## Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Caroline

## Mohammed Aassila

Uniform stabilization of solutions to a quasilinear wave equation with damping and source terms

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 40 (1999), No. 2, 223--226
Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/119078

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1999

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these Terms of use.


This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library http://project.dml.cz

# Uniform stabilization of solutions to a quasilinear wave equation with damping and source terms 

Mohammed Aassila


#### Abstract

In this note we prove the exponential decay of solutions of a quasilinear wave equation with linear damping and source terms.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be a bounded domain with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega:=\Gamma$. In this note we are concerned with the asymptotic behavior of the global solution to the mixed problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{t t}-\left(\alpha+2 \beta\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}\right) \Delta u+\delta u_{t}=\mu u^{3} \quad \text { in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_{+},  \tag{P}\\
u=0 \text { on } \Gamma \times \mathbb{R}_{+}, \\
u(0, x)=u_{0}(x), \quad u_{t}(0, x)=u_{1}(x) \text { in } \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

Here $\alpha>0, \beta \geq 0, \delta \in \mathbb{R}, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\Delta$ is the Laplacian in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$.
When $\mu=-1, \delta>0$ and $|u|^{\alpha} u(\alpha \geq 0)$ stands in place of $u^{3}$, the existence of a global solution was proved by Hosoya and Yamada [2] for sufficiently small initial data in a more general framework. Their method, however, depending on a kind of monotonocity of the term $|u|^{\alpha} u$, is not applicable to the case of $\mu=1$ in its original form (when $\delta=0$ and $\mu=-1$, see also Hosoya and Yamada [1]). By the construction of the so called stable set and unstable set (see e.g. [6], [7], [8]), quite recently Ikehata [3] has been successful in proving the global existence of solutions to $(P)$ when $\alpha>0, \beta>0, \delta>0$ and $\mu>0$. Roughly speaking, he showed that if $\left\{u_{0}, u_{1}\right\}$ belong to the stable set and are small enough, then $(P)$ admits a global strong solution. Before the statement of his main results, let us first introduce some notations and definitions:
$c(\Omega, p)$ will denote the constant in the Sobolev-Poincaré inequality

$$
\|u\|_{p} \leq c(\Omega, p)\|\nabla u\|_{2}
$$

for $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, where $2 \leq p \leq 6$ and $\|u\|_{p}$ means the usual $L^{p}(\Omega)$-norm.

We define the energy of the solutions of $(P)$ by the usual formula

$$
E(t)=\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{\alpha}{2}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{\beta}{2}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{4}-\frac{\mu}{4}\|u\|_{4}^{4}
$$

Ikehata [3] has shown the following theorems.
Theorem 1.1 (local existence). Let $\alpha>0, \beta \geq 1, \delta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$. Then for any $\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right) \in\left(H^{2}(\Omega) \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, there exists a real number $T_{m}>0$ such that problem $(P)$ admits a unique solution $u(x, t)$ which belongs to the class

$$
C\left(\left[0, T_{m}\right) ; H^{2}(\Omega) \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \cap C^{1}\left(\left[0, T_{m}\right) ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \cap C^{2}\left(\left[0, T_{m}\right) ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)
$$

and if $T_{m}<+\infty$ then

$$
\lim _{t \uparrow T_{m}}\left\{\left\|\nabla u_{t}(t, .)\right\|_{2}^{2}+\|\Delta u(t, .)\|_{2}^{2}\right\}=+\infty
$$

Theorem 1.2 (global existence). Let $\alpha>0, \beta \geq 0, \delta>0$, and $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$. Assume further that $\mu c(\Omega, 4)^{4} \leq 2 \beta$. Then there exists a number $\gamma>0$ such that if $\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right) \in\left(H^{2}(\Omega) \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ with $E(0) \geq \frac{\mu}{4}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{4}^{4}$ satisfy $\left\|\Delta u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+$ $\left\|\nabla u_{1}\right\|_{2}^{2}<\gamma$, then $T_{m}=+\infty$.

As far as we know, there is no result concerning the asymptotic behavior of the global strong solution. Our purpose in this paper is to prove the uniform stabilization of the solution of $(P)$.

Our paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give our main result. In Section 3 we prove the main theorem.

## 2. Statement of the main theorem

Throughout this paper the functions considered are all real valued and the notations for their norms are adopted as usual (e.g. Lions [5]), and for simplicity we shall denote $u^{\prime}$ and $u^{\prime \prime}$ instead of $u_{t}$ and $u_{t t}$.

Our main theorem is the following
Main theorem. Under the hypotheses of Theorem 1.2 we have

$$
E(t) \leq c e^{-\omega t} \text { for all } t \geq 0
$$

where $c$ is a positive constant depending only on the initial energy $E(0)$ in a continuous way, and $\omega$ is a positive constant.

Before giving the proof, we recall the following useful lemma.
Lemma 2.1 ([4, Theorem 8.1]). Let $E: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$be a non-increasing function and assume that there exists a constant $T>0$ such that

$$
\int_{t}^{+\infty} E(s) d s \leq T E(t), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}
$$

Then

$$
E(t) \leq E(0) e^{1-\frac{t}{T}}, \quad \forall t \geq T
$$

(Observe that the above inequality is also satisfied for $0 \leq t \leq T$.)

## 3. Proof of the main theorem

First, remark that the assumption $\mu c(\Omega, 4)^{4} \leq 2 \beta$ implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mu}{2}\|u\|_{4}^{4} \leq \beta\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{4} \text { for all } u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then $E(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \geq 0$.
As $\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right) \in\left(H^{2}(\Omega) \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, the solution of $(P)$ has a sufficient regularity to justify all computations that follow. Multiplying the first equation in $(P)$ with $u^{\prime}$, integrating by parts in $\Omega \times(0, T)$ we obtain easily that

$$
E(0)-E(T)=\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \delta u^{\prime 2} d x d t, \quad 0<T<+\infty
$$

it follows that the energy is non-increasing, locally absolutely continuous and

$$
E^{\prime}=-\int_{\Omega} \delta u^{\prime 2} d x \text { a.e. in } \mathbb{R}_{+}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
0=\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} u\left(u^{\prime \prime}-\left(\alpha+2 \beta|\nabla u|^{2}\right) \Delta u+\delta u^{\prime}-\mu u^{3}\right) d x d t \\
=\left[\int_{\Omega} u u^{\prime}\right]_{0}^{T}-\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} 2 u^{\prime 2}+\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left(u^{\prime 2}+\alpha|\nabla u|^{2}+2 \beta|\nabla u|^{4}-\mu|u|^{4}\right)+\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \delta u u^{\prime},
\end{gathered}
$$

whence

$$
\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} u^{\prime 2}+\alpha|\nabla u|^{2}+2 \beta|\nabla u|^{4}-\mu|u|^{4}=-\left[\int_{\Omega} u u^{\prime}\right]_{0}^{T}+\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left(2 u^{\prime 2}-\delta u u^{\prime}\right)
$$

that is, by (3.1)

$$
2 \int_{0}^{T} E(t) d t \leq-\left[\int_{\Omega} u u^{\prime} d x\right]_{0}^{T}+\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left(2 u^{\prime 2}-\delta u u^{\prime}\right) d x d t
$$

From now on we denote by $c$ different positive constants.
Using the non-increasing property of $E$, the Sobolev imbedding $H^{1} \subset L^{2}$, the definition of $E$ and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$
\left|\int_{\Omega} u u^{\prime} d x\right| \leq c E(0)
$$

We conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \int_{0}^{T} E(t) d t \leq c E(0)+\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left(2 u^{\prime 2}-\delta u u^{\prime}\right) d x d t \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now fix an arbitrarily small $\varepsilon>0$ (to be chosen later), and applying the Young inequality we obtain the following estimates

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{\Omega}\left(2 u^{\prime 2}-\delta u u^{\prime}\right) d x d t \leq c\left(-E^{\prime}\right)+c E^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(-E^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
\leq \varepsilon E-c(\varepsilon) E^{\prime}
\end{gathered}
$$

hence

$$
\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left(2 u^{\prime 2}-\delta u u^{\prime}\right) d x d t \leq \varepsilon \int_{0}^{T} E(t) d t+c(\varepsilon) E(0)
$$

By substitution into (3.2) we obtain

$$
(2-\varepsilon) \int_{0}^{T} E(t) d t \leq c(\varepsilon) E(0)
$$

Choosing $\varepsilon=1$ we deduce that

$$
\int_{0}^{T} E(t) d t \leq c E(0)
$$

we may thus complete the proof by applying Lemma 2.1.
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