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# Representing lattices by homotopy groups of graphs 

JıŘí TŮMA


#### Abstract

In this paper we represent every lattice by subgroups of free groups using the concept of the homotopy group of a graph.
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Classification: 06B15, 20E05, 20E15

In this paper we present a method how to represent a given lattice $L$ as a sublattice of the subgroup lattice of a free group. The method is based on the idea of the homotopy group of a graph. Our construction is such that if the lattice $L$ is finite then the free group and all its subgroups representing the elements of $L$ are finitely generated. The first part of the proof is formulated in a more general way to enable further possible modifications of the proof replacing the free group by a finite group $\mathbf{G}$.

The first proof that every lattice can be embedded into the subgroup lattice of a group was given in [Wh]. The proof was later simplified by the author of the present note in [Tů2] using the solution of the word problem for HNN-extensions.

Let $\Omega$ be a set. By a twist on $\Omega$ we mean a bijection $t: A \rightarrow B$ between two subsets $A, B$ of $\Omega$. The set $A$ is called the domain of $t$ and denoted by $\operatorname{Dom}(t)$, while $B$ is called the range of $t$ and denoted by $\operatorname{Rng}(t)$. If $t: A \rightarrow B$ is a twist on $\Omega$, then the inverse mapping $t^{-1}: B \rightarrow A$ is also a twist on $\Omega$ and called the inverse of $t$. The value of a twist $t$ at a point $a \in \operatorname{Dom}(t)$ will be written as at.

By a twisting structure on $\Omega$ we mean a set $\mathcal{T}=\left\{t_{i}: i \in I\right\}$ of twists on $\Omega$ such that with every $t \in \mathcal{T}$ the inverse $t^{-1}$ of $t$ is also contained in $\mathcal{T}$.

The Cayley graph $\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{T})$ of a twisting structure $\mathcal{T}$ is defined as follows. The vertex set of $\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{T})$ is $\Omega$. The edge set of $\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{T})$ is the set $E=\{(a, t): a \in$ $\operatorname{Dom}(t), t \in \mathcal{T}\}$. If $e=(a, t) \in E$, then $a$ is the initial vertex $\alpha(e)$ of $e$ and at is the terminal vertex $\omega(e)$ of $e$. Since $\mathcal{T}$ contains with every twist $t$ also the inverse $t^{-1}$ of $t$, with every edge $e=(a, t) \in E$ there is also the edge $\left(a t, t^{-1}\right) \in E$. The edge (at, $t^{-1}$ ) is called the inverse of $e$ and denoted by $e^{-1}$. It is obvious that $\alpha\left(e^{-1}\right)=\omega(e)$ and $\omega\left(e^{-1}\right)=\alpha(e)$, thus the $\operatorname{graph} \mathbf{G}(\mathcal{T})$ is a symmetric graph possibly with loops and parallel edges. We further define the value $\nu(e)$ of an edge $e=(a, t)$ as the twist $t$. Thus the Cayley $\operatorname{graph} \mathbf{G}(\mathcal{T})=(\Omega, E, \alpha, \omega, \nu)$ of a twisting structure $\mathcal{T}$ is a symmetric graph with edges valued by elements of $\mathcal{T}$. It
is the union of the graphs of all partial bijections $t \in \mathcal{T}$. Note also that for every $t \in \mathcal{T}$ and $a \in \Omega$ there is at most one edge of $\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{T})$ with initial vertex $a$ and value $\nu(e)=t$.

By a congruence of a twisting structure $\mathcal{T}$ on $\Omega$ we mean an equivalence relation $\pi$ on $\Omega$ satisfying the following condition:
(*) whenever $(a, b) \in \pi, t \in \mathcal{T}$ and $a, b \in \operatorname{Dom}(t)$, then also $(a t, b t) \in \pi$.
Thus a congruence of $\mathcal{T}$ is a congruence of the partial algebra $(\Omega, \mathcal{T})$, where each $t \in \mathcal{T}$ is considered to be a partial unary operation on $\Omega$. Obviously the set $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{T})$ of all congruences of the twisting structure $\mathcal{T}$ on $\Omega$ is closed under arbitrary intersections and contains the least and the greatest equivalence relations on $\Omega$. Thus $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{T})$ when ordered by inclusion is a complete lattice. It is called the congruence lattice of $\mathcal{T}$. The meet $\pi \wedge \rho$ of two congruences $\pi, \rho$ of $\mathcal{T}$ is their set-theoretical intersection $\pi \cap \rho$, while their join $\pi \vee \rho$ in $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{T})$ is the least equivalence relation on $\Omega$ containing the set-theoretical union $\pi \cup \rho$ and satisfying the condition ( $*$ ).

The following simple representation result was proved in [Tů1].
Theorem 1. Every lattice $L$ can be represented as a sublattice of $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{T})$ for some twisting structure $\mathcal{T}$.

For the sake of completeness we present the construction. Given a lattice $L$, we may assume that it has a least element 0 . For any two non-zero elements $a<b$ of $L$ we define a twist $t_{a, b}$ on $L$ with $\operatorname{Dom}\left(t_{a b}\right)=\operatorname{Rng}\left(t_{a b}\right)=\{0, a, b\}$ and such that

$$
b t_{a b}=b, a t_{a b}=0,0 t_{a b}=a
$$

Moreover, if $a, b \in L$ are two non-comparable and non-zero elements, then we define a twist $t_{a b}$ on $L$ such that $\operatorname{Dom}\left(t_{a b}\right)=\operatorname{Rng}\left(t_{a b}\right)=\{a, b, a \vee b\}$ and

$$
a t_{a b}=a, b t_{a b}=a \vee b,(a \vee b) t_{a b}=b
$$

Let the twisting structure $\mathcal{T}$ on $L$ consist of all twists of the form $t_{a b}$, where $0 \neq a, b \in L$. Then the mapping assigning to every $x \in L$ the partition of $L$ with one block the interval $[0, x]$ and the other blocks singletons is an embedding of $L$ into $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{T})$.

The main purpose of this note is to investigate a canonical mapping $\Phi$ from $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{T})$ into the subgroup lattice of the free group $\mathbf{F}(\mathcal{T})$. Here $\mathbf{F}(\mathcal{T})$ denotes the free group generated by the set $\mathcal{T}$ of free generators. Under sufficiently general conditions on $\mathcal{T}$ we can prove that the canonical mapping $\Phi$ is an embedding of any member from a large class of sublattices of $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{T})$. To this end we recall some basic ideas from combinatorial group theory related to homotopy groups of graphs. By a graph we mean a quadruple $(V, E, \alpha, \omega)$, where $V, E$ are nonempty sets and $\alpha, \omega: E \rightarrow V$ are two incidence functions, $\alpha(e)$ is called the initial vertex of an edge $e \in E$ and $\omega(e)$ is the terminal vertex of $e$. By a path in the
graph $(V, E, \alpha, \omega)$ we mean a sequence $p=e_{1} e_{2} \cdots e_{k}$ of edges of $E$ such that $\omega\left(e_{i}\right)=\alpha\left(e_{i+1}\right)$ for every $i=1,2, \ldots, k-1$. A path $p=e_{1} e_{2} \cdots e_{k}$ is called a loop if $\omega\left(e_{k}\right)=\alpha\left(e_{1}\right)$, and a loop $p=e_{1} e_{2} \cdots e_{k}$ is called a loop at a vertex $v \in V$ if $\alpha\left(e_{1}\right)=v$.

We will generalize these concepts to the Cayley graph of a twisting structure $\mathcal{T}$. Let $\pi$ be a congruence of $\mathcal{T}$. A sequence $p=e_{1} e_{2} \cdots e_{k}$ of edges of the Cayley graph $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{T})$ of $\mathcal{T}$ is called a $\pi$-path if $\left(\omega\left(e_{i}\right), \alpha\left(e_{i+1}\right)\right) \in \pi$ for every $i=1,2, \ldots k-1$. A path $p=e_{1} e_{2} \cdots e_{k}$ is called a $\pi$-loop if $\left(\alpha\left(e_{1}\right), \omega\left(e_{k}\right)\right) \in \pi$ and it is called a $\pi$-loop at a vertex $v \in \Omega$ if moreover $\left(v, \alpha\left(e_{1}\right)\right) \in \pi$. If $\left(\omega\left(e_{i}\right), \alpha\left(e_{i+1}\right)\right) \in \tau$ for some relation $\tau$ on $\Omega$, then we say that $\left(\omega\left(e_{i}\right), \alpha\left(e_{i+1}\right)\right)$ is a $\tau$-jump.

Suppose moreover that $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathbf{G}$, where $\mathbf{G}$ is a group. Then we can assign to every $\pi$-path $p=e_{1} e_{2} \cdots e_{k}$ its $\mathbf{G}$-value

$$
\nu(p)=\nu\left(e_{1}\right) \nu\left(e_{2}\right) \cdots \nu\left(e_{k}\right) \in \mathbf{G} .
$$

The set of $\mathbf{G}$-values of all $\pi$-loops at a vertex $v \in \Omega$ in the Cayley graph $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{T})$ of a twisting structure $\mathcal{T}$ is obviously a subgroup of $\mathbf{G}$. Indeed, $1 \in \mathbf{G}$ is the value of the empty $\pi$-path, if $g=\nu(p)$ for a $\pi$-loop $p=e_{1} e_{2} \cdots e_{k}$ at $v$, then $g^{-1}$ is the value of the inverse path $p^{-1}=e_{k}^{-1} \cdots e_{1}^{-1}$. And if $g=\nu(p), h=\nu(q)$, then $g h=\nu(p q)$. Thus we can define a mapping

$$
\Phi_{\mathbf{G}}: \mathbf{C}(\mathcal{T}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Sub}(\mathbf{G})
$$

from the congruence lattice of $\mathcal{T}$ into the subgroup lattice of $\mathbf{G}$ by

$$
\Phi_{\mathbf{G}}(\pi)=\{\nu(p): p \text { is a } \pi \text {-loop at } v\} .
$$

If $\pi \subseteq \rho$ are two congruences of $\mathcal{T}$, then obviously any $\pi$-loop at $v$ is also a $\rho$-loop at $v$, thus we get the following simple lemma.

Lemma 2. The mapping $\Phi_{\mathbf{G}}$ is order-preserving.
We say that a twisting structure $\mathcal{T}$ is connected if its Cayley graph $\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{T})$ is connected. For connected twisting structures we have the following result.

Theorem 3. If $\mathcal{T}$ is a connected twisting structure, then the mapping $\Phi_{\mathbf{G}}$ is join-preserving.

Proof: First of all we describe the join $\pi \vee \rho$ of two congruences $\pi, \rho \in \mathbf{C}(\mathcal{T})$. Set $\sigma_{0}=\pi \cup \rho$. If $\sigma_{2 i}$ is already defined for a natural number $i$, we define

$$
\sigma_{2 i+1}=\sigma_{2 i} \cup\left\{(a t, b t): t \in \mathcal{T}, a, b \in \operatorname{Dom}(t),(a, b) \in \sigma_{2 i}\right\},
$$

and

$$
\sigma_{2 i+2} \text { is the transitive closure of } \sigma_{2 i+1}
$$

Obviously, any congruence $\sigma$ of $\mathcal{T}$ containing both $\pi$ and $\rho$ must contain also $\sigma_{n}$ for any natural number $n$. On the other hand,

$$
\sigma=\bigcup_{n} \sigma_{n}
$$

is an equivalence relation satisfying the condition $(*)$, hence a congruence of $\mathcal{T}$. Thus $\sigma=\pi \vee \rho$ in $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{T})$.

Since $\Phi_{\mathbf{G}}$ is order-preserving, we get that

$$
\Phi_{\mathbf{G}}(\pi) \vee \Phi_{\mathbf{G}}(\rho) \subseteq \Phi_{\mathbf{G}}(\pi \vee \rho)
$$

for any two congruences $\pi, \rho$ of $\mathcal{T}$. To prove the opposite inclusion we have to show that the value $\nu(p)$ of any $(\pi \vee \rho)$-path $p$ at $v$ is contained in the subgroup of $\mathbf{G}$ generated by $\Phi_{\mathbf{G}}(\pi) \cup \Phi_{\mathbf{G}}(\rho)$.

So let $p=e_{1} e_{2} \cdots e_{k}$ be an arbitrary $(\pi \vee \rho)$-loop at $v$. Thus $\left(\omega\left(e_{i}\right), \alpha\left(e_{i+1}\right)\right) \in$ $\pi \vee \rho$ for any $i=1,2, \ldots, k-1$ as well as $\left(v, \alpha\left(e_{1}\right)\right),\left(\omega\left(e_{k}\right), v\right) \in \pi \vee \rho$. Since $\pi \vee \rho=\bigcup \sigma_{n}$, there exists a natural number $m$ such that

$$
\left(v, \alpha\left(e_{1}\right)\right),\left(\omega\left(e_{k}\right), v\right) \in \sigma_{m},\left(\omega\left(e_{i}\right), \alpha\left(e_{i+1}\right)\right) \in \sigma_{m}
$$

for every $i=1,2, \ldots, k-1$. Let us call such a $(\pi \vee \rho)$-loop $p=e_{1} e_{2} \cdots e_{k}$ at $v$ a $\sigma_{m}$-loop. We are going to prove that the value $\nu(p)$ of any $\sigma_{m}$-loop at $v, m \geq 1$, belongs to the subgroup of $\mathbf{G}$ generated by the values of $\sigma_{m-1}$-loops at $v$.

If $m$ is odd, let $i \leq k$ be such that $\left(\omega\left(e_{i}\right), \alpha\left(e_{i+1}\right)\right) \in \sigma_{m} \backslash \sigma_{m-1}$. Thus there exist a twist $t \in \mathcal{T}$ and $a, b \in \sigma_{m-1}$ such that $a t=\omega\left(e_{i}\right)$ and $b t=\alpha\left(e_{i+1}\right)$. Consider the loop $p^{\prime}=e_{1} e_{2} \cdots e_{i}\left(a t, t^{-1}\right)(b, t) e_{i+1} \cdots e_{k}$. Since $\omega\left(e_{i}\right)=\alpha\left(a t, t^{-1}\right)$, $\left(\omega\left(a t, t^{-1}\right), \alpha(b, t)\right)=(a, b) \in \sigma_{m-1}$ and $\omega(b, t)=b t=\alpha\left(e_{i+1}\right), p^{\prime}$ is also a $\sigma_{m}{ }^{-}$ loop at $v$ and the number of $\sigma_{m} \backslash \sigma_{m-1 \text {-jumps in } p^{\prime} \text { is one less than the number }}$ of $\sigma_{m} \backslash \sigma_{m-1}$-jumps in $p$. Similarly, if $\left(v, \alpha\left(e_{1}\right)\right) \in \sigma_{m} \backslash \sigma_{m-1}$, then again there are a twist $t \in \mathcal{T}$ and $(a, b) \in \sigma_{m} \backslash \sigma_{m-1}$ such that $a t=v$ and $b t=\alpha\left(e_{1}\right)$. Again the loop $p^{\prime}=\left(a t, t^{-1}\right)(b, t) p$ is a $\sigma_{m}$-loop at $v$ (since $\left.\left(v, t^{-1}\right)=\left(a t, t^{-1}\right)\right)$ and the number of $\sigma_{m} \backslash \sigma_{m-1}$-jumps in $p^{\prime}$ is one less than the number of $\sigma_{m} \backslash \sigma_{m-1}$-jumps in $p$. The case $\left(\omega\left(e_{k}\right), v\right) \in \sigma_{m} \backslash \sigma_{m-1}$ is treated in exactly the same way. In all cases, $\nu\left(p^{\prime}\right)=\nu(p)$ and the number of $\left(\sigma_{m} \backslash \sigma_{m-1}\right)$-jumps in the path $p^{\prime}$ is one less than the number of $\left(\sigma_{m} \backslash \sigma_{m-1}\right)$-jumps in $p$. By a simple induction on the number of $\left(\sigma_{m} \backslash \sigma_{m-1}\right)$-jumps in $p$ we prove that for every $\sigma_{m}$-loop $p$ at $v$ there exists a $\sigma_{m-1}$-loop $p^{\prime \prime}$ at $v$ such that $\nu\left(p^{\prime \prime}\right)=\nu(p)$. Thus if $m$ is odd, then the value $\nu(p)$ of any $\sigma_{m}$-loop $p$ at $v$ is equal to the value of a $\sigma_{m-1}$-loop at $v$.

If $m>0$ is even and $p=e_{1} e_{2} \cdots e_{k}$ a $\sigma_{m}$-loop at $v$ that is not a $\sigma_{m-1}$-loop, then either there exists some $i=1,2, \ldots, k-1$ such that $\left(\omega\left(e_{i}\right), \alpha\left(e_{i+1}\right)\right) \in \sigma_{m} \backslash \sigma_{m-1}$ or $\left(v, \alpha\left(e_{1}\right)\right) \in \sigma_{m} \backslash \sigma_{m-1}$ or $\left(\omega\left(e_{k}\right), v\right) \in \sigma_{m} \backslash \sigma_{m-1}$. Let the first of the three possibilities occur. Since $\sigma_{m}$ is the transitive closure of $\sigma_{m-1}$, there are elements $\omega\left(e_{i}\right)=a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{l}=\alpha\left(e_{i+1}\right)$ such that $\left(a_{j}, a_{j+1}\right) \in \sigma_{m-1}$. Since the Cayley graph $\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{T})$ of $\mathcal{T}$ is connected, there are paths $q_{i}$ in $\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{T})$ of $\mathcal{T}$ from $v$ to $a_{i}$. Then
$p^{\prime}=e_{1} e_{2} \cdots e_{i} q_{1}^{-1} q_{1} q_{2}^{-1} \cdots q_{l-1} q_{l}^{-1} q_{l} e_{i+1} \cdots e_{k}$ is again a $\sigma_{m}$-loop at $v$ in which the number of $\sigma_{m} \backslash \sigma_{m-1}$-jumps is one less than the number of $\sigma_{m} \backslash \sigma_{m-1}$-jumps in $p$. Moreover, $\nu\left(p^{\prime}\right)=\nu(p)$. The other two cases are treated in exactly the same way. Thus also in this case the value of any $\sigma_{m}$-loop at $v$ coincides with the value of a $\sigma_{m-1}$-loop at $v$.

Hence the value $\nu(p)$ of any $(\pi \vee \rho)$-loop at $v$ equals the value $\nu\left(p^{\prime}\right)$ of a $\sigma_{0}$-loop $p^{\prime}$ at $v$. Recall that $\sigma_{0}=\pi \cup \rho$. Let $p^{\prime}=f_{1} f_{2} \cdots f_{l}$. For every $i=1,2, \ldots, l$ let $q_{i}$ be a path in $\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{T})$ from $v$ to $\omega\left(f_{i}\right)$. Then

$$
p^{\prime \prime}=f_{1} q_{1}^{-1} q_{1} f_{2} q_{2}^{-1} q_{2} f_{3} \cdots q_{l-1}^{-1} q_{l-1} f_{l}
$$

is also a $\sigma_{0}$-loop at $v$. Obviously, $\nu\left(p^{\prime \prime}\right)=\nu(p)$. Finally, observe that each $q_{i-1} f_{i} q_{i}^{-1}, i=2, \ldots, l-1$ is either a $\pi$-loop or a $\rho$-loop, since $\left(\omega\left(f_{i-1}\right), \alpha\left(f_{i}\right)\right) \in$ $\sigma_{0}=\pi \cup \rho$. Thus $\nu\left(q_{i-1} f_{i} q_{i}^{-1}\right) \in \Phi_{\mathbf{G}}(\pi) \cup \Phi_{\mathbf{G}}(\rho)$.

Similarly, we prove that also $\nu\left(f_{1}\right) q_{1}^{-1} \in \Phi_{\mathbf{G}}(\pi) \cup \Phi_{\mathbf{G}}(\rho)$ and $\nu\left(q_{l-1} f_{l}\right) \in$ $\Phi_{\mathbf{G}}(\pi) \cup \Phi_{\mathbf{G}}(\rho)$. Thus $\nu\left(p^{\prime \prime}\right) \in \Phi_{\mathbf{G}}(\pi) \vee \Phi_{\mathbf{G}}(\rho)$.

In the rest of the paper we restrict ourselves to the case that $\mathbf{G}$ is the free group $\mathbf{F}$ freely generated by $\mathcal{T}$. Let $L$ be any non-empty collection of partitions on the set $\Omega$ closed under finite meets. We say that a twisting structure $\mathcal{T}$ on $\Omega$ is balanced with respect to $L$ if for every twist $t \in T$, an element $a \in \Omega$ and any two $x, y \in \operatorname{Dom}(t)$, whenever $(a, x) \in \pi \in L$ and $(a, y) \in \rho \in L$, then there exists some $z \in \operatorname{Dom}(t)$ such that $(a, z) \in \pi \wedge \rho \in L$. The following lemma from [Tů1] gives us a way to construct balanced sets.

Lemma 4. Let $X$ be a set and $\Omega=\mathbf{S}_{X}$, the group of all permutations of $X$ of a finite type (i.e. generated by transpositions). For a set $Y \subset X$ let $S_{Y}$ be the subgroup of $\mathbf{S}_{X}$ consisting of all permutations $p$ such that $p(x)=x$ for every $x \in X \backslash Y$. Let $L$ be the set of partitions of $\Omega$ into left cosets of subgroups $S_{Y}$, $Y \subseteq X$. Then every left coset of every $S_{Y}, Y \subseteq X$, is balanced with respect to $L$.

By modifying Example 2.5. and Proposition 2.8. of [Ti̊1] we get the following lemma.
Lemma 5. For every lattice $\mathbf{L}$ there exist a set $\Omega$, a twisting structure $\mathcal{T}$ on $\Omega$ with finite domains and a lattice embedding $\phi: \mathbf{L} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}(\mathcal{T})$ such that the twisting structure $\mathcal{T}$ is balanced with respect to the lattice $L=\operatorname{Im}(\phi)$.

No we are ready to prove the following counterpart to Theorem 3.
Theorem 6. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a twisting structure on a set $\Omega$ and $L \subset \mathbf{C}(\mathcal{T})$ a sublattice of $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{T})$. Suppose moreover that the domains of the elements of $\mathcal{T}$ are finite and that $\mathcal{T}$ is balanced with respect to $L$. Then the restriction of the canonical mapping $\Phi_{\mathbf{F}}$ to the lattice $L$ is meet-preserving.
Proof: Let $\pi \in \mathbf{C}(\mathcal{T})$. First of all we prove that for any $\pi$-loop $p=e_{1} e_{2} \cdots e_{k}$ in $\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{T})$ at $v$ such that $\nu\left(e_{1}\right) \nu\left(e_{2}\right) \cdots \nu\left(e_{k}\right)$ is not a reduced word in $\mathbf{F}$ there
exists a subpath $p^{\prime}=e_{i_{1}} \cdots e_{i_{l}}$ of $p$ that is also a $\pi$-loop at $v$ and the word $\nu\left(e_{i_{1}}\right) \cdots \nu\left(e_{i_{l}}\right)$ is reduced. Indeed, if $\nu\left(e_{1}\right) \nu\left(e_{2}\right) \cdots \nu\left(e_{k}\right)$ is not reduced, then there is some $i=1,2, \ldots, k-1$ such that $\nu\left(e_{i}\right)=t=\nu\left(e_{i+1}\right)^{-1}$. Thus there are some $a, b \in \operatorname{Dom}(t)$ such that $e_{i}=(a, t)$ and $e_{i+1}=\left(b t, t^{-1}\right)$. Moreover, since $p$ is a $\pi$-path, we have $\left(\omega\left(e_{i}\right), \alpha\left(e_{i+1}\right)\right)=(a t, b t) \in \pi$. Since $\pi \in \mathbf{C}(\mathcal{T})$, we have also $\left(a t t^{-1}, b t t^{-1}\right)=(a, b) \in \pi$. But we have also $\left(\omega\left(e_{i-1}\right), \alpha\left(e_{i}\right)\right)=\left(\omega\left(e_{i-1}\right), a\right) \in \pi$ and $\left(\omega\left(e_{i+1}\right), \alpha\left(e_{i+2}\right)\right)=\left(b, \alpha\left(e_{i+2}\right)\right) \in \pi$, we get $\left(\omega\left(e_{i-1}\right), \alpha\left(e_{i+2}\right)\right) \in \pi$. Thus we can delete from $p$ the edges $e_{i}, e_{i+1}$ and the remaining path $p^{\prime}$ is still a $\pi$-loop at $v$. In this way we can subsequently delete from $p$ pairs of subsequent edges with mutually inverse values to get a $\pi$-loop $p^{\prime}$ with required properties. Let us call such a $\pi$-path a reduced $\pi$-path.

Take now arbitrary congruences $\pi, \rho \in L$. Since $\Phi_{\mathbf{F}}$ is order-preserving, we have

$$
\Phi_{\mathbf{F}}(\pi) \cap \Phi_{\mathbf{F}}(\rho) \supseteq \Phi_{\mathbf{F}}(\pi \cap \rho) .
$$

To prove the opposite inclusion take any reduced word $w=t_{1} \cdots t_{k} \in \Phi_{\mathbf{F}}(\pi) \cap$ $\Phi_{\mathbf{F}}(\rho)$. Then by the previous paragraph there is a reduced $\pi$-loop $p=e_{1} e_{2} \cdots e_{k}$ at $v$ such that $\nu(p)=w$. Hence $\nu\left(e_{i}\right)=t_{i}$ for every $i=1,2, \ldots, k$. Similarly, there is a reduced $\rho$-loop $q=f_{1} f_{2} \cdots f_{l}$ at $v$ such that $\nu\left(f_{i}\right)=t_{i}$ for every $i=1,2, \ldots, k$. Thus in particular, $\left(v, \alpha\left(e_{1}\right)\right) \in \pi$ and $\left(v, \alpha\left(f_{1}\right)\right) \in \rho$. Let us denote $\alpha\left(e_{1}\right)=a$ and $\alpha\left(f_{1}\right)=b$. Thus $a, b \in \operatorname{Dom}\left(t_{1}\right)$. Since $\operatorname{Dom}\left(t_{1}\right)$ is balanced with respect to $L$, there exists some $c \in \operatorname{Dom}\left(t_{1}\right)$ such that $(v, c) \in \pi \cap \rho$. Hence also $(a, c) \in \pi$ and $(b, c) \in \rho$. Since both $\pi$ and $\rho$ are congruences of $\mathcal{T}$, we get also $\left(a t_{1}, c t_{1}\right) \in \pi$ and $\left(b t_{1}, c t_{1}\right) \in \rho$. Moreover, $\left(a t_{1}, \alpha\left(e_{2}\right)\right)=\left(\omega\left(e_{1}\right), \alpha\left(e_{2}\right)\right) \in \pi$ and $\left(b t_{1}, \alpha\left(f_{2}\right)\right)=$ $\left(\omega\left(f_{1}\right), \alpha\left(f_{2}\right)\right) \in \rho$, we get $\left(c t_{1}, \alpha\left(e_{2}\right)\right) \in \pi$ and $\left(c t, \alpha\left(f_{2}\right)\right) \in \rho$. Denote by $g_{1}$ the edge $\left(c, c t_{1}\right)$. Thus $g_{1} e_{2} \cdots e_{k}$ is another $\pi$-loop at $v$ and $g_{1} f_{2} \cdots f_{k}$ is another $\rho$-loop at $v$. Moreover, $\left(v, \alpha\left(g_{1}\right)\right)=(v, c) \in \pi \cap \rho$, and $\nu\left(g_{1}\right)=t_{1}$.

By repeating the same procedure with $c t_{1}, e_{2}$ and $f_{2}$ in place of $v, e_{1}$ and $f_{1}$, we get another edge $g_{2}$ that can replace $e_{2}$ in $p$ and $f_{2}$ in $q$ and satisfies $\nu\left(g_{2}\right)=\nu\left(e_{2}\right)=\nu\left(f_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\omega\left(g_{1}\right), \alpha\left(g_{2}\right)\right) \in \pi \cap \rho$. After $k$ steps we construct a $(\pi \cap \rho)$-loop $r=g_{1} g_{2} \cdots g_{k}$ at $v$ with $\nu(r)=w$. Hence $w \in \Phi_{\mathbf{F}}(\pi \cap \rho)$.

Finally, connectedness of $\mathcal{T}$ also implies injectivity of $\Phi_{\mathbf{F}}$. Since the method of the proof will be also used in the proof of Theorem 8, we introduce some definitions here. If $\pi$ is a congruence of $\mathcal{T}$, we define the quotient $\mathcal{T} / \pi$ of $\mathcal{T}$ as follows. The twisting structure $\mathcal{T} / \pi$ will be defined on the set $\Omega / \pi$ of blocks of $\pi$. For any twist $t \in \mathcal{T}$ we define another twist $t_{\pi}$ on $\Omega / \pi$. The domain $\operatorname{Dom}\left(t_{\pi}\right)$ consists of all blocks of $\pi$ intersecting the domain $\operatorname{Dom}(t)$. If $a \in \operatorname{Dom}(t)$, then we define $[a] t_{\pi}=[a t]$, where $[x]$ denotes the block of $\pi$ containing $x$. The definition of $t_{\pi}$ is correct since $\pi$ is a congruence of $\mathcal{T}$. Hence $t_{\pi}$ is also a bijection between two subsets of $\Omega / \pi$ and $\left\{t_{\pi}: t \in \mathcal{T}\right\}$ is a twisting structure on $\Omega / \pi$. Thus $\mathcal{T} / \pi$ is simply the quotient of the partial unary algebra $(\Omega, \mathcal{T})$ by the congruence $\pi$.

It is also useful to mention that the graph of $\mathcal{T} / \pi$ is naturally isomorphic to a quotient of the graph of $\mathcal{T}$. The vertices of $\mathbf{G}\left(\mathcal{T}_{\pi}\right)$ are blocks of the partition $\pi$ on $\Omega$. Whenever $a, b \in \operatorname{Dom}(t)$ are such that $(a, b) \in \pi$, then the two edges $(a, t)$
and $(b, t)$ of $\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{T})$ are identified into a single edge $\left([a],[a] t_{\pi}\right)$ of $\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{T} / \pi)$. If we assign to each edge $\left([a],[a] t_{\pi}\right)$ of $\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{T} / \pi)$ the value $t \in \mathbf{F}$, then we see that the values of $\pi$-loops at $v$ in the graph $\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{T})$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the values of ordinary loops at $[v]$ in the graph of $\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{T} / \pi)$.

Lemma 7. If $\mathcal{T}$ is a connected twisting structure on $\Omega$ and $\pi<\rho$ two congruences of $\mathcal{T}$, then $\Phi_{\mathbf{F}}(\pi) \neq \Phi_{\mathbf{F}}(\rho)$.

Proof: Let $(a, b) \in \rho \backslash \pi$. Since $\mathcal{T}$ is connected, there exist a reduced path $p=e_{1} e_{2} \cdots e_{k}$ in $\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{T})$ from $v$ to $a$ and a reduced path $q=f_{1} f_{2} \cdots f_{l}$ in $\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{T})$ from $v$ to $b$. Then $p q^{-1}$ is a $\rho$-loop in $\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{T})$ at $v$ but it is not a $\pi$-loop at $v$. If $p q^{-1}$ is not reduced, then there exists a twist $t \in \mathcal{T}$ such that $e_{k}=\left(a t^{-1}, t\right)$ and $f_{l}=\left(b t^{-1}, t\right)$. Then also $\left(a t^{-1}, b t^{-1}\right) \in \rho \backslash \pi$. So we can replace $p$ by $p^{\prime}=e_{1} e_{2} \cdots e_{k-1}$ and $q$ by $q^{\prime}=f_{1} f_{2} \cdots f_{l-1}$ to get a shorter $\rho$-loop $p^{\prime} q^{\prime-1}$ at $v$ that is not a $\pi$-loop at $v$. Hence we may assume that the $\rho$-loop $r=p q^{-1}$ at $v$ is already reduced and it is not a $\pi$-loop. But then $\nu(r) \in \Phi_{\mathbf{F}}(\rho) \backslash \Phi_{\mathbf{F}}(\pi)$.

Putting together previous results we get the following theorem.
Theorem 8. Every lattice $L$ can be embedded into the subgroup lattice of a free group $\mathbf{F}$. If the lattice $L$ is finite, then the group $\mathbf{F}$ and all the subgroups of $\mathbf{F}$ representing elements of $L$ can be taken finitely generated.

Proof: It remains to prove the second assertion. However, if the lattice $L$ is finite, then the twisting structure $\mathcal{T}$ of Lemma 4 can be taken finite by [Tů1]. But then the group $\Phi_{\mathbf{F}}(\pi)$ is isomorphic to the homotopy group of the graph $\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{T} / \pi)$, by the remarks preceding Lemma 7. Since the $\operatorname{graph} \mathbf{G}(\mathcal{T} / \pi)$ is finite, its homotopy group is a finitely free group.
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