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#### Abstract

Some characterizations of inner product spaces in terms of Birkhoff orthogonality are given. In this connection we define the rectangular modulus $\mu_{X}$ of the normed space $X$. The values of the rectangular modulus at some noteworthy points are wellknown constants of $X$. Characterizations (involving $\mu_{X}$ ) of inner product spaces of dimension $\geq 2$, respectively $\geq 3$, are given and the behaviour of $\mu_{X}$ is studied.
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## 1. Introduction

In the present paper we shall give, at the beginning, natural generalizations of some known characterizations of inner product spaces (i.p.s. for short). By introducing a parameter $\lambda>0$ we obtain, in the particular case $\lambda=1$, the known results collected in D. Amir's book [3, p. 79]. The characterizations are expressed in terms of Birkhoff orthogonality and the new conditions will be given in an "anti-symmetric" manner with respect to $\lambda$. In this direction one obtains a more general form (depending on $\lambda$ ) of M. Baronti's Lemma 1 in [4] and a generalization of M. del Rio and C. Benitez's Lemma 3 in [15].

These generalizations (especially Lemma 1 in the sequel) suggest to introduce a function $\mu_{X}:(0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, with the property that $\mu_{X}(1)$ is the well-known rectangular constant of the normed space $X$. We call this function the rectangular modulus of $X$. The rectangular modulus is an increasing convex function and Lipschitz continuous of best Lipschitz constant 2. Moreover, $\mu_{X}(0+)$ is another well-known constant of the normed space $X$.

For any fixed $\lambda>0$ a characterization of i.p.s. in terms of the rectangular modulus is also given. In the limit case when $\lambda \searrow 0$, the analogous characterization of i.p.s. is valid only for normed spaces of dimension $\geq 3$.

## 2. Preliminary results and notation

We denote by $(X,\|\cdot\|)$ a real normed space of dimension $\geq 2$. For $x \in X$ and $r>0$ let $S_{X}(x, r)=\{y \in X:\|x-y\|=r\}$ and $B_{X}(x, r)=\{y \in X:\|x-y\| \leq r\}$, be the sphere respectively closed ball with center $x$ and radius $r$. The unit sphere
$S_{X}(0,1)$ and the closed unit ball $B_{X}(0,1)$ of the space $X$ will be denoted by $S_{X}$ and $B_{X}$ respectively. The symbol $\perp$ is used for Birkhoff orthogonality in $X$; namely $x \perp y$ if $\|x\| \leq\|x+t y\|$, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Geometrically, this means that the line through $x$ in the $y$-direction supports the ball $B_{X}(0,\|x\|)$ at $x$. For $x, y \in X, x \neq y$, the closed line segment with vertices $x$ and $y$ is denoted by $[x ; y]$. Any two-dimensional subspace of $X$ will be identified with $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ equipped with an appropriate norm and an orientation $\omega$. The orientation $\omega$ of the ordered pair $(x, y)$ of vectors (with $x+y \neq 0$ and $\|x\|=\|y\|$ ) is recorded by $x \prec y \prec-x$. Denote by $\perp^{A}$ the area orthogonality ([1], [3, p.65]) defined for ( $\mathbb{R}^{2},\|\cdot\|$ ) by $x \perp^{A} y$ if the radius vectors $\pm x, \pm y$ divide the unit ball of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ into four parts of equal area. The following known lemmas will be used in Section 3.
Lemma A ([2]). Let $S_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}$ be the unit sphere of $\left(\mathbb{R}^{2},\|\cdot\|\right)$ and $s(\alpha)$ be the point of $S_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}$ which is to a given point $s(0)$ at an angle $0 \leq \alpha<2 \pi$, measured with a given orientation of the plane. Then for every $\lambda>0$ the real continuous functions

$$
\alpha \in[0, \pi) \rightarrow\|s(0)+\lambda s(\alpha)\|,
$$

and

$$
\alpha \in[0, \pi) \rightarrow\|s(0)-\lambda s(\alpha)\|,
$$

are decreasing and increasing respectively.
If $\left(\mathbb{R}^{2},\|\cdot\|\right)$ is strictly convex then the aforementioned functions are strictly monotonic.

In the two-dimensional normed space $X$ let $u^{*}, v^{*} \in S_{X}$ be such that $u^{*} \perp v^{*}$ and let us consider the corresponding $\left(u^{*}, v^{*}\right)$-coordinate system in which $u^{*}, v^{*}$ are versors. For $u, v \in X$ let $A_{u, v}$ be the area of the parallelogram $\{\alpha u+\beta v$ : $\alpha, \beta \in[0,1]\}$ in the $\left(u^{*}, v^{*}\right)$-coordinate system. It is clear that if $r, s>0$ then $A_{r u, s v}=r s A_{u, v}$.
Lemma B ([3, p. 78]). Let $X$ be a two-dimensional normed space in which orthogonality is symmetric. Then $A_{u, v}=A_{u^{*}, v^{*}}=1, \forall u, v \in S_{X}, u \perp v$.

## 3. Characterizations of inner product spaces and Birkhoff orthogonality

For $u, v \in S_{X}, u \neq \pm v$ and $\lambda>0$ we define the function $\varphi_{\lambda, u, v}:(0, \infty) \rightarrow$ $(0, \infty)$ by

$$
\varphi_{\lambda, u, v}(t)=\frac{\lambda^{2}+t}{\|\lambda u+t v\|}, \forall t>0
$$

With the above notation we have the following generalization of Lemma 1 in [4].
Lemma 1. Let $u, v \in S_{X}, u \neq \pm v$ and $\lambda, t_{0}>0$ be fixed. The following are equivalent:
(a) $\left(\lambda u+t_{0} v\right) \perp(u-\lambda v)$.
(b) $\varphi_{\lambda, u, v}\left(t_{0}\right) \geq \varphi_{\lambda, u, v}(t), \forall t>0$.

Proof: If we suppose that (a) holds then we have

$$
\left(u-\frac{t_{0}}{\lambda^{2}+t_{0}}(u-\lambda v)\right) \perp(u-\lambda v),
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u-\frac{t_{0}}{\lambda^{2}+t_{0}}(u-\lambda v)\right\| \leq\left\|u-\frac{t}{\lambda^{2}+t}(u-\lambda v)\right\|, \forall t>0 . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence

$$
\frac{\lambda^{2}+t_{0}}{\left\|\lambda u+t_{0} v\right\|} \geq \frac{\lambda^{2}+t}{\|\lambda u+t v\|}, \forall t>0
$$

Now, if (b) is satisfied then (1) holds and this shows that in the two-dimensional space $X_{2}$ generated by $u$ and $v$ the straight line containing the open line segment

$$
l=\left\{u-\frac{t}{\lambda^{2}+t}(u-\lambda v): t>0\right\}
$$

supports the ball $B_{X}\left(0,\left\|w_{0}\right\|\right)$ at $w_{0}$, where $w_{0}=u-t_{0}(u-\lambda v) /\left(\lambda^{2}+t_{0}\right)$. Then $w_{0} \perp(u-\lambda v)$ or equivalently $\left(\lambda u+t_{0} v\right) \perp(u-\lambda v)$.
Remark. If we consider the function $\psi_{\lambda, u, v}:(0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ defined by

$$
\psi_{\lambda, u, v}\left(t^{\prime}\right)=\lambda \varphi_{1 / \lambda, u, v}\left(\frac{1}{t^{\prime}}\right)=\frac{\lambda^{2}+t^{\prime}}{\left\|t^{\prime} u+\lambda v\right\|}
$$

then we easily deduce:
Lemma $\mathbf{1}^{\prime}$. With the previous notation, let $t_{0}^{\prime}>0$ be fixed. The following are equivalent:
( $\left.\mathrm{a}^{\prime}\right)\left(t_{0}^{\prime} u+\lambda v\right) \perp(\lambda u-v)$.
$\left(\mathrm{b}^{\prime}\right) \psi_{\lambda, u, v}\left(t_{0}^{\prime}\right) \geq \psi_{\lambda, u, v}\left(t^{\prime}\right), \forall t^{\prime}>0$.
The next theorem is known for $\lambda=1$, see Propositions $10.1-10.3,10.3^{\prime}$ and 10.4 in [3] (see also [4] and [15]).

Theorem 2. Let $\lambda>0$ be fixed. The following are equivalent:

1) $\forall u, v \in S_{X}, u \perp v \Rightarrow(\lambda u+v) \perp(u-\lambda v)$;
2) $\forall u, v \in S_{X}, u \perp v \Rightarrow\|\lambda u+v\|=\|u-\lambda v\|$;
3) $\forall u, v \in S_{X}, u \perp v \Rightarrow\|\lambda u+v\| \leq \sqrt{1+\lambda^{2}}$;
4) $\forall u, v \in S_{X}, u \perp v \Rightarrow\|\lambda u+v\| \geq \sqrt{1+\lambda^{2}}$;
5) $\forall u, v \in S_{X}, u \perp v \Rightarrow\|\lambda u+v\|=\sqrt{1+\lambda^{2}}$;
6) the normed space $X$ is an i.p.s.

Remarks. As we can see a little later the equivalences 3$) \Leftrightarrow 4) \Leftrightarrow 5$ ) are simple consequences of a result in [12]. The implication 5) $\Rightarrow 6$ ) is a strong result recently obtained (among other results) by C. Benitez, K. Przeslawski and D. Yost in [6]. We note that the weaker result $\left.5^{\prime}\right) \Rightarrow 6$ ) was also proved and used in $[18, \mathrm{pp} .388-$ 389], where $5^{\prime}$ ) is given by

$$
\forall u, v \in S_{X}, u \perp v \Rightarrow\|\lambda u+v\|=\sqrt{1+\lambda^{2}}, \quad\|u+\lambda v\|=\sqrt{1+\lambda^{2}}
$$

$\lambda>0$ being fixed.
Proof of Theorem 2: We show that 1$) \Rightarrow 2$ ). Suppose that 1) is verified and let $u, v \in S_{X}, u \perp v$, and $\lambda>0$ be fixed. It follows that

$$
\left(\lambda \frac{\lambda u+v}{\|\lambda u+v\|}+\frac{u-\lambda v}{\|u-\lambda v\|}\right) \perp\left(\frac{\lambda u+v}{\|\lambda u+v\|}-\lambda \frac{u-\lambda v}{\|u-\lambda v\|}\right)
$$

If we put $t=\|u-\lambda v\| /\|\lambda u+v\|$ then, by Lemma 1 , we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\lambda^{2}+1}{\|\lambda(\lambda u+v) /\| \lambda u+v \|}+(u-\lambda v) /\|u-\lambda v\| \| \\
& \geq \frac{\lambda^{2}+t}{\|\lambda(\lambda u+v) /\| \lambda u+v\|+t(u-\lambda v) /\| u-\lambda v \|} \|
\end{aligned}
$$

and consequently

$$
\frac{\lambda^{2}+1}{\left\|\lambda^{2} u+\lambda v+(1 / t)(u-\lambda v)\right\|} \geq \frac{\lambda^{2}+t}{\left\|\lambda^{2} u+\lambda v+u-\lambda v\right\|} .
$$

From $u \perp v$ one obtains

$$
\left(\lambda^{2}+1\right)^{2} \geq\left(\lambda^{2}+t\right) \cdot\left\|\left(\lambda^{2}+\frac{1}{t}\right) u+\lambda\left(1-\frac{1}{t}\right) v\right\| \geq\left(\lambda^{2}+t\right)\left(\lambda^{2}+\frac{1}{t}\right)
$$

yielding

$$
\left(\sqrt{t}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}\right)^{2} \leq 0 \Leftrightarrow t=1
$$

This implies that $\|\lambda u+v\|=\|u-\lambda v\|$.
Now we show that 2) implies the strict convexity of $X$. Suppose that 2) is satisfied and, on the contrary, there exists a support line $l$ of $S_{X}$ such that $l \cap S_{X}=$ $\left[u_{1}, u_{2}\right], u_{1} \neq u_{2}$. Then any $u \in\left[u_{1}, u_{2}\right]$ can be written as $u=u_{t}=u_{1}+t\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right)$, $t \in[0,1]$ and $\left\|u_{t}\right\|=1$. The function $t \rightarrow\left\|u_{1}+t\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right)\right\|, t \in \mathbb{R}$ is 1 on $[0,1]$, strictly increasing for $t>1$ and strictly decreasing for $t<0$. Denoting by $v=\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right) /\left\|u_{2}-u_{1}\right\|$ we have that $u_{t} \perp v, \forall t \in[0,1]$, and the application

$$
t \rightarrow\left\|\lambda u_{t}+v\right\|=\lambda\left\|u_{1}+t\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right)+\frac{u_{2}-u_{1}}{\lambda\left\|u_{2}-u_{1}\right\|}\right\|, t \in\left(1-\varepsilon_{1}, 1\right]
$$

with sufficiently small $\varepsilon_{1}>0$ is strictly increasing. On the other hand, the application

$$
t \rightarrow\left\|u_{t}-\lambda v\right\|=\left\|u_{1}+t\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right)-\lambda \frac{u_{2}-u_{1}}{\left\|u_{2}-u_{1}\right\|}\right\|, \forall t \in\left(1-\varepsilon_{2}, 1\right]
$$

with small enough $\varepsilon_{2}>0$ is constant or strictly decreasing. But from 2) we have that $\left\|\lambda u_{t}+v\right\|=\left\|u_{t}-\lambda v\right\|, \forall t \in\left(1-\min \left\{\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}\right\}, 1\right]$, a contradiction.

We prove that if 2) is satisfied then

$$
\begin{equation*}
u, v \in S_{X} \text { and }\|\lambda u+v\|=\|u-\lambda v\| \Rightarrow u \perp v \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that 2) holds and, on the contrary, there exist $u, v^{\prime} \in S_{X}$ such that $\left\|\lambda u+v^{\prime}\right\|=\left\|u-\lambda v^{\prime}\right\|$ and $u$ is not orthogonal to $v^{\prime}$. In the space $X_{2}^{\prime}$ generated by $u$ and $v^{\prime}\left(\right.$ understood as $\left.\left(\mathbb{R}^{2},\|\cdot\|\right)\right)$ we choose the orientation such that $u \prec v^{\prime} \prec-u$, $\left(v^{\prime} \neq \pm u\right)$. Let $v \in S_{X_{2}^{\prime}}$ be such that $u \perp v$ and $u \prec v \prec-u$. Then $v \neq v^{\prime}$. Supposing that $u \prec v^{\prime} \prec v \prec-u$, by Lemma A and the strict convexity of $X$ we have

$$
\left\|u-\lambda v^{\prime}\right\|<\|u-\lambda v\|
$$

respectively

$$
\left\|\lambda u+v^{\prime}\right\|=\lambda\left\|u+\frac{1}{\lambda} v^{\prime}\right\|>\lambda\left\|u+\frac{1}{\lambda} v\right\|=\|\lambda u+v\|
$$

implying $\|\lambda u+v\|<\|u-\lambda v\|$, a contradiction. The case $u \prec v \prec v^{\prime} \prec-u$ can be treated in a similar way.

Suppose now that 2) holds. Then 1) holds as well. Indeed, if $u, v \in S_{X}, u \perp v$ and $\lambda>0$ is fixed then

$$
\left\|\lambda \frac{\lambda u+v}{\|\lambda u+v\|}+\frac{u-\lambda v}{\|u-\lambda v\|}\right\|=\frac{\lambda^{2}+1}{\|\lambda u+v\|}=\left\|\frac{\lambda u+v}{\|\lambda u+v\|}-\lambda \frac{u-\lambda v}{\|u-\lambda v\|}\right\|
$$

From (2) we have

$$
\frac{\lambda u+v}{\|\lambda u+v\|} \perp \frac{u-\lambda v}{\|u-\lambda v\|}
$$

which yields $(\lambda u+v) \perp(u-\lambda v)$.
Observe now that 2) implies the symmetry of orthogonality. Indeed, if $u, v \in$ $S_{X}$ and $\lambda>0$ then from 2) and (2) one obtains:

$$
\begin{gathered}
u \perp v \Leftrightarrow u \perp-v \Leftrightarrow\|\lambda u-v\|=\|u+\lambda v\| \Leftrightarrow \\
\Leftrightarrow\|\lambda v+u\|=\|v-\lambda u\| \Leftrightarrow v \perp u
\end{gathered}
$$

Moreover, since $X$ is strictly convex, it follows that $X$ is also smooth (see [3, p. 78]).

In order to prove 3$) \Rightarrow 4$ ), it is sufficient to consider the case of two-dimensional spaces, i.e. $X$ may be considered $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with the norm $\|\cdot\|$. It follows that $S_{X}$ is a rectifiable simple closed Jordan curve. Denoting

$$
S_{\lambda}=\left\{\lambda u+v: u, v \in S_{X}, u \perp v\right\}
$$

it follows that $S_{\lambda}$ is also a closed rectifiable Jordan curve. A parametrization of $S_{\lambda}$ may be given as in J. Joly [12, p.304]. More precisely, let $u=u(\theta)=$ $\left(u_{1}(\theta), u_{2}(\theta)\right), \theta \in[0,2 \pi)$ be the parametrization of $S_{X}$ in a rectangular system of axes with $u(0) \prec u(\theta) \prec-u(0)$, for all $\theta \in[0, \pi)$. Now, consider the vectors $u, v \in S_{X}, u \perp v$ such that $u \prec v \prec-u$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u=u(\theta(\sigma))=\left(u_{1}(\theta(\sigma)), u_{2}(\theta(\sigma))\right) \\
& v=v(\nu(\sigma))=\left(v_{1}(\nu(\sigma)), v_{2}(\nu(\sigma))\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\theta, \nu:[0,4 \pi) \rightarrow[0,2 \pi)$, are continuous increasing and surjective functions and $u_{1}, u_{2}, v_{1}, v_{2}$ are continuous functions with bounded variation. Moreover, $\sigma=\theta(\sigma)+\nu(\sigma)$ and the decomposition is unique. Then $S_{\lambda}$ can be rewritten

$$
S_{\lambda}=\{\lambda u(\theta(\sigma))+v(\nu(\sigma)): \sigma \in[0,4 \pi)\}
$$

Let $A$ be the area of the unit ball of $X$ and let $A_{\lambda}$ be the area enclosed by $S_{\lambda}$. Then with a similar computation as in [12], we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\lambda}=\lambda^{2} \int_{S_{X}} u_{1} d u_{2}+\int_{S_{X}} v_{1} d v_{2}=\left(\lambda^{2}+1\right) A \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, from 3) and the continuity of the functions $u_{1}, u_{2}, v_{1}, v_{2}, \theta$ and $\nu$ we have:

$$
\|\lambda u+v\| \geq \sqrt{1+\lambda^{2}}
$$

for all $u, v \in S_{X}, u \perp v$ proving that 3$) \Rightarrow 4$ ). Analogously 4) $\Rightarrow 3$ ) and finally we have 3$) \Leftrightarrow 4) \Leftrightarrow 5$ ).

We shall show that 2$) \Rightarrow 5$ ). Since the Birkhoff orthogonality in $X$ is symmetric, as it is well known, $\operatorname{dim}(X) \geq 3$ implies that $X$ is an i.p.s. ([11], [3, p.143]), and in this case the result follows. Suppose $X$ is two-dimensional and for fixed $u^{*}, v^{*} \in$ $S_{X}, u^{*} \perp v^{*}$, consider the $\left(u^{*}, v^{*}\right)$-coordinate system of $X$. Let $u, v \in S_{X}, u \perp v$ be given. Then the area $A_{\lambda u+v, u-\lambda v}$ can be computed by $A_{\lambda u+v, u-\lambda v}=|\Delta| \cdot A_{u, v}$, where

$$
\Delta=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
\lambda & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -\lambda & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right|=-\lambda^{2}-1
$$

Now, from Lemma $\mathrm{B}, A_{\lambda u+v, u-\lambda v}=\lambda^{2}+1$ in the $\left(u^{*}, v^{*}\right)$-coordinate system. Since by 2$) \Leftrightarrow 1), \lambda u+v \perp u-\lambda v$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{(\lambda u+v) /\|\lambda u+v\|,(u-\lambda v) /\|u-\lambda v\|} & =1 \\
& =\frac{A_{\lambda u+v, u-\lambda v}}{\|\lambda u+v\| \cdot\|u-\lambda v\|}=\frac{\lambda^{2}+1}{\|\lambda u+v\| \cdot\|u-\lambda v\|}
\end{aligned}
$$

and again by 2) $\|\lambda u+v\|=\|u-\lambda v\|=\sqrt{\lambda^{2}+1}, \forall u, v \in S_{X}, u \perp v$. From $u \perp v \Leftrightarrow u \perp-v$ we obtain the desired result.

Now, by the quoted result in $[6]$, we have 5$) \Rightarrow 6$ ). In fact in $[6]$ it was proved that 5) implies the symmetry of Birkhoff orthogonality and that the Birkhoff orthogonality $\perp$ implies the area orthogonality $\perp^{A}$. By [15] it follows that $X$ is an i.p.s. Since the implications 6$) \Rightarrow 5)$ and 5$) \Rightarrow 2$ ) are trivial the theorem is completely proved.

## 4. The rectangular modulus of a normed space

For the normed space $X$ the rectangular constant $\mu(X)$ was defined in [12] by

$$
\mu(X)=\sup \{\mu[x, y]: x, y \in X \backslash\{0\}, x \perp y\}
$$

where

$$
\mu[x, y]=\sup _{s \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{\|x\|+\|s y\|}{\|x+s y\|}, \quad \forall x, y \in X \backslash\{0\}, x \perp y .
$$

Since $x \perp y \Leftrightarrow x \perp-y$ we easily deduce that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mu(X)=\sup \left\{\frac{1+|s|\|y\| /\|x\|}{\left\|\frac{x}{\|x\|} \pm|s|\right\| y\|/\| x\left\|\cdot \frac{y}{\|y\|}\right\|}: s \neq 0, x, y \in X \backslash\{0\}, x \perp y\right\} \\
\\
=\sup \left\{\frac{1+t}{\|u+t v\|}: t>0, u, v \in S_{X}, u \perp v\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

We define the rectangular modulus of X as the function $\mu_{X}:(0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{X}(\lambda)= & \sup \left\{\max \left\{\varphi_{\lambda, u, v}(t), \lambda \varphi_{1 / \lambda, u, v}(t)\right\}: t>0, u, v \in S_{X}, u \perp v\right\} \\
& =\sup \left\{\max \left\{\frac{\lambda^{2}+t}{\|\lambda u+t v\|}, \frac{1+\lambda^{2} t}{\|u+\lambda t v\|}\right\}: t>0, u, v \in S_{X}, u \perp v\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\lambda>0$. From the definition it is clear that $\mu_{X}(1)=\mu(X)$. As it is well known the modulus of convexity of $X([7])$, denoted by $\delta_{X}$ and the modulus of smoothness of $X([13])$, denoted by $\rho_{X}$ satisfy Nordlander's type inequalities, i.e.

$$
\delta_{X}(\varepsilon) \leq \delta_{H}(\varepsilon)=1-\sqrt{1-\varepsilon^{2} / 4}, \forall \varepsilon \in[0,2]
$$

and

$$
\rho_{X}(\tau) \geq \rho_{H}(\tau)=\sqrt{\tau^{2}+1}-1, \forall \tau \geq 0
$$

where $H$ is an i.p.s.
G. Nordlander [14] has conjectured that if $\delta_{X}(\varepsilon)=1-\sqrt{1-\varepsilon^{2} / 4}$ for a fixed $\varepsilon \in(0,2)$ then $X$ is an i.p.s. J. Alonso and C. Benitez [2] proved that this assertion is true exactly for $\varepsilon \in(0,2) \backslash D$ where $D=\{2 \cos (k \pi /(2 n)): k=1, \ldots, n-1 ; n=$ $2,3, \ldots\}$. Analogous results were obtained for the modulus of smoothness and for other known moduli. Generally, if $\gamma_{X}$ denotes such a modulus and $t$ is fixed then from $\gamma_{X}(t)=\gamma_{H}(t)$ it follows that $X$ is an i.p.s. except for a countable set of points $t$ in the domain of $\gamma_{X}([21])$.

The modulus of squareness $\xi_{X}$ studied in [6], [16], [17], [18] satisfies also the inequality

$$
\xi_{X}(\beta) \geq \xi_{H}(\beta)=1 / \sqrt{1-\beta^{2}}, \forall \beta \in[0,1)
$$

Moreover, if $\xi_{X}(\beta)=1 / \sqrt{1-\beta^{2}}$, for a fixed $\beta \in(0,1)$ then $X$ is an i.p.s.
For the rectangular modulus we have:
Theorem 3. (a) If $H$ is an i.p.s. then $\mu_{H}(\lambda)=\sqrt{1+\lambda^{2}}, \forall \lambda>0$.
(b) If $X$ is a normed space and $H$ is an i.p.s. then

$$
\mu_{X}(\lambda) \geq \mu_{H}(\lambda), \forall \lambda>0
$$

(c) If $\mu_{X}(\lambda)=\sqrt{1+\lambda^{2}}$ for a fixed $\lambda>0$ then $X$ is an i.p.s.

Proof: (a) $\mu_{H}(\lambda)=$

$$
\begin{aligned}
=\sup \left\{\operatorname { m a x } \left\{\frac{\lambda^{2}+t}{\|\lambda u+t v\|},\right.\right. & \left.\left.\frac{1+\lambda^{2} t}{\|u+\lambda t v\|}\right\}: t>0, u, v \in S_{H}, u \perp v\right\} \\
& =\sup \left\{\max \left\{\frac{\lambda^{2}+t}{\sqrt{\lambda^{2}+t^{2}}}, \frac{1+\lambda^{2} t}{\sqrt{1+\lambda^{2} t^{2}}}\right\}: t>0\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easily seen that the function $f_{\lambda}:(0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$
f_{\lambda}(t)=\frac{\lambda^{2}+t}{\sqrt{\lambda^{2}+t^{2}}}-\frac{1+\lambda^{2} t}{\sqrt{1+\lambda^{2} t^{2}}}, t>0
$$

satisfies the condition $\operatorname{sign} f_{\lambda}^{\prime}(t)=\operatorname{sign}(1-\lambda)$ and from $f_{\lambda}(1)=0, \forall \lambda>0$ we deduce that $\mu_{H}(\lambda)=\sqrt{1+\lambda^{2}}, \forall \lambda>0$.
(b) Let $\lambda \in(0, \infty)$ be a fixed number. We can suppose that $X$ is a twodimensional normed space. By using formula (3) we conclude that

$$
\inf \left\{\|\lambda u+v\|: u, v \in S_{X}, u \perp v\right\} \leq \sqrt{\lambda^{2}+1}
$$

and this implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{X}(\lambda) & \geq \sup \left\{\frac{\lambda^{2}+t}{\|\lambda u+t v\|}: t>0, u, v \in S_{X}, u \perp v\right\} \\
& \geq \sup \left\{\frac{\lambda^{2}+1}{\|\lambda u+v\|}: u, v \in S_{X}, u \perp v\right\} \\
& =\frac{\lambda^{2}+1}{\inf \left\{\|\lambda u+v\|: u, v \in S_{X}, u \perp v\right\}} \geq \frac{\lambda^{2}+1}{\sqrt{\lambda^{2}+1}}=\sqrt{\lambda^{2}+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular $\mu(X)=\mu_{X}(1) \geq \sqrt{2}$, as in [12].
(c)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{X}(\lambda)=\sqrt{1+\lambda^{2}} \\
& \geq \sup \left\{\max \left\{\frac{\lambda^{2}+1}{\|\lambda u+v\|}, \frac{1+\lambda^{2}}{\|u+\lambda v\|}\right\}: u, v \in S_{X}, u \perp v\right\} \\
& \geq \frac{\lambda^{2}+1}{\|\lambda u+v\|}, \forall u, v \in S_{X}, u \perp v
\end{aligned}
$$

$\lambda>0$ being fixed. Hence $\|\lambda u+v\| \geq \sqrt{\lambda^{2}+1}, \forall u, v \in S_{X}, u \perp v$. By Theorem 2, 4) $\Leftrightarrow 6$ ), we have that $X$ is an i.p.s.

Remark. Let us define the ${ }^{*}$-rectangular modulus by the simpler formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{X}^{*}(\lambda)=\sup \left\{\varphi_{\lambda, u, v}(t): t>0, u, v \in S_{X}, u \perp v\right\} \\
& \quad=\sup \left\{\frac{\lambda^{2}+t}{\|\lambda u+t v\|}: t>0, u, v \in S_{X}, u \perp v\right\}, \forall \lambda>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is clear (with similar proofs) that:
$\left(\mathrm{a}^{\prime}\right) \mu_{H}^{*}(\lambda)=\sqrt{\lambda^{2}+1}, \forall \lambda>0, H$ being an i.p.s.;
( $\mathrm{b}^{\prime}$ ) for each normed space $X, \mu_{X}^{*}(\lambda) \geq \mu_{H}^{*}(\lambda)=\sqrt{\lambda^{2}+1}, \forall \lambda>0$;
(c $c^{\prime}$ ) if $\mu_{X}^{*}(\lambda)=\sqrt{1+\lambda^{2}}$, for a fixed $\lambda>0$ then $X$ is an i.p.s.
Some properties of the rectangular modulus are collected in
Theorem 4. (a) For each $\lambda>0$

$$
\mu_{X}(\lambda)=\max \left\{\mu_{X}^{*}(\lambda), \lambda \mu_{X}^{*}(1 / \lambda)\right\} \text { and } \mu_{X}(\lambda)=\lambda \mu_{X}(1 / \lambda) .
$$

(b) The rectangular modulus (*-rectangular modulus) is an increasing and convex function on $(0, \infty)$.
(c) We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{X}(\lambda) \leq \max \{\lambda+2,1+2 \lambda\}, \forall \lambda>0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: (a) The first part of (a) easily follows from the definitions of $\mu_{X}$ and $\mu_{X}^{*}$. The second part of (a) follows from the first part.
(b) The modulus $\mu_{X}^{*}$ can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{X}^{*}(\lambda)=\sup \left\{\frac{\lambda+t / \lambda}{\|u+(t / \lambda) v\|}: t>0, u, v \in S_{X}, u \perp v\right\} \\
&=\sup \left\{\frac{\lambda+t^{\prime}}{\left\|u+t^{\prime} v\right\|}: t^{\prime}>0, u, v \in S_{X}, u \perp v\right\}, \lambda>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, $\mu_{X}^{*}$ and, by analogy, $\mu_{X}$ are increasing and convex functions as suprema of families of increasing and convex functions of variable $\lambda$.
(c) For $t \leq 2$, by $u \perp v$ we have:

$$
\frac{\lambda+t}{\|u+t v\|} \leq \frac{\lambda+2}{\|u\|}=\lambda+2, \forall \lambda>0
$$

For $t>2$, by the triangle inequality one obtains

$$
\frac{\lambda+t}{\|u+t v\|} \leq \frac{\lambda+t}{t-1}<\lambda+2, \forall \lambda>0 .
$$

It follows that $\mu_{X}^{*}(\lambda) \leq \lambda+2, \forall \lambda>0$,

$$
\lambda \mu_{X}^{*}(1 / \lambda) \leq \lambda(1 / \lambda+2)=1+2 \lambda, \forall \lambda>0
$$

and

$$
\mu_{X}(\lambda) \leq \max \{\lambda+2,1+2 \lambda\}
$$

In particular, the rectangular constant $\mu(X)$ satisfies the inequality: $\mu(X)=\mu_{X}(1) \leq 3([12])$.
Remark. The inequality (4) is sharp. Indeed, let $X$ be the two-dimensional $l^{1}$-space and let $u_{1}=(1,0)$ and $v_{1}=(-1 / 2,1 / 2)$ be in $S_{X}$. We have

$$
\left\|u_{1}+t v_{1}\right\|=\left|1-\frac{t}{2}\right|+\left|\frac{t}{2}\right| \geq 1=\left\|u_{1}\right\|, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}
$$

implying $u_{1} \perp v_{1}$. It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{X}^{*}(\lambda)=\sup \left\{\frac{\lambda+t}{\|u+t v\|}: t>0\right. & \left., u, v \in S_{X}, u \perp v\right\} \\
& \geq \frac{\lambda+2}{\left\|u_{1}+2 v_{1}\right\|}=\frac{\lambda+2}{|1-1|+1}=\lambda+2, \quad \forall \lambda>0
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\mu_{X}^{*}(\lambda)=\lambda+2, \forall \lambda>0$, and consequently $\mu_{X}(\lambda)=\max \{\lambda+2,1+2 \lambda\}$, $\forall \lambda>0$.

Now, by Theorem 4 (b), (c) and Theorem 3 (b) it follows that there exists

$$
\mu_{X}(0+):=\lim _{\lambda \backslash 0} \mu_{X}(\lambda) \in[1,2]
$$

The extension (by continuity) of $\mu_{X}$ in origin (denoted by $\bar{\mu}_{X}$ ) remains an increasing and convex function on $[0, \infty)$. The function

$$
\lambda \rightarrow \bar{\mu}_{X}(\lambda)-\mu_{X}(0+), \forall \lambda \geq 0
$$

is convex, zero in origin and, consequently, the function

$$
\lambda \rightarrow \frac{\mu_{X}(\lambda)-\mu_{X}(0+)}{\lambda}, \lambda>0
$$

is increasing on $(0, \infty)$.
By Theorem 4. (b), $\mu_{X}$ is locally Lipschitz on $(0, \infty)$. Moreover it is Lipschitz continuous as it will be shown by the following theorem:
Theorem 5. The rectangular modulus verifies the inequality

$$
\mu_{X}\left(\lambda_{2}\right)-\mu_{X}\left(\lambda_{1}\right) \leq \mu_{X}(0+)\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}\right) \leq 2\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}\right)
$$

for all $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}>0, \lambda_{1} \leq \lambda_{2}$, and the absolute constant 2 is the best possible.
Proof: We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{X}(\lambda)-\mu_{X}(0+) & =\lambda \mu_{X}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)-\mu_{X}(0+) \\
& =\frac{\mu_{X}(1 / \lambda)-\mu_{X}(0+)}{1 / \lambda}+\mu_{X}(0+)(\lambda-1)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{X}\left(\lambda_{2}\right)-\mu_{X}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)=\mu_{X}\left(\lambda_{2}\right)-\mu_{X}(0+)-\left(\mu_{X}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)-\mu_{X}(0+)\right) \\
& \quad=\frac{\mu_{X}\left(1 / \lambda_{2}\right)-\mu_{X}(0+)}{1 / \lambda_{2}}-\frac{\mu_{X}\left(1 / \lambda_{1}\right)-\mu_{X}(0+)}{1 / \lambda_{1}}+\mu_{X}(0+)\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}\right) \\
& \quad \leq \mu_{X}(0+)\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}\right) \leq 2\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The constant 2 is attained for instance when $X$ is the two-dimensional $l^{1}$-space.

In the following, we are interested to know the properties of the constant $\mu_{X}(0+) \in[1,2]$. At the beginning let us recall some notions:

The radial projection constant ([20]) of the space $X$ is the best Lipschitz constant $k(X)$ for the radial projection $r: X \rightarrow B_{X}$ defined by

$$
r(x)= \begin{cases}x, & \text { for }\|x\| \leq 1 \\ x /\|x\|, & \text { for }\|x\|>1\end{cases}
$$

One of the representations of $k(X)$ is given in [4, p. 1075] by:

$$
k(X)=\sup \left\{\frac{1}{\|t u+v\|}: t \in \mathbb{R}, v \in S_{X}, u \perp v\right\}
$$

The radial projection constant is equal to other four constants of $X$, denoted by $M P B(X), M P B^{\prime}(X), \overline{M P B}(X), \beta(X)$ respectively. For more information on this subject see [4], [5] and [8]-[10].

Recall that by Theorem 3, for a fixed $\lambda>0$ and for a normed space $X$, with $\operatorname{dim}(X) \geq 2$ we have

$$
\mu_{X}(\lambda)=\sqrt{1+\lambda^{2}} \Leftrightarrow X \text { is an i.p.s. }
$$

In the limit case when $\lambda \searrow 0$ we are interested to see the relevance of the equality $\mu_{X}(0+)=1$ to the geometry of $X$.
Theorem 6. (a) For any normed space $X$ we have:

$$
\mu_{X}(0+)=k(X) .
$$

(b) The equality $\mu_{X}(0+)=1$ is equivalent to the symmetry of Birkhoff orthogonality.

Proof: (a) A continuity argument and the equivalence $x \perp y \Leftrightarrow-x \perp y$ show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{X}^{*}(0+)=\sup \left\{\frac{t}{\|u+t v\|}\right. & \left.: t>0, u, v \in S_{X}, u \perp v\right\} \\
= & \sup \left\{\frac{1}{\left\|t^{\prime} u+v\right\|}: t^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}, v \in S_{X}, u \perp v\right\}=k(X) .
\end{aligned}
$$

But from $\lambda \mu_{X}^{*}(1 / \lambda) \leq 1+2 \lambda, \forall \lambda>0$ it follows that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{X}^{*}(0+) & \leq \mu_{X}(0+)=\max \left\{\mu_{X}^{*}(0+), \lim _{\lambda \backslash 0} \lambda \mu_{X}^{*}(1 / \lambda)\right\} \\
& \leq \max \left\{\mu_{X}^{*}(0+), 1\right\}=\mu_{X}^{*}(0+)=k(X)
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) The equality $\mu_{X}(0+)=1$ is equivalent to $B M P(X)=1$, which in its turn is equivalent to the symmetry of Birkhoff orthogonality ([19]).
Remarks. If $\operatorname{dim}(X) \geq 3$ then $\mu_{X}(0+)=1$ implies that $X$ is an i.p.s. On the other hand, by a result of M.A. Smith [19], $1 \leq M P B(X)<2, \Leftrightarrow X$ is uniformly non-square. It follows that $X$ is uniformly non-square $\Leftrightarrow 1 \leq \mu_{X}(0+)<2$, and we expect that the rectangular modulus characterizes new geometric properties of $X$. Such geometric considerations will be given elsewhere.
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