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# The Re-nonnegative definite solutions to the matrix equation $A X B=C$ 
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#### Abstract

An $n \times n$ complex matrix $A$ is called Re-nonnegative definite (Re-nnd) if the real part of $x^{*} A x$ is nonnegative for every complex $n$-vector $x$. In this paper criteria for a partitioned matrix to be Re-nnd are given. A necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of and an expression for the Re-nnd solutions of the matrix equation $A X B=C$ are presented.
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In 1996, Lei Wu and Bryan Cain [1] defined a Re-nonnegative definite (Re-nnd) matrix (that is, $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is called Re-nnd if $R e\left[x^{*} A x\right] \geq 0$ for every nonzero $x$ in $\mathbb{C}^{n \times 1}$ ), presented a criterion for Re-nndness, and solved the matrix inverse problem: Given complex matrices $X$ and $B$, find the set of all complex Re-nnd matrices $A$ such that $A X=B$. It is well known that the matrix equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
A X B=C \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A, B, C$ are given and $X$ is unknown, is very important; it was investigated by C.G. Khatri and S.K. Mitra [2], K.E. Chu [3], A.D. Porter and N. Mousouris [4], D. Hua [5], Q.W. Wang [6]-[8] and others. In this paper we extend the results of [1], give criteria for $2 \times 2$ and $3 \times 3$ partitioned matrices to be Re-nnd, derive a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of and an expression for Rennd solutions of the equation (1). Throughout this paper, $\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}, \mathbb{C}_{r}^{m \times n}, G L_{n}$, $E^{n}$ will represent the complex field, the set of all $m \times n$ matrices over $\mathbb{C}$, the set of all matrices in $\mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ with rank $r$, the set of all $n \times n$ invertible matrices and the set of all $n \times n$ Re-nnd matrices, respectively. $A^{*}$, rank $A, \operatorname{Re}[b]$ and $I_{i}$ will denote the conjugate transpose of a complex matrix $A$, the rank of $A$, the real part of a complex number $b$, and $i \times i$ identity matrix, respectively. $H(A)=\frac{1}{2}\left(A^{*}+A\right)$, $P^{-*}=\left(P^{*}\right)^{-1}=\left(P^{-1}\right)^{*}$.

## 2. Criteria for partitioned matrices to be Re-nnd

In this section, we improve a result concerning Re-nndness, and give a criterion for $3 \times 3$ matrix to be Re-nnd.

Lemma 1 ([1]). $A \in E^{n}$ iff $H(A)$ is nonnegative definite (abbreviated nnd).
Extending Lemma 2 in [1], we have the following
Lemma 2. Let a Hermitian matrix $A$ be partitioned as

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A_{11} & A_{12} \\
A_{12}^{*} & A_{22}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $A_{11}$ and $A_{22}$ are Hermitian submatrices. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $A$ is $n n d$;
(ii) $\operatorname{rank}\left[A_{11}, A_{12}\right]=\operatorname{rank} A_{11}$, both $A_{11}$ and $A_{22}-U^{*} A_{11} U$ are nnd where $U$ is an arbitrary but fixed solution of the matrix equation $A_{11} X=A_{12}$ for $X$;
(iii) $\operatorname{rank}\left[A_{12}^{*}, A_{22}\right]=\operatorname{rank} A_{22}$, both $A_{22}$ and $A_{11}-U^{*} A_{22} U$ are nnd where $U$ is an arbitrary but fixed solution of $A_{22} X=A_{12}^{*}$ for $X$.

Theorem 1. Suppose

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A_{11} & A_{12} \\
A_{21} & A_{22}
\end{array}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}
$$

where $A_{i i} \in \mathbb{C}^{n_{i} \times n_{i}}\left(n_{1}+n_{2}=n\right)$. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $A \in E^{n}$;
(ii) $\operatorname{rank}\left(A_{11}+A_{11}^{*}\right)=\operatorname{rank}\left[A_{11}+A_{11}^{*}, A_{12}+A_{21}^{*}\right]$, both $A_{11}$ and $A_{22}-$ $U^{*} A_{11} U$ are Re-nnd, where $U$ is an arbitrary but fixed solution of the matrix equation

$$
\left(A_{11}+A_{11}^{*}\right) X=A_{12}+A_{21}^{*}
$$

for $X$;
(iii) $\operatorname{rank}\left(A_{22}+A_{22}^{*}\right)=\operatorname{rank}\left(A_{12}^{*}+A_{21}, A_{22}+A_{22}^{*}\right)$, both $A_{22}$ and $A_{11}-$ $U^{*} A_{22} U$ are Re-nnd, where $U$ is an arbitrary solution of the matrix equation

$$
\left(A_{22}+A_{22}^{*}\right) X=A_{12}^{*}+A_{21}
$$

for $X$.
Proof: Note that

$$
2 H(A)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A_{11}+A_{11}^{*} & A_{12}+A_{21}^{*} \\
A_{21}+A_{12}^{*} & A_{22}+A_{22}^{*}
\end{array}\right)
$$

$2 H\left(A_{22}\right)=A_{22}+A_{22}^{*}, 2 H\left(A_{11}-U^{*} A_{22} U\right)=A_{11}+A_{11}^{*}-U^{*}\left(A_{22}+A_{22}^{*}\right) U$.
By Lemma 1 and Lemma 2, (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iii).
Similarly, (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii) may be proved.

Lemma 3. Let

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
A_{11} & A_{21}^{*} & X_{31}^{*} \\
A_{21} & A_{22} & A_{32}^{*} \\
X_{31} & A_{32} & A_{33}
\end{array}\right) \begin{aligned}
& r_{1} \\
& r_{2} \\
& n-r_{1}-r_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

be Hermitian. Then there exists $X_{31} \in \mathbb{C}^{\left(n-r_{1}-r_{2}\right) \times r_{1}}$ such that $A$ is nnd if and only if both

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A_{11} & A_{12}^{*} \\
A_{21} & A_{22}
\end{array}\right) \text { and }\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A_{22} & A_{32}^{*} \\
A_{32} & A_{33}
\end{array}\right)
$$

are nnd.
Proof: "Necessity" is obvious by Lemma 2. Now we prove the "Sufficiency". By Lemma 2, we may assume that $U_{1}$ (respectively $U_{2}$ ) is an arbitrary solution of $A_{22} X=A_{21}$ (respectively $A_{33} X=A_{32}$ ) for $X$. Taking $X_{31}=A_{32} U_{1}$ and

$$
P=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
I_{r_{1}} & O & O \\
-U_{1} & I_{r_{2}} & O \\
O & -U_{2} & I_{n-r_{1}-r_{2}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

we get that

$$
P^{*} A P=\operatorname{diag}\left(A_{11}-U_{1}^{*} A_{22} U_{1}, A_{22}-U_{2}^{*} A_{33} U_{2}, A_{33}\right)
$$

By Lemma 2, $A$ is nnd.
Theorem 2. Suppose

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{rrr}
A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{13} \\
A_{21} & A_{22} & A_{23} \\
X_{31} & A_{32} & A_{33}
\end{array}\right) \begin{aligned}
& r_{1} \\
& r_{2} \\
& r_{1} \\
& r_{2}
\end{aligned} n_{n-r_{1}-r_{2}} \quad \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}
$$

Then there exists $X_{31} \in \mathbb{C}^{\left(n-r_{1}-r_{2}\right) \times r_{1}}$ such that $A \in E^{n}$ if and only if

$$
A_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A_{11} & A_{12} \\
A_{21} & A_{22}
\end{array}\right) \in E^{r_{1}+r_{2}}, \quad A_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A_{22} & A_{23} \\
A_{32} & A_{33}
\end{array}\right) \in E^{n-r_{1}}
$$

Proof: Assume $B_{11}=A_{11}+A_{11}^{*}, B_{21}=A_{21}+A_{12}^{*}, B_{31}=X_{31}+A_{13}^{*}, B_{22}=$ $A_{22}+A_{22}^{*}, B_{32}=A_{32}+A_{23}^{*}, B_{33}=A_{33}+A_{33}^{*}$. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
2 H\left(A_{1}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
B_{11} & B_{21}^{*} \\
B_{21} & B_{22}
\end{array}\right), \quad 2 H\left(A_{2}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
B_{22} & B_{32}^{*} \\
B_{32} & B_{33}
\end{array}\right) \\
2 H(A)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
B_{11} & B_{21}^{*} & B_{31}^{*} \\
B_{21} & B_{22} & B_{32}^{*} \\
B_{31} & B_{32} & B_{33}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence, the theorem follows immediately from Lemma 3 and Lemma 1.

## 3. Re-nnd solutions to the matrix equation (1)

Now we consider the Re-nnd solutions of (1) where $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times q}$, $C \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times q}$ are given and $X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is unknown.

We decompose the matrices $A$ and $B^{*}$ using the generalized singular value decomposition (GSVD) [9]

$$
\begin{equation*}
U A P=\left[\sum_{k}, O_{n-k}\right], \quad V B^{*} P=\left[\sum_{k}, O_{n-k}\right] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
I_{r} & & \\
& S_{A} & \\
& & O
\end{array}\right), \quad \sum=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
O & & \\
& S & \\
& & I_{k-r-s}
\end{array}\right)  \tag{3}\\
& S_{A}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\alpha_{r+1}, \ldots, \alpha_{r+s}\right), \quad S=\operatorname{diag}\left(\beta_{r+1}, \ldots, \beta_{r+s}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

$\alpha_{i}^{2}+\beta_{i}^{2}=1, i=r+1, \ldots, r+s, 1>\alpha_{r+1} \geq \cdots \geq \alpha_{r+s}>0,0<\beta_{r+1} \leq \cdots \leq$ $\beta_{r+s}<1, k=\operatorname{rank}\binom{A}{B^{*}}, r=k-\operatorname{rank} B, s=\operatorname{rank} A+\operatorname{rank} B-k, U \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$, $V \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ are unitary and $P \in G L_{n}$.

Remark. Proofs, properties of the GSVD and a numerically stable algorithm for the computation of the GSVD can be found in [9]-[10].

Let

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
P^{-1} X P^{-*}= & \left(\begin{array}{cccc}
X_{11} & X_{12} & X_{13} & X_{14} \\
X_{21} & X_{22} & X_{23} & X_{24} \\
X_{31} & X_{32} & X_{33} & X_{34} \\
X_{41} & X_{42} & X_{43} & X_{44}
\end{array}\right) \begin{array}{l}
r \\
r
\end{array} \quad s \\
k-r-s & n-k  \tag{5}\\
k-r-s \\
n-k \\
U C V^{*}= & \left(\begin{array}{llll}
C_{11} & C_{12} & C_{13} \\
C_{21} & C_{22} & C_{23} \\
C_{31} & C_{32} & C_{33}
\end{array}\right) \\
n-k+r & s \\
n-r-s
\end{array}\right) . \begin{aligned}
& m-r-s
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 4. Consider the matrix equation (1). Let $P^{-1} X P^{-*}, U C V^{*}$ be as in (4) and (5), respectively. Then (1) is consistent if and only if $C_{i 1}(i=1,2,3)$ and $C_{3 j}(j=2,3)$ vanish, in which case the general solution is

$$
X=P\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
X_{11} & C_{12} S^{-1} & C_{13} & X_{14}  \tag{6}\\
X_{21} & S_{A}^{-1} C_{22} S^{-1} & S_{A}^{-1} C_{23} & X_{24} \\
X_{31} & X_{32} & X_{33} & X_{34} \\
X_{41} & X_{42} & X_{43} & X_{44}
\end{array}\right) P^{*}
$$

where $X_{i 1}, X_{i 4}(i=1,2,3,4), X_{3 j}, X_{4 j}(j=2,3)$ are arbitrary complex matrices whose orders are given by (4).
Proof: Obviously, the matrix equation (1) is equivalent to

$$
U A P P^{-1} X P^{-*} P^{*} B V^{*}=U C V^{*}
$$

Hence by (2)-(5), (1) is equivalent to

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
O & X_{12} S & X_{13}  \tag{7}\\
O & S_{A} X_{22} S & S_{A} X_{23} \\
O & O & O
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
C_{11} & C_{12} & C_{13} \\
C_{21} & C_{22} & C_{23} \\
C_{31} & C_{32} & C_{33}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Accordingly, the lemma follows from (7).
Now we give the main result of the present paper.
Theorem 3. Under the conditions of Lemma 4, the matrix equation (1) has a Re-nnd solution if and only if $C_{i 1}(i=1,2,3)$ and $C_{3 j}(j=2,3)$ vanish, and $S_{A}^{-1} C_{22} S^{-1}$ is Re-nnd. In that case, the general Re-nnd solution of (1) is

$$
X=P\left(\begin{array}{cc}
M & N  \tag{8}\\
-N^{*}+T^{*}\left(M+M^{*}\right) & D+T^{*} M T
\end{array}\right) P^{*}
$$

where

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
D_{2}+T_{2}^{*}\left(S_{A}^{-1} C_{22} S^{-1}\right) T_{2} & C_{12} S^{-1} & C_{13} \\
F & S_{A}^{-1} C_{22} S^{-1} & S_{A}^{-1} C_{23} \\
X_{31} & G & D_{1}+T_{1}^{*} S_{A}^{-1} C_{22} S^{-1} T_{1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $\quad F=-S^{-1} C_{12}^{*}+\left(S_{A}^{-1} C_{22} S^{-1}+S^{-1} C_{22}^{*} S_{A}^{-1}\right) T_{2}$,

$$
G=-C_{23}^{*} S_{A}^{-1}+T_{1}^{*}\left(S_{A}^{-1} C_{22} S^{-1}+S^{-1} C_{22}^{*} S_{A}^{-1}\right)
$$

$X_{31} \in\left\{X_{31} \in \mathbb{C}^{(k-r-s) \times r} \mid M \in E^{k}\right\}, D_{1} \in E^{k-r-s}, D_{2} \in E^{r}, D \in E^{n-k}$, $T_{1} \in \mathbb{C}^{s \times(k-r-s)}, T_{2} \in \mathbb{C}^{s \times r}, T \in \mathbb{C}^{k \times(n-k)}, N \in \mathbb{C}^{k \times(n-k)}$ are all arbitrary.
Proof: If the matrix equation (1) has a solution $X \in E^{n}$, then by Lemma $4 C_{i 1}$ $(i=1,2,3)$ and $C_{3 j}(j=2,3)$ vanish and $X$ has the form of (6). Hence

By Theorem 1, M and

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{44}-T^{*} M T \xlongequal{\text { def. }} D \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

are all Re-nnd where $T$ is an arbitrary solution of the matrix equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(M+M^{*}\right) X=N_{1}^{*}+N \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Theorem 2,

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
X_{11} & C_{12} S^{-1} \\
X_{21} & S_{A}^{-1} C_{22} S^{-1}
\end{array}\right) \text { and }\left(\begin{array}{cc}
S_{A}^{-1} C_{22} S^{-1} & S_{A}^{-1} C_{23} \\
X_{32} & X_{33}
\end{array}\right)
$$

are all Re-nnd. Hence by Theorem 1, on the one hand, both $S_{A}^{-1} C_{22} S^{-1}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{11}-T_{2}^{*} S_{A}^{-1} C_{22} S^{-1} T_{2} \xlongequal{\text { def. }} D_{2} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

are all Re-nnd where $T_{2}$ is an arbitrary solution of the matrix equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{A}^{-1} C_{22} S^{-1}+S^{-1} C_{22}^{*} S_{A}^{-1}\right) X=S^{-1} C_{12}^{*}+X_{21} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{33}-T_{1}^{*} S_{A}^{-1} C_{22} S^{-1} T_{1} \xlongequal{\text { def. }} D_{1} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

is also Re-nnd where $T_{1}$ is any solution of the matrix equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{A}^{-1} C_{22} S^{-1}+S^{-1} C_{22}^{*} S_{A}^{-1}\right) X=S_{A}^{-1} C_{23}+X_{32} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, by (10)-(14), $X$ has the form of (8).
Conversely, assume $C_{i 1}(i=1,2,3)$ and $C_{3 j}(j=2,3)$ vanish and $S_{A}^{-1} C_{22} S^{-1}$ is Re-nnd. Then by Theorem 1 and Theorem 2, there exists $X_{31} \in \mathbb{C}^{(k-r-s) \times r}$ such that

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
M & N \\
-N^{*}+T^{*}\left(M+M^{*}\right) & D+T^{*} M T
\end{array}\right)
$$

is Re-nnd. Hence the matrix $X$ of type (8) is Re-nnd. It is easy to verify that the matrix $X$ of type (8) is a solution of the matrix equation (1).
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