{IsDom} f(k)$ with $\|\mathbf{y}\| \leq 1$ we have $\left\|\frac{g_{n}(k) \mathbf{y}+h_{n}(k) \mathbf{y}}{2}\right\| \geq\left\|f_{n}(k) \mathbf{y}\right\|-$ $\left\|\frac{g_{n}(k) \mathbf{y}+h_{n}(k) \mathbf{y}}{2}-f_{n}(k) \mathbf{y}\right\| \geq 1-\delta$. Thus $\left\|g_{n}(k) \mathbf{y}-h_{n}(k) \mathbf{y}\right\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$.

Now let $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbf{B}(H)$. And let $\mathbf{y} \in \operatorname{IsDom} f(k)$ and $\mathbf{x} \in(\operatorname{IsDom} f(k))^{\perp}$ be such that $\mathbf{z}=\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}$. Obviously $\|\mathbf{x}\|,\|\mathbf{y}\| \leq 1$. Now we have $\left\|\left(g_{n}(k)-h_{n}(k)\right) \mathbf{z}\right\|=$ $\left\|g_{n}(k) \mathbf{x}-h_{n}(k) \mathbf{x}+g_{n}(k) \mathbf{y}-h_{n}(k) \mathbf{y}\right\| \leq\left\|g_{n}(k) \mathbf{x}-h_{n}(k) \mathbf{x}\right\|+\left\|g_{n}(k) \mathbf{y}-h_{n}(k) \mathbf{y}\right\| \leq$ $\varepsilon / 2+\varepsilon / 2=\varepsilon$, so $\left\|g_{n}(k)-h_{n}(k)\right\| \leq \varepsilon$ and $\left\|g_{n}-h_{n}\right\| \leq \varepsilon$.
Theorem 3. ext-ray $\mathbf{C}_{+}(K, \mathcal{L}(H))=\left\{\mathbb{R}_{+} f: f=\mathbf{1}_{\left\{k_{0}\right\}} \mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{C}(K, \mathcal{L}(H)), \mathbf{0} \neq\right.$ $\mathbf{x} \in H, k_{0}$ is an isolated point of $\left.K\right\}$.
Proof: Fix $f=\mathbf{1}_{\left\{k_{0}\right\}} \mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{C}(K, \mathcal{L}(H))$. Let $0 \neq g \in \mathbf{C}_{+}(K, \mathcal{L}(H))$ such that $f-g \in \mathbf{C}_{+}(K, \mathcal{L}(H))$. Then $g \leq f$, so $g(k)=0$ for $k \neq k_{0}$. Moreover $0 \leq g\left(k_{0}\right) \leq \mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{x}$. Hence $g\left(k_{0}\right)=\alpha \mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{x}$ where $\alpha \in(0,1]$, i.e. $g=\alpha f$ and $f \in$ ext-ray $\mathbf{C}_{+}(K, \mathcal{L}(H))$.

Let $k_{0}$ be a not isolated point of $K$ such that $f\left(k_{0}\right) \neq 0$. Then there exists a continuous function $\gamma: K \rightarrow[0,1]$ with $\gamma\left(k_{0}\right)=1$ and $\gamma\left(k_{1}\right)=0$ for some $k_{1} \neq k_{0}$ such that $f\left(k_{1}\right) \neq 0$. Put $g=\gamma f \in \mathbf{C}_{+}(K, \mathcal{L}(H))$. Then $f-g \in \mathbf{C}_{+}(K, \mathcal{L}(H))$ and $g \neq \lambda f, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$, i.e. $f$ do not generate the extreme ray.

If for two isolated points $k_{i}, i=1,2, f\left(k_{i}\right) \neq 0$, then by the analogous arguments $\mathbb{R}_{+} f \notin$ ext-ray $\mathbf{C}_{+}(K, \mathcal{L}(H))$.

If $k_{0}$ is an isolated point of $K$ and $f\left(k_{0}\right)$ is not of the form $\mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{x}\left(f\left(k_{0}\right)\right.$ do not generate extreme ray in $\left.\mathcal{L}_{+}(H)\right)$. Then there exists $0 \neq T \in \mathcal{L}_{+}(H)$ such that $f\left(k_{0}\right) \pm T \in \mathbf{C}_{+}(K, \mathcal{L}(H))$ and $T \neq \lambda f\left(k_{0}\right), \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$. For $g=\mathbf{1}_{\left\{k_{0}\right\}} T \in$ $\mathbf{C}_{+}(K, \mathcal{L}(H))$ we have $f-g \in \mathbf{C}_{+}(K, \mathcal{L}(H))$ and $g \neq \lambda f, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$, i.e. $f$ do not generate the extreme ray, too.

Theorem 4. If $H$ is separable and a compact Hausdorff space $K$ carries a strictly positive measure then

$$
\exp \mathbf{B}_{+}(\mathbf{C}(K, \mathcal{L}(H)))=\mathbf{e x t} \mathbf{B}_{+}(\mathbf{C}(K, \mathcal{L}(H)))
$$

Otherwise

$$
\exp \mathbf{B}_{+}(\mathbf{C}(K, \mathcal{L}(H)))=\emptyset
$$

Proof: Let $H$ be separable and let $\mu$ be a strictly positive measure on $K$ with $\mu(K)=1$. We fix an orthonormal basis $\left\{\mathbf{e}_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ and a sequence of strictly positive
reals $\alpha_{i}$ such that $\sum_{i \in I} \alpha_{i}=1$. Fix $f_{o} \in \mathbf{e x t} \mathbf{B}_{+}(\mathbf{C}(K, \mathcal{L}(H)))$. We define a functional $\xi$ on $\mathbf{C}(K, \mathcal{L}(H))$ by

$$
\xi(g)=\int_{K} \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_{i} \operatorname{Re}\left\langle(2 g(k)-I) \mathbf{e}_{i},\left(2 f_{o}(k)-I\right) \mathbf{e}_{i}\right\rangle d \mu(k),
$$

$g \in \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{C}(K, \mathcal{L}(H)))$. We have $\xi(g) \leq 1=\xi\left(f_{o}\right)$ for $g \in \mathbf{B}_{+}(\mathbf{C}(K, \mathcal{L}(H)))$. Now suppose that $\xi(g)=1$ for some $g \in \mathbf{B}_{+}(\mathbf{C}(K, \mathcal{L}(H)))$. Note that if $0 \leq T \leq I$ then $-I \leq(2 T-I) \leq I$ and $\|2 T-I\| \leq 1$. We get $\left\langle(2 g(k)-I) \mathbf{e}_{i},\left(2 f_{o}(k)-I\right) \mathbf{e}_{i}\right\rangle=1$ $\mu$-a.e. and $(2 g(k)-I) \mathbf{e}_{i}=\left(2 f_{o}(k)-I\right) \mathbf{e}_{i}$. Hence $(2 g(k)-I)=\left(2 f_{o}(k)-I\right)$ and $g=f_{o}$, i.e. $f_{o} \in \exp \mathbf{B}_{+}(\mathbf{C}(K, \mathcal{L}(H)))$.

Now suppose that a functional $\xi_{o}$ exposes $\mathbf{B}_{+}(\mathbf{C}(K, \mathcal{L}(H)))$ at $f_{o}$ belonging to $f_{o} \in \exp \mathbf{B}_{+}(\mathbf{C}(K, \mathcal{L}(H)))$. Obviously $\left\|f_{o}(k)\right\|=0$ or 1 . Put $K_{0}=\{k \in K$ : $\left.f_{o}(k)=0\right\}$ and $K_{1}=K \backslash K_{0}$. The sets $K_{0}, K_{1}$ are clopen.

Fix $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{S}(H)$. We define a functional $\nu$ on $\mathbf{C}(K, \mathbb{R})$ by

$$
\nu(h)=\xi_{o}\left(h\left(f_{o}-\mathbf{1}_{K_{0}} \mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{x}\right)\right), \quad h \in \mathbf{C}(K, \mathbb{R})
$$

We claim that $\nu$ is strictly positive. Indeed, suppose to get a contradiction, that there exists $h_{o} \in \mathbf{C}(K, \mathbb{R})$ such that $0 \leq h_{o} \leq 1, h_{o} \neq 0$, and $\nu\left(h_{o}\right) \leq 0$. If $\operatorname{supp} h_{o} \subset K_{1}$ then $h_{o} f_{o} \neq 0$, and $\nu(1) \leq \nu(1)-\nu\left(h_{o}\right)=\nu\left(1-h_{o}\right)=\xi_{o}((1-$ $\left.\left.h_{o}\right) f_{o}\right)-\xi_{o}\left(\mathbf{1}_{K_{0}} \mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{x}\right)<\xi_{o}\left(f_{o}\right)-\xi_{o}\left(\mathbf{1}_{K_{0}} \mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{x}\right)=\nu(1)$, which is impossible. It follows that $K_{1}$ carries a strictly positive measure.

If supp $h_{o} \subset K_{0}$ then $\nu(1) \leq \nu(1)-\nu\left(h_{o}\right)=\nu\left(1-h_{o}\right)=\xi_{o}\left(f_{o}+h_{o} \mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{x}\right)-$ $\xi_{o}\left(\mathbf{1}_{K_{0}} \mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{x}\right)<\xi_{o}\left(f_{o}\right)-\xi_{o}\left(\mathbf{1}_{K_{0}} \mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{x}\right)=\nu(1)$, which is impossible. It follows that $K_{0}$ carries a strictly positive measure. Therefore if $\exp \mathbf{B}_{+}(\mathbf{C}(K, \mathcal{L}(H))) \neq \emptyset$ then $K$ carries a strictly positive measure.

Let $\left\{\mathbf{e}_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be an orthonormal basis of $H$ such that, $\left\{\mathbf{e}_{i}\right\}_{i \in J}, J \subset I$, is the orthonormal base of $\bigcap_{k \in K} \operatorname{Ker} f(k)$. For $L \subset I$ we denote by $P_{L}$ a projection on $\overline{\text { lin }}\left\{\mathbf{e}_{i}\right\}_{i \in L}$. Consider now a function $m$ on all subsets of $I$ defined by

$$
m(L)=\xi_{o}\left(f_{o} P_{L \cap(I \backslash J)}-P_{L \cap J}\right)
$$

If $i \in J$ then $f_{o}+\mathbf{e}_{i} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{i} \in \mathbf{B}_{+}(\mathbf{C}(K, \mathcal{L}(H)))$ and $\xi_{o}\left(f_{o}+\mathbf{e}_{i} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{i}\right)<\xi_{o}\left(f_{o}\right)$. Thus $\xi_{o}\left(\mathbf{e}_{i} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{i}\right)<0$ and $m(\{i\})=\xi_{o}\left(-\mathbf{e}_{i} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{i}\right)>0$. If $i \notin J$ then there exists $k \in K$ such that $\mathbf{e}_{i} \in\left(\operatorname{Ker} f_{o}(k)\right)^{c}$ i.e. $f_{o}(k) \mathbf{e}_{i} \neq 0$ and $f_{o} P_{\left\{i_{o}\right\}} \neq 0$. We have $f_{o}=$ $f_{o} P_{\left\{i_{o}\right\}}+f_{o} P_{I \backslash\left\{i_{o}\right\}}$ and $\xi_{o}\left(f_{o} P_{I \backslash\left\{i_{o}\right\}}\right)<\xi_{o}\left(f_{o}\right)$. Hence $m\left(\left\{i_{o}\right\}\right)=\xi_{o}\left(f_{o} P_{\left\{i_{o}\right\}}\right)>0$.

Using the same arguments we get that $m(L)>0$ if $L$ is a subset of $J$ or $L$ is a subset of $I \backslash J$.

Thus the function $m$ is finitely additive and strictly positive on the family of all subsets of $I$. Therefore if $\exp \mathbf{B}_{+}(\mathbf{C}(K, \mathcal{L}(H))) \neq \emptyset$ then $I$ is countable and $H$ is separable.

Theorem 5. If $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { d i m }} H=\infty$ or card $K=\infty$ then

$$
\text { dent } \mathbf{B}_{+}(\mathbf{C}(K, \mathcal{L}(H)))=\emptyset
$$

Proof: Suppose that $\operatorname{dim} H=\infty$. Fix $f \in \operatorname{ext} \mathbf{B}_{+}(\mathbf{C}(K, \mathcal{L}(H)))$ and $k_{0} \in K$. Consider the case when $\operatorname{dim}$ IsDom $f\left(k_{0}\right)=\infty$. Let $\left\{\mathbf{e}_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be orthonormal system in IsDom $f\left(k_{0}\right)$. Let $P_{j}$ be a projection on $\left\{\mathbf{e}_{j}\right\}^{\perp}$. Put $f_{j}=P_{j} f$. Obviously $\left\|f_{j}-f\right\| \geq\left\|f_{j}\left(k_{0}\right)-f\left(k_{0}\right)\right\|=\left\|\mathbf{e}_{j} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{j}\right\|=1$. We have $\left\|I-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{i}\right\|=$ $\left\|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{e}_{i} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{i}\right\|=\frac{1}{n}$ and $\left\|f-\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}\right\| \leq\left\|I-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{i}\right\|=\frac{1}{n}$, i.e. $f \notin$ dent $\mathbf{B}_{+}(\mathbf{C}(K, \mathcal{L}(H)))$.

Consider the case when $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker} f\left(k_{0}\right)=\infty$. Then for
$g=I-f \in \mathbf{B}_{+}(\mathbf{C}(K, \mathcal{L}(H))) \mathbf{d i m}$ IsDom $g=\infty$, and we can apply the above argument for $g$.

Now suppose that card $K=\infty$.
Suppose that there exists a sequence $\left\{k_{n}\right\}$ of distinct points of $K$ such that $\lim _{n} k_{n}=k_{0}$ and $f\left(k_{n}\right) \neq 0$. We choose the sequence of continuous functions $\gamma_{n}: K \rightarrow[0,1]$ such that $\gamma_{n}\left(k_{n}\right)=1$ and supp $\gamma_{n_{1}} \cap$ supp $\gamma_{n_{2}}=\emptyset$ if $n_{1} \neq n_{2}$. Put $f_{j}=\left(1-\gamma_{j}\right) f_{o} \in \mathbf{B}_{+}(\mathbf{C}(K, \mathcal{L}(H)))$. Obviously $\left\|f_{j}-f\right\| \geq\left\|f_{j}\left(k_{j}\right)-f\left(k_{j}\right)\right\|=1$. We have $\left\|f-\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}\right\| \leq\left\|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}\right\|=\frac{1}{n}$, i.e. $f \notin \mathbf{d e n t} \mathbf{B}_{+}(\mathbf{C}(K, \mathcal{L}(H)))$.

Finally if such sequence $\left\{k_{n}\right\}$ does not exist we can find a closed $K_{1} \subset K$ such that card $K_{1}=\infty$ and $f(k)=0$ for all $k \in K_{1}$. We choose the sequence of continuous functions $\gamma_{n}: K \rightarrow[0,1]$ such that $\left\|\gamma_{n}\right\|=1$, supp $\gamma_{n} \subset K_{1}$ and supp $\gamma_{n_{1}} \cap \operatorname{supp} \gamma_{n_{2}}=\emptyset$ if $n_{1} \neq n_{2}$. Put $f_{j}=f+\gamma_{j} \mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{B}_{+}(\mathbf{C}(K, \mathcal{L}(H)))$, $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{S}(H)$. Obviously $\left\|f_{j}-f\right\| \geq\|\mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{x}\|=1$. We have $\left\|f-\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}\right\| \leq$ $\left\|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}\right\|=\frac{1}{n}$, i.e. $f \notin \mathbf{d e n t} \mathbf{B}_{+}(\mathbf{C}(K, \mathcal{L}(H)))$.
Theorem 6. If $\operatorname{dim} H<\infty$ and card $K<\infty$ then

$$
\mathbf{s - e x p} \mathbf{B}_{+}(\mathbf{C}(K, \mathcal{L}(H)))=\mathbf{e x t} \mathbf{B}_{+}(\mathbf{C}(K, \mathcal{L}(H)))
$$

Proof: If $\operatorname{dim} H<\infty$ or card $K<\infty$ then $\mathbf{C}(K, \mathcal{L}(H))$ is finite dimensional, so $\mathbf{B}_{+}(\mathbf{C}(K, \mathcal{L}(H)))$ is compact. Hence exposed and strongly exposed coincide. In view of Theorem 4 we finish the proof.

Remark. All the above theorems can be proven using the same arguments for the space of compact operators $\mathcal{K}(H)$ instead of $\mathcal{L}(H)$.
Questions. In [6] and [7] it is shown that the unit ball and the positive part of the unit ball is stable if $\operatorname{dim} H<\infty$. Are $\mathbf{B}(\mathcal{L}(H))$ and $\mathbf{B}_{+}(\mathcal{L}(H))$ stable for infinite dimensional $H$ ?

In [8] it is presented an example of the extreme points of the unit ball of continues operator-valued map into $l^{p}, 1<p<\infty, p \neq 2$ with non-extremal values. What about extreme positive continuous maps into $\mathcal{L}\left(l^{p}\right), 1<p<\infty$, $p \neq 2$.
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