# Francesco Saverio De Blasi; Giulio Pianigiani Evolution inclusions in non separable Banach spaces

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 40 (1999), No. 2, 227--250

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/119079

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1999

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

F.S. DE BLASI, G. PIANIGIANI

*Abstract.* We study a Cauchy problem for non-convex valued evolution inclusions in non separable Banach spaces under Filippov type assumptions. We establish existence and relaxation theorems.

 $\mathit{Keywords:}$  evolution inclusions, mild solutions, Lusin measurable multifunctions, Banach spaces, relaxation

Classification: 34A60, 34G20

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathbb{E}$  be a real Banach space with norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , and let  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{E})$  be the space of all closed bounded nonempty subsets of  $\mathbb{E}$  endowed with the Pompeiu-Hausdorff distance h. Let I = [0, 1].

In this paper we consider the Cauchy problem for evolution inclusions of the form

$$(C_{a,F}) \qquad \begin{cases} x'(t) \in Ax(t) + F(t,x(t)) \\ x(0) = a. \end{cases}$$

Here A is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous semigroup S(t),  $t \geq 0$ , of bounded linear operators on  $\mathbb{E}$ , F is a multifunction from  $I \times \mathbb{E}$  to  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{E})$ , and  $a \in \mathbb{E}$ .

When  $\mathbb{E}$  is finite dimensional, Filippov [4] (see also Hermes [6]) proved that the Cauchy problem  $(C_{a,F})$ , with A = 0, has solutions provided that F is continuous in (t, x) and Lipschitzian in x, i.e.

$$h(F(t,x), F(t,y)) \le k(t) ||x-y||$$
  $(t,x), (t,y) \in I \times \mathbb{E},$ 

for some  $k \in L^1(I)$ . The more general case in which F is Carathéodory-Lipschitz, i.e. F is measurable in t and Lipschitzian in x, was studied by Himmelberg and Van Vleck [9]. It is worth while to observe that a crucial step in the proof of Filippov theorem is the construction, for a  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{E})$  valued multifunction, of a measurable selector, which is usually obtained by virtue of a selection theorem of Kuratowski and Ryll-Nardzewski [12]. More recently Frankowska [5], Tolstonogov [16] and Papageorgiou [13] have shown that if  $\mathbb{E}$  is infinite dimensional, Filippov's ideas can be suitably adapted in order to prove the existence of mild solutions to the Cauchy problem  $(C_{a,F})$ , provided that  $\mathbb{E}$  is separable. This restriction is actually unavoidable if one has to apply in an infinite dimensional setting either selection theorem, of Kuratowski and Ryll-Nardzewski [12] or of Bressan and Colombo [1].

In the present paper we will establish the existence of mild solutions for the Cauchy problem  $(C_{a,F})$  in an arbitrary, not necessarily separable, Banach space  $\mathbb{E}$ , under assumptions on F of Filippov type. Our approach follows essentially the pattern introduced by Filippov [4] and developed by Frankowska [5], Tolstonogov [16], and Papageorgiou [13], however with the basic difference that measurable selectors of multifunctions, when needed, will be constructed without relying on either of the above mentioned selection theorems. Actually our existence result (see Theorem 3.1) covers also the case of F Carathéodory-Lipschitz, where measurability in t is understood in the sense of Lusin. Furthermore, for the Cauchy problem  $(C_{a,F})$  we shall prove a corresponding relaxation result (see Theorem 4.1) without assuming the Banach space  $\mathbb{E}$  to be separable. This is made possible by an argument which, unlike the ones of [5], [16], [13], again does not depend on the above mentioned selection theorems.

Our existence and relaxation results for the Cauchy problem  $(C_{a,F})$  are only a partial generalization of analogous results proved by Frankowska [5], Tolstonogov [16] and Papageorgiou [13] under slightly different assumptions on A and F, in separable Banach spaces. So far it is not clear if an analogous existence and relaxation theory, in absence of separability assumptions, might hold also for more general classes of systems, of the type considered by Papageorgiou [14] and by Hu, Lakhsmikantham and Papageorgiou [10].

This paper consists of four sections. Notation and some properties of Lusin measurable multifunctions are contained in Section 2. The existence and relaxation theorems for the Cauchy problem  $(C_{a,F})$  are discussed in Section 3 and Section 4, respectively.

### 2. Lusin measurable multifunctions

Throughout this paper  $\mathbb{E}$  denotes an arbitrary real Banach space with norm  $\| \|$ , and  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{E})$  the space of all closed bounded nonempty subsets of  $\mathbb{E}$ . For  $x \in \mathbb{E}$  and  $A \subset \mathbb{E}$ ,  $A \neq \emptyset$ , set  $d(x, A) = \inf_{a \in A} \|x - a\|$ .  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{E})$  is endowed with the *Pompeiu-Hausdorff metric* 

$$h(A, B) = \max\{e(A, B), e(B, A)\} \qquad A, B \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{E}).$$

Here e(A, B) is the metric excess of A over B and e(B, A) the metric excess of B over A, that is  $e(A, B) = \sup_{a \in A} d(a, B)$  and  $e(B, A) = \sup_{b \in B} d(b, A)$ 

If  $A \subset \mathbb{E}$ ,  $A \neq \emptyset$ , and  $r \ge 0$  we set  $N(A, r) = \{x \in \mathbb{E} | d(x, A) \le r\}$ . Clearly N(A, r) is closed in  $\mathbb{E}$ .

We recall below some properties of the metric excess functions, that we shall use later.

Let  $A, B, C \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{E})$ . We have: (a<sub>1</sub>) e(A, B) = 0 if and only if  $A \subset B$ ; (a<sub>2</sub>)  $e(A, B) \leq e(A, C) + e(C, B)$  (a<sub>3</sub>)  $e(A, C) \leq e(B, C)$  and  $e(C, A) \geq e(C, B)$ , if  $A \subset B$ ; (a<sub>4</sub>)  $e(N(A,r), C) \leq e(A,C) + r$  and  $e(C, N(A,r)) \geq e(C,A) - r$ ; (a<sub>5</sub>)  $e(A,B) \leq r$  if and only if  $A \subset N(B,r), r \geq 0$ .

For  $A \subset \mathbb{E}$ , by co A and  $\overline{\operatorname{co}} A$ , we mean respectively the convex hull and the closed convex hull of A.

Let X be a metric space. An open (resp. closed) ball in X with center x and radius r is denoted by  $U_X(x,r)$  (resp.  $\tilde{U}_X(x,r)$ ). For any set  $A \subset X$ , int A and  $\overline{A}$  stand, respectively, for the interior of A, and the closure of A in X. For convenience we set  $U = U_{\mathbb{E}}(0,1)$  and I = [0,1].

A multifunction  $F: X \to \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{E})$  is said to be *h*-upper semicontinuous (resp. *h*-lower semicontinuous, *h*-continuous) at  $x_0 \in X$  if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for every  $x \in U_X(x_0, \delta)$  we have  $e(F(x), F(x_0)) \leq \varepsilon$  (resp.  $e(F(x_0), F(x)) \leq \varepsilon$ ,  $h(F(x), F(x_0)) \leq \varepsilon$ ). For brevity we write *h*-u.s.c. and *h*l.s.c. to mean, respectively, *h*-upper semicontinuous and *h*-lower semicontinuous. F is called *h*-u.s.c. (resp. *h*-l.s.c., *h*-continuous) if it is so at each point  $x_0 \in X$ .

Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebra of the (Lebesgue) measurable subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  and, for  $A \in \mathcal{L}$ , let  $\mu(A)$  be the Lebesgue measure of A.

For any set  $A \subset X$ , we denote by  $\chi_A$  the characteristic function of A.

Let  $A \in \mathcal{L}$ , with  $\mu(A) < +\infty$ . A multifunction  $F : A \to \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{E})$  is said to be Lusin measurable if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a compact set  $K_{\varepsilon} \subset A$ , with  $\mu(A \setminus K_{\varepsilon}) < \varepsilon$ , such that F restricted to  $K_{\varepsilon}$  is h-continuous.

It is clear that if  $F, G : A \to \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{E})$  and  $f : A \to \mathbb{E}$  are Lusin measurable, then so are F restricted to B ( $B \subset A$  measurable), F + G, and  $t \to d(f(t), F(t))$ . Moreover, the uniform limit  $F : A \to \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{E})$  of a sequence of Lusin measurable multifunctions  $F_n : A \to \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{E})$  is also Lusin measurable.

Further details about other notions of measurability for multifunctions and their relations can be found in Castaing and Valadier [2], Himmelberg [8], Klein and Thompson [11], and in [3].

The above definitions of *h*-upper or *h*-lower semicontinuity, *h*-continuity, Lusin measurability are unchanged if the space  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{E})$  is replaced by  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{E})$ , the space of all bounded nonempty subsets of  $\mathbb{E}$  endowed with the Pompeiu-Hausdorff pseudometric *h*.

The following propositions show that h-u.s.c. and h-l.s.c. multifunctions are Lusin measurable.

**Proposition 2.1.** If  $F: I \to \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{E})$  is h-u.s.c., then F is Lusin measurable.

PROOF: For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  set  $I_i^n = [(i-1)/2^n, i/2^n[, i=1,\ldots,2^n-1, I_{2^n} = [(2^n-1)/2^n, 1]$ . The family  $\{I_i^n\}_{i=1}^{2^n}$  is a partition of I. Now for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  define  $G_n : I \to \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{E})$  by

$$G_n(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} \left( \overline{\bigcup_{s \in I_i^n} F(s)} \right) \chi_{I_i^n}(t).$$

It is clear that  $G_n$  is piecewise constant, and that  $G_n(t) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{E})$ , for F is bounded

on I. Moreover, we have:

- (i)  $G_1(t) \supset G_2(t) \supset \cdots \supset G_n(t) \supset \cdots \supset F(t)$  for every  $t \in I$ ;
- (ii) for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $t \to h(G_n(t), F(t))$  is measurable;
- (iii)  $h(G_n(t), F(t)) \to 0$  as  $n \to +\infty$ , for every  $t \in I$ .

Property (i) follows immediately from the definition of  $G_n$ . To prove (ii), fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $t_0 \in I$ ,  $t_0 \neq i/2^n$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \ldots, 2^n$ . Clearly  $t_0 \in \operatorname{int} I_i^n$ , for some  $1 \leq i \leq 2^n$ . Since F is *h*-u.s.c., given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is  $\delta > 0$ , with  $U_I(t_0, \delta) \subset \operatorname{int} I_i^n$ , such that  $t \in U_I(t_0, \delta)$  implies  $e(F(t), F(t_0)) \leq \varepsilon$ . Hence for every  $t \in U_I(t_0, \delta)$  we have

$$e(G_n(t_0), F(t_0)) \le e(G_n(t_0), F(t)) + e(F(t), F(t_0)) \le e(G_n(t), F(t)) + \varepsilon,$$

as  $G_n$  is constant on  $I_i^n$ . On the other hand, by (i),  $e(F(t), G_n(t)) = 0$  for each  $t \in I$ . Consequently,  $h(G_n(t), F(t)) \ge h(G_n(t_0), F(t_0)) - \varepsilon$ , for every  $t \in U_I(t_0, \delta)$ , and (ii) follows, as a lower semicontinuous function is measurable.

It remains to prove (iii). Let  $t_0 \in I$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  be arbitrary. Since F is *h*-u.s.c., there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $t \in U_I(t_0, \delta)$  implies  $F(t) \subset N(F(t_0), \varepsilon)$ . For every n large enough, say  $n \ge n_0$ , there is  $1 \le i_n \le 2^n$  such that  $t_0 \in I_{i_n}^n \subset U_I(t_0, \delta)$ . Thus if  $n \ge n_0$  we have

$$G_n(t) = \overline{\bigcup_{s \in I_{i_n}^n} F(s)} \subset N(F(t_0), \varepsilon) \quad \text{for every } t \in I_{i_n}^n,$$

and hence,  $e(G_n(t_0), F(t_0)) \leq \varepsilon$ . On the other hand, from (i),  $e(F(t_0), G_n(t_0)) = 0$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and so  $h(G_n(t_0), F(t_0)) \leq \varepsilon$  for every  $n \geq n_0$ , and also (iii) is proved.

We are ready to show that F is Lusin measurable. Let  $\sigma > 0$ . Since each  $G_n$  is piecewise constant, there is a compact set  $H_{\sigma} \subset I$ , with  $\mu(I \smallsetminus H_{\sigma}) < \sigma/2$ , such that each  $G_n$  restricted to  $H_{\sigma}$  is *h*-continuous. In view of (ii) and (iii), using Egoroff-Severini theorem, one can construct a compact set  $K_{\sigma} \subset H_{\sigma}$ , with  $\mu(H_{\sigma} \smallsetminus K_{\sigma}) < \sigma/2$ , such that  $h(G_n(t), F(t)) \to 0$  as  $n \to +\infty$ , uniformly on  $K_{\sigma}$ . Therefore F restricted to  $K_{\sigma}$  is *h*-continuous, as each  $G_n$  restricted to  $K_{\sigma}$  is so, and the convergence is uniform. Clearly  $\mu(I \smallsetminus K_{\sigma}) < \sigma$ . Hence F is Lusin measurable, completing the proof.

**Proposition 2.2.** If  $F: I \to C(\mathbb{E})$  is h-l.s.c., then F is Lusin measurable.

PROOF: For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\{I_i^n\}_{i=1}^{2^n}$  be as in the proof of Proposition 2.1. We claim that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that if  $n \ge k$  we have

(2.1) 
$$\bigcap_{t \in I_i^n} (F(t) + \varepsilon U) \neq \emptyset \quad \text{for each } i = 1, \dots, 2^n.$$

Indeed, in the contrary case, there is an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  there exist  $n_k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $1 \leq i_{n_k} \leq 2^{n_k}$  such that

(2.2) 
$$\bigcap_{t \in I_{i_{n_k}}^{n_k}} \left( F(t) + \varepsilon U \right) = \emptyset.$$

Passing to a subsequence, without change of notation, we can suppose that  $\{I_{i_n}^{n_k}\}$ converges to some point  $\overline{t} \in I$ . Since F is h-l.s.c., there is  $\delta > 0$  such that  $t \in U_{I}(\overline{t}, \delta)$  implies  $F(\overline{t}) \subset F(t) + \varepsilon U$ . But for k large enough, say  $k \geq k_{0}$ ,  $I_{i_{n_k}}^{n_k} \subset U_I(t, \delta)$ , and so  $F(t) + \varepsilon U \supset F(t)$  for every  $t \in I_{i_{n_k}}^{n_k}$ . As this contradicts (2.2), the claim is proved.

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Let k correspond to  $\varepsilon$  according to the claim, thus (2.1) holds with n = k. If n > k, each interval  $I_i^n$ ,  $1 \le i \le 2^n$ , is contained exactly in one interval  $I_j^k$ , for some  $1 \le j \le 2^k$ , and hence

$$\bigcap_{t \in I_j^k} \left( F(t) + \varepsilon U \right) \subset \bigcap_{t \in I_i^n} \left( F(t) + \varepsilon U \right).$$

Now for each  $n \geq k$  define  $G_n^{\varepsilon} : I \to \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{E})$  by

$$G_n^{\varepsilon}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} \left[ \bigcap_{s \in I_i^n} \overline{(F(s) + \varepsilon U)} \right] \chi_{I_i^n}(t).$$

By definition each  $G_n^{\varepsilon}$  is piecewise constant. Moreover the sequence  $\{G_n^{\varepsilon}\}_{n>k}$ has the following properties:

(i)  $G_k^{\varepsilon}(t) \subset G_{k+1}^{\varepsilon}(t) \subset \ldots \subset G_n^{\varepsilon}(t) \subset \ldots \subset \overline{F(t) + \varepsilon U}$  for every  $t \in I$ ;

(ii) for each  $n \ge k, t \to h(G_n^{\varepsilon}(t), \overline{F(t) + \varepsilon U})$  is measurable on I; (iii)  $h(G_n^{\varepsilon}(t), \overline{F(t) + \varepsilon U}) \to 0$  as  $n \to +\infty$ , for every  $t \in I$ .

Property (i) follows at once from the definition of  $G_n^{\varepsilon}$ . To prove (ii), fix  $n \geq k$ and let  $t_0 \in I$ ,  $t_0 \neq i/2^n$ ,  $i = 0, 1, ..., 2^n$ . Clearly  $t_0 \in \text{int } I_i^n$ , for some  $1 \le i \le 2^n$ . Since F is h-l.s.c., given  $\sigma > 0$  there is  $\delta > 0$ , with  $U_I(t_0, \delta) \subset \operatorname{int} I_i^n$ , such that  $t \in U_I(t_0, \delta)$  implies  $e(F(t_0), F(t)) \leq \sigma$ . Hence for every  $t \in U_I(t_0, \delta)$  we have:

$$e(\overline{F(t_0) + \varepsilon U}, \ G_n^{\varepsilon}(t_0)) \le e(\overline{F(t_0) + \varepsilon U}, \ \overline{F(t) + \varepsilon U}) + e(\overline{F(t) + \varepsilon U}, \ G_n^{\varepsilon}(t_0))$$
$$\le e(F(t_0), \ F(t)) + e(\overline{F(t) + \varepsilon U}, \ G_n^{\varepsilon}(t_0))$$
$$\le \sigma + e(\overline{F(t) + \varepsilon U}, \ G_n^{\varepsilon}(t)),$$

for  $G_n^{\varepsilon}$  is constant on  $I_i^n$ . On the other hand, by (i),  $e(G_n^{\varepsilon}(t), \overline{F(t) + \varepsilon U}) = 0$  for each  $t \in I$ . Consequently,  $h(G_n^{\varepsilon}(t), \overline{F(t) + \varepsilon U}) \ge h(G_n^{\varepsilon}(t_0), \overline{F(t_0) + \varepsilon U}) - \sigma$  for every  $t \in U_I(t_0, \delta)$ , and hence (ii) follows, as a lower semicontinuous function is measurable.

It remains to prove (iii). Let  $t_0 \in I$  and  $0 < \sigma < \varepsilon$  be arbitrary. Since F is *h*-l.s.c., there is  $\delta > 0$  such that  $t \in U_I(t_0, \delta)$  implies  $F(t_0) \subset F(t) + \sigma U$ . For every *n* large enough, say  $n \ge n_0 \ge k$ , there is  $1 \le i_n \le 2^n$  such that  $t_0 \in I_{i_n}^n \subset U_I(t_0, \delta)$ . Thus for every  $n \ge n_0$  and  $s \in I_{i_n}^n$  we have  $F(t_0) + (\varepsilon - \sigma)U \subset F(s) + \sigma U + (\varepsilon - \sigma)U = F(s) + \varepsilon U$ , which implies

$$F(t_0) + (\varepsilon - \sigma)U \subset \bigcap_{s \in I_{i_n}^n} (\overline{F(s) + \varepsilon U}) = G_n^{\varepsilon}(t_0).$$

Hence for every  $n \ge n_0$ ,  $F(t_0) + \varepsilon U \subset G_n^{\varepsilon}(t_0) + \sigma U$ . This and (i) imply  $h(G_n^{\varepsilon}(t_0), \overline{F(t_0) + \varepsilon U}) \le \sigma$  for every  $n \ge n_0$ , and thus (iii) is proved.

We are ready to show that F is Lusin measurable. For each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  consider the sequence  $\{G_n^{\varepsilon_j}\}_{n \geq k_j}$ , where  $\varepsilon_j = 1/j$  and  $k_j$  corresponds to  $\varepsilon_j$ . Each  $G_n^{\varepsilon_j}$  is piecewise constant, thus there is a compact set  $H_{\sigma} \subset I$  independent of j and n, with  $\mu(I \smallsetminus H_{\sigma}) < \sigma/2$ , such that every  $G_n^{\varepsilon_j}$  restricted to  $H_{\sigma}$  is h-continuous. In view of (ii) and (iii), with  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_j$ , using Egoroff-Severini theorem, one can find a compact set  $K_{\sigma} \subset H_{\sigma}$  independent of j, with  $\mu(H_{\sigma} \smallsetminus K_{\sigma}) < \sigma/2$ , such that for each fixed  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$h(G_n^{\varepsilon_j}(t), \overline{F(t) + \varepsilon_j U}) \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to +\infty,$$

uniformly on  $K_{\sigma}$ . Since each  $G_n^{\varepsilon_j}$  restricted to  $K_{\sigma}$  is *h*-continuous and the convergence is uniform, one has that the multifunction  $t \to F(t) + \varepsilon_j U$  restricted to  $K_{\sigma}$  is *h*-continuous. But the sequence of these multifunctions, as  $j \to +\infty$ , converges to *F* uniformly on  $K_{\sigma}$ , hence also *F* restricted to  $K_{\sigma}$  is *h*-continuous. Clearly  $\mu(I \setminus K_{\sigma}) < \sigma$ . Therefore *F* is Lusin measurable, completing the proof.

#### 3. A Filippov type existence theorem

In this section we prove a theorem on the existence of mild solutions for the Cauchy problem  $(C_{a,F})$  in an arbitrary (not necessarily separable) Banach space, under assumptions on F of Filippov type ([4]).

About the operator A and the multifunction  $F: I \times \mathbb{E} \to \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{E}), I = [0, 1]$ , we shall use the following assumptions.

- (H<sub>1</sub>) A is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous semigroup S(t),  $t \ge 0$ , of bounded linear operators from  $\mathbb{E}$  into itself.
- $(H_2)$  For each  $x \in \mathbb{E}$ ,  $t \to F(t, x)$  is Lusin measurable on I.
- (H<sub>3</sub>) There exists a summable function  $k: I \to [0, +\infty]$  such that

$$h(F(t,x), F(t,y)) \le k(t) ||x-y||$$
 for every  $(t,x), (t,y) \in I \times \mathbb{E}$ .

(H<sub>4</sub>) There exists a summable function  $q : I \to [0, +\infty[$  such that  $F(t, 0) \subset \tilde{U}_{\mathbb{E}}(0, q(t))$ , for all  $t \in I$ .

As is well known (see Pazy [15, p.4]), under the assumption  $(H_1)$  there is a constant  $M \ge 1$  such that

$$||S(t)|| \le M$$
 for every  $t \in I$ .

Furthermore, if  $(H_3)$  is satisfied, we denote by  $m: I \to [0, +\infty[$  the function given by

$$m(t) = \int_0^t k(s) \, ds.$$

Given a multifunction G defined on  $I \times \mathbb{E}$  with nonempty values  $G(t, x) \subset \mathbb{E}$ , consider the Cauchy problem  $(C_{a,G})$ . By *mild solution* of the Cauchy problem  $(C_{a,G})$  we mean a function  $x : I \to \mathbb{E}$  satisfying the following conditions: (i) x is continuous on I with x(0) = a, (ii) there is a Lusin measurable function  $v : I \to \mathbb{E}$ integrable in the sense of Bochner such that:

$$v(t) \in G(t, x(t)) \qquad \text{for each } t \in I$$
$$x(t) = S(t)a + \int_0^t S(t-s)v(s) \, ds \qquad \text{for each } t \in I.$$

Remark 3.1. In the above definition the requirement that " $v : I \to \mathbb{E}$  is Lusin measurable" is equivalent to " $v : I \to \mathbb{E}$  is strongly measurable" (in the sense of Hille and Phillips [7, p. 72]). In fact if v is Lusin measurable then, by a standard iterative procedure one can easily construct a sequence of countably-valued functions converging to v a.e. in I, thus v is strongly measurable. Conversely, if v is strongly measurable then, by Hille and Phillips [7, Corollary 1, p. 73], v is the uniform limit a.e. of a sequence of countably valued functions, from which it follows that v is Lusin measurable.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $F_i: I \to \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{E}), i = 1, 2$ , be two Lusin measurable multifunctions and let  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 > 0$  be such that

(3.1) 
$$G(t) = (F_1(t) + \varepsilon_1 U) \cap (F_2(t) + \varepsilon_2 U) \neq \emptyset \quad \text{for every } t \in I.$$

Then the multifunction  $G: I \to \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{E})$  defined by (3.1) has a Lusin measurable selector  $v: I \to \mathbb{E}$ 

**PROOF:** Since  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are Lusin measurable, one can construct a sequence  $\{J_n\}$  of pairwise disjoint compact sets  $J_n \subset I$  satisfying, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the following properties:

- (i)  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  restricted to  $J_n$  are *h*-continuous;
- (ii)  $J_{n+1} \subset I \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n J_i;$

(iii)  $\mu (I \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} J_i) < 1/2^n$ . Set  $J_0 = I \setminus \bigcup_n J_n$  and obse

Set  $J_0 = I \setminus \bigcup_n J_n$  and observe that, by (iii),  $\mu(J_0) = 0$ . It is evident that  $\{J_n\}_{n\geq 0}$  is a partition of I.

We claim that for each n = 0, 1, ... there is a Lusin measurable function  $v_n : J_n \to \mathbb{E}$  which is a selector of the multifunction G restricted to  $J_n$ . To show this, fix an arbitrary  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  (the case n = 0 is trivial). For each  $t \in J_n$  pick out a point  $u_t \in G(t)$ . Since G(t) is open and  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  restricted to  $J_n$  are h-continuous, there is a  $\delta_t > 0$  such that

(3.2) 
$$u_t \in (F_1(s) + \varepsilon_1 U) \cap (F_2(s) + \varepsilon_2 U)$$
 for every  $s \in U_{J_n}(t, \delta_t)$ .

The family  $\{U_{J_n}(t, \delta_t)\}_{t \in J_n}$  is an open covering of  $J_n$ . As  $J_n$  is compact, it admits a finite subcovering, say  $\{U_{J_n}(t_k, \delta_{t_k})\}_{k=1}^q$ . Now, consider the partition  $\{I_k\}_{k=1}^q$  of  $J_n$  given by

$$I_1 = U_{J_n}(t_1, \delta_{t_1}) \qquad I_k = U_{J_n}(t_k, \delta_{t_k}) \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} I_i \qquad 2 \le k \le q$$

and define  $v_n: J_n \to \mathbb{E}$  by

$$v_n(t) = \sum_{k=1}^q u_{t_k} \chi_{I_k}(t).$$

It is evident that  $v_n$  is Lusin measurable. Further,  $v_n$  is a selector of the multifunction G restricted to  $J_n$ . In fact let  $s \in J_n$  be arbitrary, thus  $s \in I_k$  for some  $1 \le k \le q$ . Since  $s \in I_k \subset U_{J_n}(t_k, \delta_{t_k})$ , in view of (3.2) (with  $t = t_k$ ) we have

$$u_{t_k} \in (F_1(s) + \varepsilon_1 U) \cap (F_2(s) + \varepsilon_2 U),$$

thus  $v_n(s) \in G(s)$ , for  $v_n(s) = u_{t_k}$ . Hence  $v_n$  is a Lusin measurable selector of G restricted to  $J_n$ . Then the function  $v: I \to \mathbb{E}$  given by

$$v(t) = \sum_{n \ge 0} v_n(t) \chi_{J_n}(t)$$

is a Lusin measurable selector of G, completing the proof.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $F : I \times \mathbb{E} \to C(\mathbb{E})$  satisfy the hypotheses  $(H_2)$  and  $(H_3)$ . Then for arbitrary  $x : I \to \mathbb{E}$  continuous,  $u : I \to \mathbb{E}$  Lusin measurable, and  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have:

- (a<sub>1</sub>) the multifunction  $t \to F(t, x(t))$  is Lusin measurable on I;
- (a<sub>2</sub>) the multifunction  $G: I \to \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{E})$  defined by

$$G(t) = (F(t, x(t)) + \varepsilon U) \cap U_{\mathbb{E}}(u(t), \ d(u(t), \ F(t, x(t))) + \varepsilon)$$

has a Lusin measurable selector  $v: I \to \mathbb{E}$ .

PROOF: (a1) Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence of piecewise constant functions  $x_n : I \to \mathbb{E}$ converging to x uniformly on I. Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $K_{\varepsilon} \subset I$  be a compact set, with  $\mu(I \smallsetminus K_{\varepsilon}) < \varepsilon$ , such that k restricted to  $K_{\varepsilon}$  is continuous and, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the multifunction  $t \to F(t, x_n(t))$  restricted to  $K_{\varepsilon}$  is h-continuous. Set  $M_{\varepsilon} = \sup_{t \in K_{\varepsilon}} k(t)$ .

Let  $t_0, t \in K_{\varepsilon}$  be arbitrary. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} h\big(F(t,x(t)), \ F(t_0, \ x(t_0))\big) \\ &\leq h\big(F(t,x(t)), \ F(t,x_n(t))\big) + h\big(F(t,x_n(t)), \ F(t_0,x_n(t_0))\big) \\ &\qquad + h\big(F(t_0,x_n(t_0)), \ F(t_0,x(t_0))\big) \\ &\leq M_{\varepsilon} \|x_n(t) - x(t)\| + h\big(F(t,x_n(t)), \ F(t_0,x_n(t_0))\big) + M_{\varepsilon} \|x_n(t_0) - x(t_0)\| \\ &\leq 2M_{\varepsilon}\sigma_n + h\big(F(t,x_n(t)), \ F(t_0,x_n(t_0))\big), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sigma_n = \sup_{t \in I} ||x_n(t) - x(t)||$ . Since  $\sigma_n \to 0$  as  $n \to +\infty$ , and  $t \to F(t, x_n(t))$  restricted to  $K_{\varepsilon}$  is *h*-continuous, the multifunction  $t \to F(t, x(t))$  restricted to  $K_{\varepsilon}$  is *h*-continuous, and (a<sub>1</sub>) is proved.

(a<sub>2</sub>) For  $t \in I$  set  $G_1(t) = F(t, x(t))$ ,  $G_2(t) = \tilde{U}_{\mathbb{E}}(u(t), d(u(t), G_1(t)))$ , and observe that  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are Lusin measurable on I. Furthermore, for each  $t \in I$ we have  $G(t) = (G_1(t) + \varepsilon U) \cap (G_2(t) + \varepsilon U)$  and  $G(t) \neq \emptyset$ . Hence, by Lemma 3.1, G has a Lusin measurable selector  $v : I \to \mathbb{E}$ , thus also (a<sub>2</sub>) holds, and the proof is complete.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3.1.** If  $(H_1)$ – $(H_4)$  are satisfied, then for every  $a \in \mathbb{E}$  the Cauchy problem  $(C_{a,F})$  has a mild solution  $x : I \to \mathbb{E}$ .

PROOF: We will adapt a construction due to Filippov [4]. First we observe that if  $z : I \to \mathbb{E}$  is continuous, then every Lusin measurable selector  $u : I \to \mathbb{E}$  of the multifunction  $t \to F(t, z(t)) + U$  is Bochner integrable on I. In fact, for each  $t \in I$  we have

$$||u(t)|| \le h(F(t, z(t)) + U, 0) \le h(F(t, z(t)), F(t, 0)) + h(F(t, 0), 0) + 1$$

and hence, in view of  $(H_3)$  and  $(H_4)$ ,

(3.3) 
$$||u(t)|| \le k(t)||z(t)|| + q(t) + 1, \quad t \in I.$$

By Hille and Phillips [7, Theorem 3.7.4, p. 80], in view of Remark 3.1 and the above inequality (3.3), if follows that u is Bochner integrable on I.

Let  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$  and, for  $n \ge 0$ , set  $\varepsilon_n = \varepsilon/2^{n+2}$ . Define  $x_0 : I \to \mathbb{E}$  by

(3.4) 
$$x_0(t) = S(t)a + \int_0^t S(t-s)v_0(s) \, ds$$

where  $v_0: I \to \mathbb{E}$  is an arbitrary Lusin measurable function, integrable in the sense of Bochner. Since  $x_0$  is continuous, by Lemma 3.2 there exists a Lusin measurable function, say  $v_1: I \to \mathbb{E}$ , satisfying

$$v_1(t) \in \left(F(t, x_0(t)) + \varepsilon_1 U\right) \cap U_{\mathbb{E}}\left(v_0(t), \ d(v_0(t), \ F(t, x_0(t))) + \varepsilon_1\right) \qquad t \in I.$$

Clearly, by (3.3),  $v_1$  is also Bochner integrable on I. Define  $x_1: I \to \mathbb{E}$  by

$$x_1(t) = S(t)a + \int_0^t S(t-s)v_1(s) \, ds.$$

Now by recurrence one can construct a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  of continuous functions  $x_n: I \to \mathbb{E}, n = 1, 2, ...,$  given by

(3.5)<sub>n</sub> 
$$x_n(t) = S(t)a + \int_0^t S(t-s)v_n(s) \, ds$$

where  $v_n: I \to \mathbb{E}$  is a Lusin measurable function satisfying

$$(3.6)_n v_n(t) \in \left( F(t, x_{n-1}(t)) + \varepsilon_n U \right) \cap U_{\mathbb{E}} \left( v_{n-1}(t), \ d(v_{n-1}(t), \ F(t, x_{n-1}(t))) + \varepsilon_n \right) t \in I.$$

Furthermore  $v_n$  is also Bochner integrable on I because, by  $(3.6)_n$  and (3.3), we have

(3.7) 
$$||v_n(t)|| \le k(t)||x_{n-1}(t)|| + q(t) + 1, \quad t \in I.$$

Now from  $(3.6)_n$ , for  $n = 2, 3, \ldots$  and  $t \in I$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_n(t) - v_{n-1}(t)\| &\leq d(v_{n-1}(t), \ F(t, x_{n-1}(t))) + \varepsilon_n \\ &\leq d(v_{n-1}(t), \ F(t, x_{n-2}(t))) \\ &+ h(F(t, x_{n-2}(t)), \ F(t, x_{n-1}(t))) + \varepsilon_n \\ &\leq \varepsilon_{n-1} + k(t) \|x_{n-1}(t) - x_{n-2}(t)\| + \varepsilon_n. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for each  $n = 2, 3, \ldots$  and  $t \in I$ ,

$$(3.8)_n ||v_n(t) - v_{n-1}(t)|| \le \varepsilon_{n-2} + k(t) ||x_{n-1}(t) - x_{n-2}(t)||,$$

as  $\varepsilon_{n-1} + \varepsilon_n < \varepsilon_{n-2}$ . Set  $p_0(t) = d(v_0(t), F(t, x_0(t))), t \in I$ . We claim that for each  $n = 2, 3, \ldots$  and  $t \in I$  we have:

$$(3.9)_n \quad \|x_n(t) - x_{n-1}(t)\| \le \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \int_0^t \varepsilon_{n-2-k} \frac{M^{k+1} (m(t) - m(u))^k}{k!} du + \varepsilon_0 \int_0^t \frac{M^n (m(t) - m(u))^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} du + \int_0^t \frac{M^n (m(t) - m(u))^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} p_0(u) du$$

First we verify the above inequality when n = 2. In view of  $(3.5)_n$ ,  $(3.8)_n$ , (3.4) and  $(3.6)_n$ , for each  $t \in I$  we have:

$$\begin{split} \|x_{2}(t) - x_{1}(t)\| &\leq \int_{0}^{t} \|S(t-s)\| \|v_{2}(s) - v_{1}(s)\| \, ds \\ &\leq \int_{0}^{t} M \left[ \varepsilon_{0} + k(s) \|x_{1}(s) - x_{0}(s)\| \right] \, ds \\ &\leq \varepsilon_{0} M t + \int_{0}^{t} \left[ M k(s) \int_{0}^{s} \|S(s-u)\| \|v_{1}(u) - v_{0}(u)\| \, du \right] \, ds \\ &\leq \varepsilon_{0} M t + \int_{0}^{t} \left[ M^{2}k(s) \int_{0}^{s} (p_{0}(u) + \varepsilon_{1}) \, du \right] \, ds \\ &\leq \varepsilon_{0} M t + \int_{0}^{t} \left[ M^{2} (p_{0}(u) + \varepsilon_{0}) \int_{u}^{t} k(s) \, ds \right] \, du \\ &= \varepsilon_{0} M t + \varepsilon_{0} \int_{0}^{t} M^{2} (m(t) - m(u)) \, du \\ &+ \int_{0}^{t} M^{2} (m(t) - m(u)) p_{0}(u) \, du, \end{split}$$

and so  $(3.9)_2$  is verified.

Now, assuming  $(3.9)_n$ , we shall show that  $(3.9)_{n+1}$  holds. In view of  $(3.8)_n$  and  $(3.9)_n$ , for each  $t \in I$  we have:

$$\begin{split} \|x_{n+1}(t) - x_n(t)\| &\leq \int_0^t \|S(t-s)\| \|v_{n+1}(s) - v_n(s)\| \, ds \\ &\leq \int_0^t M \bigg[ \varepsilon_{n-1} + k(s) \|x_n(s) - x_{n-1}(s)\| \big] ds \\ &\leq \varepsilon_{n-1} Mt + \int_0^t k(s) \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \int_0^s \varepsilon_{n-2-k} \frac{M^{k+2} (m(s) - m(u))^k}{k!} \, du \right. \\ &\quad + \varepsilon_0 \int_0^s \frac{M^{n+1} (m(s) - m(u))^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \, du \\ &\quad + \int_0^s \frac{M^{n+1} (m(s) - m(u))^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} p_0(u) \, du \bigg] ds \\ &= \varepsilon_{n-1} Mt + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \int_0^t \bigg[ \int_0^s \varepsilon_{n-2-k} \frac{M^{k+2} (m(s) - m(u))^k}{k!} k(s) \, du \bigg] ds \\ &\quad + \varepsilon_0 \int_0^t \bigg[ \int_0^s \frac{M^{n+1} (m(s) - m(u))^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} k(s) \, du \bigg] ds \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &+ \int_{0}^{t} \left[ \int_{0}^{s} \frac{M^{n+1} (m(s) - m(u))^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} k(s) p_{0}(u) \, du \right] ds \\ &= \varepsilon_{n-1} Mt + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \int_{0}^{t} \left[ \int_{u}^{t} \varepsilon_{n-2-k} \frac{M^{k+2} (m(s) - m(u))^{k}}{k!} k(s) \right] du \\ &+ \varepsilon_{0} \int_{0}^{t} \left[ \int_{u}^{t} \frac{M^{n+1} (m(s) - m(u))^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} k(s) \, ds \right] du \\ &+ \int_{0}^{t} \left[ \int_{u}^{t} \frac{M^{n+1} (m(s) - m(u))^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} k(s) \, ds \right] p_{0}(u) \, du \\ &= \varepsilon_{n-1} Mt + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \int_{0}^{t} \varepsilon_{n-2-k} \frac{M^{k+2} (m(t) - m(u))^{k+1}}{(k+1)!} \, du \\ &+ \varepsilon_{0} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{M^{n+1} (m(t) - m(u))^{n}}{n!} \, du \\ &+ \int_{0}^{t} \frac{M^{n+1} (m(t) - m(u))^{n}}{n!} \, du \\ &+ \int_{0}^{t} \frac{M^{n+1} (m(t) - m(u))^{n}}{n!} \, du \\ &+ \varepsilon_{0} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{M^{n+1} (m(t) - m(u))^{n}}{n!} \, du \\ &+ \varepsilon_{0} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{M^{n+1} (m(t) - m(u))^{n}}{n!} \, du \\ &+ \varepsilon_{0} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{M^{n+1} (m(t) - m(u))^{n}}{n!} \, du \\ &+ \int_{0}^{t} \frac{M^{n+1} (m(t) - m(u))^{n}}{n!} p_{0}(u) \, du. \end{split}$$

Thus  $(3.9)_{n+1}$  holds true, and the claim is proved.

Now from  $(3.9)_n$ , for n = 2, 3, ... and every  $t \in I$ , we have

(3.10) 
$$||x_n(t) - x_{n-1}(t)|| \le a_n,$$

where

(3.11) 
$$a_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \varepsilon_{n-2-k} \frac{M^{k+1}L^k}{k!} + \varepsilon_0 \frac{M^n L^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} + \frac{M^n L^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \int_0^1 p_0(u) \, du$$
  
and  $L = m(1)$ .

Clearly the series whose nth term is the first quantity on the right side of (3.11) is convergent, as Cauchy product of absolutely convergent series. Thus the series

whose *n*th term is  $a_n$  converges as well. From this and (3.10) it follows that the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges uniformly on I to a continuous function, say  $x: I \to \mathbb{E}$ . On the other hand, in view of  $(3.8)_n$ , for  $n = 3, 4, \ldots$  and every  $t \in I$ 

$$||v_n(t) - v_{n-1}(t)|| \le \varepsilon_{n-2} + k(t)a_{n-1},$$

which implies that  $\{v_n\}$  converges on I to a Lusin measurable function, say  $v: I \to \mathbb{E}$ . As  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded by a constant, say H, (3.7) yields  $||v_n(t)|| \le k(t)H + q(t) + 1$  for  $n = 1, 2, \ldots$  and each  $t \in I$ , and hence v is also Bochner integrable on I. Then from  $(3.5)_n$ , letting  $n \to +\infty$  and using Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we obtain

$$x(t) = S(t)a + \int_0^t S(t-s)v(s) \, ds \qquad \text{for each } t \in I.$$

On the other hand, by  $(3.6)_n$ ,  $v_n(t) \in F(t, x_{n-1}(t)) + \varepsilon_n U$  for n = 1, 2, ... and  $t \in I$ , whence letting  $n \to +\infty$  we have

$$v(t) \in F(t, x(t))$$
 for each  $t \in I$ .

Therefore x is a mild solution of the Cauchy problem  $(C_{a,F})$ . This completes the proof.

When A = 0 the Cauchy problem  $(C_{a,F})$  takes the form

$$(D_{a,F}) \qquad \begin{cases} x'(t) \in F(t,x(t)) \\ x(0) = a. \end{cases}$$

By solution of the Cauchy problem  $(D_{a,F})$  we mean a continuous function  $x : I \to \mathbb{E}$  such that there exists a Lusin measurable function  $v : I \to \mathbb{E}$ , integrable in the sense of Bochner, satisfying:

$$v(t) \in F(t, x(t))$$
 for each  $t \in I$   
 $x(t) = a + \int_0^t v(s) \, ds$  for each  $t \in I$ .

When A = 0, Theorem 3.1 yields the following:

**Corollary 3.1.** If  $(H_2)$ – $(H_4)$  are satisfied, then for every  $a \in \mathbb{E}$  the Cauchy problem  $(D_{a,F})$  has a solution  $x : I \to \mathbb{E}$ .

#### 4. A relaxation theorem

In this section we prove a relaxation theorem for the Cauchy problem  $(C_{a,F})$ . More precisely, we associate to  $(C_{a,F})$  the convexified Cauchy problem

$$(C_{a,\overline{\operatorname{co}}F}) \qquad \begin{cases} x'(t) \in Ax(t) + \overline{\operatorname{co}}F(t,x(t)) \\ x(0) = a, \end{cases}$$

and we show that, if  $(H_1)-(H_4)$  are satisfied, then the set of the mild solutions of  $(C_{a,F})$  is dense in the set of the mild solutions of  $(C_{a,\overline{co}}F)$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** Let  $G : A \to C(\mathbb{E})$  be a Lusin measurable multifunction defined on a measurable set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ , with  $\mu(A) < +\infty$ . Then G has a Lusin measurable selector  $g : A \to \mathbb{E}$ .

PROOF: By virtue of [3], Propositions 6 and 4, the statement holds true if A is compact. If A is measurable, it suffices to consider a countable partition  $\{K_n\}_{n\geq 0}$  of A, where all  $K_n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , are compact and  $K_0$  is of measure zero.

The following lemma plays a crucial role in the proof of the relaxation theorem.

**Lemma 4.2.** Let  $(H_1)$ – $(H_4)$  be satisfied. Let  $a \in \mathbb{E}$ , and let  $y : I \to \mathbb{E}$  be a mild solution of the convexified Cauchy problem  $(C_{a,\overline{\operatorname{co}} F})$ . Then given  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ , there is a mild solution  $x_0 : I \to \mathbb{E}$  of the Cauchy problem

$$(C_{a,F+\varphi_{\varepsilon}U}) \qquad \qquad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} x'(t) \in Ax(t) + F(t,x(t)) + \varphi_{\varepsilon}(t)U\\ x(0) = a, \end{array} \right.$$

where  $\varphi_{\varepsilon}(t) = \varepsilon \left[ k(t)/(L+1) + 1 \right]$  and  $L = \int_0^1 k(s) \, ds$ , such that  $||x_0(t) - y(t)|| \le \varepsilon/(L+1) \le \varepsilon$  for every  $t \in I$ .

PROOF: The proof, rather long, will be divided into four steps.

By hypothesis  $y: I \to \mathbb{E}$  is a mild solution of  $(C_{a,\overline{co}F})$ . Thus y is continuous, and there is a Lusin measurable function  $u: I \to \mathbb{E}$ , integrable in the sense of Bochner, satisfying

(4.1) 
$$u(t) \in \overline{\operatorname{co}} F(t, y(t))$$
  $t \in I$ 

(4.2) 
$$y(t) = S(t)a + \int_0^t S(t-s)u(s) \, ds \qquad t \in I.$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Our aim is to construct a Lusin measurable function  $v_0 : I \to \mathbb{E}$ integrable in the sense of Bochner, and a continuous function  $x_0 : I \to \mathbb{E}$  satisfying

$$v_0(t) \in F(t, x_0(t)) + \varphi_{\varepsilon}(t)U \qquad t \in I$$
$$x_0(t) = S(t)a + \int_0^t S(t-s)v_0(s) \, ds \qquad t \in I,$$

such that  $||x_0(t) - y(t)|| \le \varepsilon/(L+1)$  for every  $t \in I$ .

Step 1. Construction of  $v_0$  and  $x_0$ .

Let  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$  be arbitrary. Fix  $\delta$  such that

(4.3) 
$$0 < \delta < \frac{\varepsilon}{4(M+1)^2(L+1)}$$

where  $M \geq 1$  is a constant satisfying  $||S(t)|| \leq M$  for every  $t \in I$ . Clearly  $\delta < \varepsilon < 1$ . Likewise in the proof of Theorem 3.1, one can show that each Lusin measurable selector  $w: I \to \mathbb{E}$  of the multifunction  $t \to \overline{\operatorname{co}} F(t, y(t)) + \delta U$  satisfies

$$(4.4) ||w(t)|| \le \psi(t) t \in I,$$

where  $\psi(t) = k(t) ||y(t)|| + q(t) + 1$ . As  $\psi$  is summable, w is Bochner integrable on I.

Take  $\alpha > 0$  such that for each measurable set  $A \subset I$ ,

(4.5) 
$$\mu(A) < \alpha \quad \text{implies} \quad \int_A \psi(t) \, dt < \delta.$$

The mappings  $t \to u(t)$  and  $t \to F(t, y(t))$  are Lusin measurable, the latter by Lemma 3.2, thus there is a compact set  $K \subset I$ , with

(4.6) 
$$\mu(I \smallsetminus K) < \alpha,$$

such that, when restricted to K, u is continuous and  $t \to F(t, y(t))$  is *h*-continuous.

For  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , denote by  $\{I_i\}_{i=1}^N$  the partition of I given by

$$I_i = [t_{i-1}, t_i]$$
  $i = 1, ..., N - 1$   $I_N = [t_{N-1}, t_N]$  where  $t_i = \frac{i}{N}$ .

Now fix  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  large enough so that for each i = 1, ..., N we have:  $\mu(I_i) < \alpha$  and, furthermore,

(4.7) 
$$||u(t') - u(t'')|| < \delta$$
 and  $h(F(t', y(t')), F(t'', y(t''))) < \delta$ ,  
for every  $t', t'' \in I_i \cap K$ .

Set  $\mathfrak{I}' = \{1 \leq i \leq N | I_i \cap K \neq \emptyset\}$  and  $\mathfrak{I}'' = \{1 \leq i \leq N | I_i \cap K = \emptyset\}$ . In each interval  $I_i$ , with  $i \in \mathfrak{I}'$ , choose a point  $\tau_i \in I_i \cap K$ . Since  $u(\tau_i) \in \overline{\operatorname{co}} F(\tau_i, y(\tau_i))$ , there exists a finite set  $\{e_n^i\}_{n=1}^{p_i}$  of points

(4.8) 
$$e_n^i \in F(\tau_i, y(\tau_i)) \qquad n = 1, \dots, p_i,$$

and there exist  $p_i$  numbers  $\lambda_n^i \ge 0$ , with  $\lambda_1^i + \cdots + \lambda_{p_i}^i = 1$ , such that

(4.9) 
$$||u(\tau_i) - \sum_{n=1}^{p_i} \lambda_n^i e_n^i|| < \delta.$$

By Pazy [15, Corollary 2.3, p. 4], for each  $i \in \mathfrak{I}'$  the functions  $t \to S(t_i - t)u(\tau_i)$ and  $t \to S(t_i - t)e_n^i$ ,  $n = 1, \ldots, p_i$ , are continuous on the compact interval  $\overline{I}_i$ . Consequently, for each  $i \in \mathfrak{I}'$  we can construct a partition  $\{J_j^i\}_{j=1}^{r_i}$  of  $I_i$ , where

$$J_j^i = [s_{j-1}^i, s_j^i] \quad j = 1, \dots, r_i, \text{ and } s_j^i = t_{i-1} + \frac{j}{r_i N},$$

(if  $i = N, J_{r_N}^N$  is closed) so that the following inequalities are satisfied:

(4.10) 
$$||S(t_i - t)u(\tau_i) - \sum_{\substack{j=1\\r_i}}^{r_i} S(t_i - s_j^i)u(\tau_i)\chi_{J_j^i}(t)|| \le \delta$$
 for each  $t \in I_i, i \in \mathfrak{T}'$ 

(4.11) 
$$||S(t_i - t)e_n^i - \sum_{j=1}^{r_i} S(t_i - s_j^i)e_n^i \chi_{J_j^i}(t)|| \le \delta$$
 for each  $t \in I_i, i \in \mathfrak{I}'$   
 $n = 1, \dots, n_i$ 

Furthermore, for  $i \in \mathfrak{S}'$  and  $1 \leq j \leq r_i$  consider a partition  $\{K_n^{ij}\}_{n=1}^{p_i}$  of  $J_j^i \cap K$  by measurable sets  $K_n^{ij}$  such that

(4.12) 
$$\mu(K_n^{ij}) = \lambda_n^i \mu(J_j^i \cap K) \qquad n = 1, \dots, p_i.$$

By Lemma 4.1, the multifunction  $t \to F(t, y(t))$  restricted to  $I \smallsetminus K$  admits a Lusin measurable selector, say  $w_0 : I \smallsetminus K \to \mathbb{E}$ . Moreover, for each  $i \in \mathfrak{I}'$ , denote by  $v_i : I_i \cap K \to \mathbb{E}$  the function given by

$$v_i(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{r_i} \sum_{n=1}^{p_i} e_n^i \chi_{K_n^{ij}}(t).$$

Now define  $v_0: I \to \mathbb{E}$  and  $x_0: I \to \mathbb{E}$  by

(4.13) 
$$v_0(t) = \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}'} v_i(t) \chi_{I_i \cap K}(t) + w_0(t) \chi_{I \smallsetminus K}(t) \qquad t \in I$$

(4.14) 
$$x_0(t) = S(t)a + \int_0^t S(t-s)v_0(s) \, ds \qquad t \in I.$$

Clearly  $v_0$  is Lusin measurable, and also Bochner integrable, because

(4.15) 
$$v_0(t) \in F(t, y(t)) + \delta U \qquad t \in I.$$

To show (4.15) let  $t \in I$  be arbitrary, thus  $t \in I_i$ , for some  $1 \leq i \leq N$ . If  $t \in I \setminus K$ , we have  $v_0(t) = w_0(t) \in F(t, y(t))$ . If  $t \in I_i \cap K$ , then  $t \in K_n^{ij}$  for some  $1 \leq j \leq r_i$  and  $1 \leq n \leq p_i$ , hence  $v_0(t) = e_n^i \chi_{K_n^{ij}}(t) = e_n^i \in F(\tau_i, y(\tau_i))$ , by (4.8). Since  $t, \tau_i \in I_i \cap K$ , (4.7) implies  $F(\tau_i, y(\tau_i)) \subset F(t, y(t)) + \delta U$ . Whence if  $t \in I_i \cap K$ , we have  $v_0(t) \in F(t, y(t)) + \delta U$  and (4.15) is proved.

Step 2. For each  $i \in \mathfrak{I}'$  we have:

(4.16) 
$$\left\| \int_{I_i \cap K} S(t_i - s) u(s) \, ds - \int_{I_i \cap K} S(t_i - s) v_0(s) \, ds \right\| \le 2(M+1)\delta\mu(I_i).$$

Denoting by  $\Lambda_i$  the quantity on the left side of (4.16), we have

$$\begin{split} \Lambda_{i} &\leq \left\| \int_{I_{i} \cap K} S(t_{i} - s)u(s) \, ds - \int_{I_{i} \cap K} S(t_{i} - s)u(\tau_{i}) \, ds \right\| \\ (4.17) &+ \left\| \int_{I_{i} \cap K} S(t_{i} - s)u(\tau_{i}) \, ds - \sum_{j=1}^{r_{i}} \int_{J_{j}^{i} \cap K} S(t_{i} - s_{j}^{i})u(\tau_{i}) \, ds \right\| \\ &+ \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{r_{i}} \int_{J_{j}^{i} \cap K} S(t_{i} - s_{j}^{i})u(\tau_{i}) \, ds - \sum_{j=1}^{r_{i}} \sum_{n=1}^{p_{i}} \int_{K_{n}^{ij}} S(t_{i} - s_{j}^{i})v_{i}(s) \, ds \right\| \\ &+ \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{r_{i}} \sum_{n=1}^{p_{i}} \int_{K_{n}^{ij}} S(t_{i} - s_{j}^{i})v_{i}(s) \, ds - \int_{I_{i} \cap K} S(t_{i} - s)v_{0}(s) \, ds \right\|. \end{split}$$

Let  $\Lambda_{i,...}^I, \Lambda_i^{IV}$  be the first, ..., fourth term on the right side of (4.17). Clearly, by virtue of (4.7), we have

(4.18) 
$$\Lambda_{i}^{I} \leq \int_{I_{i} \cap K} \|S(t_{i} - s)\| \|u(s) - u(\tau_{i})\| \, ds \leq M\delta\mu(I_{i}).$$

Further,

$$\Lambda_{i}^{II} = \left\| \int_{I_{i} \cap K} S(t_{i} - s)u(\tau_{i}) \, ds - \int_{I_{i} \cap K} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{r_{i}} S(t_{i} - s_{j}^{i})u(\tau_{i})\chi_{J_{j}^{i}}(s) \right] \, ds \right\|$$

and so, by (4.10), we have

(4.19) 
$$\Lambda_i^{II} \le \int_{I_i \cap K} \left\| S(t_i - s)u(\tau_i) - \sum_{j=1}^{r_i} S(t_i - s_j^i)u(\tau_i)\chi_{J_j^i}(s) \right\| ds \le \delta\mu(I_i).$$

As far as  $\Lambda_i^{III}$  is concerned we have:

$$\begin{split} \Lambda_{i}^{III} &\leq \Big\| \sum_{j=1}^{r_{i}} \int_{J_{j}^{i} \cap K} S(t_{i} - s_{j}^{i}) u(\tau_{i}) \, ds - \sum_{j=1}^{r_{i}} S(t_{i} - s_{j}^{i}) \sum_{n=1}^{p_{i}} \lambda_{n}^{i} e_{n}^{i} \mu(J_{j}^{i} \cap K) \Big\| \\ &+ \Big\| \sum_{j=1}^{r_{i}} S(t_{i} - s_{j}^{i}) \sum_{n=1}^{p_{i}} \lambda_{n}^{i} e_{n}^{i} \mu(J_{j}^{i} \cap K) - \sum_{j=1}^{r_{i}} \sum_{n=1}^{p_{i}} \int_{K_{n}^{ij}} S(t_{i} - s_{j}^{i}) v_{i}(s) \, ds \Big\| \\ &\leq \Big\| \sum_{j=1}^{r_{i}} S(t_{i} - s_{j}^{i}) \left( u(\tau_{i}) - \sum_{n=1}^{p_{i}} \lambda_{n}^{i} e_{n}^{i} \right) \mu(J_{j}^{i} \cap K) \Big\| \\ &+ \Big\| \sum_{j=1}^{r_{i}} \sum_{n=1}^{p_{i}} S(t_{i} - s_{j}^{i}) \lambda_{n}^{i} e_{n}^{i} \mu(J_{j}^{i} \cap K) - \sum_{j=1}^{r_{i}} \sum_{n=1}^{p_{i}} S(t_{i} - s_{j}^{i}) e_{n}^{i} \mu(K_{n}^{ij}) \Big\|. \end{split}$$

The last term on the right side of the above inequality is zero because, by (4.12),  $\mu(K_n^{ij}) = \lambda_n^i \mu(J_j^i \cap K)$  for every  $j = 1, \ldots, r_i$  and  $n = 1, \ldots, p_i$ . Thus, in view of (4.9), it follows:

(4.20)  
$$\Lambda_{i}^{III} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{r_{i}} \|S(t_{i} - s_{j}^{i})\| \|u(\tau_{i}) - \sum_{n=1}^{p_{i}} \lambda_{n}^{i} e_{n}^{i} \|\mu(J_{j}^{i} \cap K) \\ \leq M\delta \sum_{j=1}^{r_{i}} \mu(J_{j}^{i} \cap K) \leq M\delta\mu(I_{i}).$$

It remains to evaluate  $\Lambda_i^{IV}$ . Taking into account the definition of  $v_0$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{I_i \cap K} S(t_i - s) v_0(s) \, ds &- \sum_{j=1}^{r_i} \sum_{n=1}^{p_i} \int_{K_n^{ij}} S(t_i - s_j^i) v_i(s) \, ds \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{p_i} \sum_{j=1}^{r_i} \int_{K_n^{ij}} S(t_i - s) e_n^i \, ds - \sum_{n=1}^{p_i} \sum_{j=1}^{r_i} \int_{K_n^{ij}} S(t_i - s_j^i) e_n^i \, ds \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{p_i} \int_{K_n^i} S(t_i - s) e_n^i \, ds - \sum_{n=1}^{p_i} \int_{K_n^i} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{r_i} S(t_i - s_j^i) e_n^i \chi_{K_n^{ij}}(s) \right] ds, \end{split}$$

where  $K_n^i = \bigcup_{j=1}^{r_i} K_n^{ij}$ . Thus

$$\Lambda_i^{IV} \le \sum_{n=1}^{p_i} \int_{K_n^i} \left\| S(t_i - s) e_n^i - \sum_{j=1}^{r_i} S(t_i - s_j^i) e_n^i \chi_{K_n^{ij}}(s) \right\| ds.$$

Now each  $s \in K_n^i$  is in one set, say  $K_n^{ij}$ , for some  $1 \leq j \leq r_i$ , and thus  $s \in J_j^i$ . Hence by (4.11)

(4.21) 
$$\Lambda_i^{IV} \le \delta \sum_{n=1}^{p_i} \mu(K_n^i) \le \delta \mu(I_i).$$

From (4.17), by virtue of (4.18)-(4.21), it follows

$$\Lambda_i \le M\delta\mu(I_i) + \delta\mu(I_i) + M\delta\mu(I_i) + \delta\mu(I_i) = 2(M+1)\delta\mu(I_i),$$

and Step 2 is proved.

Step 3. We have  $||x_0(t) - y(t)|| \le \varepsilon/(L+1)$  for every  $t \in I$ .

Let  $t \in I$  be arbitrary, thus  $t \in I_h$  for some  $1 \leq h \leq N$ . By virtue of (4.14) and (4.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_0(t) - y(t)\| &\leq \left\| \int_0^{t_{h-1}} S(t-s) \left( v_0(s) - u(s) \right) ds \right\| \\ &+ \left\| \int_{t_{h-1}}^t S(t-s) \left( v_0(s) - u(s) \right) ds \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{h-1} S(t-t_i) \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} S(t_i - s) \left( v_0(s) - u(s) \right) ds \right\| \\ &+ \int_{t_{h-1}}^{t_h} \|S(t-s)\| \left( \|v_0(s)\| + \|u(s)\| \right) ds, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

(4.22)  
$$\|x_{0}(t) - y(t)\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{h-1} \|S(t-t_{i})\| \left\| \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}} S(t_{i}-s) (v_{0}(s) - u(s)) ds \right\| + M \int_{t_{h-1}}^{t_{h}} (\|v_{0}(s)\| + \|u(s)\|) ds.$$

The last term on the right side of (4.22) is not greater than  $2M\delta$ . In fact  $v_0$  and u are selectors of the multifunction  $t \to \overline{\operatorname{co}} F(t, y(t)) + \delta U$ , by (4.15) and (4.1), therefore satisfy (4.4) i.e.  $||v_0(s)|| \leq \psi(s)$  and  $||u(s)|| \leq \psi(s)$ ,  $s \in I$ . Further  $\mu(I_h) < \alpha$ , thus by virtue of (4.5) the statement holds true. From (4.22), in view of Step 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_0(t) - y(t)\| &\leq M \sum_{i=1}^{h-1} \left\| \int_{I_i} S(t_i - s) \left( v_0(s) - u(s) \right) ds \right\| + 2M\delta \\ &\leq M \sum_{\substack{i \in \Im' \\ i \leq h-1}} \left\| \int_{I_i \cap K} S(t_i - s) \left( v_0(s) - u(s) \right) ds \right\| \\ &+ M \sum_{\substack{i \in \Im'' \\ i \leq h-1}} \left\| \int_{I_i \smallsetminus K} S(t_i - s) \left( v_0(s) - u(s) \right) ds \right\| + 2M\delta \\ &\leq M \sum_{\substack{i \in \Im'' \\ i \leq h-1}} 2(M+1)\delta\mu(I_i) \end{aligned}$$

F.S. de Blasi, G. Pianigiani

$$\begin{split} &+ M \int_{I \searrow K} \|S(t_i - s)\| \left( \|v_0(s)\| + \|u(s)\| \right) ds + 2M\delta \\ &\leq 2M(M+1)\delta + 2M^2 \int_{I \searrow K} \psi(s) \, ds + 2M\delta. \end{split}$$

By (4.6)  $\mu(I \setminus K) < \alpha$ , hence (4.5) implies that the latter integral is less than  $\delta$ . Consequently,

$$||x_0(t) - y(t)|| \le 2M(M+1)\delta + 2M^2\delta + 2M\delta < 4(M+1)^2\delta < \frac{\varepsilon}{L+1}$$

for, by (4.3),  $\delta < \varepsilon/[4(M+1)^2(L+1)]$ . Since  $t \in I$  is arbitrary, Step 3 is proved.

Step 4.  $x_0$  is a mild solution of the Cauchy problem  $(C_{a,F+\varphi_{\varepsilon}U})$ .

In view of the definition of  $x_0$  and  $v_0$  (see (4.14) and (4.13)),  $x_0$  is continuous on I, with x(0) = a, and  $v_0$  is Lusin measurable and integrable in the sense of Bochner on I. To prove the statement we have only to show that

(4.23) 
$$v_0(t) \in F(t, x_0(t)) + \varphi_{\varepsilon}(t)U \qquad t \in I.$$

Let  $t \in I \setminus K$ . From (4.13),  $v_0(t) = w_0(t) \in F(t, y(t))$ , thus

$$d(v_0(t), F(t, x_0(t))) \le h(F(t, y(t)), F(t, x_0(t))) \le k(t) ||y(t) - x_0(t)||$$

Since, by Step 3,  $||y(t) - x_0(t)|| \le \varepsilon/(L+1)$ , we have

$$d\big(v_0(t), \ F(t, x_0(t))\big) < \varepsilon[k(t)/(L+1)+1] = \varphi_{\varepsilon}(t),$$

and hence (4.23) is satisfied, for each  $t \in I \setminus K$ .

Let  $t \in K$ . Then for some  $i \in \mathfrak{S}'$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq r_i$ , and  $1 \leq n \leq p_i$  we have  $t \in K_n^{ij}$ . By virtue of (4.13) and (4.8),  $v_0(t) = e_n^i \in F(\tau_i, y(\tau_i))$ . On the other hand, by (4.7),  $F(\tau_i, y(\tau_i)) \subset F(t, y(t)) + \delta U$  as  $\tau_i, t \in I_i \cap K$  and, consequently,  $v_0(t) \in F(t, y(t)) + \delta U$ . By virtue of Step 3 we have:

$$d(v_0(t), F(t, x_0(t))) \leq h(F(t, y(t)) + \delta U, F(t, x_0(t)))$$
  
$$\leq h(F(t, y(t)), F(t, x_0(t))) + \delta$$
  
$$\leq k(t) \|y(t) - x_0(t)\| + \delta \leq \varepsilon \frac{k(t)}{L+1} + \delta < \varphi_{\varepsilon}(t)$$

as  $\delta < \varepsilon$ , by (4.3). It follows that (4.23) is satisfied also for  $t \in K$ , and Step 4 is proved. This completes the proof.

246

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $(H_1)$ – $(H_4)$  be satisfied. Let  $a \in \mathbb{E}$ , and let  $y : I \to \mathbb{E}$  be an arbitrary mild solution of the convexified Cauchy problem  $(C_{a,\overline{\operatorname{co}} F})$ . Then, for every  $\sigma > 0$ , there exists a mild solution  $x : I \to \mathbb{E}$  of the Cauchy problem  $(C_{a,F})$  such that  $||x(t) - y(t)|| \leq \sigma$  for every  $t \in I$ .

PROOF: Let  $y : I \to \mathbb{E}$  be an arbitrary mild solution of the Cauchy problem  $(C_{a, \overline{\operatorname{co}} F})$ , and let  $0 < \sigma < 1$ . Fix  $\varepsilon$  so that

$$0 < \varepsilon < \frac{\sigma}{7Me^{LM}}\,,$$

where  $M \ge 1$  is a constant such that  $M \ge ||S(t)||$  for each  $t \in I$ , and  $L = \int_0^1 k(t) dt$ .

By Lemma 4.2, with the above choice of  $\varepsilon$ , there exists a mild solution  $x_0 : I \to \mathbb{E}$  of the Cauchy problem  $(C_{a,F+\varphi_{\varepsilon}U})$ , where  $\varphi_{\varepsilon}(t) = \varepsilon[k(t)/(L+1)+1]$ , such that

(4.24) 
$$||x_0(t) - y(t)|| \le \frac{\varepsilon}{L+1} \le \varepsilon \qquad t \in I.$$

By definition of mild solution,  $x_0$  is continuous, with  $x_0(0) = a$ , and there is a Lusin measurable function  $v_0 : I \to \mathbb{E}$ , integrable in the sense of Bochner, satisfying

(4.25) 
$$v_0(t) \in F(t, x_0(t)) + \varphi_{\varepsilon}(t)U \qquad t \in I$$
$$x_0(t) = S(t)a + \int_0^t S(t-s)v_0(s) \, ds \qquad t \in I.$$

With this choice of  $x_0$  and  $v_0$ , following the argument and retaining the notation of Theorem 3.1, we can construct a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  of continuous functions  $x_n : I \to \mathbb{E}, n = 1, 2, \ldots$  given by

$$x_n(t) = S(t)a + \int_0^t S(t-s)v_n(s) \, ds \qquad t \in I,$$

where  $v_n: I \to \mathbb{E}$  is a Lusin measurable function, integrable in the sense of Bochner, such that

$$(4.26)_n v_n(t) \in \left(F(t, x_{n-1}(t)) + \varepsilon_n U\right) \cap U_{\mathbb{E}}\left(v_{n-1}(t), d(v_{n-1}(t), F(t, x_{n-1}(t))\right) + \varepsilon_n\right) t \in I,$$

and  $\varepsilon_n = \varepsilon/2^{n+2}$ . Let  $p_0: I \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $m: I \to \mathbb{R}$  be, respectively, given by

$$p_0(t) = d(v_0(t), F(t, x_0(t)))$$
  $m(t) = \int_0^t k(s) \, ds.$ 

Clearly, by (4.25),  $p_0(t) \leq \varphi_{\varepsilon}(t)$  for each  $t \in I$ , thus

(4.27) 
$$\int_0^1 p_0(t) dt \le \int_0^1 \varphi_{\varepsilon}(t) dt = \varepsilon \int_0^1 \left(\frac{k(t)}{L+1} + 1\right) dt \le 2\varepsilon.$$

Now by virtue of (3.10), for every  $N \ge 2$  and  $t \in I$  we have

(4.28)  
$$\|x_N(t) - x_0(t)\| \le \sum_{n=2}^N \|x_n(t) - x_{n-1}(t)\| + \|x_1(t) - x_0(t)\|$$
$$\le \sum_{n=2}^N a_n + \|x_1(t) - x_0(t)\|,$$

where  $a_n$  is given by (3.11). Observe that, in view of (3.11) and (4.27),

$$\sum_{n=2}^{N} a_n = M \sum_{n=2}^{N} \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \varepsilon_{n-2-k} \frac{(LM)^k}{k!} + \varepsilon_0 M \sum_{n=2}^{N} \frac{(LM)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} + 2\varepsilon M \sum_{n=2}^{N} \frac{(LM)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \leq M \left(\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \varepsilon_k\right) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(LM)^k}{k!}\right) + \varepsilon_0 M e^{LM} + 2\varepsilon M e^{LM} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} M e^{LM} + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} M e^{LM} + 2\varepsilon M e^{LM} < 3\varepsilon M e^{LM}.$$

On the other hand, for every  $t \in I$  we have:

$$||x_1(t) - x_0(t)|| \le \int_0^t ||S(t-s)|| ||v_1(s) - v_0(s)|| \, ds \le M \int_0^1 ||v_1(s) - v_0(s)|| \, ds.$$

Since, by  $(4.26)_1$ ,  $||v_1(s) - v_0(s)|| \le p_0(s) + \varepsilon_1$ , in view of (4.27) for every  $t \in I$  we have

(4.30) 
$$||x_1(t) - x_0(t)|| < 3\varepsilon M.$$

Then from (4.28), by virtue of (4.29) and (4.30), for every  $N \ge 2$  and  $t \in I$  it follows that

$$\|x_N(t) - x_0(t)\| < 6\varepsilon M e^{LM}.$$

Let  $x : I \to \mathbb{E}$  be the uniform limit of  $\{x_N\}$ . As shown in Theorem 3.1, this limit exists and is a mild solution of the Cauchy problem  $(C_{a,F})$ . Clearly,

$$\|x(t) - x_0(t)\| \le 6\varepsilon M e^{LM} \qquad t \in I.$$

248

Combining the latter inequality and (4.24) gives, for each  $t \in I$ ,

$$\|x(t) - y(t)\| \le \|x(t) - x_0(t)\| + \|x_0(t) - y(t)\| \le 6\varepsilon M e^{LM} + \varepsilon \le 7\varepsilon M e^{LM} < \sigma,$$

for  $\varepsilon < \sigma/(7Me^{LM})$ . This completes the proof.

Now consider the Cauchy problem  $(D_{a,F})$ , obtained by  $(C_{a,F})$  by letting A = 0. We associate with  $(D_{a,F})$  the convexified Cauchy problem

$$(D_{a,\overline{\operatorname{co}} F}) \qquad \begin{cases} x'(t) \in \overline{\operatorname{co}} F(t,x(t)) \\ x(0) = a. \end{cases}$$

By Theorem 4.1, with A = 0, we have the following:

**Corollary 4.1.** Let  $(H_2)-(H_4)$  be satisfied. Let  $a \in \mathbb{E}$ , and let  $y : I \to \mathbb{E}$  be an arbitrary solution of the convexified Cauchy problem  $(D_{a,\overline{co}F})$ . Then, for every  $\sigma > 0$ , there exists a solution  $x : I \to \mathbb{E}$  of the Cauchy problem  $(D_{a,F})$  such that  $||x(t) - y(t)|| \le \sigma$  for every  $t \in I$ .

#### References

- Bressan A., Colombo G., Extensions and selections of maps with decomposable values, Studia Math. 90 (1988), 69–86.
- [2] Castaing C., Valadier M., Convex analysis and measurable multifunctions, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 580, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977.
- [3] De Blasi F.S., Pianigiani G., Hausdorff measurable multifunctions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 228 (1998), 1–15.
- [4] Filippov A.F., Classical solutions of differential equations with multivalued right hand side, SIAM J. Control Optim. 5 (1967), 609–621.
- [5] Frankowska H., A priori estimates for operational differential inclusions, J. Differential Equations 84 (1990), 100–128.
- [6] Hermes H., The generalized differential equation  $x' \in R(t, x)$ , Advances in Math. 4 (1970), 149–169.
- [7] Hille E., Phillips R.S., Functional analysis and semi-groups, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., Vol. 31, Providence R.I., 1957.
- [8] Himmelberg C.J., Measurable relations, Fund. Math. 87 (1975), 53-72.
- Himmelberg C.J., Van Vleck F.S., Lipschitzian generalized differential equations, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova 48 (1973), 159–169.
- [10] Hu S., Lakshmikantham V., Papageorgiou N.S., On the solutions set of nonlinear differential inclusions, Dynamic Systems and Appl. 1 (1992), 71–82.
- [11] Klein E., Thompson A.C., Theory of correspondences, J. Wiley, New York, 1984.
- [12] Kuratowski K., Ryll-Nardzewski C., A general theorem on selectors, Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci. Sér. Soc. Math. Astron. Phys. 13 (1965), 397–403.
- [13] Papageorgiou N.S., Convexity of the orientor field and the solution set of a class of evolution inclusions, Math. Slovaca 43 (1993), 593–615.
- [14] Papageorgiou N.S., A continuous version of the relaxation theorem for nonlinear evolution inclusions, Houston J. Math. 20 (1994), 685–704.

- [15] Pazy A., Semigroups of linear operators and applications to partial differential equations, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
- [16] Tolstonogov A.A., On the properties of integral solutions of differential inclusions with m-accretive operators, Soviet Math. Notes 49 (1991), 119–131.

Centro V. Volterra, Università di Roma II (Tor Vergata), Via della Ricerca Scientifica, 00133 Roma, Italy

Dipartimento di Matematica per le Decisioni, Università di Firenze, Via Lombroso $6/17,\,50134$ Firenze, Italy

(Received November 24, 1997)